



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Combinatorics/Algebra

*r*-Bell polynomials in combinatorial Hopf algebras*Polynômes de r-Bell dans les algèbres de Hopf combinatoires*

Ali Chouria, Jean-Gabriel Luque

Laboratoire d'informatique, de traitement de l'information et des systèmes, Normandie Université, UNIROUEN, UNIHAVRE, INSA Rouen, LITIS, 76000 Rouen, France

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 12 July 2016

Accepted after revision 17 January 2017

Available online 10 February 2017

Presented by the Editorial Board

## ABSTRACT

We introduce partial *r*-Bell polynomials in three combinatorial Hopf algebras. We prove a factorization formula for the generating functions which is a consequence of the Zassenhaus formula.

© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## R É S U M É

Nous définissons des polynômes *r*-Bell partiels dans trois algèbres de Hopf combinatoires. Nous prouvons une formule de factorisation pour les fonctions génératrices, qui est une conséquence de la formule de Zassenhaus.

© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

*Partial multivariate Bell polynomials* have been defined by E.T. Bell [2] in 1934. Their applications in Combinatorics, Analysis, Algebra, Probabilities *etc.* are numerous (see, e.g., [8]). They are usually defined on an infinite set of commuting variables  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  by the following generating function:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n,k}(a_1, \dots, a_p, \dots) \frac{x^n}{n!} t^k = \exp \left\{ \sum_{m \geq 1} a_m \frac{x^m}{m!} t \right\}. \quad (1)$$

The partial Bell polynomials are related to several combinatorial sequences. Let  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}$  denotes the Stirling number of second kind, which counts the number of ways to partition a set of  $n$  objects into  $k$  nonempty subsets, and let  $\left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]$  denote the Stirling number of first kind, which counts the number of permutations according to their number of cycles. We have,  $B_{n,k}(1, 1, \dots) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}$  and  $B_{n,k}(0!, 1!, 2!, \dots) = \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]$ .

The connection between the Bell polynomials and the combinatorial Hopf algebras has been investigated by one of the authors in [3].

E-mail addresses: [ali.chouria@yahoo.fr](mailto:ali.chouria@yahoo.fr) (A. Chouria), [jean-gabriel.luque@univ-rouen.fr](mailto:jean-gabriel.luque@univ-rouen.fr) (J.-G. Luque).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2017.01.015>

1631-073X/© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Aiming to generalize these polynomials, Mihoubi et al. [9] defined partial  $r$ -Bell polynomials by setting

$$B_{n+r, k+r}^r(a_1, a_2, \dots; b_1, b_2, \dots) = \sum_{n'+n''=n+r} \sum_{\substack{\lambda'_1+\dots+\lambda'_r=n' \\ \lambda''_1+\dots+\lambda''_k=n''}} \alpha_{\lambda', \lambda''}^r a_{\lambda'_1} \dots a_{\lambda'_r} b_{\lambda''_1} \dots b_{\lambda''_k}, \tag{2}$$

where the second sum runs over pairs of (integer) partitions  $(\lambda', \lambda'')$ ,  $\alpha_{\lambda', \lambda''}^r$  is the number of set partitions  $\pi = \{\pi'_1, \pi'_2, \dots, \pi'_r, \pi''_1, \pi''_2, \dots, \pi''_k\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\#\pi'_i = \lambda'_i, \dots, \#\pi'_r = \lambda'_r, \#\pi''_1 = \lambda''_1, \dots, \#\pi''_k = \lambda''_k$  and  $1 \in \pi'_1, 2 \in \pi''_1, \dots, r \in \pi'_r$ , and  $\#\pi_i$  denotes the cardinality of  $\pi_i$ . Comparing our notation to those of [9], the roles of the variables  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  have been switched. The generating function of the  $r$ -Bell polynomials is known to be

$$\sum_{n \geq k} B_{n+r, k+r}^r(a_1, a_2, \dots; b_1, b_2, \dots) \frac{x^n}{n!} \frac{y^r}{r!} t^k = \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j+1} \frac{x^j}{j!} y\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} b_j \frac{x^j t}{j!}\right), \tag{3}$$

where  $(a_n; n \geq 1)$  and  $(b_n; n \geq 1)$  are two sequences of nonnegative integers.

The aim of our paper is to show that we can define three versions of the  $r$ -Bell polynomials in three combinatorial Hopf algebras in the same way. The first algebra is  $\text{Sym}^{(2)}$ , the algebra of bisymmetric functions (or symmetric functions of level 2). The  $r$ -Bell polynomials as defined by Mihoubi belong to this algebra. The second algebra is  $\text{NCSF}^{(2)}$ , the algebra of noncommutative bisymmetric functions. In this algebra, we define non-commutative analogues of  $r$ -Bell polynomials that generalize the Munthe-Kaas polynomials. The third algebra is  $\text{WSym}^{(2)} := \text{CWSym}(2, 2, \dots)$ , the algebra of 2-colored word symmetric functions. In this algebra, we define word analogues of  $r$ -Bell polynomials. The common feature of the three constructions is that they are based on the same algorithm, which generates 2-colored set partitions without redundancy. Our main result is a factorization formula for the generating function which holds in the three algebras and which is a consequence of the Zassenhaus formula.

### 2. Bi-colorations of partitions, compositions and set partitions

A bicolored partition  $\lambda$  of  $n$  is a multiset  $\{(\lambda_1, j_1), \dots, (\lambda_k, j_k)\}$  such that  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, 2\}$ . We set  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,  $\omega(\lambda) = n$  and  $\ell(\lambda) = k$ . A bicolored composition  $I$  of  $n$  is a list  $I = [(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_k, j_k)]$  with  $i_1 + \dots + i_k = n$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, 2\}$ . We set  $I \vDash n$ ,  $\omega(I) = n$  and  $\ell(I) = k$ . A bicolored set partition is a set  $\pi = \{(\pi_1, j_1), \dots, (\pi_k, j_k)\}$  such that  $\{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k\}$  is a set partition of size  $n$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, 2\}$ . We set  $\pi \vDash n$ ,  $\omega(\pi) = n$  and  $\ell(\pi) = k$ .

We define

$$S_{n+r, k+r}^r = \{\pi = \{(\pi_1, 1), \dots, (\pi_r, 1), (\pi_{r+1}, 2), \dots, (\pi_{k+r}, 2)\} : \pi \vDash (n+r), 1 \in \pi_1, \dots, r \in \pi_r\}. \tag{4}$$

We have  $S_{r,r}^r = \{(\{1\}, 1), (\{2\}, 1), \dots, (\{r\}, 1)\}$  and

$$S_{n+1+r, k+r}^r = \left\{ \pi \cup \{(n+1, 2)\} : \pi \in S_{n+r, r+k-1}^r \right\} \cup \left\{ \pi \setminus \{(\pi_\ell, j_\ell)\} \cup \{(\pi_\ell \cup \{n+1\}, j_\ell)\} : \pi = \{(\pi_1, j_1), \dots, (\pi_{r+k}, j_{r+k})\}, 1 \leq \ell \leq r+k \in S_{n+r, k}^{r+k} \right\}. \tag{5}$$

The underlying recursive algorithm generates one and only one times each element of  $S_{n+1+r, k+r}^r$ .

We consider also two applications:  $c(\pi) = [(\#\pi_1, j_1), \dots, (\#\pi_k, j_k)]$  if  $\pi = \{(\pi_1, j_1), \dots, (\pi_k, j_k)\}$  with  $\min\{\pi_1\} < \dots < \min\{\pi_k\}$  and  $\lambda(\pi) = [(\#\pi_1, j_1), \dots, (\#\pi_k, j_k)]$ . We define

$$f_{n+r, k+r}^r(I) = \#\{\pi \in S_{n+1+r, k+r}^r : c(\pi) = I\} \text{ and } g_{n+r, k+r}^r(\lambda) = \#\{\pi \in S_{n+1+r, k+r}^r : \lambda(\pi) = \lambda\}.$$

### 3. Three combinatorial Hopf algebras

#### 3.1. Algebras of symmetric functions of level 2

In this section, we define three combinatorial Hopf algebras indexed by bicolored objects. The model of construction is the algebra  $\text{Sym}^{(l)}$ , which is the representation ring of a wreath product  $(\Gamma \wr \mathfrak{S}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $\Gamma$  being a group with  $l$  conjugacy classes [6]. Let us recall briefly its definition for  $l = 2$ . The combinatorial Hopf algebra  $\text{Sym}^{(2)}$  [6] is naturally realized as symmetric functions in 2 independent sets of variables  $\text{Sym}^{(2)} := \text{Sym}(\mathbb{X}^{(1)}; \mathbb{X}^{(2)})$ . It is the free commutative algebra generated by two sequences of formal symbols  $p_1(\mathbb{X}^{(1)}), p_2(\mathbb{X}^{(1)}), \dots$  and  $p_1(\mathbb{X}^{(2)}), p_2(\mathbb{X}^{(2)}), \dots$ , named power sums, which are primitive for its coproduct. The set of the polynomials  $p^\lambda := p_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{X}^{(i_1)}) \dots p_{\lambda_k}(\mathbb{X}^{(i_k)})$ , where  $\lambda = \{(\lambda_1, i_1), \dots, (\lambda_k, i_k)\}$  is a bicolored partition, is a basis of  $\text{Sym}^{(2)}$ .

The Hopf algebra  $\text{NCSF}$  of formal noncommutative symmetric functions [5] is the free associative algebra  $\mathbb{C}\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \dots \rangle$  generated by an infinite sequence of primitive formal variables  $(\Psi_i)_{i \geq 1}$ . Its level  $l$  is analogous to that described in [11] as the free algebra generated by level- $l$  complete homogeneous functions  $S_{\mathbf{n}}$ . Here we set  $l = 2$  and we use another basis. We recall that the level-2 complete homogeneous function  $S_{\mathbf{n}}$ , for  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , is defined as a free quasi-symmetric function

of level 2 as  $S_n = \sum_{|u_i|=n_i} \mathbf{G}_{1\dots n, u}$ , where  $\mathbf{G}_{\sigma, u}$  denotes the dual free  $l$ -quasi-ribbon labeled by the colored permutation  $(\sigma, u)$  [11]. Notice that  $\mathbf{G}_{\sigma, u}$  is realized as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbb{A}^{(1)} \cup \mathbb{A}^{(2)} \rangle$ , where  $\mathbb{A}^{(i)}$  denotes two disjoint copies of the same alphabet  $\mathbb{A}$  as  $\mathbf{G}_{\sigma, u} = \sum_{\substack{w \in (\mathbb{A}^{(1)} \cup \mathbb{A}^{(2)})^n \\ \text{std}(w) = \sigma, w_i \in \mathbb{A}^{(i)}}} w$ , where  $\text{std}$  is the usual standardization applied after identifying the two alphabets

$\mathbb{A}^{(1)}$  and  $\mathbb{A}^{(2)}$ . Alternatively, for dimensional reasons,  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$  is the minimal sub (free) algebra of  $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbb{A}^{(1)} \cup \mathbb{A}^{(2)} \rangle$  containing both  $\mathbf{NCSF}(\mathbb{A}^{(1)})$  and  $\mathbf{NCSF}(\mathbb{A}^{(2)})$  as subalgebras. Hence, it is freely generated by the (primitive) power sums  $\Psi_i(\mathbb{A}^{(j)})$ . If  $I = [(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_k, j_k)]$  denotes a bi-colored composition, then the set of the polynomials  $\Psi^I = \Psi_{i_1}(\mathbb{A}^{(j_1)}) \dots \Psi_{i_k}(\mathbb{A}^{(j_k)})$  is a basis of the space  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ .

The last algebra,  $\mathbf{WSym}^{(2)}$ , is a level 2 analogue of the algebra of word symmetric functions introduced by M.C. Wolf [12] in 1936. We construct it as a special case of the Hopf algebras  $\mathbf{CWSym}(a)$  of colored set partitions introduced in [1] for  $a = (2, 2, \dots, 2, \dots)$ . As a space  $\mathbf{CWSym}(a)$  is generated by the set  $\Phi^\pi$  where  $\pi$  denotes a bicolored set partition. Its product is defined by

$$\Phi^\pi \Phi^{\pi'} = \Phi^{\pi \hat{\cup} \pi'}, \tag{6}$$

where  $\hat{\cup}$  denotes the shifted union obtained by shifting first the elements of  $\pi'$  by the weight of  $\pi$  and hence compute the union, and its coproduct is

$$\Delta(\Phi^\pi) = \sum_{\substack{\hat{\pi}_1 \cup \hat{\pi}_2 = \pi \\ \hat{\pi}_1 \cap \hat{\pi}_2 = \emptyset}} \Phi^{\text{std}(\hat{\pi}_1)} \otimes \Phi^{\text{std}(\hat{\pi}_2)}, \tag{7}$$

where the *standardized*  $\text{std}(\pi)$  of  $\pi$  is defined as the unique colored set partition obtained by replacing the  $i$ th smallest integer in the  $\pi_j$  by  $i$ .

The algebra  $\text{Sym}^{(2)}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ ,  $\mathbf{WSym}^{(2)}$ ) is naturally bigraded  $\text{Sym}^{(2)} = \bigoplus_{n,k} \text{Sym}_{n,k}^{(2)}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)} = \bigoplus_{n,k} \mathbf{NCSF}_{n,k}^{(2)}$ ,  $\mathbf{WSym}^{(2)} = \bigoplus_{n,k} \mathbf{WSym}_{n,k}^{(2)}$ ) where  $\text{Sym}_{n,k}^{(2)} = \text{span}\{p^\lambda : \ell(\lambda) = k, \omega(\lambda) = n\}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{NCSF}_{n,k}^{(2)} = \text{span}\{\Psi^I : \ell(I) = k, \omega(I) = n\}$ ,  $\mathbf{WSym}_{n,k}^{(2)} = \text{span}\{\Phi^\pi : \ell(\pi) = k, \omega(\pi) = n\}$ ). We denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  the subalgebra of  $\text{Sym}^{(2)}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ ,  $\mathbf{WSym}^{(2)}$ ) spanned by the polynomials  $p^{(\lambda_1, 2), \dots, (\lambda_k, 2)}$  (resp.  $\Psi^{[(i_1, 2), \dots, (i_k, 2)]}$ ,  $\Phi^{(\pi_1, 2), \dots, (\pi_k, 2)}$ ), which is isomorphic to  $\text{Sym}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{NCSF}$ ,  $\mathbf{WSym}$ ). Notice also that  $\mathbb{R} = \bigoplus_{n,k} \mathbb{R}_{n,k}$  is naturally bigraded.

In the rest of the paper, when there is no ambiguity, we use  $a_i$  to refer to  $p_i(\mathbb{X}^{(1)})$ ,  $\Psi_i(\mathbb{A}^{(1)})$  or  $\Phi^{\{(1, \dots, n), 1\}}$  and  $b_i$  to refer to  $p_i(\mathbb{X}^{(2)})$ ,  $\Psi_i(\mathbb{A}^{(2)})$  or  $\Phi^{\{(1, \dots, n), 2\}}$ . Notice that with this notation all the  $a_i$  and the  $b_i$  are primitive elements. We define the natural linear maps  $\Xi : \mathbf{WSym}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$  and  $\xi : \mathbf{WSym}^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{(2)}$  by  $\Xi(\Phi^\pi) = \Psi^{c(\pi)}$  and  $\xi(\Phi^\pi) = p^{\lambda(\pi)}$ . Notice that these maps are morphisms of Hopf algebras.

### 3.2. $r$ -Bell polynomials and (commutative/noncommutative/word) symmetric functions

In  $\text{Sym}^{(2)}$  and  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ , we define the operator  $\partial$  as the unique derivation acting on the right and satisfying  $a_i \partial = a_{i+1}$  and  $b_i \partial = b_{i+1}$ . In  $\mathbf{WSym}^{(2)}$ , we define  $\partial$  as the operator acting linearly on the right by  $1 \partial = 0$  and

$$\Phi^{\{[\pi_1, i_1], \dots, [\pi_k, i_k]\}} \partial = \sum_{j=1}^k \Phi^{\{[\pi_1, i_1], \dots, [\pi_k, i_k] \setminus [\pi_j, i_j]\} \cup \{[\pi_j \cup \{n+1\}, i_j]\}}. \tag{8}$$

In the three algebras, we define  $r$ -Bell polynomials in a similar way to Ebrahimi-Fard et al., who defined Munthe-Kaas polynomials, that is by the use of the operator  $\partial$ . More precisely, the polynomial  $B_{n+r, k+r}^r$  is the coefficient of  $t^k$  in  $a_1^r (tb_1 + \partial)^n$ .

In  $\mathbf{WSym}^{(2)}$ , from (5), we have

$$B_{n+r, k+r}^r = \sum_{\pi \in S_{n+r, k+r}^r} \Phi^\pi. \tag{9}$$

Hence, using the maps  $\Xi$  and  $\xi$ , we obtain

$$B_{n+r, k+r}^r = \sum_{\pi \in S_{n+r, k+r}^r} p^{\lambda(\pi)} = \sum_{\lambda} g_{n+r, k+r}^r(\lambda) p^\lambda \tag{10}$$

in  $\text{Sym}^{(2)}$  and

$$B_{n+r, k+r}^r = \sum_{\pi \in S_{n+r, k+r}^r} \Psi^{\lambda(\pi)} = \sum_I f_{n+r, k+r}^r(I) \Psi^I \tag{11}$$

in  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ . Notice that in  $\text{Sym}^{(2)}$ ,  $B_{n+r, k+r}^r$  is nothing but the classical  $r$ -Bell polynomial and in  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ , it is a  $r$ -version of the Munthe-Kaas polynomial [4,10].

**Example 1.** In  $\mathbf{WSym}^{(2)}$ , we have

$$B_{4,3}^2 = \Phi^{\{(1,3),1\},\{(2),1\},\{(4),2\}} + \Phi^{\{(1,4),1\},\{(2),1\},\{(3),2\}} + \Phi^{\{(1),1\},\{(2,3),1\},\{(4),2\}} \\ + \Phi^{\{(1),1\},\{(2,4),1\},\{(3),2\}} + \Phi^{\{(1),1\},\{(2),1\},\{(3,4),2\}}.$$

In  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ , we have

$$B_{4,3}^2 = 2\Psi^{[(2,1),(1,1),(1,2)]} + 2\Psi^{[(1,1),(2,1),(1,2)]} + \Psi^{[(1,1),(1,1),(2,2)]} = 2a_2a_1b_2 + 2a_1a_2b_1 + a_1a_1b_2.$$

In  $\mathbf{Sym}^{(2)}$ ,  $B_{4,3}^2 = 4p^{(2,1),(1,1),(1,2)} + p^{\{(1,1),(1,1),(2,2)\}} = 4a_2a_1b_2 + a_1a_1b_2.$

We consider also the polynomials  $\tilde{B}_{n+k+r,k+r}^r = a_1^r b_1^k \partial^n$ . Notice that in  $\mathbf{WSym}^{(2)}$ , we have

$$\tilde{B}_{n+k+r,k+r}^r = \sum_{\substack{\{(\pi_1,1),\dots,(\pi_{k+r},1)\} \in S_{n+k+r,k+r}^{k+r} \\ 1 \in \pi_1, \dots, r \in \pi_r}} \Phi^{\{(\pi_1,1),\dots,(\pi_r,1),(\pi_{r+1},2),\dots,(\pi_{r+k},2)\}}. \tag{12}$$

**4. Generating functions**

We consider the following generating functions:

$$S(t, x, y) = \sum_{n,r,k} B_{n+r,k+r}^r \frac{x^n}{n!} \frac{y^r}{r!} t^k = \exp(a_1 y) \exp(x(tb_1 + \partial)), \tag{13}$$

$$S^\circ(t, x) = S(t, x, 0) = \sum_{n,k} B_{n,k} \frac{x^n}{n!} t^k = 1 \cdot \exp(x(tb_1 + \partial)), \tag{14}$$

$$S^\bullet(t, x, y) = \sum_{n,r,k} \tilde{B}_{n+k+r,k+r}^r \frac{x^n}{n!} \frac{y^r}{r!} \frac{t^k}{k!} = \exp(a_1 y) \exp(tb_1) \exp(x\partial), \tag{15}$$

and

$$S^*(x, y) = \sum_{n,r} B_{n+r,r}^r \frac{x^n}{n!} \frac{y^r}{r!} = \exp(yb_1) \exp(x\partial). \tag{16}$$

**Theorem 4.1.** The generating functions  $S(t, x, y)$  and  $S^\circ(t, x)$  satisfy the following factorization

$$S(t, x, y) = S^\bullet(xt, x, y)Z(x, t) \text{ and } S^\circ(t, x) = S^*(x, xt)Z(x, t), \tag{17}$$

where  $Z(x, t) = \prod_{n \geq 2} \exp(x^n \sum_k t^k C_{n,k})$ ,  $C_{n,k} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \frac{1}{k!(n-k-1)!} ad_\partial^{n-k-1} ad_{b_1}^k \partial$ , and  $ad_x$  is the derivation  $ad_x P = [x, P] = xP - Px$ . In  $\mathbf{Sym}^{(2)}$  and  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$  the operator  $C_{n,k}$  is the multiplication by a primitive polynomial belonging to the subalgebra  $\mathbb{R}_{n,k}$ .

**Proof.** Equalities (17) are obtained from (13) and (14) by using Zassenhaus formula [7]. In  $\mathbf{Sym}^{(2)}$  and  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ , since  $\partial$  is a derivation,  $ad_\partial^i ad_{b_1}^j \partial$  is primitive. Furthermore, remarking that  $[b_i, \partial] = b_{i+1}$ , we prove that  $ad_\partial^i ad_{b_1}^j \partial \in \mathbb{R}_{n,k}$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.** In  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ , consider the coefficient of  $\frac{x^3 y^2}{3! 2!} t$  in the left equality of (17). In the left-hand side, we find  $B_{5,3}^2 = 3a_2a_1b_1^2 + 3a_1a_2b_1^2 + 2a_1^2b_2b_1 + a_1^2b_1b_2$ . The same coefficient in the right-hand sides is  $3\tilde{B}_{5,4}^2 - 3\tilde{B}_{3,3}^2 C_{2,1} + 3!\tilde{B}_{2,2}^2 C_{3,2}$ . Since  $\tilde{B}_{5,4}^2 = a_2a_1b_1^2 + a_1a_2b_1^2 + a_1^2b_2b_1 + a_1^2b_1b_2$ ,  $\tilde{B}_{3,3}^2 = a_1^2b_1$ ,  $\tilde{B}_{2,2}^2 = a_1^2$ ,  $C_{2,1} = -\frac{1}{2}b_2$ , and  $C_{3,2} = \frac{1}{3!}[b_1, b_2]$ , we check that  $3\tilde{B}_{5,4}^2 - 3\tilde{B}_{3,3}^2 C_{2,1} + 3!\tilde{B}_{2,2}^2 C_{3,2} = B_{5,3}^2$  as expected by Theorem 4.1.

In  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$ , we compute explicitly the polynomial  $C_{n,k}$

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{n} \frac{1}{k!(n-k-1)!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \binom{n-k-1}{i_1-1, \dots, i_{k-1}-1, i_k-2} [b_{i_1}, [b_{i_2}, \dots, [b_{i_{k-1}}, b_{i_{k-1}}] \dots]]. \tag{18}$$

**Example 3.** Consider for instance the polynomial  $C_{5,2}$  in  $\mathbf{NCSF}^{(2)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{5,2} &= -\frac{1}{48} ad_{\partial}^4 ad_{b_1}^2 \partial = -\frac{1}{48} ad_{\partial}^4 [b_1, b_2] \\
 &= -\frac{1}{48} [[[[ [b_1, b_2], \partial], \partial], \partial], \partial] \\
 &= -\frac{1}{48} (2[b_3, b_4] + 3[b_2, b_5] + [b_1, b_6]) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{48} ([b_5, b_2] + 4[b_4, b_3] + 6[b_3, b_4] + 4[b_2, b_5] + [b_1, b_6]).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.** If we set  $a_i = b_i$  for each  $i$ , then we have  $S^*(t, x, y) = S^*(y + t, x)$ , and so  $S(t, x, y) = S^*(y + xt, x)Z(x, t)$ .

In  $\text{Sym}^{(2)}$ , the series  $Z(x, t)$  has a nice closed form

$$Z(x, t) = \exp \left( - \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{(i-1)}{i!} b_i t^i \right). \tag{19}$$

Indeed, since the algebra is commutative  $ad_{\partial}^i ad_{b_1}^j \partial$  is nonzero only if  $j = 1$  and when  $j = 1$  formula (18) gives  $[\partial, b_i] = -b_{i+1}$ .

As a consequence, using equality (19) together with Theorem 4.1 and Formula (3), we find

$$S^*(xt, x, y) = \exp \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j+1} \frac{x^j}{j!} y \right) \exp \left( \sum_{j \geq 1} j b_j \frac{x^j t}{j!} \right). \tag{20}$$

In other words, equating the coefficients in the left- and the right-hand sides of (20), we find

$$\tilde{B}_{n+k+r, k+r}^r = \binom{n+k}{n}^{-1} B_{n+k+r, k+r}^r(a_1, a_2, \dots; b_1, 2b_2, 3b_3, \dots). \tag{21}$$

In the case where  $r = 0$ , we obtain

$$\tilde{B}_{n+k, k}^0(a_1, a_2, \dots; b_1, \dots) = B_{n+k, k}^k(b_1, b_2, \dots; b_1, b_2, \dots) = \binom{n+k}{n}^{-1} B_{n+k, k}(b_1, 2b_2, 3b_3, \dots). \tag{22}$$

**Acknowledgements**

The authors thank the referee for his valuable comments. This paper is partially supported by the PHC MAGHREB project IThÈM (14MDU929M), the ANR project CARMA and the GRR project MOUSTIC.

**References**

[1] A. Aboud, J.-P. Bultel, A. Chouria, J.-G. Luque, O. Mallet, Word Bell polynomials, Sémin. Lothar. Comb., to appear, arXiv:1402.2960.  
 [2] E.T. Bell, Exponential polynomials, Ann. of Math. (2) 35 (2) (1934) 258–277.  
 [3] A. Chouria, Algèbres de Hopf combinatoires sur les partitions d'ensembles et leurs généralisations : applications à l'énumération et à la physique théorique, PhD, Université de Rouen, France, 2016.  
 [4] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, A. Lundervold, D. Manchon, Noncommutative Bell polynomials, quasideterminants and incidence Hopf algebras, Int. J. Algebra Comput. 24 (5) (2014) 671–705.  
 [5] I. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V.S. Retakh, J.-Y. Thibon, Noncommutative symmetric functions, Adv. Math. 112 (1995) 218–348.  
 [6] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Oxford University Press, 1998.  
 [7] W. Magnus, On the exponential solution of differential equations for a linear operator, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (4) (1954) 649–673.  
 [8] M. Mihoubi, Polynômes multivariés de Bell et polynômes de type binomial, PhD, Université des sciences et de la technologie Houari-Boumédiène, Alger, 2008.  
 [9] M. Mihoubi, M. Rahmani, The partial  $r$ -Bell polynomials, arXiv:1308.0863, 2013.  
 [10] H. Munthe-Kaas, Lie–Butcher theory for Runge–Kutta methods, Numer. Math. 35 (1995) 572–587.  
 [11] J.-C. Novelli, J.-Y. Thibon, Free quasi-symmetric functions and descent algebras for wreath products and noncommutative multi-symmetric functions, Discrete Math. 310 (2010) 3584–3606.  
 [12] M. Wolf, Symmetric functions of non-commutative elements, Duke Math. J. 4 (2) (1936) 626–637.