



Partial differential equations

Boundedness in a full parabolic two-species chemotaxis system



Les solutions d'un système de chimiотaxie à deux espèces, complètement parabolique, sont bornées

Myo Win Htwe, Yifu Wang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 September 2016

Accepted 26 October 2016

Available online 24 November 2016

Presented by the Editorial Board

ABSTRACT

This paper is concerned with the two-species chemotaxis system

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u\chi_1(w)\nabla w) + \mu_1 u(1-u-a_1v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ v_t = \Delta v - \nabla \cdot (v\chi_2(w)\nabla w) + \mu_2 v(1-a_2u-v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ w_t = d\Delta w - w + u + v, & x \in \Omega, t > 0 \end{cases}$$

in a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 1$), where $d > 0$, $\mu_i \geq 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) are parameters, χ_i are functions satisfying some conditions. The purpose of this paper is to show the global boundedness of solutions to the above system under weaker conditions than those assumed in the related literature.

© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

RÉSUMÉ

Cette Note étudie les systèmes de chimiотaxie à deux espèces du type

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u\chi_1(w)\nabla w) + \mu_1 u(1-u-a_1v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ v_t = \Delta v - \nabla \cdot (v\chi_2(w)\nabla w) + \mu_2 v(1-a_2u-v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ w_t = d\Delta w - w + u + v, & x \in \Omega, t > 0 \end{cases}$$

où Ω est un domaine borné de \mathbb{R}^n avec $n \geq 1$, $d > 0$, $\mu_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$, sont des paramètres et χ_i , $i = 1, 2$, sont des fonctions satisfaisant certaines conditions. Notre propos est de montrer que, sous des conditions plus faibles que celles faites jusqu'à présent dans la littérature, les solutions d'un tel système sont globalement bornées.

© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: myowinhtwe@yahoo.com (M.W. Htwe).

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the chemotaxis system for two-species that are attracted by the same signaling substance

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \chi_1(w) \nabla w) + \mu_1 u(1 - u - a_1 v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ v_t = \Delta v - \nabla \cdot (v \chi_2(w) \nabla w) + \mu_2 v(1 - a_2 u - v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \tau w_t = d \Delta w - w + u + v, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \nabla u \cdot v = \nabla v \cdot v = \nabla w \cdot v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \tau w(x, 0) = \tau w_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 1$) is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, v is the outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, the initial data u_0, v_0 and w_0 are nonnegative functions; the unknown functions $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ denote the population densities of two species, respectively, and $w(x, t)$ represents the concentration of the signaling substance. Here $d > 0$, $\tau = 1$, $\mu_i \geq 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) are parameters, the nonnegative chemotactic sensitivity functions $\chi_i \in C^{1+\theta}([0, +\infty))$ with some $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

The system (1.1) is a generalization of the celebrated Keller–Segel model, which describes the migration of the single-species in response to the chemical produced by themselves [7], to the case of two species [5]. Over the last decades, the Keller–Segel model has been extensively investigated; in particular, a large amount of work has been devoted to determining whether the solutions are global in time or blow up in finite time, see, for example, [4,6,15,17] and references therein.

Though multi-species chemotaxis systems have been proposed over the last 30 years [1,5,18], they have been intensively studied recently [3,8–10]. In [3,13,14], the asymptotic stability of homogeneous steady states of the parabolic–parabolic–elliptic version of (1.1) (i.e. $\tau = 0$) was studied by some comparison techniques. For the full parabolic variant of (1.1) (i.e. $\tau = 1$), the global existence and behavior of solutions are investigated in [2,10–12,19]. In particular, Zhang et al. [19] proved the global boundedness of solutions to (1.1) under the assumption that μ_i is small and $\chi_i(w) \leq \frac{\chi_{0,i}}{(1+\alpha_i w)^{\sigma_i}}$ with $\sigma_i > 1$ and $\chi_{0,i} > 0$ being sufficiently small. When $\mu_i > 0$, $a_i = 0$, and $\chi_i(w)$ satisfies some conditions including

$$\exists p > n, 2d \chi'_i(w) + ((d-1)p + \sqrt{(d-1)^2 p^2 + 4dp}) \chi_i^2(w) \leq 0,$$

Mizukami et al. [10] obtained the global boundedness of (1.1) with $u + v - w$ replaced by $h(u, v, w)$.

This paper extends the method in [16] to show the global boundedness of solutions to (1.1) under weaker conditions than those in [10,19].

Theorem 1.1. Let $d > 0$, $\mu_i \geq 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2$). Assume that $\chi_i(w) \leq \frac{\chi_{0,i}}{(1+\alpha_i w)^{\sigma_i}}$ for $\sigma_i > 1$, $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\chi_{0,i} > 0$. Then for all nonnegative functions $(u_0, v_0) \in (C(\bar{\Omega}))^2$ and $w_0 \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for some $q > n$, (1.1) possesses a unique classical solution (u, v, w) which is globally bounded in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As a preliminary, let us state one result about the local existence and uniqueness of a classical solution to (1.1), which can be found in [9,10,19].

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $(u_0, v_0) \in (C(\bar{\Omega}))^2$ and $w_0 \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for some $q > n$ are nonnegative functions. Then there exist $T_{\max} \in (0, \infty]$ and an exactly one triple $(u, v, w) \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max}))$, which solves (1.1) classically. In addition, if $T_{\max} < +\infty$, then $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \nearrow T_{\max}$. Moreover, $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq M$ and $\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq M$ with $M = \max\{|\Omega|, \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}\}$.

Theorem 1.1 can be derived by a standard argument provided that the following lemma is proved, and we refer the reader to [16,19], for instance.

Lemma 2.2. Under assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{n+1}(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{n+1}(\Omega)} \leq C \text{ for all } t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let $p = n + 1$ and define $\varphi(s) = e^{(1+\beta s)^{-r}}$ for $s \geq 0$, where r and β satisfy

$$0 < r < \min\left\{\frac{p-1}{2p(d+1)^2}, 2\sigma_i - 2, 1\right\} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\beta > \max\left\{\sqrt{\frac{2p(p-1)}{dr}}\chi_{0,i}, 2\alpha_i\right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [19] and noting $1 \leq \varphi(w) \leq e$ for all $s \geq 0$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} \varphi(w) |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{d}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi''(w) |\nabla w|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{(d+1)^2}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} u^p \frac{\varphi'^2(w)}{\varphi(w)} |\nabla w|^2 + (p-1) \chi_{0,1}^2 \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) (1+\alpha_1 w)^{-2\sigma_1} |\nabla w|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{r}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) + \mu_1 \int_{\Omega} u(1-u) \varphi(w) \\ & \leq \frac{(d+1)^2}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} u^p \frac{\varphi'^2(w)}{\varphi(w)} |\nabla w|^2 + (p-1) \chi_{0,1}^2 \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) (1+\alpha_1 w)^{-2\sigma_1} |\nabla w|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{r}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) + \mu_1 e |\Omega|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &:= \frac{d}{p} \varphi''(s) = \frac{d}{p} \beta^2 r(r+1)(1+\beta s)^{-r-2} \varphi(s) + \frac{d}{p} \beta^2 r^2 (1+\beta s)^{-2r-2} \varphi(s), \\ F_2 &:= \frac{(d+1)^2}{p-1} \frac{\varphi'^2(s)}{\varphi(s)} = \frac{(d+1)^2}{p-1} \beta^2 r^2 (1+\beta s)^{-2r-2} \varphi(s), \\ F_3 &:= (p-1) \chi_{0,1}^2 (1+\alpha_1 s)^{-2\sigma_1} \varphi(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{2F_2}{F_1} \leq \frac{2pr(d+1)^2}{p-1} < 1$ due to (2.2). On the other hand,

$$\frac{2F_3}{F_1} \leq \frac{(p-1)\chi_{0,1}^2(1+\alpha_1 s)^{-2\sigma_1} \varphi(s)}{\frac{1}{p}\beta^2 r(r+1)(1+\beta s)^{-r-2} \varphi(s)} = \frac{2p(p-1)\chi_{0,1}^2}{d\beta^2 r(r+1)} (1+\alpha_1 s)^{-2\sigma_1} (1+\beta s)^{r+2}.$$

Putting $f(s) = (1+\alpha_1 s)^{-2\sigma_1} (1+\beta s)^{r+2}$ for all $s \geq 0$, we then get $f'(s) \leq (1+\alpha_1 s)^{-2\sigma_1-1} (1+\beta s)^{r+1} [r+2-2\sigma_1 + ((r+2)\alpha_1 - 2\sigma_1\beta)s] < 0$ by (2.2) and (2.3). So in view of (2.3), $\frac{2F_3}{F_1} \leq \frac{2p(p-1)\chi_{0,1}^2}{d\beta^2 r(r+1)} < 1$.

Therefore from (2.3), we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} \varphi(w) |\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{r}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) + \mu_1 e |\Omega|, \tag{2.5}$$

which together with the fact that $1 \leq \varphi(s) \leq e$, implies that

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) + \frac{2(p-1)}{p^2} \|\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{r}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) + \mu_1 e |\Omega|. \tag{2.6}$$

According the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality and using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) &\leq e \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e C_{GN}^2 (\|\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^a \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{1-a} + \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^2)^2 \\ &\leq 2e C_{GN}^2 (\|\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2a} M^{p(1-a)} + M^p) \end{aligned}$$

with $a = \frac{n^2}{n^2+2}$, which along with (2.6) yields that for some constant $c_i(p) > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) + c_1(p) \left(\int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) \right)^{1/a} \leq r \int_{\Omega} u^p \varphi(w) + c_2(p). \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore by a standard ODE comparison argument and noticing $a \in (0, 1)$, we can get $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C$. It is obvious that $\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C$ can be proved similarly. \square

References

- [1] W. Alt, Orientation of cells migrating in a chemotactic gradient, in: *Biological Growth and Spread*, in: *Lecture Notes in Biomathematics*, vol. 38, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980, pp. 353–366.
- [2] X. Bai, M. Winkler, Equilibration in a fully parabolic two-species chemotaxis system with competitive kinetics, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 65 (2016) 553–583.
- [3] T. Black, J. Lankeit, M. Mizukami, On the weakly competitive case in a two-species chemotaxis model, *IMA J. Appl. Math.* 81 (2016) 860–876.
- [4] D. Horstmann, From 1970 until present: the Keller–Segel model in chemotaxis and its consequences, I, *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.* 105 (2003) 103–165.
- [5] D. Horstmann, Generalizing the Keller–Segel model: Lyapunov functionals, steady state analysis, and blow-up results for multi-species chemotaxis models in the presence of attraction and repulsion between competitive interacting species, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* 21 (2011) 231–270.
- [6] D. Horstmann, M. Winkler, Boundedness vs. blow-up in a chemotaxis system, *J. Differ. Equ.* 215 (1) (2005) 52–107.
- [7] E.F. Keller, L.A. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theor. Biol.* 26 (1970) 399–415.
- [8] Y. Li, Global bounded solutions and their asymptotic properties under small initial data condition in a two-dimensional chemotaxis system for two species, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 429 (2015) 1291–1304.
- [9] K. Lin, C. Mu, L. Wang, Boundedness in a two-species chemotaxis system, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 38 (2015) 5085–5096.
- [10] M. Mizukami, T. Yokota, Global existence and asymptotic stability of solutions to a two-species chemotaxis system with any chemical diffusion, *J. Differ. Equ.* 261 (2016) 2650–2669.
- [11] M. Negreanu, J.I. Tello, On a two species chemotaxis model with slow chemical diffusion, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 46 (2014) 3761–3781.
- [12] M. Negreanu, J.I. Tello, Asymptotic stability of a two species chemotaxis system with non-diffusive chemoattractant, *J. Differ. Equ.* 258 (2015) 1592–1617.
- [13] C. Stinner, J.I. Tello, M. Winkler, Competitive exclusion in a two species chemotaxis model, *J. Math. Biol.* 68 (2014) 1607–1626.
- [14] J.I. Tello, M. Winkler, Stabilization in a two-species chemotaxis system with logistic source, *Nonlinearity* 25 (2012) 1413–1425.
- [15] M. Winkler, Boundedness in the higher-dimensional parabolic–parabolic chemotaxis system with logistic source, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* 35 (2010) 1516–1537.
- [16] M. Winkler, Absence of collapse in a parabolic chemotaxis system with signal-dependent sensitivity, *Math. Nachr.* 283 (2010) 1664–1673.
- [17] M. Winkler, Finite-times blow-up in the higher-dimensional parabolic–parabolic Keller–Segel system, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 100 (2013) 748–767.
- [18] G. Wolansky, Multi-components chemotactic system in absence of conflicts, *Eur. J. Appl. Math.* 13 (2002) 641–661.
- [19] Q. Zhang, Y. Li, Global boundedness of solutions to a two-species chemotaxis system, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 66 (2015) 83–93.