\$50 CONTRACTOR STATEMENT OF THE SEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



# **Number Theory**

# On the sum of distinct primes or squares of primes \*

# Sur les sommes de premiers et de carrés de premiers distincts

Jin-Hui Fang a, Yong-Gao Chen b

#### ARTICLE INFO

# Article history: Received 6 May 2012 Accepted after revision 10 August 2012 Available online 29 August 2012

Presented by the Editorial Board

#### ABSTRACT

In 1965 Erdős introduced  $f_2(s)$ :  $f_2(s)$  is the smallest integer such that every  $l > f_2(s)$  is the sum of s distinct primes or squares of primes where a prime and its square are not both used. We prove that for all sufficiently large s,  $f_2(s) \leq p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 3106$ , and the set of s with the equality has the density 1.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## RÉSUMÉ

En 1965 Paul Erdős a introduit la valeur  $f_2(s)$  comme le plus petit entier tel que tout entier  $l>f_2(s)$  est la somme de s premiers ou carrés de premiers distincts, où un nombre premier et son carré ne sont simultanément utilisés. Nous démontrons que pour tout s suffisamment grand on a  $f_2(s)\leqslant p_2+p_3+\cdots+p_{s+1}+3106$  et que l'ensemble des s réalisant l'égalité est de densité 1.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Denote by  $\mu_s$  the least integer such that every integer  $\ell > \mu_s$  is the sum of exactly s integers s which are pairwise relatively prime. In 1964, Sierpiński [4] asked for determination of  $\mu_s$ . In order to study Sierpiński's problem, P. Erdős [3] introduced  $f_1(s)$ ,  $f_2(s)$  and  $f_3(s)$ . Denote by  $f_1(s)$  the least integer such that every integer  $\ell > f_1(s)$  is the sum of s distinct primes;  $f_2(s)$  is the smallest integer such that every  $\ell > f_2(s)$  is the sum of s distinct primes or squares of primes where a prime and its square are not both used; and  $f_3(s)$  is the least integer such that every integer  $\ell > f_3(s)$  is the sum of s distinct integers s which are pairwise relatively prime. Let s primes are s primes. Since s primes are s primes are s primes are s primes are s primes. Since s primes are s primes. Since s primes are s pr

In 1965, P. Erdős [3] proved that  $f_2(s) < p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + C$ , where C is an absolute constant. In this Note, the following results are proved:

```
Theorem 1. (a) For s \ge s_0, f_2(s) \le p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 3106; (b) If s \ge s_0 and p_{s+2} - p_{s+1} > 3106, then f_2(s) = p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 3106; (c) The set of s with f_2(s) = p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 3106 has the density 1.
```

E-mail addresses: fangjinhui1114@163.com (J.-H. Fang), ygchen@njnu.edu.cn (Y.-G. Chen).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, PR China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210046, PR China

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant Nos. 11071121, 11201237 and the Youth Foundation of Mathematical Tianyuan of China, Grant No. 11126302. The first author is also supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, Grant No. 11KJB110006.

### 2. Proofs

**Lemma 1.** (See [2].) For  $x \ge 24$  there exists a prime in  $(x, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x]$ .

**Lemma 2.** Every even number  $n \ge 3106$  can be expressed as the sum of distinct  $p_k^2 - p_k$   $(k \ge 2)$ . The number 3106 cannot be expressed as the sum of distinct  $p_k^2 - p_k$   $(k \ge 2)$ .

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on the even number n. Let

$$V_1 = \{0\}, \qquad V_{i+1} = V_i \cup (V_i + p_{i+1}^2 - p_{i+1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

By Mathematica, we find that  $[3108, 10\,000] \cap (2\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq V_{30}$  and  $3106 \notin V_{30}$ . Hence, if n is even with  $3108 \leqslant n \leqslant 10\,000$ , then n can be expressed as the sum of distinct  $p_k^2 - p_k$  ( $k \geqslant 2$ ). Now assume that for any even integer n with  $3108 \leqslant n < 2m$  ( $2m > 10\,000$ ), n can be expressed as the sum of distinct

Since  $2m - 3108 > 10000 - 3108 > 83^2 - 83$ , there exists a prime  $p_u \ge 83$  with

$$p_u^2 - p_u \leqslant 2m - 3108 < p_{u+1}^2 - p_{u+1}. \tag{1}$$

Then

$$3108 \leq 2m - (p_u^2 - p_u) < 2m$$
.

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$2m - (p_u^2 - p_u) = \sum_{i=1}^t (q_i^2 - q_i),$$

where  $q_1 < \cdots < q_t$  are distinct odd primes. Hence

$$2m = \sum_{i=1}^{t} (q_i^2 - q_i) + (p_u^2 - p_u). \tag{2}$$

By (1) and Lemma 1, we have

$$2m < p_{u+1}^2 - p_{u+1} + 3108 \leqslant \frac{3}{2}p_u^2 - p_u + 3108 < 2(p_u^2 - p_u).$$

So  $q_t^2-q_t< p_u^2-p_u$ . Thus, by (2), 2m can be expressed as the sum of distinct  $p_k^2-p_k$   $(k\geqslant 2)$ . If 3106 can be expressed as the sum of distinct  $p_k^2-p_k$   $(k\geqslant 2)$ , then  $p_k^2-p_k\leqslant 3106$ . Then k<30 and  $3106\in V_{30}$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.** (See [3].) We have  $f_2(s) < p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + C$ , where C is an absolute constant.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** (a) Let  $s_0$  be the least integer with  $50p_{s_0} > C$ , where C is as in Lemma 3. We may assume that C > 3106. Then  $s_0 \ge 16$  and  $p_{s_0} \ge 53$ . Let  $s \ge s_0$  and  $\ell$  be an integer with

$$p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 3106 < \ell \leq p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + C$$
.

Write

$$\ell = p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + n.$$

Then  $3106 < n \le C$  and  $p_s^2 - p_s \ge 52p_s > p_{s+1} + C > p_{s+1} + n - 2 > n$ . **Case 1:** n is even. By Lemma 2, we have

$$n = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (p_k^{\alpha_k} - p_k), \quad \alpha_k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Since  $p_s^2 - p_s > n$ , we have  $\alpha_k = 1$  for all  $k \ge s$ . Thus

$$\ell = p_2 + p_3 + \dots + p_{s+1} + n = \sum_{k=2}^{s+1} p_k^{\alpha_k}, \quad \alpha_k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Case 2: n is odd. By Lemma 2, we have

$$p_{s+1} + n - 2 = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (p_k^{\alpha_k} - p_k), \quad \alpha_k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Since  $p_s^2 - p_s > p_{s+1} + n - 2$ , we have  $\alpha_k = 1$  for all  $k \ge s$ . Thus

$$\ell = p_2 + p_3 + \dots + p_{s+1} + n = p_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{s} p_k^{\alpha_k}, \quad \alpha_k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

By Cases 1 and 2, for all  $s \ge s_0$ , we have  $f_2(s) \le p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 3106$ .

(b) Assume that  $p_{s+2} - p_{s+1} > 3106$ . Suppose that

$$p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 3106 = q_1^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + q_s^{\alpha_s}$$

with all  $\alpha_k \in \{1,2\}$  and  $q_1,\ldots,q_s$  are distinct primes. By comparing the parities of both sides, we have that  $q_1,\ldots,q_s$  are distinct odd primes. Thus  $q_i \geqslant p_{i+1}$   $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant s)$ . If  $q_s > p_{s+1}$ , then

$$3106 = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (q_i^{\alpha_i} - p_{i+1}) \geqslant q_s^{\alpha_s} - p_{s+1} \geqslant p_{s+2} - p_{s+1} > 3106,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $q_s \leqslant p_{s+1}$ . Thus  $q_i = p_{i+1}$  for all  $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ . So

$$3106 = \sum_{k=2}^{s+1} (p_k^{\alpha_k} - p_k),$$

this contradicts Lemma 2. Therefore  $p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 3106$  is not the sum of s distinct primes or squares of primes where a prime and its square are not both used. So, by (a), we have  $f_2(s) = p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 3106$ .

(c) It follows from the fact that the number of primes  $p \le x$  with p + k being prime is  $O(x/(\log x)^2)$  for each  $k = 2, 4, 6, \ldots, 3106$  (see [1]).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\Box$ 

## References

- [1] V. Brun, Le crible d'Eratosthene et le théorème de Goldbach, Videnskapselkapets Skrifter, I, No. 3, Kristiania, 1920.
- [2] Y.-G. Chen, The analogue of Erdős–Turán conjecture in  $\mathbf{Z}_m$ , J. Number Theory 128 (2008) 2573–2581.
- [3] P. Erdős, On a problem of Sierpiński, Acta Arith. 11 (1965) 189-192.
- [4] W. Sierpiński, Sur les suites d'entiers deux á deux premiers entre eux, Enseign. Math. 10 (1964) 229-235.