



## Complex Analysis

An avoidance criterion for normal functions<sup>☆</sup>*Un critère d'évitement pour des familles normales*Yan Xu<sup>a</sup>, Huiling Qiu<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210046, PR China<sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing Audit University, Nanjing 210029, PR China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 28 July 2011

Accepted after revision 19 October 2011

Available online 9 November 2011

Presented by the Editorial Board

## ABSTRACT

Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function in the unit disc  $\Delta$ ,  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_3$  be three functions meromorphic in  $\Delta$  and continuous on closure of  $\Delta$  such that  $\varphi_i(z) \neq \varphi_j(z)$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ ) on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ . If  $f(z) \neq \varphi_i(z)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in  $\Delta$ , then  $f$  is normal.

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Soit  $f$  une fonction méromorphe dans le disque unité  $\Delta$ , soient  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  et  $\varphi_3$  trois fonctions méromorphes dans  $\Delta$  et continues sur l'adhérence de  $\Delta$  et dont les restrictions au cercle unité sont deux à deux distinctes. Alors, si la fonction  $f$  est distincte des  $\varphi_i(z)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), elle est normale.

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $D$  be a domain in the complex plane. The family  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be normal in  $D$ , in the sense of Montel, if for any sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  there exists a subsequence  $\{f_{n_j}\}$ , such that  $\{f_{n_j}\}$  converges spherically locally uniformly in  $D$  to a meromorphic function or  $\infty$  (see [4,5]).

A function  $f$  meromorphic in the unit disc  $\Delta = \{z: |z| < 1\}$  is called a normal function if and only if the family  $\{f(S(z))\}$ , where  $z' = S(z)$  denotes an arbitrary one–one conformal mapping of  $\Delta$  onto itself, is normal. The notion was introduced by Lehto and Virtanen [2].

A well-known result about normal functions is the following:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function in the unit disc  $\Delta$ . If  $f$  omits at least three distinct values in  $\Delta$ , then  $f$  is normal.*

We say the functions  $f$  and  $g$  avoid each other uniformly if there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each point  $z$  in their common domain, the spherical distance between  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  is at least  $\delta$ . In [1], Lappan extended three distinct values in Theorem A to three continuous functions that avoid each other uniformly.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  be three continuous functions that avoid each other uniformly in the unit disc  $\Delta$ . Further, for each  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , let the family  $\{g_j \circ \phi: \phi \in \Phi\}$  be normal in  $\Delta$ , where  $\Phi = \{\phi: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta, \phi \text{ is conformal mapping}\}$ . Let  $f$  be a function meromorphic in  $\Delta$  such that  $f(z) \neq g_i(z)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then  $f$  is a normal function.*

<sup>☆</sup> The first author is supported by NNSF of China (Grant Nos. 10871094; 11171045).

E-mail addresses: xuyan@njnu.edu.cn (Y. Xu), qiuhuiling1304@sina.com (H. Qiu).

Lappan [1] also pointed out that the hypothesis that the functions  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  avoid each other *uniformly* is necessary. However, when the functions  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$ , and  $g_3$  are all meromorphic functions in the unit disc  $\Delta$  and continuous on the closure of  $\Delta$ , we need these functions to avoid each other only at each point of the unit circle, but not necessarily on  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function in the unit disc  $\Delta$ ,  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_3$  be three functions meromorphic in  $\Delta$  and continuous on closure of  $\Delta$  such that  $\varphi_i(z) \neq \varphi_j(z)$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ ) on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ . If  $f(z) \neq \varphi_i(z)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in  $\Delta$ , then  $f$  is normal.*

Clearly, Theorem 1 extends Theorem A.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

To prove our result, we need the following result due to Lohwater and Pommerenke:

**Lohwater–Pommerenke Theorem.** (See [3].) *A function  $f$  meromorphic in the unit disc  $\Delta$  is a normal function if and only if there do not exist sequences  $\{z_n\}$  and  $\{\rho_n\}$  with  $z_n \in \Delta$ , and  $\rho_n > 0$ ,  $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\{g_n(z) = f(z_n + \rho_n z)\}$  converges uniformly on each compact subset of the complex plane to a function  $g(z)$ , where  $g(z)$  is a non-constant meromorphic function.*

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Assume that  $f$ ,  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$ , and  $\varphi_3$  satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem and that  $f$  is not a normal function. Then, by Lohwater–Pommerenke Theorem, there exist sequences  $\{z_n\}$  and  $\{\rho_n\}$ , with  $z_n \in \Delta$  and  $\rho_n > 0$ ,  $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$  such that the sequence  $\{g_n(z) = f(z_n + \rho_n z)\}$  converges uniformly on each compact subset of the complex plane to a function  $g(z)$ , where  $g(z)$  is a non-constant meromorphic function. By taking a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that  $z_n \rightarrow z_0 \in \bar{\Delta}$ , the closure of  $\Delta$ . If  $z_0 \in \Delta$ , then  $z_n + \rho_n z \rightarrow z_0$  for each complex number  $z$ , and  $g_n(z) = f(z_n + \rho_n z) \rightarrow f(z_0)$ , which would mean  $g(z) \equiv f(z_0)$ , violating the assumption that  $g$  is a non-constant function. Thus, we must have that  $|z_0| = 1$ .

Fix  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , assume that  $\varphi_i(z_0) \neq \infty$ , and let

$$h_n(z) = f(z_n + \rho_n z) - \varphi_i(z_n + \rho_n z).$$

Then  $h_n(z) \rightarrow g(z) - \varphi_i(z_0)$  uniformly on each compact subset of the plane. Since  $f(z) - \varphi_i(z)$  is assumed to be never zero, it follows from a well-known theorem of Hurwitz that either  $g(z) - \varphi_i(z_0) \equiv 0$  or  $g(z) - \varphi_i(z_0)$  is never zero. But  $g(z) - \varphi_i(z_0) \equiv 0$  means that  $g(z)$  is a constant function, violating the assumption that it is not. Thus, it follows that  $g(z)$  never assumes the value  $\varphi_i(z_0)$ .

If  $\varphi_i(z_0) = \infty$ , then we can take

$$h_n^*(z) = \frac{1}{f(z_n + \rho_n z)} - \frac{1}{\varphi_i(z_n + \rho_n z)}$$

and use the same argument (using  $h^*$  in place of  $h$ ) to conclude that  $1/g(z)$  does not assume the value 0, which means that  $g(z)$  does not assume the value  $\infty = \varphi_i(z_0)$ .

This same argument can be applied to each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , so we know that the non-constant meromorphic function  $g$  avoids the three distinct values  $\varphi_1(z_0)$ ,  $\varphi_2(z_0)$  and  $\varphi_3(z_0)$ . But this violates Picard's theorem. Thus the assumption that  $f$  is not a normal function is untenable, and we conclude that  $f$  is a normal function.  $\square$

## 3. A remark

Using the argument above and Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorems (see [5]), we obtain the following more general result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function in the unit disc  $\Delta$ ,  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_3$  be three functions meromorphic in  $\Delta$  and continuous on closure of  $\Delta$  such that  $\varphi_i(z) \neq \varphi_j(z)$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ ) on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ , and let  $l_1, l_2$  and  $l_3$  be positive integers or  $\infty$  with  $1/l_1 + 1/l_2 + 1/l_3 < 1$ . If all zeros of  $f(z) - \varphi_i(z)$  have multiplicity at least  $l_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  in  $\Delta$ , then  $f$  is normal.*

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions made to this paper.

## References

- [1] P. Lappan, Avoidance criteria for normal families and normal functions, in: Progress in Analysis, Vol. I, II, Berlin, 2001, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003, pp. 221–228.
- [2] O. Lehto, K.I. Virtanen, Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions, Acta Math. 97 (1957) 47–65.
- [3] A.J. Lohwater, Ch. Pommerenke, On normal meromorphic functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 550 (1973), 12 pp.
- [4] J. Schiff, Normal Families, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1993.
- [5] L. Yang, Value Distribution Theory, Springer-Verlag & Science Press, Berlin, 1993.