



Mathematical Analysis

Self-similar sets with initial cubic patterns

Ensembles auto-similaires avec motifs initiaux cubiques

Li-Feng Xi^a, Ying Xiong^b^a Institute of Mathematics, Zhejiang Wanli University, Ningbo 315100, PR China^b Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 August 2009

Accepted 30 November 2009

Available online 24 December 2009

Presented by Jean-Pierre Kahane

ABSTRACT

For $A \subset \{0, \dots, n-1\}^m$, let E_A be the unique nonempty compact subset of \mathbb{R}^m such that $E_A = \bigcup_{a \in A} (\frac{1}{n}E_A + \frac{a}{n})$. We show that two such self-similar sets E_A and E_B (for $A, B \subset \{0, \dots, n-1\}^m$), supposed to be totally disconnected, are Lipschitz equivalent if and only if $\#A = \#B$.

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Si $A \subset \{0, \dots, n-1\}^m$, soit E_A l'unique compact non vide de \mathbb{R}^m tel que $E_A = \bigcup_{a \in A} (\frac{1}{n}E_A + \frac{a}{n})$. Nous montrons que deux tels ensembles auto-similaires totalement discontinus E_A et E_B (avec $A, B \subset \{0, \dots, n-1\}^m$) sont lipschitziennement équivalents si et seulement si $\#A = \#B$.

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Version française abrégée

Deux espaces métriques (X_1, d_1) et (X_2, d_2) sont dits *lipschitziennement équivalents*, et l'on écrira alors $X_1 \simeq X_2$, s'il existe une bijection $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ et une constante $C > 0$ telles que pour tous $u, v \in X_1$, $C^{-1}d_1(u, v) \leq d_2(f(u), f(v)) \leq Cd_1(u, v)$.

Étant donné un entier $n \geq 2$ et un ensemble $A \subset \{0, \dots, n-1\}^m$, nous dirons que

$$E_A = \bigcup_{a \in A} \left(\frac{1}{n}E_A + \frac{a}{n} \right) \quad (1)$$

est l'ensemble auto-similaire déterminé par le motif initial $\{\frac{1}{n}[0, 1]^m + \frac{a}{n}\}_{a \in A}$.

Nous étudions ici l'équivalence lipschitzienne des ensembles auto-similaires du type précédent. L'équivalence lipschitzienne d'ensembles auto-similaires a été intensément étudiée (par exemple [1–4,6–9]). En particulier, pour $m = 1$, $n = 5$ et $A_1 = \{0, 2, 4\}$, $A_2 = \{0, 3, 4\}$, il a été démontré [6] que $E_{A_1} \simeq E_{A_2}$, ce qui répond à une question de David et Semmes [2, Problème 11.16]. Le théorème suivant généralise le résultat de [6], qui se place dans le cas $m = 1$:

Théorème 0.1. Soit $A, B \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^m$ tels que les ensembles auto-similaires correspondants E_A et E_B soient totalement discontinus. Alors $E_A \simeq E_B$ si et seulement si $\#A = \#B$.

E-mail addresses: xilifengningbo@yahoo.com (L.-F. Xi), xiongyng@gmail.com (Y. Xiong).

De plus, nous avons :

Théorème 0.2. Soit $C_1 \subset \{0, 1, \dots, (n_1 - 1)\}^{m_1}$, $C_2 \subset \{0, 1, \dots, (n_2 - 1)\}^{m_2}$ et les ensembles auto-similaires $F_{C_1} = \bigcup_{c_1 \in C_1} (\frac{1}{n_1} F_{C_1} + \frac{c_1}{n_1}) (\subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1})$ et $F_{C_2} = \bigcup_{c_2 \in C_2} (\frac{1}{n_2} F_{C_1} + \frac{c_2}{n_2}) (\subset \mathbb{R}^{m_2})$ supposés tous deux totalement discontinus. Alors $F_{C_1} \simeq F_{C_2}$ si et seulement s'il existe $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ tels que $n_1^{k_1} = n_2^{k_2}$ et $(\#C_1)^{k_1} = (\#C_2)^{k_2}$.

Si $a \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, on pose $S_a(x) = (x + a)/n$. On pose aussi $S_{a_1 \dots a_k} = S_{a_1} \circ S_{a_2} \circ \dots \circ S_{a_k}$. Pour tout entier $t \geq 1$, posons $\Psi_t = \bigcup_{a_1 \dots a_t \in A^t} (S_{a_1 \dots a_t} [0, 1]^m)$.

Définition 0.3. On dit que E_A est de type fini, s'il existe un entier M tel que pour tout entier k , chaque composante connexe de Ψ_k contient au plus M cubes de taille n^{-k} .

Avec ces notions, nous avons le théorème suivant dont le Théorème 0.1 est un cas particulier :

Théorème 0.4. Soit $A \subsetneq \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}^m$ et $E_A = \bigcup_{a \in A} (\frac{1}{n} E_A + \frac{a}{n}) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ un ensemble auto-similaire. Alors les conditions suivantes sont équivalentes :

- (i) E_A est totalement discontinu ;
- (ii) E_A est de type fini ;
- (iii) E_A et $\Sigma_{n, \#A}$ sont lipschitzienement équivalents, où $\Sigma_{n, \#A}$ désigne le système symbolique $\{1, \dots, \#A\}^\infty$ muni de la métrique $d_{n, \#A}(x_1 x_2 \dots, y_1 y_2 \dots) = n^{-\min\{k | x_k \neq y_k\}}$.

1. Introduction

Two metric spaces (X_1, d_1) and (X_2, d_2) are said to be *Lipschitz equivalent*, denoted by $X_1 \simeq X_2$, if there exists a bijection $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $u, v \in X_1$, $C^{-1} d_1(u, v) \leq d_2(f(u), f(v)) \leq C d_1(u, v)$.

Given an integer $n \geq 2$ and a set $A \subset \{0, \dots, n - 1\}^m$, the unique compact subset of \mathbb{R}^m such that

$$E_A = \bigcup_{a \in A} \left(\frac{1}{n} E_A + \frac{a}{n} \right) \quad (2)$$

will be called, self-similar set with initial cubic pattern $\{\frac{1}{n} [0, 1]^m + \frac{a}{n}\}_{a \in A}$.

Here, we study the Lipschitz equivalence of self-similar sets with initial cubic patterns. The Lipschitz equivalence between self-similar sets has been extensively studied ([1–4, 6–9]...). In particular, for $m = 1$, $n = 5$, and $A_1 = \{0, 2, 4\}$, $A_2 = \{0, 3, 4\}$, it is proved [6] that $E_{A_1} \simeq E_{A_2}$, which answers a question [2, Problem 11.16] by David and Semmes about the Lipschitz equivalence of self-similar sets with different "patterns" (or "rules" in Sections 2.5, 11.7 and Chapter 13 of [2]). The following Theorem 1.1 extends the result of [6], which takes place in \mathbb{R} :

Theorem 1.1. Let A and B be subsets of $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}^m$ such that the corresponding self-similar sets E_A and E_B are totally disconnected. Then $E_A \simeq E_B$ if and only if $\#A = \#B$.

With a little more effort, we can get

Theorem 1.2. Let $C_1 \subset \{0, 1, \dots, (n_1 - 1)\}^{m_1}$, $C_2 \subset \{0, 1, \dots, (n_2 - 1)\}^{m_2}$ and suppose that both

$$F_{C_1} = \bigcup_{c_1 \in C_1} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} F_{C_1} + \frac{c_1}{n_1} \right) (\subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{C_2} = \bigcup_{c_2 \in C_2} \left(\frac{1}{n_2} F_{C_1} + \frac{c_2}{n_2} \right) (\subset \mathbb{R}^{m_2})$$

are totally disconnected. Then $F_{C_1} \simeq F_{C_2}$ if and only if there are $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1^{k_1} = n_2^{k_2}$ and $(\#C_1)^{k_1} = (\#C_2)^{k_2}$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Symbolic system and graph-directed sets

Let $\Sigma_{n,l}$ be the set $\{1, \dots, l\}^\infty$ ($n, l \geq 2$) with the metric $d_{n,l}(x_1 x_2 \dots, y_1 y_2 \dots) = n^{-\min\{k | x_k \neq y_k\}}$. The next lemma follows from [1, 4] or Proposition 11.8 of [2]:

Lemma 2.1. $(\Sigma_{n_1, l_1}, d_{n_1, l_1}) \simeq (\Sigma_{n_2, l_2}, d_{n_2, l_2})$ if and only if there are $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1^{k_1} = n_2^{k_2}$ and $l_1^{k_1} = l_2^{k_2}$.

We recall the notion “graph directed sets” [5]. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $G = (V, \Gamma)$ a directed graph such that, for each edge $e \in \Gamma$, there is a corresponding similarity $T_e : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ with ratio $r_e < 1$ (i.e., $d(T_e x_1, T_e x_2) = r_e d(x_1, x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$). Assume that for each vertex $j \in V$, there is at least one edge starting from j . Then there is a unique family $\{K_i\}_{i \in V}$ of nonempty compact subsets of X such that for any $i \in V$,

$$K_i = \bigcup_{j \in V} \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}_{i,j}} T_e(K_j), \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ is the set of edges linking i to j . If (3) is a disjoint union for each $i \in V$, we say that $\{K_i\}_{i \in V}$ are dust-like graph-directed sets on (V, Γ) . Theorem 2.1 of [6] yields the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $\{K_i\}_{i \in V}$ and $\{K'_i\}_{i \in V}$ are dust-like graph-directed sets on (V, Γ) satisfying (3) and $K'_i = \bigcup_{j \in V} \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}_{i,j}} T'_e(K'_j)$, where $T'_e : (X', d') \rightarrow (X', d')$ for some compact metric space (X', d') . If for each $e \in \Gamma$ the corresponding similarities T_e and T'_e have the same ratio ρ_e , then $K_i \simeq K'_i$ for each $i \in V$.*

2.2. Connectedness

For $a \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, set $S_a(x) = (x + a)/n$. Write $S_{a_1 \dots a_k} = S_{a_1} \circ S_{a_2} \circ \dots \circ S_{a_k}$. The Hausdorff distance between two subsets E and F of \mathbb{R}^m is defined to be $d_H(E, F) = \max[\sup_{x \in E} d(x, F), \sup_{y \in F} d(E, y)]$.

Due to compactness, we get the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $\{X_k\}_k$ are connected compact subsets of $[-r, r]^m$ ($r > 0$). Then there exist a subsequence $\{k_i\}_i$ and a connected compact set X such that $X_{k_i} \xrightarrow{d_H} X$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^t$ be totally disconnected compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^m . Then $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^t Y_i$ is totally disconnected.*

For every subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, let $\text{int}(X)$ and ∂X denote its interior and boundary respectively. Considering the unit cube $[0, 1]^m$ and its neighbors of the same size, we have

$$[-1, 2]^m = \bigcup_{h \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^m} ([0, 1]^m + h).$$

Set

$$\Xi_k = \bigcup_{h \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^m} \left(\bigcup_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k \in A^k} S_{a_1 \dots a_k}([0, 1]^m) + h \right). \tag{4}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that E_A is totally disconnected. Then there exists an integer k such that for any connected component χ of Ξ_k with $\chi \cap [0, 1]^m \neq \emptyset$, χ is contained in $(-1, 2)^m$.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that for any k there are connected components $\chi_k \subset \Xi_k$ and points $x_k \in [0, 1]^m \cap \chi_k$ and $y_k \in \partial[-1, 2]^m \cap \chi_k$ with $h \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^m$. By Lemma 2.3, we can take a subsequence $\{k_i\}_i$ such that $x_{k_i} \rightarrow x^* \in [0, 1]^m$, $y_{k_i} \rightarrow y^* \in \partial[-1, 2]^m$, and $\chi_{k_i} \xrightarrow{d_H} \Gamma$ for some connected and compact set Γ with $\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{h \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^m} (E_A + h)$, $x^* \in \Gamma \cap [0, 1]^m$, and $y^* \in \Gamma \cap \partial[-1, 2]^m$. However $\bigcup_{h \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^m} (E_A + h)$ is totally disconnected by Lemma 2.4. This is a contradiction. \square

2.3. Finite type

For any integer $t \geq 1$, set $\Psi_t = \bigcup_{a_1 \dots a_t \in A^t} (S_{a_1 \dots a_t} [0, 1]^m)$.

Definition 2.6. We say E_A is of finite type if there is an integer M such that for every integer k , any connected component of Ψ_k contains at most M cubes of side n^{-k} .

Remark 1. Here is an equivalent definition of the finite type property: E_A is of finite type, if there are positive integers M_0 and k_0 such that for every integer k , any connected component of Ψ_{kk_0} contains at most M_0 cubes of side n^{-kk_0} .

In this subsection, we always assume that E_A is of finite type. Fix an integer k^* large enough so that

$$(\#A)^{k^*} > M^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\sqrt{m}M)n^{-k^*} < 1/3. \tag{5}$$

Then for any connected component χ of Ψ_{k^*} , $\text{diam}(\chi) \leq (\sqrt{m}M)n^{-k^*} < 1/3$. For any vertex $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m$ of $[0, 1]^m$, set $\Lambda_z = \{(y_1, \dots, y_m): y_i = 1 - z_i \text{ for some } i\}$ and

$$\Delta_{z,k^*} = \{\chi: \chi \text{ is a connected component of } \Psi_{k^*} \text{ and } \chi \cap \Lambda_z = \emptyset\}.$$

For any connected component χ of Ψ_{k^*} and any $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, m]$, since $\text{diam}(\chi) < 1/3$, the set χ intersects at most one of the following sets $\{(y_1, \dots, y_m): y_i = 1\}$ and $\{(y_1, \dots, y_m): y_i = 0\}$, i.e., $\chi \in \Delta_{z,k^*}$ for some $z \in \{0, 1\}^m$. That means the set $\bigcup_{z \in \{0, 1\}^m} \Delta_{z,k^*}$ consists of all connected components of Ψ_{k^*} . Taking subset $\bar{\Delta}_{z,k^*}$ of Δ_{z,k^*} , such that

$$\Psi_{k^*} = \bigcup_{z \in \{0, 1\}^m} \bigcup_{\chi \in \bar{\Delta}_{z,k^*}} \chi \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Delta}_{z,k^*} \cap \bar{\Delta}_{z',k^*} = \emptyset \tag{6}$$

for $z \neq z' \in \{0, 1\}^m$. Here Δ_{z,k^*} and $\bar{\Delta}_{z,k^*}$ may be empty.

We call $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$ a *type*, if $\bigcup_{d \in D} ([0, 1]^m + d)$ is connected. We say D_1 and D_2 are equivalent, denoted by $D_1 \sim D_2$, if there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $D_1 = D_2 + z$. We say that one type D_3 is generated by type D_4 , if there exist $z \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and an integer $l \geq 1$ such that $\bigcup_{d \in D_3} ([0, 1]^m + d)$ is a connected component of $\bigcup_{d \in D_4} [\Psi_{lk^*} + d]/n^{-lk^*} + z$.

If E_A is of finite type, then there are finitely many types $\{D_1, \dots, D_{N(A)}\}$, with $N(A) \leq 2^{\lfloor (2M)^m \rfloor}$, generated by type $D_0 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and such that $D_i \sim D_j$ for any $i \neq j$. Given $D_i \in \{D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{N(A)}\}$ and $z \in \{0, 1\}^m$, we fix a point $d_{z,i} \in D_i$ such that $d_{z,i} + z$ is an *extreme vertex* of $\bigcup_{d \in D_i} ([0, 1]^m + d)$. Here the phrase *extreme vertex* means that if $d_{z,i} + z \in [0, 1]^m + d'$ with $d' \in D_i$, then $d' = d_{z,i}$. Set

$$G_i = \bigcup_{z \in \{0, 1\}^m} \left(\bigcup_{\chi \in \bar{\Delta}_{z,k^*}} \chi + d_{z,i} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad H_i = \left[\bigcup_{d \in D_i} (\Psi_{k^*} + d) \right] \setminus G_i. \tag{7}$$

2.4. Combinatorial lemma

Lemma 2.7. *Let p, q , and $\{l_i\}_{i \in \Omega}$ be positive integers with $\sum_{i \in \Omega} l_i = pq$. Suppose there exists an integer $r < p$ such that $l_i \leq r$ for all $i \in \Omega$ and $\#\{i: l_i = 1\} \geq rq$. Then there is a decomposition $\Omega = \bigcup_{s=1}^q \Omega_s$ such that for every $1 \leq s \leq q$, $\sum_{i \in \Omega_s} l_i = p$.*

Proof. It is trivial for $q = 1$. Suppose this inductive assumption on q is true for $q = 1, \dots, (k - 1)$.

Set $q = k$. Take $\Theta \subset \{i: l_i = 1\}$ with $\#\Theta = rk$ and select a maximal subset Δ_1 of $\Omega \setminus \Theta$ such that $\sum_{i \in \Delta_1} l_i < p$, we conclude that $\sum_{i \in \Delta_1} l_i \geq p - r$. Otherwise, $\sum_{i \in \Delta_1} l_i < p - r$, take $i_0 \in (\Omega \setminus \Delta_1) \setminus \Theta$, then $\sum_{i \in \Delta_1 \cup \{i_0\}} l_i < (p - r) + r \leq p$, which contradicts the maximality of Δ_1 . Now, $p - r \leq \sum_{i \in \Delta_1} l_i < p$. Choose subset Θ_1 of Θ such that $\#\Theta_1 = p - \sum_{i \in \Delta_1} l_i \leq r$. Set $\Omega_1 = \Delta_1 \cup \Theta_1$, then $\sum_{i \in \Omega_1} l_i = p$. Applying inductive assumption on $(k - 1)$ to $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \Omega_1$ and $\#\{i \in \Omega': l_i = 1\} \geq r(k - 1)$, we get a decomposition $\Omega' = \bigcup_{s=2}^q \Omega_s$ with $\sum_{i \in \Omega_s} l_i = p$ ($s \geq 2$). Therefore, the assumption for $q = k$ is true. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In fact, Theorem 1.1 is a consequence to the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}^m$ with cardinality $\#A < n^m$. Let $E_A = \bigcup_{a \in A} (\frac{1}{n} E_A + \frac{a}{n})$ be the corresponding self-similar set in \mathbb{R}^m . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) E_A is totally disconnected;
- (ii) E_A is of finite type;
- (iii) E_A and $\Sigma_{n, \#A}$ are Lipschitz equivalent.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): By Lemma 2.4, there exists an integer k_0 such that for any connected component χ of Ξ_{k_0} with $\chi \cap [0, 1]^m \neq \emptyset$, χ is contained in $(-1, 2)^m$. This means that, for all integer $k \geq 1$, any connected component of $\Psi_{(k+1)k_0}$ contains at most $(3n^{k_0})^m$ cubes of side $n^{-(k+1)k_0}$. By Remark 1, E_A is of finite type.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let k^* be the integer defined in Section 2.3. Since $\Sigma_{n, \#A} \simeq \Sigma_{n^{k^*}, (\#A)^{k^*}}$, it suffices to show that $E_A \simeq \Sigma_{n^{k^*}, (\#A)^{k^*}}$.

Suppose there are finitely many types $\{D_0, \dots, D_{N(A)}\}$. For any connected component χ of Ψ_{k^*} , there is a set $D(\chi) \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $\chi = \frac{1}{n^{k^*}} \bigcup_{d \in D(\chi)} ([0, 1]^m + d)$. By replacing $[0, 1]^m$ by E_A in (7), we define \bar{G}_i in analogy to G_i :

$$\bar{G}_i = \bigcup_{z \in \{0, 1\}^m} \left[\frac{1}{n^{k^*}} \bigcup_{\chi \in \bar{\Delta}_{z,k^*}} \bigcup_{d \in D(\chi)} (E_A + d) + d_{z,i} \right]. \tag{8}$$

We also set $\bar{H}_i = [\bigcup_{d \in D_i} (E_A + d)] \setminus \bar{G}_i$. Then for every type D_i , we get a compact set

$$F_i = \bigcup_{d \in D_i} (E_A + d) = \bar{G}_i \cup \bar{H}_i. \tag{9}$$

Set $\lambda(\bar{G}_i) = 1$ and $\lambda(\bar{H}_i) = \#D_i - 1$ for each i . Then $\lambda(\bar{G}_i), \lambda(\bar{H}_i) \leq M$.

Set $\bar{K} \in \{\bar{G}_0, \dots, \bar{G}_{N(A)}\} \cup \{\bar{H}_1, \dots, \bar{H}_{N(A)}\}$. Now, for $\bar{K} = \bar{G}_i$ or \bar{H}_i , we set $K = G_i$ or H_i respectively. Then \bar{K} consists of $\lambda(\bar{K})(\#A)^{k^*}$ small copies of E_A with ratio n^{-k^*} . Therefore, in the same way, K has at least $\lambda(\bar{K})(\#A)^{k^*}/M$ connected components, where each connected component contains at most M cubes of side n^{-k^*} . Every connected component can be written as $\frac{1}{n^{k^*}}[z + \bigcup_{d \in D_j} ([0, 1]^m + d)]$ with type D_j in $\{D_0, \dots, D_{N(A)}\}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. It follows from (9) that

$$\frac{1}{n^{k^*}} \left[z + \bigcup_{d \in D_j} (E_A + d) \right] = \frac{1}{n^{k^*}} [z + \bar{G}_j] \cup \frac{1}{n^{k^*}} [z + \bar{H}_j]. \tag{10}$$

This means that \bar{K} contains at least $\lambda(\bar{K})(\#A)^{k^*}/M$ pairwise disjoint parts of the form $\frac{1}{n^{k^*}}[z + \bar{G}_j]$ or $\frac{1}{n^{k^*}}[z + \bar{H}_j]$. Here by (5),

$$\lambda(\bar{K})(\#A)^{k^*}/M \geq \lambda(\bar{K})M. \tag{11}$$

By setting $q = \lambda(\bar{K})$, $p = (\#A)^{k^*}$ and $r = M < p$ and applying (11) to Lemma 2.7, we get the decomposition

$$\bar{K} = \bar{K}_1 \cup \bar{K}_2 \cup \dots \cup \bar{K}_{\lambda(\bar{K})}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\bar{K}_i = \frac{1}{n^{k^*}} \bigcup_{s \in \Omega(K, i)} [z_s + L_s] \tag{13}$$

with $z_s \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $L_s \in \{\bar{G}_0, \dots, \bar{G}_{N(A)}\} \cup \{\bar{H}_1, \dots, \bar{H}_{N(A)}\}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{s \in \Omega(K, i)} \lambda(L_s) = (\#A)^{k^*}. \tag{14}$$

Therefore, $\{\bar{G}_0, \dots, \bar{G}_{N(A)}\} \cup \{\bar{H}_1, \dots, \bar{H}_{N(A)}\}$ are dust-like graph-directed sets satisfying (12)–(14).

For integers α, β with $1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq (\#A)^{k^*}$, a subset Σ_α^β of $\Sigma_{n^{k^*}, (\#A)^{k^*}}$ is defined by $\Sigma_\alpha^\beta = \{x_1 x_2 \dots : x_1 \in \mathbb{N} \cap [\alpha, \beta]\}$. Take $\gamma * \Sigma_\alpha^\beta = \{x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots : x_1 = \gamma \text{ and } x_2 x_3 \dots \in \Sigma_\alpha^\beta\}$. Then there is a natural similitude from Σ_α^β to $\gamma * \Sigma_\alpha^\beta$ with ratio n^{-k^*} . Note that

$$\Sigma_1^{\beta-\alpha+1}, \Sigma_\alpha^\beta \text{ are isometric, } \Sigma_1^\alpha = \Sigma_1^1 \cup \Sigma_2^2 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma_\alpha^\alpha, \tag{15}$$

and for a sequence $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\}$ with $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_t = (\#A)^{k^*}$,

$$\Sigma_1^1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^t (1 * \Sigma_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{j-1} + 1}^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_j}) \tag{16}$$

where there is a natural similitude from $\Sigma_1^{\lambda_j}$ to $1 * \Sigma_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{j-1} + 1}^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_j}$ with ratio n^{-k^*} .

By (15)–(16), we obtain that

$$\overbrace{\{\Sigma_1^1, \dots, \Sigma_1^1\}}^{N(A)+1} \cup \{\Sigma_1^{\lambda(\bar{H}_1)}, \Sigma_1^{\lambda(\bar{H}_2)}, \dots, \Sigma_1^{\lambda(\bar{H}_{N(A)})}\}$$

are dust-like graph-directed sets on the graph determined by (12)–(14) and each similitude has ratio n^{-k^*} . Here $\Sigma_1^{\lambda(\bar{G}_i)} = \Sigma_1^1$ for all $0 \leq i \leq N(A)$.

By Lemma 2.2, $\bar{G}_0 (= E_A)$ and Σ_1^1 are Lipschitz equivalent. Thus E_A and $\Sigma_{n^{k^*}, (\#A)^{k^*}}$ are Lipschitz equivalent.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): This is obvious. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. This theorem follows from Lemma 2.1 and (iii) of Theorem 3.1. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Professor Zhi-Ying Wen for his helpful comments.

References

- [1] D. Cooper, T. Pignataro, On the shape of Cantor sets, *J. Differential Geom.* 28 (1988) 203–221.
- [2] G. David, S. Semmes, *Fractured Fractals and Broken Dreams: Self-Similar Geometry through Metric and Measure*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1997.
- [3] K.J. Falconer, D.T. Marsh, Classification of quasi-circles by Hausdorff dimension, *Nonlinearity* 2 (1989) 489–493.
- [4] K.J. Falconer, D.T. Marsh, On the Lipschitz equivalence of Cantor sets, *Mathematika* 39 (1992) 223–233.
- [5] R.D. Mauldin, S.C. Williams, Hausdorff dimension in graph directed constructions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 309 (1988) 811–829.
- [6] H. Rao, H.-J. Ruan, L.-F. Xi, Lipschitz equivalence of self-similar sets, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 342 (2006) 191–196.
- [7] Z.-Y. Wen, L.-F. Xi, Relations among Whitney sets, self-similar arcs and quasi-arcs, *Israel J. Math.* 136 (2003) 251–267.
- [8] L.-F. Xi, Lipschitz equivalence of self-conformal sets, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 70 (2004) 369–382.
- [9] L.-F. Xi, Quasi-Lipschitz equivalence of fractals, *Israel J. Math.* 160 (2007) 1–21.