

Partial Differential Equations

$W^{1,N}$ versus C^1 local minimizers for elliptic functionals with critical growth in \mathbb{R}^N

Jacques Giacomoni^a, S. Prashanth^b, K. Sreenadh^c

^a Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, B.P. 576, 64012 Pau cedex, France

^b T.I.F.R. CAM, P.B. No. 6503, Sharadanagar, Chikkabommasandra, Bangalore 560065, India

^c Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-16, India

Received 2 September 2008; accepted after revision 12 January 2009

Available online 25 February 2009

Presented by Haïm Brezis

Abstract

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded smooth domain, $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Caratheodory function with $sf(x, s) \geq 0 \forall (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x, s)| \leq C(1 + |s|)^p e^{|s|^{N/(N-1)}}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$, for some $C > 0$. Consider the functional $J : W^{1,N}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Ω defined as

$$J(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_{W^{1,N}(\Omega)}^N - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) - \frac{1}{q+1} \|u\|_{L^{q+1}(\partial\Omega)}^{q+1},$$

where $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds$ and $q > 0$. We show that if $u_0 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is a local minimum of J in the $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ topology, then it is also a local minimum of J in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$ topology. **To cite this article:** J. Giacomoni et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Minima locaux relatifs à C^1 et à $W^{1,N}$. Soit Ω un ouvert borné régulier de \mathbb{R}^N , $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ une fonction de Caratheodory vérifiant $sf(x, s) \geq 0 \forall (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ et $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x, s)| \leq C(1 + |s|)^p e^{|s|^{N/(N-1)}}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ et pour une constante $C > 0$. Considérons la fonctionnelle $J : W^{1,N}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, définie par

$$J(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_{W^{1,N}(\Omega)}^N - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) - \frac{1}{q+1} \|u\|_{L^{q+1}(\partial\Omega)}^{q+1}$$

avec $F(x, u) = \int_0^u sf(x, s) ds$ et $q > 0$. Nous démontrons que si $u_0 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ est un minimiseur local de J dans $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, alors il est aussi un minimiseur local de J dans $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$. **Pour citer cet article :** J. Giacomoni et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: jgiacomo@univ-pau.fr (J. Giacomoni), pras@math.tifrbng.res.in (S. Prashanth), sreenadh@maths.iitd.ernet.in (K. Sreenadh).

Version française abrégée

Soit $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, avec $N \geq 2$, un ouvert borné régulier. Soit $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ une fonction de Caratheodory satisfaisant :

- (f1) Il existe $p > 1$ tel que $|f(x, s)| \leq C(1 + |s|)^p e^{|s|^{N/(N-1)}}$ pour tout $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ et pour une certaine constante $C > 0$,
- (f2) $sf(x, s) \geq 0$ pour tout $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Soit $F(x, u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^u f(x, s) ds$ et $q > 0$. On considère la fonctionnelle $J : W^{1,N}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ définie par (1). L'objectif de la présente Note est de démontrer le théorème suivant :

Théorème 0.1. *Soit $u_0 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ un minimiseur local de J dans la topologie $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, ce qui signifie que*

$$\exists \delta > 0 \quad \text{tel que} \quad \|u - u_0\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})} < \delta \Rightarrow J(u_0) \leq J(u).$$

Alors u_0 est aussi un minimiseur local de J dans la topologie $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$.

Pour prouver le résultat précédent, nous avons besoin d'estimations uniformes dans L^∞ pour une famille de solutions du problème (P_ϵ) (défini dans la section suivante). Précisément, nous utilisons le résultat suivant que nous démontrons dans la section 3 :

Théorème 0.2. *Soit $\{u_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in (0,1)}$ une famille de solutions de (P_ϵ) et u_0 une solution de (3). Soit $\theta > 1$ tel que $\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} (\|f(x, u_\epsilon)\|_{L^\theta(\Omega)} + \|u_\epsilon\|_{W^{1,N}(\Omega)}) < \infty$. Alors, $\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty$.*

Un ingrédient important dans la preuve de ce résultat est l'inégalité de Trudinger–Moser rappelée en (4).

1. Introduction and main results

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$ be a bounded smooth domain. Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Caratheodory function satisfying:

- (f1) There exists $p > 1$ such that $|f(x, s)| \leq C(1 + |s|)^p e^{|s|^{N/(N-1)}}$ for all $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ for some $C > 0$,
- (f2) $sf(x, s) \geq 0$ for all $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let $F(x, u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^u f(x, s) ds$ and $q > 0$. We consider the functional $J : W^{1,N}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$J(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_{W^{1,N}(\Omega)}^N - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) - \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{q+1}. \quad (1)$$

Our aim in this Note is to show the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $u_0 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ be a local minimizer of J in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ topology. That is,*

$$\exists \delta > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad \|u - u_0\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})} < \delta \Rightarrow J(u_0) \leq J(u). \quad (2)$$

Then u_0 is a local minimum of J in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$ topology also.

We remark here that the above theorem is valid when J is restricted to the subspace $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$. That is, any C^1 local minimiser of such a J will be a local minimiser in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$. The proof of this case is very similar, in fact simpler, to the proof given below. Also, essentially the same proof goes through when we replace the $|u|^{q+1}$ term in J by a more general boundary term $h(x, u)$ that has similar asymptotic behaviour.

Let $u_0 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ solve

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u_0 + |u_0|^{N-2} u_0 = f(x, u_0) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u_0|^{N-2} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} = |u_0|^{q-1} u_0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

For proving the above theorem, we will need uniform L^∞ -estimates for a family of solutions to (P_ϵ) (see Section 2) as below.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{u_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in (0,1)}$ be a family of solutions to (P_ϵ) , where u_0 solves (3). Let $\theta > 1$ be such that $\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} (\|f(x, u_\epsilon)\|_{L^\theta(\Omega)} + \|u_\epsilon\|_{W^{1,N}(\Omega)}) < \infty$. Then, $\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty$.*

An important ingredient in our proof is the following Trudinger–Moser type inequality (see [5,6]):

$$\sup \left\{ \alpha \left| \sup_{\|u\|_{W^{1,N}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha|u|^{N/(N-1)}} < \infty \right. \right\} = \frac{N}{2} w_{N-1}^{1/(N-1)}, \quad w_{N-1} = \text{Volume}(S^{N-1}). \quad (4)$$

Theorem 1.1 was proved first in [2] for the case of critical growth functionals $J : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$, and later for critical growth functionals $J : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 < p < N$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$ in [3]. A key feature of the latter work is the uniform $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimate they obtain for equations like (P_ϵ) but involving two p -Laplace operators. Using constraints based on L^p -norms rather than Sobolev norms as in [3], the equations for which uniform estimates required can be simplified to a standard type involving only one p -Laplace operator. This approach was followed in [4] which is also adopted in this work. We remark that such “Sobolev versus C^1 local minimizers” results find application in proving existence of at least two positive solutions to “concave-convex” type problems (see [1–4,7,8]). Indeed, in a forthcoming article, we use Theorem 1.1 to prove multiplicity of positive solutions to critical growth problems with co-normal boundary conditions.

2. Sobolev versus C^1 local minimizers

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Clearly, u_0 is a local minimum of J in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ (resp. $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$) if and only if 0 is a local minimizer of the functional $J(\cdot + u_0)$ in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ (resp. $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$). Hence it is enough to show, assuming that 0 is a local minimizer of $J(\cdot + u_0)$ in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ that 0 is also a local minimizer of $J(\cdot + u_0)$ in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$. We prove this statement by a contradiction argument. Suppose 0 is not a local minimizer of $J(\cdot + u_0)$ in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset W^{1,N}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|v_n\|_{W^{1,N}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad J(u_0 + v_n) < J(u_0) \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

Let $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $G(s) = |s|^{p+1} e^{2s^{N/(N-1)}}$. We define the following constraint for each $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\mathcal{C}_\epsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega) : K(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|G(u)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^{\alpha+1}(\partial\Omega)}^{\alpha+1} \leq \epsilon\}, \quad \alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{p, q\}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, $K(v_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, thanks to properties in (5) and the Moser–Trudinger embedding (4). This shows that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v_n \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ for $n \geq N_\epsilon$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon \neq \emptyset \forall \epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Clearly, the following coercivity property of J holds on \mathcal{C}_ϵ :

$$J(u + u_0) \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u + u_0)|^N + |u + u_0|^N - C\epsilon, \quad u \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon, \quad \epsilon \in (0, 1). \quad (7)$$

We note that \mathcal{C}_ϵ is a convex set. Using Trudinger–Moser and trace embeddings we see that \mathcal{C}_ϵ is also a closed set in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$ which implies that \mathcal{C}_ϵ is weakly closed in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$. Therefore, by (5) and the fact $v_n \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ for some n , we can find $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ such that $u_\epsilon \not\equiv 0$ and

$$\min_{u \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon} J(u + u_0) = J(u_\epsilon + u_0) < J(u_0), \quad \epsilon \in (0, 1). \quad (8)$$

Clearly from (7) and (8) we get that $\{u_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in (0,1)}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$. Since $K(u_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon$, we get that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, $u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ pointwise a.e. in Ω . Therefore, $u_\epsilon + u_0 \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$. From (8), using the Lagrange multiplier rule, we obtain that u_ϵ solves

$$J'(u_\epsilon + u_0) = \mu_\epsilon K'(u_\epsilon) \quad \text{for some } \mu_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, 1). \quad (9)$$

We now claim that $\mu_\epsilon \leq 0 \forall \epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Suppose $\mu_\epsilon > 0$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. We choose $\phi \in W^{1,N}(\Omega)$ such that $J'(u_\epsilon + u_0)\phi < 0$ (possible since $K'(u_\epsilon) \neq 0$) which implies from (9) that also $K'(u_\epsilon)\phi < 0$. Hence for all small $\tau > 0$, $K(u_\epsilon + \tau\phi) < K(u_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon$. Thus, $u_\epsilon + \tau\phi \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ for all small $\tau > 0$. Therefore, since $J'(u_\epsilon + u_0)\phi < 0$, we indeed get that $J(u_\epsilon + u_0 + \tau\phi) < J(u_\epsilon + u_0)$ for all small $\tau > 0$, a contradiction to (8). Therefore the claim $\mu_\epsilon \leq 0$ is true.

We now write (9) in its P.D.E. form as (with $g(s) = G'(s)$)

$$(P_\epsilon) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_N(u_\epsilon + u_0) + |u_\epsilon + u_0|^{N-2}(u_\epsilon + u_0) = f(x, u_\epsilon + u_0) + \mu_\epsilon g(u_\epsilon) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla(u_\epsilon + u_0)|^{N-2} \frac{\partial(u_\epsilon + u_0)}{\partial \nu} = |u_\epsilon + u_0|^{q-1}(u_\epsilon + u_0) + \mu_\epsilon |u_\epsilon|^{\alpha-1}u_\epsilon & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We now have two cases. **Case (i):** $\inf_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} \mu_\epsilon > -\infty$, **Case (ii):** $\inf_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} \mu_\epsilon = -\infty$.

In Case (i), we show that (up to a subsequence) $u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$. To see this, we define a new functional $I_\epsilon : W^{1,N}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $I_\epsilon(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J(u + u_0) - \mu_\epsilon K(u)$, $u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega)$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then, we see that using (9), $I'(u_\epsilon) = 0$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Since $\{I_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)\}_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)}$ is a bounded sequence (thanks to (7) and (8)) in \mathbb{R} , we may choose a subsequence (again denoted by $\{I_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)\}_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)}$) such that $I_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \rightarrow \rho$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. By the convexity of the dominating function in (f1), the constraint relation in (6) and Moser–Trudinger embedding, we get that $\{F(x, u_0 + u_\epsilon)\}_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence in $L^{3/2}(\Omega)$. Hence $\int_\Omega F(x, u_0 + u_\epsilon) \rightarrow \int_\Omega F(x, u_0)$ using Vitali's convergence theorem. Since $u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup 0$ in $W^{1,N}(\Omega)$, by Fatou's Lemma $J(u_0) \leq \rho$. Since $\rho = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J(u_\epsilon + u_0) \leq J(u_0)$ (from (8)), we obtain that $\rho = J(u_0)$. From the previous observation that $\int_\Omega F(x, u_0 + u_\epsilon) \rightarrow \int_\Omega F(x, u_0)$ and the obvious convergence $\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_\epsilon + u_0|^{q+1} \rightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_0|^{q+1}$, we obtain that $\|u_\epsilon\|_{W^{1,N}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as claimed before.

Hence, using the Trudinger–Moser type inequality in (4) we can apply Theorem 1.2 in Section 2 to conclude that $\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$. Now, appealing to the regularity result of Lieberman [9], we get that $\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{C^{1,\mu}(\overline{\Omega})} < \infty$, for some $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

We now consider the Case (ii) when $\mu_\epsilon \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Now using (f2) and the fact that g is odd, we can find $M > 0$ independent of $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, such that $(f(x, u_0(x) + s) + \mu_\epsilon g(s))s$ and $(|u_0(x) + s|^{q-1}(u_0(x) + s) + \mu_\epsilon |s|^{\alpha-1}s)s$ are negative for all $s < -M$ and positive for all $s > M$. By the maximum principle (using $(u_\epsilon - M)^+$, $(u_\epsilon + M)^-$ as test functions), we get that $\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq M$. We now let $\phi_\epsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |u_\epsilon|^{\beta-1}u_\epsilon$, $\beta > 1$, as a test function in (P_ϵ) , integrate by parts and use the fact that $u \mapsto -\Delta_N u + |u|^{N-1}u$ is a monotone operator to get,

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu_\epsilon \left[\int_\Omega g(u_\epsilon)|u_\epsilon|^{\beta-1}u_\epsilon + \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^{\alpha+\beta} \right] &\leq \int_\Omega [f(x, u_0 + u_\epsilon) - f(x, u_0)]|u_\epsilon|^{\beta-1}u_\epsilon \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} [|u_0 + u_\epsilon|^{q-1}(u_0 + u_\epsilon) - |u_0|^{q-1}u_0]|u_\epsilon|^{\beta-1}u_\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the uniform $L^\infty(\Omega)$ estimate for $\{u_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)}$ we get,

$$(-\mu_\epsilon) \left[\int_\Omega g(u_\epsilon)|u_\epsilon|^{\beta-1}u_\epsilon + \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^{\alpha+\beta} \right] \leq C \left(\int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^\beta + \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^\beta \right).$$

Using the inequality $g(s)s \geq c|s|^{p+1} \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \geq p$ and Hölder's we get,

$$(-\mu_\epsilon) \left[\int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^{p+\beta} + \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^{p+\beta} \right] \leq C(|\Omega|) \left(\int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^{p+\beta} + \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^{p+\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p+\beta}}.$$

Therefore, for any $\beta > 1$

$$(-\mu_\epsilon) [\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^{p+\beta}(\Omega)}^p + \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^{p+\beta}(\partial\Omega)}^p] \leq C(|\Omega|).$$

Letting $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation we get,

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} (-\mu_\epsilon) (\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^p + \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}^p) \leq C(|\Omega|). \quad (10)$$

Using (10), the uniform L^∞ bounds for $\{u_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)}$ in Ω as well as $\partial\Omega$ and the fact $g(s)|s|^{-p}$ is a function bounded below in \mathbb{R} , we get that the right-hand side terms in (P_ϵ) are uniformly bounded in Ω and $\partial\Omega$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then

the standard regularity result of Lieberman [9] implies that $\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{C^{1,\mu}(\bar{\Omega})} < \infty$ for some $\mu \in (0, 1)$. Thus, in either Case (i) or Case (ii), we obtain the uniform bound for $\{u_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)}$ in $C^{1,\mu}(\bar{\Omega})$. This gives a contradiction to (2) since $u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and $J(u_0 + u_\epsilon) < J(u_0)$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ small. This contradiction proves the theorem. \square

3. Uniform L^∞ -bound for solutions of (P_ϵ)

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In what follows, C will denote a generic constant which may vary from equation to equation but is independent of $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Consider the truncation functions $T_k(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (s+k)\chi_{(-\infty, -k]} + (s-k)\chi_{[k, +\infty)}$, for $k > 0$, which was introduced in Stampacchia [10]. Let $\Omega_k = \{x \in \Omega \mid |u_\epsilon| \geq k\}$, $\partial\Omega_k = \{x \in \partial\Omega \mid |u_\epsilon| \geq k\}$. We now take $T_k(u_\epsilon)$ as a test function in (P_ϵ) and (3) and use the fact $\mu_\epsilon \leq 0$ to get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla(u_\epsilon + u_0)|^{N-2} \nabla(u_\epsilon + u_0) - |\nabla u_0|^{N-2} \nabla u_0) \cdot \nabla(T_k(u_\epsilon)) \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (|u_\epsilon + u_0|^{N-2}(u_\epsilon + u_0) - |u_0|^{N-2}u_0) T_k(u_\epsilon) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u_\epsilon + u_0) - f(x, u_0)) T_k(u_\epsilon) + \int_{\partial\Omega} (|u_\epsilon + u_0|^{q-1}(u_\epsilon + u_0) - |u_0|^{q-1}u_0) T_k(u_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We now estimate from above the right-hand side of (11). Fix $\eta = \frac{N+1}{\theta-1}$, $r = \theta\eta$. Applying the generalised Hölder's inequality we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S. of (11)} & \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} (|f(x, u_\epsilon)| + |f(x, u_0)|)^\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} |\Omega_k|^{\frac{r-1-\eta}{r}} \\ & + \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} (|u_\epsilon|^q + |u_0|^q)^\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} |\partial\Omega_k|^{\frac{r-1-\eta}{r}} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r + \int_{\partial\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} (|\partial\Omega_k| + |\partial\Omega_k|)^{\frac{r-1-\eta}{r}}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In the last inequality, we made use of the trace embedding. We estimate from below the terms in the left-hand side of (11) using Sobolev and trace embeddings to get,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S. of (11)} & \geq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(T_k(u_\epsilon))|^N + \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^N \right) \\ & \geq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r + \int_{\partial\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r \right)^{\frac{N}{r}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now plugging the bounds in (12) and (13) into (11) we get,

$$\int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r + \int_{\partial\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r \leq C(|\Omega_k| + |\partial\Omega_k|)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}. \quad (14)$$

We note that for $0 < k < h$, since $|T_k(s)| = (|s| - k)(1 - \chi_{[-k, k]}(s))$, $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\Omega_h \subset \Omega_k$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r = \int_{\Omega_k} (|u_\epsilon| - k)^r \geq \int_{\Omega_h} (|u_\epsilon| - k)^r \geq (h - k)^r |\Omega_h|.$$

Similarly, $\int_{\partial\Omega} |T_k(u_\epsilon)|^r \geq (h-k)^r |\partial\Omega_h|$. Substituting the last two estimates in (14) and letting $\phi(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\Omega_k| + |\partial\Omega_k|$, $k > 0$, we get,

$$\phi(h) \leq C(h-k)^{-r} (\phi(k))^{\frac{N}{N-1}}, \quad 0 < k < h. \quad (15)$$

Let $d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2^N C^{\frac{1}{r}} (|\Omega| + |\partial\Omega|)^{\frac{1}{(N-1)r}}$ and define a sequence $\{k_n\}$ by $k_0 = 0$ and

$$k_n = k_{n-1} + \frac{d}{2^n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (16)$$

Substituting (16) into (15) we get by induction

$$\phi(k_n) \leq \phi(0) 2^{nr(1-N)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = d$ and ϕ is nonincreasing, we obtain $\phi(d) = |\Omega_d| + |\partial\Omega_d| = 0$. This implies,

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq d.$$

This proves Theorem 1.2. \square

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis, G. Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, *J. Funct. Anal.* 122 (1994) 519–543.
- [2] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, Minima locaux relatifs à C^1 et H^1 , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 317 (1993) 465–472.
- [3] G. Azorero, J. Manfredi, I. Peral, Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizers and global multiplicity for some quasilinear elliptic equations, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 2 (3) (2000) 385–404.
- [4] F. Brock, L. Iturraga, P. Ubilla, A multiplicity result for the p -Laplacian involving a parameter, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, in press.
- [5] P. Cherrier, Meilleures constantes dans des inégalités relatives aux espaces de Sobolev, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 108 (1984) 225–262.
- [6] P. Cherrier, Problèmes de Neumann nonlinéaires sur les variétés Riemanniennes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A* 292 (1981) 637–640.
- [7] D.G. De Figueiredo, J.P. Gossez, P. Ubilla, Local “superlinearity” and “sublinearity” for the p -Laplacian, in press.
- [8] J. Giacomoni, S. Prashanth, K. Sreenadh, A global multiplicity result for N -Laplacian with critical nonlinearity of concave-convex type, *J. Differential Equations* 232 (2007) 544–572.
- [9] G. Lieberman, Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 12 (11) (1988) 1203–1219.
- [10] G. Stampacchia, *Equations Elliptiques du Second Ordre à Coefficients Discontinues*, Les Presses de l’Université de Montréal, 1966.