Numerical Analysis

Upper bounds on the distribution of the condition number of singular matrices ☆

Carlos Beltrán, Luis Miguel Pardo

Departamento de Matemáticas, Estadística y Computación, F. de Ciencias, Universidad de Cantabria, 39071 Santander, Spain

Abstract

We exhibit upper bounds for the probability distribution of the generalized condition number of singular complex matrices. To this end, we develop a new technique to study volumes of tubes about projective varieties in the complex projective space. As a main outcome, we show an upper bound estimate for the volume of the intersection of a tube with an equi-dimensional projective algebraic variety. *To cite this article: C. Beltrán, L.M. Pardo, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 340 (2005).*
© 2005 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Bornes supérieures pour la fonction de distribution du conditionnement des matrices singulières. Nous exhibons des bornes de la fonction de distribution du conditionnement des matrices singulières. Pour ce but nous developpons une technique nouvelle pour analyser les volumes des tubes (par rapport a la distance de Fubini–Study) autour des sous-variétés algèbriques d'un espace projectif complexe. Plus spécifiquement, nous demontrons des bornes supérieueres de volumes des intersections des tubes extrinsèques (autour des sous-variétés algébriques avec une autre variété algèbrique donnée). *Pour citer cet article : C. Beltrán, L.M. Pardo, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 340 (2005).*
© 2005 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Version française abrégée

Le but de cette Note est celui d'exhiber des estimations fines de la fonction de distribution du conditionnement singulier dans la variété projective complexe des matrices de rang borné. Autrement dit, soit Σ^r la varété projective algèbrique complexe de tous les matrices carrées $n \times n$ et complexes de rang au plus r. Soit $\kappa_D^r(A) := \|A\|_F \|A^\dagger\|$, le conditionnement d'un matrice $A \in \Sigma^r$, où $A^\dagger$ est la pseudo–inverse de Moore–Penrose. Rappelons que κ_D^r

mesure la perte de precision des algorithmes numériques pour le calcul du noyau de *A*. Nous montrons, par exemple, l'énoncé suivant :

Théorème 0.1. *Avec les notations précédentes, on a*

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}[A \in \Sigma^{n-1}\colon \kappa_D^{n-1}(A) > \varepsilon^{-1}]}{\mathrm{vol}[\Sigma^{n-1}]} \leqslant 18 n^{20} \varepsilon^6,$$

où vol[·] *est la mesure de Haussdorff associée à la dimension de la variété Σ^{n-1}.*

Notons que la fraction a à gauche de la formule précédente est la probabilité du fait qu'une matrice complexe de rang au plus $n-1$ aie un conditionnement plus grand que ε^{-1}.

Cet énoncé est la consequence des résultats plus généraux sur les volumes des tubes (par rapport á la distance de Fubini–Study) autour des sous-variétés algèbriques d'un espace projectif complex (voir Théorème 2.1). Plus concrètement, ce théorème est la consequence immédiate de l'énoncé suivant :

Théorème 0.2. *Soient $V, V' \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ deux variétés projectives complexes à dimensions respectives $m > m' \geqslant 1$. Soit $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ un nombre réel positif. Alors, on a :*

$$\frac{v_m[V'_\varepsilon \cap V]}{v_m[V]} \leqslant 2 \deg(V') \left(\frac{\mathrm{e}n}{n-m'} \right)^{2(n-m')} \left[\mathrm{e}\frac{n-m'}{m-m'}\varepsilon \right]^{2(m-m')},$$

où v_m est la mesure $2m$-dimensionelle naturellement associée a la variété V, et $\deg(V')$ est le degré de la variété V' dans le sens de [10].

Ces deux énoncés ont quelques variations quand on les applique a des differents sous-ensembles de l'espace de matrices. La technique de base est une combinaison de la Géometrie Intégrale et la Théorie de l'Intersections Géometrique.

1. Introduction

Condition numbers in Linear Algebra were introduced by Turing in [18]. They were studied by von Neumann and collaborators in [19]. Variations of these condition numbers may be found in the literature of Numerical Linear Algebra (cf. [2,7,11,17,21] etc.).

A relevant breakthrough was the study of the probability distribution of these condition numbers. The works by Smale (cf. [15]) and mainly the works by Edelman (cf. [4,5]) showed the exact values of the probability distribution of the condition number of dense complex matrices.

From a computational point of view, these statements can be translated in the following terms. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a numerical analysis procedure whose space of input data is the space of arbitrary square complex matrices $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, Edelman's statements mean that *the probability that a randomly chosen dense matrix in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a well-conditioned input for $\mathcal{P}$ is high* (cf. also [1]).

Sometimes, however, we deal with procedures $\mathcal{P}$ whose input space is a proper subset $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Additionally, such procedures with particular data lead to particular condition numbers $\kappa_\mathcal{C}$ adapted both for the procedure $\mathcal{P}$ and the input space $\mathcal{C}$. Edelman's and Smale's results do not apply to these new conditions.

In this Note we introduce a new technique to study the probability distribution of condition numbers $\kappa_\mathcal{C}$. Namely, we introduce a technique to exhibit upper bound estimates of the quantity

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}[\{A \in \mathcal{C}\colon \kappa_\mathcal{C} > \varepsilon^{-1}\}]}{\mathrm{vol}[\mathcal{C}]}, \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a positive real number, and vol[·] is some suitable measure on the space $\mathcal{C}$ of acceptable inputs of $\mathcal{P}$.

2. The condition number for singular matrices

As an example of how our technique applies, let $\mathcal{C} := \Sigma^{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the class of all singular complex matrices. From [12] and [16], a condition number for singular matrices $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is introduced. This condition number measures the precision required to perform kernel computations. For every singular matrix $A \in \Sigma^{n-1}$ of corank 1, the condition number $\kappa_D^{n-1}(A) \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the following identity

$$\kappa_D^{n-1}(A) := \|A\|_F \|A^\dagger\|_2,$$

where $\| \cdot \|_F$ is the Frobenius norm of a matrix A, $A^\dagger$ is the Moore–Penrose pseudo-inverse of A and $\|A^\dagger\|_2$ is the norm of $A^\dagger$ as a linear operator.

As Σ^{n-1} is a complex homogeneous hypersurface in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (i.e. a cone of complex codimension 1), it is endowed with a natural volume *vol* induced by the $2(n^2 - 1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure of its intersection with the unit disk. We state the following result:

Theorem 2.1. *With the same notations and assumptions as above, the following inequality holds*:

$$\frac{\text{vol}[A \in \Sigma^{n-1} \colon \kappa_D^{n-1}(A) > \varepsilon^{-1}]}{\text{vol}[\Sigma^{n-1}]} \leqslant 18n^{20}\varepsilon^6.$$

Other proper subclasses of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ can also be discussed with our method. These statements are (almost) immediate consequences of a wider class of results we state below.

3. On the volume of tubes

First of all, most condition numbers are by nature projective functions. For instance, the condition number κ_D of Numerical Linear Algebra ($\kappa_D(A) = \|A\|_F \|A^{-1}\|_2$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$) is naturally defined as a function on the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ defined by the complex vector space $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Namely, we may see κ_D as a function

$$\kappa_D : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \infty.$$

Secondly, statements like the Schmidt–Mirsky–Eckart–Young Theorem (cf. [3,14,13]) imply that Smale's and Edelman's estimates are, in fact, estimates of the volume of a tube about a concrete projective algebraic variety in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$. In fact, the following two equalities hold:

$$\kappa_D(A) = \frac{1}{d_\mathbb{P}(A, \Sigma^{n-1})}, \quad \forall A \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})), \qquad \kappa_D^{n-1}(A) = \frac{1}{d_\mathbb{P}(A, \Sigma^{n-2})}, \quad \forall A \in \mathbb{P}(\Sigma^{n-1}),$$

where $d_\mathbb{P}$ stands for the projective distance (namely, $d_P(x, y) = \sin d_R(x, y)$ where d_R is the Fubini–Study distance in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$), and Σ^{n-2} stands for the set of all the matrices of rank equal to or smaller than $n - 2$. We prove a general upper bound for the volume of a tube about any (possibly singular) complex projective algebraic variety (see Theorem 3.1 below).

Estimates on volumes of tubes is a classic topic that began with Weyl's Tube Formula for tubes in the affine space (cf. [20]). Formulae for the volumes of some tubes about analytic submanifolds of complex projective spaces are due to Gray (cf. [8,9] and references therein). However, Gray's results do not apply to our case. First of all, Gray's statements are only valid for smooth submanifolds and not for singular varieties (as, for instance, Σ^{n-1}). Secondly, Gray's Theorems are only valid for tubes of small enough radius (depending on intrinsic features of the manifold under consideration).

These two drawbacks pushed us to look for a general statement that may be resumed as follows.

Let $d\nu_n$ be the volume form associated to the complex Riemannian structure of $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be any subset of the complex projective space and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a positive real number. We define *the tube of radius ε about V in $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$* as the subset $V_\varepsilon \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by the following identity.

$$V_\varepsilon := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C}) : d_\mathbb{P}(x, V) < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a (possibly singular) equi-dimensional complex algebraic variety of (complex) codimension r in $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ be a positive real number. Then, the following inequality holds*

$$\frac{\nu_n[V_\varepsilon]}{\nu_n[\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})]} \leqslant 2 \deg(V) \left(\frac{\mathrm{e} n \varepsilon}{r} \right)^{2r},$$

where $\deg(V)$ is the degree of V (in the sense of [10]).

This theorem can be applied to Edelman's conditions to conclude the following estimate:

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}[\{ A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) : \kappa_D(A) > \varepsilon^{-1} \}]}{\mathrm{vol}[\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})]} \leqslant 2 \, \mathrm{e}^2 n^5 \varepsilon^2,$$

where $\kappa_D(A) := \|A\|_F \|A^{-1}\|_2$, and *vol* is the standard Gaussian measure in $\mathbb{C}^{n^2}$. The constants on the right-hand side of the inequality in Theorem 3.1 are essentially optimal.

The reader will observe that our bound is less sharp than those of Edelman or Smale, although it is a particular instance of a more general statement.

4. Extrinsic tubes

Observe that neither Smale's, Edelman's results nor Theorem 3.1 above apply to exhibit upper bounds of the probability distribution described in Eq. (1) above. In particular, it does not apply to prove Theorem 2.1. In order to prove this kind of statements, *we need an upper bound for the volume of the intersection of an extrinsic tube with a proper subvariety*. This is our main outcome here and can be resumed in the following statement.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $V, V' \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be two projective equi-dimensional algebraic varieties of respective dimensions $m > m' \geqslant 1$. Let $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ be a positive real number. With the same notations as in Theorem 3.1 above, the following inequality holds*:

$$\frac{\nu_m[V'_\varepsilon \cap V]}{\nu_m[V]} \leqslant 2 \deg(V') \left(\frac{\mathrm{e} n}{n - m'} \right)^{2(n-m')} \left[\mathrm{e} \frac{n - m'}{m - m'} \varepsilon \right]^{2(m-m')},$$

where ν_m is the $2m$-dimensional natural measure in the algebraic variety V, and $\deg(V')$ is the degree of V' in the sense of [10].

Hence, Theorem 2.1 follows from Theorem 4.1, as the degree and codimension of Σ^{n-2} are known (cf. for example [6]):

$$\mathrm{codim}\left(\Sigma^{n-2} \right) = 4, \qquad \deg\left(\Sigma^{n-2} \right) \leqslant \frac{n^4}{12}.$$

References

[1] D. Castro, J.L. Montaña, L.M. Pardo, J. San Martín, The distribution of condition numbers of rational data of bounded bit length, Found. Comput. Math. 2 (2002) 1–52.
[2] J.W. Demmel, The probability that a numerical analysis problem is difficult, Math. Comp. 50 (1988) 449–480.

[3] C. Eckart, G. Young, The approximation of one matrix by another of lower rank, Psychometrika 1 (1936) 211–218.

[4] A. Edelman, Eigenvalues and condition numbers of random matrices, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 9 (1988) 543–560.

[5] A. Edelman, On the distribution of a scaled condition number, Math. Comp. 58 (1992) 185–190.

[6] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[7] G.H. Golub, C.F. Van Loan, Matrix Computations, third ed., Johns Hopkins Stud. Math. Sci., Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 1996.

[8] A. Gray, Volumes of tubes about complex submanifolds of complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 291 (1985) 437–449.

[9] A. Gray, Tubes, second ed., Progr. Math., vol. 221, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[10] J. Heintz, Definability and fast quantifier elimination in algebraically closed fields, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 24 (1983) 239–277.

[11] N.J. Higham, Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms, second ed., Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2002.

[12] W. Kahan, Huge generalized inverses of rank-deficient matrices, Unpublished manuscript.

[13] L. Mirsky, Results and problems in the theory of doubly-stochastic matrices, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Gebiete 1 (1962/1963) 319–334.

[14] E. Schmidt, Zur Theorie der linearen und nichtlinearen Integralgleichungen. I Tiel. Entwicklung willkurlichen Funktionen nach System vorgeschriebener, Maht. Ann. 63 (1907) 433–476.

[15] S. Smale, On the efficiency of algorithms of analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 13 (1985) 87–121.

[16] G.W. Stewart, J.G. Sun, Matrix Perturbation Theory, Computer Sci. Sci. Comput., Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

[17] L.N. Trefethen, D. Bau, Numerical Linear Algebra, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1997.

[18] A.M. Turing, Rounding-off errors in matrix processes, Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math. 1 (1948) 287–308.

[19] J. von Neumann, H.H. Goldstine, Numerical inverting of matrices of high order, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947) 1021–1099.

[20] A. Weyl, On the volume of tubes, Amer. J. Math. 61 (1939) 461–472.

[21] J.H. Wilkinson, The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1965.