



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 340 (2005) 5–7

COMPTES RENDUS

MATHEMATIQUE
<http://france.elsevier.com/direct/CRASS1/>

Algebra

When is $A + XB[[X]]$ Noetherian?

Sana Hizem, Ali Benhissi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, 5000 Monastir, Tunisia

Received 4 May 2004; accepted after revision 5 November 2004

Available online 22 December 2004

Presented by Jean-Pierre Demailly

Abstract

Let $A \subseteq B$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity, X an analytic indeterminate over B , and $R := A + XB[[X]]$, the subring of the formal power series ring $B[[X]]$, consisting of the series with constant terms in A . In this Note we study when the ring R is Noetherian. We prove that R is Noetherian if and only if A is Noetherian and B is a finitely generated A -module.

To cite this article: S. Hizem, A. Benhissi, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 340 (2005).

© 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Quand $A + XB[[X]]$ est-il noethérien ? Soient $A \subseteq B$ une extension d'anneaux commutatifs unitaires, X une indéterminée sur B , et $R := A + XB[[X]]$, le sous-anneau de l'anneau des séries formelles $B[[X]]$, formé par les séries dont le terme constant est dans A . Nous donnons une condition nécessaire et suffisante pour que l'anneau R soit noethérien. Nous démontrons que R est noethérien si et seulement si A est noethérien et B est un A module de type fini. **Pour citer cet article :** S. Hizem, A. Benhissi, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 340 (2005).

© 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Let $A \subseteq B$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity, X an analytic indeterminate over B , and $R := A + XB[[X]]$, the subring of the formal power series ring $B[[X]]$, consisting of the series with constant terms in A . This construction has been studied by many authors and has proven to be useful in constructing interesting examples and counterexamples. See for instance [1–3].

Lemma 1. *R with the $XB[[X]]$ -adic topology is the completion of $A + XB[X]$ with the $XB[X]$ -adic topology.*

Proof. Since $I = XB[[X]]$ is an ideal of R and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n = (0)$, R is a Hausdorff space with the I -adic topology. Since $XB[[X]] \cap (A + XB[X]) = XB[X]$, the $XB[[X]]$ -adic topology on R induces the $XB[X]$ -adic topology on $A + XB[X]$.

E-mail address: hizems@yahoo.fr (S. Hizem).

Let $f = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in R$ and for any integer $k \geq 0$, $g_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i X^i \in A + XB[X]$. Then $f - g_k = \sum_{i=k+1}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in X^{k+1}B[[X]]$, so $f = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} g_k$. Conversely, let $(g_k)_k$ be a Cauchy sequence of $A + XB[X]$ for the $XB[X]$ -adic topology and $g = g_0 + (g_1 - g_0) + (g_2 - g_1) + \dots \in A + XB[[X]]$. Since for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k \geq k_0$, $g_{k+1} - g_k \in (XB[[X]])^l$, then $g - g_k \in (XB[[X]])^l$ and $g = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} g_k$. \square

Lemma 2. *An ideal of R containing $XB[[X]]$ is of type $I + XB[[X]]$ for some ideal I of A .*

Proof. Let J an ideal of R containing $XB[[X]]$ and $I = \{f(0), f \in J\}$. Then I is an ideal of A and $J \subseteq I + XB[[X]]$. Conversely, let $a \in I$. Then there exists $f = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in J$ such that $a = a_0 = f - \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in J$, since $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in XB[[X]] \subseteq J$. So $I \subseteq J$ and then $I + XB[[X]] \subseteq J$. \square

Lemma 3. *Assume that B is a finitely generated A -module and p a finitely generated ideal of A . Then the ideal $P = p + XB[[X]]$ of $A + XB[[X]]$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. Let b_1, \dots, b_s generators of the A -module B and a_1, \dots, a_n in A such that $p = a_1A + \dots + a_nA$. Then $B[[X]] = b_1A[[X]] + \dots + b_sA[[X]]$, and $p + XB[[X]] = a_1A + \dots + a_nA + b_1XA[[X]] + \dots + b_sXA[[X]]$. Since $A[[X]] \subseteq R$ we have $p + XB[[X]] = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1X, \dots, b_sX)_R$. \square

Theorem 4. *Let $A \subseteq B$ be commutative rings with identity, then the ring $R = A + XB[[X]]$ is Noetherian if and only if A is Noetherian and B is a finitely generated A -module.*

Proof. If R is Noetherian, then so is the ring $R/XB[[X]] \cong A$. On the other hand, the ideal $XB[[X]]$ of R is finitely generated. Let f_1, \dots, f_n in $B[[X]]$ such that $XB[[X]] = Xf_1R + \dots + Xf_nR$, then $B[[X]] = f_1R + \dots + f_nR$ and $B = f_1(0)A + \dots + f_n(0)A$. So B is a finitely generated A -module. Conversely, let A be a Noetherian ring and B a finitely generated A -module. If R is not Noetherian, then (by Zorn's lemma) there exists an ideal P of R , maximal among the ideals of R which are not finitely generated. In fact, let Σ be the set of all ideals which are not finitely generated in R . Order Σ by inclusion; Σ is not empty, since R is not Noetherian. Let (a_α) be a chain of ideals in Σ . Let $a = \bigcup_\alpha a_\alpha$. Then a is an ideal of R and a is not finitely generated. Hence by Zorn's lemma Σ has a maximal element P . By Lemmas 2 and 3, $XB[[X]] \not\subseteq P$. Since B is a finitely generated A -module, then we can choose $b_1, \dots, b_s \in B$ such that $B = b_1A + \dots + b_sA$, so $XB[[X]] = b_1XA[[X]] + \dots + b_sXA[[X]]$. Since $XB[[X]] \not\subseteq P$, then there exists i_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \leq s$, such that $b_{i_0}X \notin P$. We can suppose that $b_1X \notin P$. Hence $P \subset P + b_1XR$. Therefore, $P + b_1XR$ is finitely generated. So, there exists $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \subseteq P$ a finitely generated ideal of R such that $P + b_1XR = J + b_1XR$. We claim that $P = J + P \cap b_1XR$. Indeed, $J \subseteq P$ and $P \cap b_1XR \subseteq P$, so $J + P \cap b_1XR \subseteq P$. Conversely, let $f \in P$, then $f \in J + b_1XR$, so there exists $g \in J$, and $h \in R$ such that $f = g + b_1Xh$, so $b_1Xh = f - g \in P$ and $b_1Xh \in P \cap b_1XR$. Moreover, $P = J + (P : b_1XR)b_1XR$ with $P : b_1XR = \{f \in R; f b_1XR \subseteq P\}$. Indeed, $(P : b_1XR)b_1XR \subseteq P$, so $J + (P : b_1XR)b_1XR \subseteq P$. Conversely, it suffices to prove that $P \cap b_1XR \subseteq (P : b_1XR)b_1XR$. Let $f \in P \cap b_1XR$, then there exists $g \in R$ such that $f = b_1Xg$, so $b_1X \in b_1XR$ and $g \in (P : b_1XR)$, which implies that $f \in (P : b_1XR)b_1XR$. Moreover, $P \subseteq P : b_1XR$. If $P \subset P : b_1XR$ then, by maximality of P , $P : b_1XR$ is finitely generated and so $P = J + (P : b_1XR)b_1XR$ is also finitely generated which is impossible. So we have the equality $P = P : b_1XR$ which implies $P = J + b_1XP$. We deduce then that $P = J$. In fact, for $g \in P$, we construct by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, a sequence $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ of elements of J such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $g_k = \sum_{i=1}^n s_{k,i} f_i$ with for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, $s_{k,i} \in (b_1X)^{k-1}R$ and $g - g_1 - \dots - g_k \in (b_1X)^k P$. For $k = 1$, we have $g \in P = J + b_1XP$, so there exists $g_1 \in J$ such that $g - g_1 \in (b_1X)P$. Let $s_{1,i} \in R$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, be such that $g_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_{1,i} f_i$. Assume by induction on k , that g_1, \dots, g_k are constructed. Since $g - g_1 - \dots - g_k \in (b_1X)^k P = (b_1X)^{k+1}[J + b_1XP]$, then there exists $g' \in J$ such that $g - g_1 - \dots - g_k - (b_1X)^k g' \in (b_1X)^{k+1}P$. Let $s_i \in R$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ be such that $g' = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i f_i$ and take $g_{k+1} = (b_1X)^k g' = \sum_{i=1}^n X^k b_1^k s_i f_i$ and $s_{k+1,i} = b_1^k X^k s_i \in (b_1X)^k R$. Then $g - g_1 - \dots - g_k - g_{k+1} \in (b_1X)^{k+1}P$.

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} s_{j,i} - \sum_{j=1}^k s_{j,i} = s_{k+1,i} \in (b_1 X)^k R \subseteq (XB[[X]])^k$, then, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, the sequence $(\sum_{j=1}^k s_{j,i})_k$ is a Cauchy one for the $XB[[X]]$ -adic topology. Let, by Lemma 1, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $s_i = \lim_k \sum_{j=1}^k s_{j,i} \in R$ and $g' = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i f_i$. Then $g = g'$. Indeed, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq m$, $g - g' = (g - \sum_{j=1}^k g_j) + (\sum_{j=1}^k g_j - g')$, with $g - \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \in (b_1 X)^k P \subseteq (XB[[X]])^k \subseteq (XB[[X]])^m$ and $\sum_{j=1}^k g_j - g' = \sum_{j=1}^k g_j - \sum_{i=1}^n s_i f_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sum_{j=1}^k s_{j,i} - s_i) \in (XB[[X]])^m$ for any $k \geq k_0$, for some integer $k_0 \geq m$. So $g - g' \in (XB[[X]])^m$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that $g - g' \in \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (XB[[X]])^m = (0)$, so $g = g' \in J$. And thus $P = J$, which is impossible. \square

Example 1. Let $K \subseteq L$ be an extension of fields, then $K + XL[[X]]$ is Noetherian if and only if $[L : K]$ is finite. For example $\mathbb{R} + X\mathbb{C}[[X]]$ is Noetherian but $\mathbb{Q} + X\mathbb{R}[[X]]$ is not.

Remark 1. Let $A \subset B$ be an extension of integral domains, then the domain $R = A + XB[[X]]$ is never principal (in fact it can never be an UFD). To see this, observe that the element X is irreducible but not prime. In fact, let $f = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i X^i$ and $g = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} b_i X^i \in R$ such that $fg = X$. Then $a_0 b_0 = 0$, for example $a_0 = 0$ and $a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0 = 1$, therefore $a_1 b_0 = 1$, which implies that g is a unit in R . On the other hand, the ideal $X \cdot (A + XB[[X]]) = AX + X^2 B[[X]]$ is not prime, because if $b \in B \setminus A$, then $X \cdot (A + XB[[X]])$ contains $(bX)^2$ but not bX .

References

- [1] D.F. Anderson, D.N. El Abidine, The $A + XB[X]$ and $A + XB[[X]]$ constructions from GCD-domains, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 159 (2001) 15–24.
- [2] D.E. Dobbs, M. Khalis, On the prime spectrum, Krull dimension and catenarity of integral domains of the form $A + XB[[X]]$, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 159 (2001) 57–73.
- [3] T. Dumitrescu, S.O.I. Al-Salihi, N. Radu, T. Shah, Some factorization properties of composite domains $A + XB[X]$ and $A + XB[[X]]$, *Commun. Algebra* 28 (3) (2000) 1125–1139.