



Heat flow, Brownian motion and Newtonian capacity

M. van den Berg

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TW, United Kingdom

Received 15 January 2006; accepted 1 March 2006

Available online 13 December 2006

Abstract

Let K be a compact, non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^m ($m \geq 3$) and let u be the unique weak solution of $\Delta u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K \times (0, \infty)$, $u(x; 0) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$ and $u(x; t) = 1$ for all x on the boundary of K and for all $t > 0$. The asymptotic behaviour of $u(x; t)$ as t tends to infinity is obtained up to order $O(t^{-m/2})$.

© 2006 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Soit K un ensemble compact, non-polaire dans \mathbb{R}^m ($m \geq 3$) et soit u l'unique solution faible de $\Delta u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ sur $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K \times (0, \infty)$, $u(x; 0) = 0$ sur $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$ et $u(x; t) = 1$ pour tout x sur la frontière de K et tout $t > 0$. On obtient le comportement asymptotique de $u(x, t)$ quand t tend vers l'infini avec un reste $O(t^{-m/2})$.

© 2006 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

MSC: 35K20; 60J65; 60J45

Keywords: Heat flow; Brownian motion; Newtonian capacity

1. Introduction

Let K be a compact, non-polar set in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m ($m \geq 3$) with boundary ∂K and let $u : \mathbb{R}^m \setminus K \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the unique weak solution of

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus K, \quad t > 0, \tag{1}$$

with boundary condition

$$u(x; t) = 1, \quad x \in \partial K, \quad t > 0, \tag{2}$$

and initial condition

$$u(x; 0) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus K. \tag{3}$$

It is well known that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x; t) = h_K(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus K, \tag{4}$$

E-mail address: M.vandenBerg@bris.ac.uk (M. van den Berg).

where h_K is the unique function which is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$, which equals 1 on the regular points of K , and which vanishes at infinity.

S.C. Port [8], [10, pp. 64, 65] proved that if K is a compact and non-polar set in $\mathbb{R}^m (m \geq 3)$ then for $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$u(x; t) = h_K(x) - \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right)^{-1} (4\pi)^{-m/2} C(K)(1 - h_K(x))t^{(2-m)/2} + o(t^{(2-m)/2}), \quad (5)$$

where $C(K)$ is the Newtonian capacity of K .

Formula (5) was first proved by A. Joffe [7] in the special case where $m = 3$ and where K has positive Lebesgue measure $|K|$. Subsequently F. Spitzer [12, p. 114] proved formula (5) for arbitrary compact, non-polar sets in \mathbb{R}^3 and obtained the asymptotic behaviour of the total amount of heat $E_K(t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$ at time t defined by

$$E_K(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K} u(x; t) dx. \quad (6)$$

He showed that for $m = 3$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$E_K(t) = C(K)t + \frac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}} C(K)^2 t^{1/2} + o(t^{1/2}). \quad (7)$$

J.-F. Le Gall [4–6] and Port [11] obtained refinements of (7) and extensions to $m \geq 4$ and $m = 2$ without the use of (5). Port also obtained the large t behaviour of u in the case where K is a non-polar compact set in \mathbb{R}^2 [9].

The main result of this paper concerns the analysis of the remainder estimate $o(t^{(2-m)/2})$ in (5). For $m \geq 5$ we show that this remainder can be improved to $O(t^{-m/2})$. A new term of order $(\log t)/t^2$ shows up for $m = 4$ before we recover the remainder $O(t^{-2})$. A remarkable cancellation of two terms of order t^{-1} and four terms of order $(\log t)/t^{3/2}$ takes place for $m = 3$, resulting in the sharp remainder $O(t^{-3/2})$.

Theorem 1. *Let K be a compact and non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^m .*

(i) *If $m = 3, 5, 6, \dots$ then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$u(x; t) = h_K(x) - \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right)^{-1} (4\pi)^{-m/2} C(K)(1 - h_K(x))t^{(2-m)/2} + O(t^{-m/2}). \quad (8)$$

(ii) *If $m = 4$ then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus K$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$u(x; t) = h_K(x) - (4\pi)^{-2} C(K)(1 - h_K(x))t^{-1} + 2(4\pi)^{-4} C(K)^2 (1 - h_K(x)) \frac{\log t}{t^2} + O(t^{-2}). \quad (9)$$

(iii) *The remainder in (8) is sharp for a ball in \mathbb{R}^3 .*

(iv) *The remainder $O(t^{-m/2})$ in (8) and (9) is uniform in x on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$.*

The results described in Theorem 1 have an equivalent probabilistic formulation. Let $(B(s), s \geq 0; \mathbb{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{R}^m)$ be a Brownian motion with generator Δ . For $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we define the first hitting time of K by

$$T_K = \inf\{s \geq 0: B(s) \in K\}, \quad (10)$$

and $T_K = +\infty$ if the infimum is taken over the empty set. It is a classical result that

$$u(x; t) = \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad t > 0, \quad (11)$$

where we have extended both u and h_K to all of \mathbb{R}^m by putting $u \equiv h_K \equiv 1$ on K . For $x \in \mathbb{R}^m (m \geq 3)$ we define the last exit time of K by

$$L_K = \sup\{s \geq 0: B(s) \in K\}, \quad (12)$$

and $L_K = +\infty$ if the supremum is taken over the empty set. The law of L_K is given by [10, p. 61]

$$\mathbb{P}_x[L_K < t] = \int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; s), \quad (13)$$

where

$$p(x, y; s) = (4\pi s)^{-m/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/(4s)}, \quad (14)$$

and where μ_K is the equilibrium measure supported on K with

$$\int \mu_K(dy) = C(K). \quad (15)$$

It follows that

$$h_K(x) = \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < \infty] = \mathbb{P}_x[L_K < \infty] = c_m \int \mu_K(dy) |x - y|^{2-m}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$c_m = 4^{-1} \pi^{-m/2} \Gamma((m-2)/2). \quad (17)$$

Since

$$\mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] = \int_t^\infty ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; s), \quad (18)$$

and

$$(4\pi s)^{-m/2} (1 - |x - y|^2/(4s)) \leq p(x, y; s) \leq (4\pi s)^{-m/2}, \quad (19)$$

we have that

$$\mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] = \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1 \right)^{-1} (4\pi)^{-m/2} C(K) t^{(2-m)/2} + O(t^{-m/2}). \quad (20)$$

Using (11), (16) and (20) we can rewrite (8), (9) as follows.

Proposition 2. *Let K be a compact and non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^m .*

(i) *If $m = 3, 5, 6, \dots$ then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\mathbb{P}_x[t < T_K < \infty] = \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] + O(t^{-m/2}). \quad (21)$$

(ii) *If $m = 4$ then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus K$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\mathbb{P}_x[t < T_K < \infty] = \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] - 2(4\pi)^{-4} C(K)^2 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \frac{\log t}{t^2} + O(t^{-2}). \quad (22)$$

It is well known [4, p. 392] that if $m = 3$ and $K = B(0; R)$ (the closed ball with center 0 and radius R) then for $|x| \geq R$

$$\mathbb{P}_x[t < T_{B(0; R)} < \infty] = \int_t^\infty ds (4\pi s^3)^{-1/2} \frac{R(|x| - R)}{|x|} e^{-(|x|-R)^2/(4s)}. \quad (23)$$

Moreover for a ball $B(0; R)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 the corresponding equilibrium measure is concentrated on $\partial B(0; R)$ and proportional to the surface measure, with constant of proportionality equal to R^{-1} . This gives by (18)

$$\mathbb{P}_x[T_{B(0; R)} = \infty] = \frac{|x| - R}{|x|}, \quad (24)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_x[t < L_{B(0; R)} < \infty] = \int_t^\infty ds (4\pi s)^{-1/2} |x|^{-1} (1 - e^{-|x|R/s}) e^{-(|x|-R)^2/(4s)}. \quad (25)$$

It is a straightforward computation to show that, by (23)–(25), for $m = 3$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_x[t < T_{B(0;R)} < \infty] &= \mathbb{P}_x[T_{B(0;R)} = \infty]\mathbb{P}_x[t < L_{B(0;R)} < \infty] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6\pi^{1/2}}\mathbb{P}_x[T_{B(0;R)} = \infty]|x|R^2t^{-3/2} + O(t^{-5/2}).\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

This proves the assertion in Theorem 1(iii).

The main stratagem which permeates the proof of Proposition 2 is to replace T_K by L_K at “every possible opportunity” and to use the strong Markov property to control terms like $\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t < L_K]$. For a different application of these techniques we refer to the study of the expected volume of a Wiener sausage in \mathbb{R}^3 associated to the compact set K [4]. There Spitzer’s formula (7) was improved up to order $O(t^{-1/2})$ proving a conjecture by M. Kac. See [1–3,13] for more recent applications.

It turns out that a single application of the strong Markov property (Proposition 4) supplemented by additional estimates (Lemma 3) is sufficient to prove Proposition 2 for $m \geq 5$. However, for $m = 4$ or $m = 3$ the strong Markov property has to be applied twice respectively six times (Propositions 5 and 8). The reason is that for $m = 3$ two non-trivial terms of order t^{-1} and four non-trivial terms of order $(\log t)/t^{3/2}$ contribute to $\mathbb{P}_x[t < T_K < \infty]$. Lengthy calculations using the above techniques finally result in the cancellation of these non-trivial terms. Such a cancellation does not take place for $m = 4$, and this results in the $(\log t)/t^2$ contribution in (9).

The analysis of the $O(t^{-m/2})$ remainder in Proposition 2 is complicated since the distribution of the random variable $B(T_K)$ on the regular part of ∂K enters at each application of the strong Markov property. Unlike the special case of a ball in \mathbb{R}^3 we do not expect a simple improvement of the remainder.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove some basic estimates (Lemma 3) which will be used throughout the paper. Proposition 4 is the key estimate from which Proposition 2 follows for $m \geq 5$. In Section 3 we use Proposition 4 to obtain a further refinement (Proposition 5) from which Proposition 2 follows for $m = 4$. Finally in Section 4 we complete the proof of Proposition 2 for $m = 3$ by refining Proposition 5 (Proposition 8). The proof of Proposition 8 follows the same strategy as the proof of Proposition 5, and has been omitted.

2. Proof of Proposition 2 for $m \geq 5$

It is convenient to introduce some further notation. For $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and K compact in \mathbb{R}^m we define

$$R(c) = \inf\{\rho \geq 0: K \subset B(c; \rho)\},\tag{27}$$

where $B(c; \rho)$ is the closed ball with center c and radius ρ . Let

$$R = \inf\{R(c): c \in \mathbb{R}^m\}.\tag{28}$$

The infima in (27) and (28) are attained and we may assume without loss of generality that the latter is attained at $c = 0$.

Lemma 3. *Let K be a compact and non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^m ($m \geq 3$). Then for $0 < s < t < \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_x[t < T_K < \infty] &\leq \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] \\ &\leq 1 \wedge \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right)^{-1}(4\pi)^{-m/2}C(K)t^{(2-m)/2},\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \leq 1 \wedge \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right)^{-1}(4\pi)^{-m/2}C(K)(s^{(2-m)/2} - t^{(2-m)/2}),\tag{30}$$

and for $z \in K$

$$|\mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] - \mathbb{P}_z[t < L_K < \infty]| \leq 1 \wedge C_{x,K}t^{-m/2},\tag{31}$$

where

$$C_{x,K} = (|x| + R)(|x| + 3R)C(K).\tag{32}$$

For any Borel set E of $[0, t]$

$$\int_E ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \leq 1. \quad (33)$$

Let $T > 0$ be arbitrary. There exists a constant C depending on T and on K such that for all $t > T$, $0 < s < t$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \leq C(T(t-T)^{-m/2} \vee (t-s)s^{-m/2}). \quad (34)$$

Proof. Estimate (29) follows immediately from the fact that $L_K \geq T_K$ and (18), (19).

Estimate (30) follows from

$$\mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] = \int_s^t d\tau \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; \tau), \quad (35)$$

and the bound in the right-hand side of (19).

To prove (31) we note that by (18)

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] - \mathbb{P}_z[t < L_K < \infty]| &\leq \int_t^\infty ds (4\pi s)^{-m/2} \int \mu_K(dy) |e^{-|x-y|^2/(4s)} - e^{-|z-y|^2/(4s)}| \\ &\leq \int_t^\infty ds (4\pi s)^{-m/2} (4s)^{-1} \int \mu_K(dy) | |x-y|^2 - |z-y|^2 | \\ &\leq t^{-m/2} \int \mu_K(dy) (|x| + |z|) (|x| + |z| + 2|y|) \\ &\leq C_{x,K} t^{-m/2} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

since both y and $z \in K \subset B(0; R)$.

Since p is non-negative

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) &\leq \int_{[0,t]} ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_x[L_K < t] \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

This proves (33).

The proof of (34) relies on the following [4,11,12]. For $m \geq 3$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dy \mathbb{P}_y[T_K < t] = C(K)t + o(t), \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (38)$$

Hence there exists T_1 such that for all $t \geq T_1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dy \mathbb{P}_y[T_K < t] \leq 2C(K)t. \quad (39)$$

By the Markov property at time s we have that

$$\mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dy p_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K}(x, y; s) \mathbb{P}_y[T_K < t-s], \quad (40)$$

where $p_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K}(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot)$ is the Dirichlet heat kernel for the open set $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$ (i.e. the transition density of Brownian motion with killing on K). By domain monotonicity of the Dirichlet heat kernel

$$p_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K}(x, y; s) \leq p(x, y; s) \leq (4\pi s)^{-m/2}. \quad (41)$$

We first consider the case $t - s > T_1$. Then by (39)–(41)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] &\leq (4\pi s)^{-m/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dy \mathbb{P}_y[T_K < t - s] \\ &\leq 2(4\pi s)^{-m/2} C(K)(t - s).\end{aligned}\quad (42)$$

Next suppose that $T < T_1$ and $t - s \in [T, T_1]$. Then by monotonicity

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dy \mathbb{P}_y[T_K < t - s] &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dy \mathbb{P}_y[T_K < T_1] \\ &\leq 2C(K)T_1 \leq 2C(K)\frac{T_1}{T}(t - s),\end{aligned}\quad (43)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \leq 2(4\pi s)^{-m/2} C(K) \frac{T_1}{T}(t - s). \quad (44)$$

Combining (42) and (44) we obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \leq Cs^{-m/2}(t - s), \quad t - s \geq T, \quad (45)$$

with C given by

$$C = 2(4\pi)^{-m/2} C(K) \left(1 \vee \frac{T_1}{T} \right). \quad (46)$$

By (45)

$$\mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \leq \mathbb{P}_x[t - T < T_K < t] \leq CT(t - T)^{-m/2}, \quad t - s \leq T, \quad (47)$$

and (34) follows from (45)–(47). \square

Proposition 4. Let K be a compact and non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^m ($m \geq 3$). Then for $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_x[t < T_K < \infty] &= \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] + \mathbb{P}_x[t < T_K < \infty] \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] \\ &\quad - \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) + O(t^{-m/2}).\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}_x[t < T_K < \infty] = \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] - \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t < L_K]. \quad (49)$$

By the strong Markov property

$$\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t < L_K] = E_x \left\{ \int_0^t 1_{T_K < s} \mathbb{P}_{B(T_K)}[t - s < L_K < \infty] \right\}. \quad (50)$$

Using Lemma 3, (31) with $z = B(T_K)$

$$|\mathbb{P}_{B(T_K)}[t - s < L_K < \infty] - \mathbb{P}_x[t - s < L_K < \infty]| \leq 1 \wedge C_{x,K}(t - s)^{-m/2}. \quad (51)$$

If we can show that

$$E_x \left\{ \int_0^t 1_{T_K < s} (1 \wedge C_{x,K}(t - s)^{-m/2}) \right\} = O(t^{-m/2}), \quad (52)$$

then, by (50)–(52),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t < L_K] &= E_x \left\{ \int_0^t 1_{T_K \in ds} \mathbb{P}_x[t - s < L_K < \infty] \right\} + O(t^{-m/2}) \\
&= \int_0^t ds \frac{d}{ds} (\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < s] - \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t]) \mathbb{P}_x[t - s < L_K < \infty] + O(t^{-m/2}) \\
&= \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t] \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] \\
&\quad + \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{P}_x[t - s < L_K < \infty] + O(t^{-m/2}). \tag{53}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies Proposition 4 since, by (18),

$$\frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{P}_x[t - s < L_K < \infty] = \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s). \tag{54}$$

To prove (52) we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_x \left\{ \int_0^t 1_{T_K \in ds} (1 \wedge C_{x,K}(t-s)^{-m/2}) \right\} \\
&= \int_0^t ds \frac{d}{ds} (\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < s] - \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t]) (1 \wedge C_{x,K}(t-s)^{-m/2}) \\
&= \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t] (1 \wedge C_{x,K} t^{-m/2}) + \frac{m}{2} C_{x,K} \int_0^{t^*} ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] (t-s)^{-(m+2)/2}, \tag{55}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$t^* = (t - T) \vee 0, \tag{56}$$

and

$$T = C_{x,K}^{2/m}. \tag{57}$$

The first term in the right-hand side of (55) is $O(t^{-m/2})$. To estimate the second term in the right-hand side of (55) we suppose that $t > T$ and use Lemma 3 with $T = C_{x,K}^{2/m}$ to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{t^*} ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] (t-s)^{-(m+2)/2} \\
&\leq \int_0^{(t-T)/2} ds (t-s)^{-(m+2)/2} + \int_{(t-T)/2}^{t-T} ds C s^{-m/2} (t-s)^{-m/2} \\
&\leq ((t+T)/2)^{-m/2} + C((t-T)/2)^{-m/2} \int_0^{t-T} ds (t-s)^{-m/2} = O(t^{-m/2}). \quad \square \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude this section with the proof of Proposition 2 for $m \geq 5$. By Lemma 3, (29), the second term in the right-hand side of (48) is $O(t^{2-m})$ and hence is $O(t^{-m/2})$ for $m \geq 4$. By (19) and (15) we have for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\int \mu_K(dy) p(z, y; t-s) \leq C(K) t^{-m/2}, \quad s \in [0, t/2]. \tag{59}$$

Hence, by (29) and (59), we have for $m \geq 5$

$$\int_0^{t/2} ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \leq C(K) t^{-m/2} \int_0^{t/2} ds (1 \wedge C(K) s^{1-m/2}) = O(t^{-m/2}). \quad (60)$$

By (34) we have for $m \geq 5$

$$\int_{t/2}^{t-T} ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \leq C \int_{t/2}^{t-T} ds s^{-m/2} C(K) (t-s)^{1-m/2} = O(t^{-m/2}). \quad (61)$$

By (34) and (33) for $E = [t-T, t]$ we have

$$\int_{t-T}^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \leq CT(t-T)^{-m/2} = O(t^{-m/2}). \quad (62)$$

By (60)–(62) and Proposition 4 we conclude that (21) holds for $m \geq 5$. \square

3. Proof of Proposition 2 for $m = 4$

The proof of Proposition 2 for $m = 4$ and $m = 3$ relies on the asymptotic analysis of the third term in the right-hand side of (48).

Proposition 5. *Let K be a compact and non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^m ($m \geq 3$). Then for $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) + \sum_{i=1}^4 A_i + O(t^{-m/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where

$$A_1 = \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] \mathbb{P}_x[t-s < L_K < \infty] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s), \quad (64)$$

$$A_2 = \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < \infty] \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s), \quad (65)$$

$$A_3 = \int_0^t ds \int_s^t d\tau \mathbb{P}_x[\tau < T_K < t] \int \mu_K(dz) p(x, z; t-\tau) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s), \quad (66)$$

$$A_4 = \int_0^t ds \int_0^s d\tau \mathbb{P}_x[\tau < T_K < s] \int \mu_K(dz) (p(x, z; t-\tau) - p(x, z; s-\tau)) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s). \quad (67)$$

Proof. Since

$$\mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t] = \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] + \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t < L_K] - \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < s < L_K], \quad (68)$$

we have that the left-hand side of (63) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ & + \int_0^t ds (\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t < L_K] - \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < s < L_K]) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

By the strong Markov property we can write the second term in (69) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t ds E_x \left\{ \int_0^t 1_{T_K \in d\tau} \mathbb{P}_{B(T_K)}[t-\tau < L_K < \infty] \right. \\ & \left. - \int_0^s 1_{T_K \in d\tau} \mathbb{P}_{B(T_K)}[s-\tau < L_K < \infty] \right\} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

First we show that we can replace $B(T_K)$ in (70) by x at a cost $O(t^{-m/2})$. By (52)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t ds E_x \left\{ \int_0^t 1_{T_K \in d\tau} (1 \wedge C_{x,K}(t-\tau)^{-m/2}) \right\} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ & \leq E_x \left\{ \int_0^t 1_{T_K \in d\tau} (1 \wedge C_{x,K}(t-\tau)^{-m/2}) \right\} = O(t^{-m/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Moreover by (55)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t ds E_x \left\{ \int_0^s 1_{T_K \in d\tau} (1 \wedge C_{x,K}(s-\tau)^{-m/2}) \right\} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ & = \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < s] (1 \wedge C_{x,K}s^{-m/2}) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ & + \int_0^t ds \int_0^{s^*} d\tau \mathbb{P}_x[\tau < T_K < s] C_{x,K} \frac{m}{2} (s-\tau)^{-(m+2)/2} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s), \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where

$$s^* = (s - T) \vee 0. \quad (73)$$

By (59)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{t/2} ds \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < s] (1 \wedge C_{x,K}s^{-m/2}) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \leq C(K)t^{-m/2} \left(\int_0^\infty ds (1 \wedge C_{x,K}s^{-m/2}) \right) \\ & = O(t^{-m/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

By (33) with $E = [t/2, t]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t/2}^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < s] (1 \wedge C_{x,K} s^{-m/2}) \int_E \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) &\leq C_{x,K} (t/2)^{-m/2} \int_E ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ &= O(t^{-m/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

To estimate the second term in the right-hand side of (72) we have that the contribution from $s \in [T, 2T]$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{2T} ds \int_0^{s-T} d\tau C_{x,K} \frac{m}{2} (s-\tau)^{-(m+2)/2} \int_E \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) &\leq \int_T^{2T} ds \int_E \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ &\leq C(K) T (t-2T)^{-m/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

The interval $[2T, t/2]$ contributes at most, by (34) and (59),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{2T}^{t/2} ds \int_0^{(s-T)/2} d\tau C_{x,K} \frac{m}{2} (s-\tau)^{-(m+2)/2} \int_E \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ &+ \int_{2T}^{t/2} ds \int_{(s-T)/2}^{s-T} d\tau CC_{x,K} \frac{m}{2} \tau^{-m/2} (s-\tau)^{-m/2} \int_E \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\ &\leq C(K) C_{x,K} t^{-m/2} \int_{2T}^{t/2} ds \int_{-\infty}^{(s-T)/2} d\tau \frac{m}{2} (s-\tau)^{-(m+2)/2} \\ &+ CC(K) C_{x,K} t^{-m/2} \int_{2T}^{t/2} ds ((s-T)/2)^{-m/2} \int_{-\infty}^{s-T} d\tau \frac{m}{2} (s-\tau)^{-m/2} \\ &= O(t^{-m/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

The interval $[t/2, t]$ contributes at most, by (33) and (34),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t/2 < s < t} \left\{ \int_0^{(s-T)/2} d\tau C_{x,K} \frac{m}{2} (s-\tau)^{-(m+2)/2} + \int_{(s-T)/2}^{s-T} d\tau CC_{x,K} \frac{m}{2} \tau^{-m/2} (s-\tau)^{-m/2} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{t/2 < s < t} \{ C_{x,K} ((s+T)/2)^{-m/2} + 3CC_{x,K} ((s-T)/2)^{-m/2} T^{(2-m)/2} \} = O(t^{-m/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

By (74)–(78) we conclude that the right-hand side of (72) is $O(t^{-m/2})$. Then, by Lemma 3, (31), (71) we have that the expression in (70) equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t ds \left\{ \int_0^t d\tau \frac{d}{d\tau} (\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < \tau] - \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t]) \mathbb{P}_x[t - \tau < L_K < \infty] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^s d\tau \frac{d}{d\tau} (\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < \tau] - \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < s]) \mathbb{P}_x[s - \tau < L_K < \infty] \right\} \int_E \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) + O(t^{-m/2}) \\ &= \int_0^t ds \left\{ \int_s^t d\tau \frac{d}{d\tau} (\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < \tau] - \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < t]) \mathbb{P}_x[t - \tau < L_K < \infty] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^s d\tau \frac{d}{d\tau} (\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < \tau] - \mathbb{P}_x[T_K < s]) \mathbb{P}_x[s - \tau < L_K < t - \tau] \Bigg\} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) + O(t^{-m/2}) \\
& = -\mathbb{P}_x[T_K < \infty] \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) + \sum_{i=1}^4 A_i + O(t^{-m/2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

after two integrations by parts. Proposition 5 follows by (69) and (79). \square

Below we obtain the asymptotic behaviour of the first term in the right-hand side of (63).

Lemma 6. *Let K be a compact and non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^4 . Then for $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) = 2(4\pi)^{-4} C(K)^2 \frac{\log t}{t^2} + O(t^{-2}). \tag{80}$$

Proof. By (35)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) & \leq \int_0^T ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) \\
& \leq C(K) T (t - T)^{-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

By (33)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t-T}^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) & \leq P_x[t - T < L_K < t] \int_{t-T}^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}_x[t - T < L_K < t] \leq C(K) T / (t(t - T)).
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Furthermore by (35) and (19)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_T^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) & \leq (4\pi)^{-4} C(K)^2 \int_T^{t-T} ds (s^{-1} - t^{-1}) (t - s)^{-2} \\
& = 2(4\pi)^{-4} C(K)^2 \frac{\log t}{t^2} + O(t^{-2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

which proves the upper bound in (80). To prove the lower bound in (80) we have by (35) and (19)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) \\
& \geq \int_T^{t-T} ds \int_s^t d\tau (4\pi\tau)^{-2} \int \mu_K(dz) \left(1 - \frac{|x - z|^2}{4\tau}\right) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s).
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_T^{t-T} ds \int_s^t d\tau (4\pi\tau)^{-2} \int \mu_K(dz) \frac{|x - z|^2}{4\tau} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) \\
& \leq C(K)^2 (|x| + R)^2 \int_T^{t-T} ds \int_s^\infty d\tau \tau^{-3} (t - s)^{-2} = O(t^{-2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

we have that the left-hand side of (84) is bounded below by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{t-T} ds \int_s^t d\tau (4\pi\tau)^{-2} C(K) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) + O(t^{-2}) \\ & \geq (4\pi)^{-4} C(K)^2 \int_T^{t-T} ds (t-s)^{-2} (s^{-1} - t^{-1}) - C(K)^2 (|x| + R)^2 \int_T^{t-T} ds (t-s)^{-3} (s^{-1} - t^{-1}) + O(t^{-2}) \\ & = 2(4\pi)^{-4} C(K)^2 \frac{\log t}{t^2} + O(t^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

The lower bound in (80) follows from the estimates in (84)–(86). \square

We conclude this section with the proof of Proposition 2 for $m = 4$. By (29) we have that the second term in the right-hand side of (48) is $O(t^{-2})$. Below we will show that $A_i = O(t^{-2})$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$. This implies Theorem 1 for $m = 4$ by Propositions 4, 5 and Lemma 6.

The contribution from $s \in [0, T]$ to A_1 in (64) is bounded by $C(K)T(t-T)^{-2}$. Similarly by (33) with $E = [t-T, t]$ and (34) the contribution from $s \in [t-T, t]$ is bounded by

$$\mathbb{P}_x[t-T < T_K < t] \int_{t-T}^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \leq CC(K)T/(t(t-T)). \quad (87)$$

The contribution from $s \in [T, t/2]$ is bounded, using (29), by

$$\int_T^{t/2} ds C(K)^3 s^{-1} (t-s)^{-3} = O\left(\frac{\log t}{t^3}\right), \quad (88)$$

and the contribution from $s \in [t/2, t-T]$ is bounded, using (34) and (29), by

$$\int_{t/2}^{t-T} ds CC(K)^2 s^{-2} (t-s)^{-2} = O(t^{-2}). \quad (89)$$

This proves that $A_1 = O(t^{-2})$.

The contribution from $s \in [0, T]$ to A_2 is bounded by $C(K)T(t-T)^{-2}$ and the contribution from $s \in [t-T, t]$ to A_2 is bounded, using (29), by $C(K)^2(t-T)^{-2}$.

Finally, the contribution from $s \in [T, t-T]$ is bounded, using (29), (30), by

$$\int_T^{t-T} ds C(K)^3 s^{-1} (s^{-1} - t^{-1}) (t-s)^{-2} = O(t^{-2}). \quad (90)$$

This proves that $A_2 = O(t^{-2})$.

The contribution from $s \in [0, t/2]$ to A_3 is bounded, using Lemma 3 and (59), by

$$t^{-2} \int_0^{t/2} ds \left\{ \int_s^{t/2} d\tau \frac{C(K)^3}{\tau(t-\tau)^2} + \int_{t/2}^{t-T} d\tau \frac{CC(K)^2}{\tau^2(t-\tau)} + \int_{t-T}^t d\tau \frac{CC(K)T}{(t-T)^2} \int \mu_K(dz) p(x, z; t-\tau) \right\} = O\left(\frac{\log t}{t^3}\right). \quad (91)$$

The contribution from $s \in [t/2, t]$ to A_3 is bounded, using (34), by

$$\int_{t/2}^{t-T} ds \int_s^t d\tau \frac{C(t-\tau)}{\tau^2} \int \mu_K(dz) p(x, z; t-\tau) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{CT}{(t-T)^2} \int_{t-T}^t ds \int_{t-T}^t d\tau \int \mu_K(dz) p(x, z; t-\tau) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\
& \leq \frac{4C}{t^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t d\tau (t-\tau)^{1/2} \int \mu_K(dz) p(x, z; t-\tau) \right)^2 + \frac{CT}{(t-T)^2} \\
& = O(t^{-2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

where we have used that for $m = 4$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{1/2} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; \tau) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^{3/2}} \int \mu_K(dy) |x-y|^{-1} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8\pi^{3/2}} \left(\int \mu_K(dy) |x-y|^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \mu_K(dy) \right)^{1/2} \leq C(K)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

This proves that $A_3 = O(t^{-2})$.

The contribution from $s \in [0, 2T]$ to A_4 is bounded by

$$\frac{2C(K)T}{(t-2T)^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^s d\tau \int \mu_K(dz) p(x, z; s-\tau) + \int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-\tau) \right) = O(t^{-2}). \tag{94}$$

The contribution from $s \in [2T, t/2]$ to A_4 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
C(K)t^{-2} \int_{2T}^{t/2} ds \left\{ \int_0^T d\tau \frac{2C(K)}{(s-\tau)^2} + \int_T^{s/2} d\tau \frac{2C(K)^2}{\tau(s-\tau)^2} + \int_{s/2}^{s-T} d\tau \frac{2CC(K)}{\tau^2(s-\tau)} + \int_{s-T}^s d\tau \frac{CT}{(s-T)^2} \right. \\
\left. \times \int \mu_K(dz) (p(x, z; s-\tau) + p(x, z; t-\tau)) \right\} = O(t^{-2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

where we have used that $P_x[\tau < T_K < s]$ is bounded on the intervals $[0, T]$, $[T, s/2]$, $[s/2, s-T]$ and $[s-T, s]$ by 1, $C(K)/\tau$, $C(s-\tau)/\tau^2$ and $CT/(s-T)^2$ respectively.

To bound the contribution from $s \in [t/2, t]$ to A_4 we use that uniformly in x, z, s, τ and t

$$|p(x, z; s-\tau) - p(x, z; t-\tau)| \leq (s-\tau)^{-2} \wedge (t-s)(s-\tau)^{-3} \wedge (t-s)^{1/2}(s-\tau)^{-5/2}. \tag{96}$$

First of all the contribution from the rectangle $\{(s, \tau): t/2 < s < t, 0 < \tau < T\}$ to A_4 is bounded by

$$\int_{t/2}^t ds \int_0^T d\tau \frac{2C(K)}{(s-\tau)^2} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \leq \frac{2C(K)T}{(t/2-T)^2} \int_{t/2}^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) = O(t^{-2}). \tag{97}$$

Secondly, by Lemma 3 and (96), (93)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t/2}^t ds \int_T^{s/2} d\tau \mathbb{P}_x[\tau < T_K < s] \int \mu_K(dz) |p(x, z; t-\tau) - p(x, z; s-\tau)| \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\
& \leq \int_{t/2}^t ds \int_T^{s/2} d\tau \frac{C(K)^2(t-s)^{1/2}}{\tau(s-\tau)^{5/2}} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \\
& \leq C(K)^2 \left(\frac{t}{4} \right)^{-5/2} \int_{t/2}^t ds (t-s)^{1/2} \log \left(\frac{s}{2T} \right) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(K)^{5/2} \left(\frac{t}{4}\right)^{-5/2} \log\left(\frac{t}{2T}\right). \quad (98)$$

Thirdly, by Lemma 3 and (96), (93)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t/2}^t ds \int_{s/2}^{s-T} d\tau \mathbb{P}_x[\tau < T_K < s] \int \mu_K(dz) |p(x, z; t - \tau) - p(x, z; s - \tau)| \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) \\ & \leq \int_{t/2}^t ds \int_{s/2}^{s-T} d\tau \frac{CC(K)(t-s)^{1/2}}{\tau^2(s-\tau)^{3/2}} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) \\ & \leq 16CC(K)t^{-2} \int_0^t ds (t-s)^{1/2} \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) \\ & \quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{s-T} d\tau (s-\tau)^{-3/2} \leq 32CC(K)^{3/2}T^{-1/2}t^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

Finally, by Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t/2}^t ds \int_{s-T}^s d\tau \mathbb{P}_x[\tau < T_K < s] \int \mu_K(dz) |p(x, z; t - \tau) - p(x, z; s - \tau)| \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) \\ & \leq \int_{t/2}^t ds \frac{CT}{(s-T)^2} \int_{s-T}^s d\tau \int \mu_K(dz) (p(x, z; t - \tau) + p(x, z; s - \tau)) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) \\ & \leq \frac{8CT}{(t-2T)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

This completes the proof of $A_4 = O(t^{-2})$ and hence of Proposition 2 for $m = 4$. \square

4. Proof of Proposition 2 for $m = 3$

Throughout this section we assume that $m = 3$. By Propositions 4 and 5

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x[t < T_K < \infty] &= (1 - \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty])^{-1} \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] \\ &\quad - (1 - \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty])^{-1} \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \\ &\quad \times \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) - (1 - \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty])^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^4 A_i + O(t^{-3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

By (20)

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty])^{-1} \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] \\ & = \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \mathbb{P}_x[t < L_K < \infty] + (16\pi^3)^{-1} C(K)^2 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] t^{-1} + O(t^{-3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

Lemma 7. Let K be a compact and non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then for $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) = (16\pi^3)^{-1} C(K)^2 t^{-1} + O(t^{-3/2}). \quad (103)$$

Proof. By (19)

$$\int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \leq (4\pi)^{-3/2} C(K) (t-s)^{-3/2}, \quad (104)$$

so that by (104) and (30)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) &\leq (32\pi^3)^{-1} C(K)^2 t^{-1} \int_0^1 ds s^{-1/2} (1+s^{1/2})^{-1} (1-s)^{-1/2} \\ &= (16\pi^3)^{-1} C(K)^2 t^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

where the integral with respect to $s \in [0, 1]$ is evaluated by the change of variable $s = (\sin \theta)^2$. To prove the lower bound in Lemma 7 we have

$$\int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \geq (4\pi)^{-3/2} C(K) (t-s)^{-3/2} - (4\pi)^{-3/2} C(K) (t-s)^{-3/2} (1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}}). \quad (106)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] (t-s)^{-3/2} (1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}}) &\leq C(K) \int_0^t ds (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2}) (t-s)^{-3/2} (1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}}) \\ &= 2C(K) t^{-1} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{1 + \sin \theta} (1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4t(\cos \theta)^2}}) \\ &\leq 2C(K) t^{-1} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta (1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{\theta^2 t}}) = O(t^{-3/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

we have that the left-hand side of (103) is bounded from below by

$$(4\pi)^{-3/2} C(K) \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] (t-s)^{-3/2} + O(t^{-3/2}). \quad (108)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] &\geq \int \mu_K(dy) \int_s^t d\tau (4\pi \tau)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}} \\ &= (4\pi^{3/2})^{-1} C(K) (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2}) (1 - (1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}})), \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

we have by estimates similar to (107) that (108) is bounded from below by

$$\begin{aligned} (16\pi^3)^{-1} C(K)^2 t^{-1} - C(K)^2 t^{-1} \int_0^1 ds (s^{-1/2} - 1) (1-s)^{-3/2} (1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4st}}) + O(t^{-3/2}) \\ = (16\pi^3)^{-1} C(K)^2 t^{-1} + O(t^{-3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

This completes, by (106)–(110), the proof of the lower bound in Lemma 7. \square

By Lemma 7 we obtain that the term of order t^{-1} in (102) cancels with the second term in the right-hand side of (101). So the proof of Proposition 2 for $m = 3$ is complete if we can show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 A_i = O(t^{-3/2}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (111)$$

However, it turns out that each of the A_i is (for $m = 3$) of order $(\log t)/t^{3/2}$. So in order to obtain (111) we will show that the sum of the coefficients of $\log t/t^{3/2}$ of the A_i 's cancel with remainder $O(t^{-3/2})$. In Proposition 8 we state that $\mathbb{P}_x[s < T_K < t]$ in (64) can be replaced by $\mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t]\mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty]$ at a cost of $O(t^{-3/2})$ with similar replacements in (65)–(67) respectively. In Lemma 9 we obtain, using Proposition 8, the desired asymptotic behaviour of each of the A_i . This in turn implies (111) and thereby completing the proof of (111) and of Theorem 1.

Proposition 8. *Let K be a compact, non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^3 , and let A_i $i = 1, \dots, 4$ be given by (64)–(67) respectively. Then for $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$A_1 = \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \mathbb{P}_x[t - s < L_K < \infty] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) + O(t^{-3/2}), \quad (112)$$

$$A_2 = \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < \infty] \mathbb{P}_x[s < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) + O(t^{-3/2}), \quad (113)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds \int_s^t d\tau \mathbb{P}_x[\tau < L_K < t] \int \mu_K(dz) p(x, z; t - \tau) \\ &\quad \times \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) + O(t^{-3/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_4 &= \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds \int_0^s d\tau \mathbb{P}_x[\tau < L_K < s] \int \mu_K(dz) \\ &\quad \times (p(x, z; t - \tau) - p(x, z; s - \tau)) \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t - s) + O(t^{-3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

It is convenient to denote the first term in the right-hand sides of (112)–(115) respectively by B_1, \dots, B_4 .

Lemma 9. *Let K be a compact and non-polar set in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then for $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$B_1 = 2(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^3 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \frac{\log t}{t^{3/2}} + O(t^{-3/2}), \quad (116)$$

$$B_2 = 4(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^3 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \frac{\log t}{t^{3/2}} + O(t^{-3/2}), \quad (117)$$

$$B_3 = 2(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^3 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \frac{\log t}{t^{3/2}} + O(t^{-3/2}), \quad (118)$$

$$B_4 = -8(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^3 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \frac{\log t}{t^{3/2}} + O(t^{-3/2}). \quad (119)$$

Proof. By (29), (30) and (104)

$$B_1 \leq 4(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^2 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2})(t - s)^{-2} \int \mu_K(dy) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}}. \quad (120)$$

On the other hand, by (35)

$$\mathbb{P}_x[t - s < L_K < \infty] \geq 2(4\pi)^{-3/2} C(K)(t - s)^{-1/2} \left(1 + e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}} - 1\right). \quad (121)$$

Hence by (109) and (121)

$$B_1 \geq 4(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^2 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2})(t-s)^{-2} \\ \times \int \mu_K(dy) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left(1 - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}}\right) - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right)\right). \quad (122)$$

Below we will compute the leading asymptotic behaviour of the right-hand side of (120). Substitution of $s = t(\cos \theta)$ in (120) yields that the integral equals

$$2t^{-3/2} \int \mu_K(dy) \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta (\sin \theta)^{-1} (1 + \cos \theta)^{-1} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t(\sin \theta)^2}}. \quad (123)$$

Since

$$(\sin \theta)^{-1} (1 + \cos \theta)^{-1} \leq (2\theta)^{-1} + 4, \quad 0 < \theta < \pi/2, \quad (124)$$

we have that the right-hand side of (123) is bounded from above by

$$t^{-3/2} \int \mu_K(dy) \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \theta^{-1} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t\theta^2}} + O(t^{-3/2}) = \frac{1}{2} t^{-3/2} \int \mu_K(dy) \int_{\frac{|x-y|^2}{\pi^2 t}}^{\infty} du u^{-1} e^{-u} + O(t^{-3/2}) \\ = \frac{1}{2} t^{-3/2} \int \mu_K(dy) \log\left(\frac{|x-y|^2}{\pi^2 t}\right) + O(t^{-3/2}). \quad (125)$$

This gives, together with (120), the desired upper bound for the asymptotic behaviour of the right-hand side of (120). The lower bound for the right-hand side of (120) follows similarly, using $(\sin \theta)^{-1} (1 + \cos \theta)^{-1} \geq (2\theta)^{-1}$, $0 < \theta < \pi/2$. Furthermore returning to (122) we have that

$$\int_0^t ds (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2})(t-s)^{-2} \int \mu_K(dy) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}}\right) \\ \leq 2t^{-3/2} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta (\sin \theta)^{-1} \int \mu_K(dy) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t(\sin \theta)^2}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4t(\sin \theta)^2}}\right) \\ \leq 2t^{-3/2} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta (\sin \theta)^{-1} \int \mu_K(dy) \left(\frac{4t(\sin \theta)^2}{|x-y|^2}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4t(\sin \theta)^2}}\right) \\ \leq 16\pi t^{-1} \left(\int \mu_K(dy) \frac{1}{4\pi|x-y|}\right) \int_0^{\infty} d\theta \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\pi^2(|x|+R)^2}{16t\theta^2}}\right) = O(t^{-3/2}), \quad (126)$$

and

$$\int_0^t ds (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2})(t-s)^{-2} \int \mu_K(dy) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right) \\ \leq \int_0^t ds (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2})(t-s)^{-2} \int \mu_K(dy) \left(\frac{4(t-s)}{|x-y|^2}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right) \\ \leq 8\pi t^{-1} \int_0^t ds s^{-1/2} (t-s)^{-1/2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right)$$

$$= 16\pi t^{-1} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4t(\sin\theta)^2}}\right) = O(t^{-3/2}). \quad (127)$$

It follows by (126) and (127) that the two remainders in the right-hand side of (122) contribute each at most $O(t^{-3/2})$. This completes the proof of (116).

To prove (117) we note that by (29), (30) and (104)

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &\leq 2(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^2 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = +\infty] \int_0^t ds (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2})(t-s)^{-3/2} \int \mu_K(dy) \int_s^\infty d\tau \tau^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4\tau}} \\ &= 4(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^2 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] t^{-3/2} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{\sin\theta(1+\sin\theta)} \int \mu_K(dy) \int_1^\infty d\tau \tau^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4\tau t(\sin\theta)^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &\geq 2(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^2 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2})(t-s)^{-3/2} \\ &\quad \times \int \mu_K(dy) \int_s^t d\tau \tau^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4\tau}} \left(1 - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right) - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

Below we will compute the leading asymptotic behaviour of the right-hand side of (128). Using the inequality $(\sin\theta)^{-1} \leq \theta^{-1} + 4$, $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, we obtain for (128) the upper bound

$$4(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^2 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] t^{-3/2} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \theta^{-1} \int_1^\infty d\tau \tau^{-3/2} \int \mu_K(dy) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4\tau t \theta^2}} + O(t^{-3/2}), \quad (130)$$

and the upper bound follows by a calculation similar to (125). The lower bound for the right-hand side of (128) follows using $(\sin\theta)^{-1}(1+\sin\theta)^{-1} \geq \theta^{-1} - 4$, $0 < \theta < \pi/2$. Furthermore returning to (129) we have a first error term

$$\int_0^t ds (s^{-1/2} - t^{-1/2})(t-s)^{-3/2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right) \int \mu_K(dy) \int_s^t d\tau \tau^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4\tau}}. \quad (131)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mu_K(dy) \int_s^t d\tau \tau^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4\tau}} &\leq \int \mu_K(dy) \int_s^\infty d\tau \tau^{-3/2} \left(\frac{4\tau}{|x-y|^2}\right)^{1/4} \\ &\leq 4\sqrt{2}s^{-1/4} \int \mu_K(dy) |x-y|^{-1/2} \\ &\leq 4\sqrt{2}s^{-1/4} \left(\int \mu_K(dy) |x-y|^{-1}\right)^{1/2} C(K)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 8\sqrt{2\pi} s^{-1/4} C(K)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

we have that (131) is bounded from above by

$$8\sqrt{2\pi} C(K)^{1/2} t^{-1} \int_0^t ds s^{-3/4} (t-s)^{-1/2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 16\sqrt{2\pi}C(K)^{1/2}t^{-5/4}\int_0^{\pi/2}d\theta(\sin\theta)^{-1/2}(1-e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4t(\sin\theta)^2}}) \\
&\leq 16\pi C(K)^{1/2}t^{-5/4}\int_0^\infty d\theta\theta^{-1/2}(1-e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4t\theta^2}})=O(t^{-3/2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{133}$$

The second error term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t ds(s^{-1/2}-t^{-1/2})(t-s)^{-3/2}(1-e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}})\int\mu_K(dy)\int_s^t d\tau\tau^{-3/2}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4\tau}} \\
&\leq 8\sqrt{2\pi}C(K)^{1/2}t^{-1}\int_0^t ds s^{-3/4}(t-s)^{-1/2}(1-e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}}) \\
&\leq 8\sqrt{\pi}C(K)^{1/2}(|x|+R)^{1/2}t^{-1}\int_0^t ds s^{-3/4}(t-s)^{-3/4}=O(t^{-3/2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

where we have used (132) and the inequality $1-e^{-\theta}\leq\theta^{1/4}$, $\theta\geq 0$. It follows by (133) and (134) that the two remainders in the right-hand side of (129) contribute each at most $O(t^{-3/2})$. This completes the proof of (117).

To prove (118) we note that by (30) and (104)

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3 &\leq 2(4\pi)^{-9/2}C(K)^2\mathbb{P}_x[T_K=\infty]\int_0^t ds\int\mu_K(dy)e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}}(t-s)^{-3/2} \\
&\quad \times\int_s^t d\tau(\tau^{-1/2}-t^{-1/2})(t-\tau)^{-3/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{135}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3 &\geq 2(4\pi)^{-9/2}C(K)^2\mathbb{P}_x[T_K=\infty]\int_0^t ds\int\mu_K(dy)e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}}(t-s)^{-3/2}\int_s^t d\tau(\tau^{-1/2}-t^{-1/2})(t-\tau)^{-3/2} \\
&\quad \times\left(1-\left(1-e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right)-\left(1-e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(t-s)}}\right)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{136}$$

Below we will compute the leading asymptotic behaviour of the right-hand side of (135). Firstly, since

$$\int_s^t d\tau(\tau^{-1/2}-t^{-1/2})(t-\tau)^{-3/2}=\int_s^t d\tau\tau^{-1/2}t^{-1/2}(\tau^{1/2}+t^{1/2})^{-1}(t-\tau)^{-1/2}\geq\frac{(t-s)^{1/2}}{t^{3/2}} \tag{137}$$

we have that the right-hand side of (135) is bounded from below by

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(4\pi)^{-9/2}C(K)^2\mathbb{P}_x[T_K=\infty]t^{-3/2}\int_0^t ds\int\mu_K(dy)(t-s)^{-1}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \\
&\geq 2(4\pi)^{-9/2}C(K)^3\mathbb{P}_x[T_K=\infty]\frac{\log t}{t^{3/2}}+O(t^{-3/2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{138}$$

Secondly, since

$$\int_s^t d\tau(\tau^{-1/2}-t^{-1/2})(t-\tau)^{-3/2}\leq\frac{(t-s)^{1/2}}{t^{3/2}}+\frac{2(t-s)^{3/2}}{t^2s^{1/2}} \tag{139}$$

we have that the right-hand side of (135) is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(4\pi)^{-9/2}C(K)^2\mathbb{P}_x[T_K=\infty]t^{-3/2}\int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy)(t-s)^{-1}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} + O(t^{-3/2}) \\ & \leqslant 2(4\pi)^{-9/2}C(K)^3\mathbb{P}_x[T_K=\infty]\frac{\log t}{t^{3/2}} + O(t^{-3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

In order to complete the proof of (118) we have to show that the two error terms in the right-hand side of (136) contribute at most $O(t^{-3/2})$. Since the right-hand side of (139) is bounded from above by $3(t-s)^{1/2}t^{-1}s^{-1/2}$ we have that the first of these error terms is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & C(K)^2t^{-1}\int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy)(t-s)^{-1}s^{-1/2}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}}\left(1-e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right) \\ & \leqslant 8\pi C(K)^2t^{-1}\int \mu_K(dy)(4\pi|x-y|)^{-1}\int_0^t ds (t-s)^{-1/2}s^{-1/2}\left(1-e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s}}\right) \\ & \leqslant 16\pi C(K)^2t^{-1}\int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \left(1-e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4t(\sin\theta)^2}}\right) = O(t^{-3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

The upper bound for the second of these error terms follows by a similar calculation. This completes the proof of (118).

To prove (119) we rewrite B_4 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} B_4 &= (4\pi)^{-3/2}C(K)\mathbb{P}_x[T_K=\infty]\int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy)p(x,y;t-s) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^s d\tau ((t-\tau)^{-3/2}-(s-\tau)^{-3/2}) \int_\tau^s d\rho \int \mu_K(dw)p(x,w;\rho) \\ &\quad + (4\pi)^{-3/2}\mathbb{P}_x[T_K=\infty]\int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy)p(x,y;t-s) \int \mu_K(dz) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^s d\tau (t-\tau)^{-3/2}(e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4(t-\tau)}}-1) \int_\tau^s d\rho \int \mu_K(dw)p(x,w;\rho) \\ &\quad + (4\pi)^{-3/2}\mathbb{P}_x[T_K=\infty]\int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy)p(x,y;t-s) \int \mu_K(dz) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^s d\tau (s-\tau)^{-3/2}(1-e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4(s-\tau)}}) \int_\tau^s d\rho \int \mu_K(dw)p(x,w;\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

We first show that the third term in the right-hand side of (142) is bounded in absolute value by $O(t^{-3/2})$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\tau^s d\rho \int \mu_K(dw)p(x,w;\rho) &\leqslant 2(4\pi)^{-3/2}(\tau^{-1/2}-s^{-1/2}) \int \mu_K(dw)e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2}{4s}} \\ &\leqslant (s-\tau)\tau^{-1/2}s^{-1} \int \mu_K(dw)e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2}{4s}}. \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

Hence the absolute value of this third term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& C(K) \int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \int_0^s d\tau \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(s-\tau)}}\right) (s-\tau)^{-1/2} \tau^{-1/2} s^{-1} \int \mu_K(dw) e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2}{4s}} \\
& = 2C(K) \int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) s^{-1} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4s(\sin\theta)^2}}\right) \int \mu_K(dw) e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2}{4s}} \\
& \leqslant (4\pi)^2 (|x| + R) C(K) \int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \int \mu_K(dw) p(x, w; s) = O(t^{-3/2}). \tag{144}
\end{aligned}$$

Since for $0 < \tau < s < t$

$$(t-\tau)^{-3/2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4(t-\tau)}}\right) \leqslant (s-\tau)^{-3/2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{4(s-\tau)}}\right), \tag{145}$$

we have that the second term in the right-hand side of (142) is also estimated by (144).

It remains to find the asymptotic behaviour of the first term in the right-hand side of (142). By the first inequality in (143) we have that this term is bounded from below by

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K) \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) (t-s)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \\
& \times \int_0^s d\tau ((t-\tau)^{-3/2} - (s-\tau)^{-3/2}) (\tau^{-1/2} - s^{-1/2}) \int \mu_K(dw) e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2}{4s}}. \tag{146}
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^s d\tau ((s-\tau)^{-3/2} - (t-\tau)^{-3/2}) (\tau^{-1/2} - s^{-1/2}) \\
& = 2(t-s)^{3/2} (t^{1/2} + (t-s)^{1/2})^{-1} s^{-1} [(t-s)^{-1} + (t+s+(st)^{1/2}) t^{-1} (t-s)^{-1/2} (t^{1/2} + s^{1/2})^{-1}]. \tag{147}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (146) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K) \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) \int \mu_K(dw) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)} - \frac{|x-w|^2}{4s}} (t^{1/2} + (t-s)^{1/2})^{-1} s^{-1} \\
& \times [(t-s)^{-1} + (t+s+(st)^{1/2}) t^{-1} (t-s)^{-1/2} (t^{1/2} + s^{1/2})^{-1}]. \tag{148}
\end{aligned}$$

The first term in the square brackets of (148) gives the contribution

$$-6(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^3 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \frac{\log t}{t^{3/2}} + O(t^{-3/2}), \tag{149}$$

and the second term contributes

$$-2(4\pi)^{-9/2} C(K)^3 \mathbb{P}_x[T_K = \infty] \frac{\log t}{t^{3/2}} + O(t^{-3/2}). \tag{150}$$

By (146)–(150) we conclude that the first term in the right-hand side of (142) is bounded from below by the expression in the right-hand side of (119). Since

$$\int_\tau^s d\rho \int \mu_K(dw) p(x, w; \rho) \geqslant 2(4\pi)^{-3/2} (\tau^{-1/2} - s^{-1/2}) \int \mu_K(dw) e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2}{4\tau}} \tag{151}$$

we have, by (143), that the resulting upper bound differs from the lower bound by at most

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \int_0^s d\tau ((s-\tau)^{-3/2} - (t-\tau)^{-3/2}) \\
& \quad \times C(K)(\tau^{-1/2} - s^{-1/2}) \int \mu_K(dw) (e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2}{4s}} - e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2}{4t}}) \\
& \leq \int_0^t ds \int \mu_K(dy) p(x, y; t-s) \int \mu_K(dw) s^{-1} e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2}{4s}} \\
& \quad \times C(K) \int_0^s d\tau \tau^{-1/2} (s-\tau)^{-1/2} (1 - e^{-|x-w|^2(\frac{1}{4t} - \frac{1}{4s})}). \tag{152}
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting $\tau = s(\sin \theta)^2$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t d\tau \tau^{-1/2} (s-\tau)^{-1/2} (1 - e^{-|x-w|^2(\frac{1}{4t} - \frac{1}{4s})}) & \leq 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta (1 - e^{-\frac{|x-w|^2(\cos \theta)^2}{4s(\sin \theta)^2}}) \leq 2 \int_0^\infty d\theta (1 - e^{-\frac{(|x|+R)^2}{s\theta^2}}) \\
& \leq (4\pi)^{1/2} (|x| + R) s^{-1/2}. \tag{153}
\end{aligned}$$

Then (152) is bounded from above by

$$(4\pi)^2 C(K) (|x| + R) \int \mu_K(dy) \int \mu_K(dw) \int_0^t ds p(x, w; s) p(x, y; t-s). \tag{154}$$

But (154) has been estimated in (144). This completes the proof of (119), Lemma 9 and Proposition 2 for $m = 3$. \square

Finally one can show that, by going through the estimates leading to the proof of Proposition 2, the remainder $O(t^{-m/2})$ in Theorem 1 is uniform on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Erwin Bolthausen and Brian Davies for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] M. van den Berg, Asymptotics of the heat exchange, *J. Funct. Anal.* 206 (2004) 379–390.
- [2] M. van den Berg, On the expected volume of intersection of independent Wiener sausages and the asymptotic behaviour of some related integrals, *J. Funct. Anal.* 222 (2005) 114–128.
- [3] M. van den Berg, On the volume of intersection of three independent Wiener sausages, in preparation.
- [4] J.-F. Le Gall, Sur une conjecture de M. Kac, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 78 (1988) 389–402.
- [5] J.-F. Le Gall, Wiener sausage and self-intersection local times, *J. Funct. Anal.* 88 (1990) 299–341.
- [6] J.-F. Le Gall, Some properties of planar Brownian motion, in: *École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XX 1990*, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1527, Springer, Berlin, 1992, pp. 111–235.
- [7] A. Joffe, Sojourn time for stable processes, Thesis, Cornell University, 1959.
- [8] S.C. Port, Hitting times for transient stable processes, *Pacific J. Math.* 21 (1967) 161–165.
- [9] S.C. Port, Hitting times and potentials for recurrent stable processes, *J. Anal. Math.* 20 (1967) 371–395.
- [10] S.C. Port, C.J. Stone, *Brownian Motion and Classical Potential Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [11] S.C. Port, Asymptotic expansions for the expected volume of a stable sausage, *Ann. Probab.* 18 (1990) 492–523.
- [12] F. Spitzer, Electrostatic capacity, heat flow and Brownian motion, *Z. Wahrscheinl. Verw. Geb.* 3 (1964) 110–121.
- [13] A.-S. Sznitman, *Brownian Motion, Obstacles and Random Media*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.