



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003) 913–918



## Partial Differential Equations

# Capacitary estimates of solutions of a class of nonlinear elliptic equations $\star$

Moshe Marcus<sup>a</sup>, Laurent Véron<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Israel Institute of Technology-Technion, 32000 Haifa, Israel

<sup>b</sup> Département de mathématiques, Faculté des sciences et techniques, Université de Tours, 37200 Tours, France

Received and accepted 2 April 2003

Presented by Haïm Brezis

### Abstract

Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $K$  a compact subset of  $\partial\Omega$ . Assume that  $q \geqslant (N+1)/(N-1)$  and denote by  $U_K$  the maximal solution of  $-\Delta u + u^q = 0$  in  $\Omega$  which vanishes on  $\partial\Omega \setminus K$ . We obtain sharp upper and lower estimates for  $U_K$  in terms of the Bessel capacity  $C_{2/q,q'}$  and prove that  $U_K$  is  $\sigma$ -moderate. In addition we relate the strong ‘blow-up’ points of  $U_K$  on  $\partial\Omega$  to the ‘thick’ points of  $K$  in the fine topology associated with  $C_{2/q,q'}$  and characterize these points by a path integral condition on  $U_K$ . **To cite this article:** M. Marcus, L. Véron, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003).

© 2003 Académie des sciences. Published by Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

### Résumé

**Estimations capacitaires des solutions d’une classe d’équations elliptiques non linéaires.** Soit  $\Omega$  un domaine borné régulier de  $\mathbb{R}^N$  et  $K$  un sous-ensemble compact de  $\partial\Omega$ . Supposons  $q \geqslant (N+1)/(N-1)$  et soit  $U_K$  la solution maximale de  $(\mathcal{E}) -\Delta u + u^q = 0$  dans  $\Omega$  qui s’annule sur  $\partial\Omega \setminus K$ . Nous obtenons des majorations et minorations précises de  $U_K$  au moyen de la capacité de Bessel  $C_{2/q,q'}$  et montrons que  $U_K$  est  $\sigma$ -modérée. En outre nous corrélons les points d’explosion forte de  $U_K$  et les points épais de  $K$  pour la topologie fine associée à  $C_{2/q,q'}$  et caractérisons ces points par une condition d’intégrale de chemin portant sur  $U_K$ . **Pour citer cet article :** M. Marcus, L. Véron, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003).

© 2003 Académie des sciences. Published by Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

### Version française abrégée

Soit  $\Omega$  un domaine de  $\mathbb{R}^N$  de bord de classe  $C^2$  et  $q > 1$  un nombre réel. Si  $\mu$  est une mesure de Radon sur  $\Sigma := \partial\Omega$ , le problème (1) possède une solution si et seulement si  $\mu$  s’annule sur les ensembles de capacité  $C_{2/q,q'}$  nulle. Cette solution est unique et sera notée  $u_\mu$ . Une solution positive  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  de l’Éq. (2) est  $\sigma$ -modérée si il existe une suite croissante de mesures de Radon positives  $\mu_n$  sur  $\Sigma$  telles que la suite  $\{u_{\mu_n}\}$  converge vers  $u$ . Le Gall [5], dans le cas  $N = q = 2$ , par des méthodes probabilistes, puis Marcus et Véron [6] dans le cas général

$\star$  This research was supported by RTN contract No. HPRN-CT-2002-00274.

E-mail addresses: marcusm@math.technion.ac.il (M. Marcus), veronl@univ-tours.fr (L. Véron).

$1 < q < (N + 1)/(N - 1)$ , par des méthodes entièrement analytiques, ont montré que toutes les solutions de (2) étaient  $\sigma$ -modérées. Dans [9] Mselati a prouvé par une combinaison de méthodes probabilistes et analytiques que dans le cas  $q = 2$ , ce résultat demeurait vrai en toute dimension. Le théorème suivant étend au cas général l'étape clef de la construction de Mselati :

**Théorème 2.** *Soit  $K \subset \Sigma$  un sous-ensemble compact et  $q \geq (N + 1)/(N - 1)$ . Alors la solution maximale  $U_K$  de (2) qui s'annule sur  $\Sigma \setminus K$  est  $\sigma$ -modérée.*

La fonction  $\underline{U}_K = \sup\{\mu : \mu \in W_+^{2/q,q'}(\Sigma), \mu(K^c) = 0\}$  est  $\sigma$ -modérée par construction. Si  $x \in \Omega$ , on obtient des estimations précises de  $U_K(x)$  et de  $\underline{U}_K(x)$  en fonction de la capacité de Bessel de  $K$  et des distances  $\rho(x)$  et  $\rho_K(x)$  de  $x$  au bord et à  $K$  respectivement. On démontre alors que le quotient  $U_K/\underline{U}_K$  est majoré dans  $\Omega$  et on conclut comme dans [6]. Un point  $\sigma$  de  $K$  est dit épais pour la topologie fine associée à  $C_{2/q,q'}$  si

$$J_q(K, \sigma) := \int_0^1 \left( \frac{C_{2/q,q'}(K \cap \bar{B}_t(\sigma))}{t^{N-1-2/(q-1)}} \right)^{q-1} \frac{dt}{t} = \infty.$$

Tout point de  $K$ , à l'exception possible d'un sous-ensemble de capacité nulle est un point épais (on dit que cette propriété est vérifiée  $q$ -p.p.). Comme  $K$  est fermé, il contient ses points épais. Le théorème suivant caractérise de tels points.

**Théorème 3.** *Si  $\sigma \in K$  est un point épais de  $K$ , alors (13) est satisfaite pour toute courbe  $\Gamma \in \text{Lip}([0, 1], \Omega \cup \{\sigma\})$  vérifiant  $\Gamma(0) = \sigma$  et  $0 < |\Gamma(t) - \sigma| \leq a\rho(\gamma(t))$  pour un  $a \geq 1$  et tout  $t \in (0, 1]$ . Ainsi (13) est satisfaite  $q$ -p.p. dans  $K$ , et de façon évidente cette intégrale est finie partout en dehors de  $K$ .*

## 1. Main results

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain whose boundary is of class  $C^2$  and let  $q > 1$ . If  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on  $\Sigma := \partial\Omega$ , the problem

$$-\Delta u + u^q = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = \mu \quad \text{on } \Sigma, \tag{1}$$

possesses a solution if and only if  $\mu$  vanishes on sets of  $C_{2/q,q'}$  capacity zero [2,7]. The solution is unique and will be denoted by  $u_\mu$ . Following Dynkin and Kuznestov [3], a positive solution  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  of the equation

$$-\Delta u + u^q = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{2}$$

is called  $\sigma$ -moderate if there exists an increasing sequence of positive Radon measures  $\mu_n$  on  $\Sigma$  such that the sequence of solutions  $\{u_{\mu_n}\}$  converges to  $u$ . For  $N = q = 2$ , Le Gall [5] proved, by probabilistic techniques, that all the positive solutions of (2) are  $\sigma$ -moderate. Marcus and Véron [6], employing purely analytic methods, established this result for arbitrary  $N \geq 2$  and  $1 < q < q_c = (N + 1)/(N - 1)$ . Finally, by a combination of probabilistic and analytic tools, Mselati [9] extended Le Gall's result to  $q = 2$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . (Note that  $2 \geq q_c$  for  $N \geq 3$ .) In the present note we present certain capacitary estimates which provide an important tool for the extension of this result to arbitrary  $q \geq q_c$ . We apply these estimates to the study of positive solutions which blow up on a compact subset of the boundary.

In this note  $C_{\alpha,p}$  ( $0 < \alpha$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ) denotes Bessel capacity in  $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$  or alternatively on a smooth manifold such as  $\Sigma$ . If  $E \subset \Sigma$  then  $C_{\alpha,p}(E)$  denotes the capacity of  $E$  relative to  $\Sigma$  and, if  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $C_{\alpha,p}(\gamma E)$  denotes the capacity of  $\gamma E$  relative to  $\gamma \Sigma$ . Further we denote

$$\rho_E(x) := \text{dist}(x, E), \quad \rho(x) := \rho_\Sigma(x)$$

and, for  $K \in \Sigma$ ,

$$K_j(\xi) := \{x \in K : r_{j+1} \leq |x - \xi| \leq r_j\} \quad \forall \xi \in \Omega, \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{K}_j(\xi) := \{x \in K : |x - \xi| \leq r_j\} \quad \forall \xi \in \Omega, \quad r_j = 2^{-j}. \quad (4)$$

For  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $r > 0$  we denote by  $T_r^\xi$  the dilation mapping given by

$$T_r^\xi(x) = \frac{x - \xi}{r} + \xi \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Since  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^2$  there exists  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that for every  $x \in \bar{\Omega}_{\beta_0} = \{z \in \bar{\Omega} : 0 \leq \rho(z) \leq \beta_0\}$ , there exists a unique point  $\sigma(x) \in \Sigma$  such that  $|x - \sigma(x)| = \rho(x)$  and the mapping  $x \mapsto (\rho(x), \sigma(x))$  is a  $C^2$  diffeomorphism.

Throughout this Note we assume that  $q \geq q_c$ ,  $K$  denotes a compact subset of  $\Sigma$  and  $c$  denotes a positive constant which depends only on  $q$ ,  $N$ ,  $\Omega$ . The value of the constant may change from one occurrence to another. The notation  $X \approx Y$  means  $\frac{1}{c}X \leq Y \leq cX$  for some constant  $c$ .

The capacitary estimates are formulated in the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** (a) *There exists a constant  $c$  such that, for every positive solution  $u$  of (2) which vanishes on  $K^c = \Sigma \setminus K$ ,*

$$u(x) \leq c\rho_K(x)\rho_K(x)^{-1-2/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(T_{\rho_K(x)}^x K) \quad (5)$$

for every  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $\rho_K(x) \geq \frac{1}{4}\text{diam } K$ . For arbitrary  $x \in \Omega$  we have

$$u(x) \leq c\rho(x)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j^{-1-2/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(T_{r_j}^x K_j(x)) + 1\right). \quad (6)$$

(b) Put  $\underline{U}_K = \sup\{u_\mu : \mu \in W_+^{2/q,q'}(\Sigma), \mu(K^c) = 0\}$ . Then, there exists a constant  $c$  such that,

$$\underline{U}_K(\xi) \geq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j^{-2/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(T_{r_j}^\xi K_j(\xi)), \quad (7)$$

for every  $\xi \in \Omega$  such that  $\rho_K(\xi) \leq 4\rho(\xi)$ .

Finally,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j^{-2/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(T_{r_j}^\xi K_j(\xi)) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j^{-2/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(T_{r_j}^\xi \tilde{K}_j(\xi)). \quad (8)$$

**Remark.** We note that, by [1, Section 5.2] (see in particular Corollary 5.2.3 and the first part of the proof of Theorem 5.2.1).

$$\begin{cases} C_{2/q,q'}(\gamma E) \approx c\gamma^{N-1-2/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(E) & \forall \gamma > 0, \quad \text{if } q > q_c, \\ C_{2/q,q'}(\gamma E) \leq c\gamma^{N-1-2/(q-1)}C_{2/q,q'}(E) & \forall \gamma \in (0, 1), \quad \text{if } q = q_c. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Hence, if  $q > q_c$ , (7) is equivalent to

$$\underline{U}_K(\xi) \geq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j^{1-N}C_{2/q,q'}(K_j(\xi)) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (10)$$

and similarly with respect to (6).

Applying this theorem we obtain:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $U_K$  be the maximal solution of (2) which vanishes on  $K^c = \Sigma \setminus K$ . Then  $U_K$  is  $\sigma$ -moderate and  $U_K = \underline{U}_K$ .*

For the statement of our next result we introduce the following notation:

$$F_q(t; K, \xi) := C_{2/q, q'}(T_t^\xi K \cap \overline{B}_t(\xi)) = C_{2/q, q'}(T_t^\xi(K \cap \overline{B}_t(\xi))) \quad \forall \xi \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

We observe that, in view of (9),

$$\begin{cases} F_q(t; K, \xi) \approx \frac{C_{2/q, q'}(K \cap \overline{B}_t(\xi))}{t^{N-1-2/(q-1)}} & \text{if } q > q_c, 0 < t, \\ F_q(t; K, \xi) \geq C_{2/q, q'}(K \cap \overline{B}_t(\xi)) & \text{if } q = q_c, 0 < t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

We recall that a point  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  is a thick point of  $K$  relative to  $C_{2/q, q'}$  if

$$J_q(K, \sigma) := \int_0^1 \left( \frac{C_{2/q, q'}(K \cap \overline{B}_t(\sigma))}{t^{N-1-2/(q-1)}} \right)^{q-1} \frac{dt}{t} = \infty.$$

Every point of  $K$ , with the possible exception of a set of capacity zero, is a thick point of  $K$  (see [1, Corollary 6.3.17]). (Briefly we say that this property holds  $q$ -a.e.) In addition, since  $K$  is closed, it contains all its thick points.

**Theorem 1.3.** (a) *Given  $a > 1$  there exists a constant  $c(a) > 0$ , depending also on  $q$ ,  $N$ ,  $\Omega$ , such that, for every  $\sigma \in K$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c(a)} \int_s^1 t^{-2/(q-1)} F_q(t; K, \sigma) \frac{dt}{t} &\leq U_K(x) \\ &\leq c(a) \int_s^1 t^{-2/(q-1)} F_q(t; K, \sigma) \frac{dt}{t} + O(s) \quad \forall x \in \Omega: s = |x - \sigma| \leq a\rho(x). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

(b) *If  $\sigma \in K$  is a thick point of  $K$  then*

$$\int_0^1 U_K^{q-1}(\Gamma(t)) t dt = \infty, \quad (13)$$

*for every curve  $\Gamma \in \text{Lip}([0, 1], \Omega \cup \{\sigma\})$  such that,  $\Gamma(0) = \sigma$  and  $0 < |\Gamma(t) - \sigma| \leq a\rho(\gamma(t))$  for some  $a \geq 1$  and every  $t \in (0, 1]$ .*

*Thus (13) holds  $q$ -a.e. in  $K$ . Obviously the integral is finite everywhere outside  $K$ .*

## 2. Sketch of the proof

**Proof of Theorem 1.1 (sketch).** (a) Let  $\phi$  be the first eigenfunction of  $-\Delta$  in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  normalized by  $\max \phi = 1$  and let  $\lambda$  be the corresponding eigenvalue.

The main ingredient in this proof is the construction of a linear lifting  $R : C(\Sigma) \mapsto C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $R$  is monotone,  $R(1) \equiv 1$  and  $R$  has the following additional property:

Let  $\eta \in W^{2/q,q'}(\Sigma)$  be a function with values in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\eta \equiv 1$  in a neighborhood of  $K$ . If  $u$  is a positive solution of (2) which vanishes on  $K^c$  then,

$$\int_{\Omega} (u^q + \lambda u) R_{1-\eta}^{2q'} \phi \, dx \leq C \|\eta\|_{W^{2/q,q'}}^{q'}, \quad (14)$$

where  $R_{1-\eta}$  is the lifting of  $1 - \eta$ .

The lifting is constructed as follows. For  $\vartheta \in C(\partial\Omega)$ , let  $H_\vartheta$  denote the solution of

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau} = \Delta_{\Sigma} H \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Sigma, \quad H(0, \cdot) = \vartheta(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Sigma, \quad (15)$$

where  $\Delta_{\Sigma}$  is the Laplace Beltrami operator on  $\Sigma$ . Then  $R_\vartheta$ , the lifting of  $\vartheta$ , is defined by

$$\begin{cases} R_\vartheta(x) = H_\vartheta(\phi^2(x), \sigma(x)) & \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}_{\beta_0}, \\ R_\vartheta \text{ is harmonic in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\beta_0} \quad \text{and} \quad R_\vartheta \in C(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Now, if  $\rho_K(x) \geq \text{diam } K/4$  and  $\text{diam } K \leq 1$  we obtain the following pointwise estimate for positive solutions  $u$  vanishing on  $K^c$ :

$$u(x) \leq C \rho(x) \rho_K(x)^{-N} \int_{\Omega} (u^q + \lambda u) \phi \, dx. \quad (17)$$

In addition we observe that (14) implies,

$$\int_{\Omega} (u^q + \lambda u) \phi \, dx \leq C C_{2/q,q'}(K). \quad (18)$$

Therefore we obtain (6) for points  $x$  as above. The inequality can be extended to points arbitrarily close to  $K$  by a standard slicing method. Finally if  $\text{diam } K > 1$  we put  $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^m K^j$  where  $\text{diam } K^j \leq 1$ ,  $K^j$  is compact and  $m \leq m(\Omega)$  with  $m(\Omega)$  depending only on  $\Omega$ .

(b) We confine ourselves to the case  $q > q_c$ . In this case it is sufficient to prove (10). The proof employs an argument of Labutin [4] who established the analogue of (10) in the case that  $K$  is an interior singularity, i.e.,  $K \subset \Omega$ . This is combined with an estimate of Marcus and Veron [8] which states that, if  $\mu \in W^{-2/q,q}(\Sigma)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}[\mu]$  (=the Poisson potential of  $\mu$  in  $\Omega$ ) belongs to  $L^q(\Omega, \rho(x)dx)$  and

$$c_0^{-1} \|\mu\|_{W^{-2/q,q}} \leq \|\mathbb{P}[\mu]\|_{L^q(\Omega, \rho \, dx)} \leq c_0 \|\mu\|_{W^{-2/q,q}}. \quad (19)$$

Put  $V = \mathbb{P}[\mu]$ . Then, by the maximum principle,

$$u_\mu(x) \geq V(x) - \int_{\Omega} G(x, y) V^q(y) \, dy, \quad (20)$$

where  $G$  is the Green kernel for  $-\Delta$  in  $\Omega$ . For a specific choice of the measure  $\mu$ , such that  $\text{supp } \mu \subset K$ , it can be shown that: (i) the second term on the right-hand side of (20) is controlled by  $V$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $\rho_K(x) \leq 4\rho(x)$ , and (ii)  $V$  is bounded below by the right-hand side of (7).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2 (sketch).** The solution  $\underline{U}_K$  is  $\sigma$ -moderate. Therefore we only have to prove that  $\underline{U}_K = U_K$ . Combining the upper and lower estimates of Theorem 1.1 we find that there exists a constant  $c$  such that,

$$U_K \leq c \underline{U}_K \quad \text{in } \Omega' = \{x \in \Omega : \rho_K(x) < 4\rho(x) < \beta_0/2\}. \quad (21)$$

In the set  $\{x \in \Omega : \rho_K(x) \geq 4\rho(x), \rho(x) < \beta_0/8\}$ , (21) follows by an application of Hopf's lemma in conjunction with the Keller–Osserman estimate and a blow-up technique. In the remaining part of  $\Omega$  the inequality follows by the maximum principle. Further, using an argument of [6], it can be shown that (21) implies the following:

If  $\underline{U}_K < U_K$  then there exists a solution  $w$  of (2) and a number  $b \in (0, 1)$  such that  $b\underline{U}_K < w < \underline{U}_K$ . This is impossible, because  $\underline{U}_K$  is the smallest solution dominating  $b\underline{U}_K$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3 (sketch).** Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\beta_0 = 1$  and  $\text{diam } K \leqslant 1/2$ .

(a) Let  $\sigma \in K$  and  $x \in \Omega$  be as in (12). Applying the estimates of Theorem 1.1 and a lemma concerning an equivalence relation between sums and integrals we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c(a)} \int_s^1 t^{-2/(q-1)} F_q(t; K, x) \frac{dt}{t} &\leqslant U_K(x) \\ &\leqslant c(a) \int_s^1 t^{-2/(q-1)} F_q(t; K, x) \frac{dt}{t} + O(s) \quad \forall x \in \Omega: s = |x - \sigma| \leqslant a\rho(x). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

By a straightforward computation, this implies (12).

(b) If  $\sigma \in K$  is a thick point of  $K$  then, in view of (11),

$$\int_0^1 F_q(t; K, \sigma)^{q-1} \frac{dt}{t} = \infty. \quad (23)$$

By (7), with  $K_j(\xi)$  replaced by  $\tilde{K}_j(\sigma)$ , or alternatively by (12), we obtain

$$c(a)F_q(2s; K, \sigma)s^{-2/(q-1)} \leqslant U_K(x_s), \quad (24)$$

for  $x_s = \sigma + \mathbf{n}_\sigma$  where  $\mathbf{n}_\sigma$  is the unit normal at  $\sigma$  pointing inwards. Hence,

$$c(a)F_q(2s; K, \sigma)^{q-1} \leqslant U_K(x_s)^{q-1}s^2.$$

This inequality and (23) imply (13) for the curve  $s \mapsto x_s$ . In the general case (13) is obtained by combining this result with a Harnack type inequality, for solutions of (2), in cones with vertex at the boundary.  $\square$

## References

- [1] D.R. Adams, L.I. Hedberg, Function Spaces and Potential Theory, in: Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Vol. 314, Springer, 1996.
- [2] E.B. Dynkin, S.E. Kuznetsov, Superdiffusions and removable singularities for quasilinear partial differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 49 (1996) 125–176.
- [3] E.B. Dynkin, S.E. Kuznetsov, Solutions of  $Lu = u^\alpha$  dominated by harmonic functions, J. Analyse Math. 68 (1996) 15–37.
- [4] D.A. Labutin, Wiener regularity for large solutions of nonlinear equations, Arch. Math., à paraître.
- [5] J.F. Legall, The Brownian snake and solutions of  $\Delta u = u^2$  in a domain, Probab. Theory Related Fields 102 (1995) 393–432.
- [6] M. Marcus, L. Véron, The boundary trace of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations: the subcritical case, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 144 (1998) 201–231.
- [7] M. Marcus, L. Véron, The boundary trace of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations: the supercritical case, J. Math. Pures Appl. 77 (1998) 481–524.
- [8] M. Marcus, L. Véron, Removable singularities and boundary trace, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80 (2000) 879–900.
- [9] B. Mselati, Classification et représentation probabiliste des solutions positives de  $\Delta u = u^2$  dans un domaine, Thèse de Doctorat, Université Paris 6, 2002.