

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

NATHALIE EISENBAUM

Are squared Bessel bridges infinitely divisible

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 35 (2001), p. 421-424

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_2001__35__421_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_2001__35__421_0)

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2001, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ARE SQUARED BESSEL BRIDGES INFINITELY DIVISIBLE ?

NATHALIE EISENBAUM

*Laboratoire de Probabilités - Université Paris VI
4, Place Jussieu - Case 188 - 75252 Paris Cedex 05*

Abstract: Consider a squared Bessel bridge between two positive values x and y . If x or y is equal to 0, then this process is infinitely divisible. In the case when both x and y are strictly positive, Pitman and Yor conjectured in [P-Y] that the process is not infinitely divisible. We show here that it is not infinitely decomposable in the sense of Shiga and Watanabe [S-W].

1 - Introduction

Let \mathcal{C} be the canonical space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and \mathcal{F} be the σ -field, $\sigma\{\omega \rightarrow \omega(s) = X_s(\omega); s \geq 0\}$. For $d \geq 0$ and $x \geq 0$, let \mathcal{Q}_x^d be the distribution on $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ of the square of a Bessel process with dimension d starting from \sqrt{x} . In [S-W], Shiga and Watanabe have established the following important additivity property:

$$\mathcal{Q}_x^d \oplus \mathcal{Q}_{x'}^{d'} = \mathcal{Q}_{x+x'}^{d+d'} \quad (1)$$

where, for P and Q two probabilities on $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$, $P \oplus Q$ denotes the distribution of $(X_t + Y_t, t \geq 0)$ with $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ and $(Y_t, t \geq 0)$ two independent processes respectively P and Q distributed.

An immediate consequence of the above additivity property is that squared Bessel processes are infinitely divisible. Indeed, we have for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\mathcal{Q}_x^d = \mathcal{Q}_{x/n}^{d/n} \oplus \mathcal{Q}_{x/n}^{d/n} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{Q}_{x/n}^{d/n}$$

But we also have the following stronger property :

$$\mathcal{Q}_x^d = \mathcal{Q}_{x_1}^{d/n} \oplus \mathcal{Q}_{x_2}^{d/n} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{Q}_{x_n}^{d/n}$$

for any sequence $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ such that : $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = x$.

Shiga and Watanabe have introduced this last property as the property of infinite decomposability. More precisely, let $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_x, x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ be a system of probabilities on $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ such that :

- for any $B \in \mathcal{F}$, $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_x(B)$ is measurable
- for every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathcal{P}_x(X(0) = x) = 1$.

We denote by \mathcal{P} the set of such systems \mathcal{P} . Shiga and Watanabe set in [S-W] the definition below.

Definition 1.1 : Let P be an element of \mathcal{P} . P is said to be infinitely decomposable if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists $P^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$P_x = P_{x_1}^{(n)} \oplus P_{x_2}^{(n)} \oplus \dots \oplus P_{x_n}^{(n)}$$

for any sequence $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ such that : $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = x$.

The distribution under Q_x^d of $(X_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ given that $(X_t = y)$ has been clearly defined by Pitman and Yor in [P-Y] for $d, x, y, t \geq 0$. This distribution represents the law of the d-dimensional squared Bessel bridge from x to y over time t . Without loss of generality, we will choose $t = 1$ and write $Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d$ for the law of the d-dimensional squared Bessel bridge from x to y over time 1.

Thanks to the additivity property (1), we have :

$$Q_{x \rightarrow 0}^d \oplus Q_{x' \rightarrow 0}^{d'} = Q_{x+x' \rightarrow 0}^{d+d'}$$

which gives immediately the infinite decomposability of $\{Q_{x \rightarrow 0}^d, x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ for any $d \geq 0$.

We are going to prove that for $y > 0$, $\{Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d, x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is not infinitely decomposable. Actually, we will show that $\{Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d, x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is not even "2-decomposable". Namely, we have the following property :

Theorem 1.2 : For $y > 0$ and $d \geq 0$, there is no couple (P, \tilde{P}) of $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ such that for any $(x, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3$ verifying : $x_1 + x_2 = x$, we have :

$$Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d = P_{x_1} \oplus \tilde{P}_{x_2}$$

Since infinite decomposability is a stronger property than infinite divisibility, Theorem 1.2 does not prove Pitman and Yor's conjecture. But it confirms the gap between the cases $y = 0$ and $y > 0$.

In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.2. The argument is based on the results of Pitman and Yor in [P-Y].

2 - Proof

Pitman and Yor have established that for any $\alpha > 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = A_0(t, \alpha)^x A_0(1-t, \alpha)^y B_0(t, \alpha)^2 I_\nu(\sqrt{xy} B_0(t, \alpha)^2) / I_\nu(\sqrt{xy}) \quad (2)$$

where $\nu = \frac{d}{2} - 1$, I_ν is the Bessel function of index ν , $A_0(t, \alpha)$ and $B_0(t, \alpha)$ are the constants determined by the equality :

$$Q_{x \rightarrow 0}^d(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = A_0(t, \alpha)^x B_0(t, \alpha)^d$$

Our argument does not require the precise expression of these constants, but we note that they are computable.

Now $y > 0$ is fixed and we assume that there exists a couple (P, \tilde{P}) of elements of \mathcal{P} such that for any $(x, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3$ verifying : $x_1 + x_2 = x$ we have :

$$Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d = P_{x_1} \oplus \tilde{P}_{x_2} \quad (3)$$

This implies that :

$$Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = P_{x_1}(e^{-\alpha X_t}) \tilde{P}_{x_2}(e^{-\alpha X_t})$$

In particular, we have :

$$\begin{cases} P_{x_1+x_2}(e^{-\alpha X_t}) \tilde{P}_0(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = P_{x_1}(e^{-\alpha X_t}) \tilde{P}_{x_2}(e^{-\alpha X_t}) \\ P_0(e^{-\alpha X_t}) \tilde{P}_{x_2}(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = P_{x_2}(e^{-\alpha X_t}) \tilde{P}_0(e^{-\alpha X_t}) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

which leads to : $P_{x_1+x_2}(e^{-\alpha X_t}) P_0(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = P_{x_1}(e^{-\alpha X_t}) P_{x_2}(e^{-\alpha X_t})$

Consequently :

$$P_x(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = P_0(e^{-\alpha X_t}) e^{bx}$$

and similarly :

$$\tilde{P}_x(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = \tilde{P}_0(e^{-\alpha X_t}) e^{\tilde{b}x}$$

The second equation of (4) gives : $b = \tilde{b}$, and we note that :

$$Q_{0 \rightarrow y}^d(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = P_0(e^{-\alpha X_t}) \tilde{P}_0(e^{-\alpha X_t})$$

Hence, going back to our assumption (3), we obtain :

$$Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = Q_{0 \rightarrow y}^d(e^{-\alpha X_t}) e^{bx}$$

Thanks to (2), this equation becomes :

$$Q_{0 \rightarrow y}^d(e^{-\alpha X_t}) e^{bx} = A_0(t, \alpha)^x A_0(1-t, \alpha)^y B_0(t, \alpha)^2 I_\nu(\sqrt{xy} B_0(t, \alpha)^2) / I_\nu(\sqrt{xy})$$

By time reversal, we note that : $Q_{0 \rightarrow y}^d(e^{-\alpha X_t}) = Q_{y \rightarrow 0}^d(e^{-\alpha X_{1-t}})$.

We set then : $\beta = b - \text{Log} A_0(t, \alpha)$, to finally obtain :

$$e^{\beta x} = [B_0(t, \alpha)]^{2-d} I_\nu(\sqrt{xy} [B_0(t, \alpha)]^2) / I_\nu(\sqrt{xy}) \quad (5)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Now , we use another result of Pitman and Yor [P-Y]. They define, for every $\nu > -1$ and $z > 0$, the Bessel (ν, z) distribution on \mathbb{N} , $b_{\nu, z}$, by :

$$b_{\nu, z}(n) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+\nu} \frac{1}{n! \Gamma(n+\nu+1) I_\nu(z)}$$

They established that its generating function is :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{\nu, z}(n) x^n = x^{-\nu/2} \frac{I_\nu(z\sqrt{x})}{I_\nu(z)}$$

and they noticed that this distribution is not infinitely divisible.

Let Y be a Bessel (ν, z) random variable and set : $B = [B_0(t, \alpha)]^4$. We write (5) under the following form :

$$\mathbb{E}[B^Y] = e^{\beta z^2}$$

for any $z > 0$. Hence for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have :

$$\mathbb{E}[B^Y] = (e^{\beta \frac{z^2}{p}})^p = \mathbb{E}[B^{(Y_1+Y_2+\dots+Y_p)}]$$

where Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_p are independent variables, Bessel $(\nu, \frac{z}{\sqrt{p}})$ distributed.

Note also that for a fixed t in $(0, 1)$, $B_0(t, \alpha)$ is a continuous decreasing function of α such that : $B_0(t, 0) = 1$ and $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} B_0(t, \alpha) = 0$.

Consequently, if $\{Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d, x \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ were "2-decomposable" then the Bessel (ν, \sqrt{xy}) distribution would be infinitely divisible, which is absurd . \square

The above proof of Theorem 1.2 does not allow to conclude that, for a fixed $t > 0$, the law of X_t under $Q_{x \rightarrow y}^d$ is not infinitely divisible. This last simple question remains open.

References

- [P-Y] Pitman J. and Yor M. : A decomposition of Bessel bridges. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* 59,425-457 (1982).
- [S-W] Shiga T. and Watanabe S. : Bessel diffusions as a one-parameter family of diffusion processes. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* 27,37-46 (1973).