SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

NICOLAI V. KRYLOV

A martingale proof of the Khinchin iterated logarithm law for Wiener processes

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 29 (1995), p. 25-29

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1995__29__25_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A MARTINGALE PROOF OF THE KHINCHIN ITERATED LOGARITHM LAW FOR WIENER PROCESSES

N.V. KRYLOV

Let w_t be a d -dimensional Wiener process. Our goal here is to give a martingale proof of the following celebrated Khinchin log log law:

Theorem 1. *With probability one*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|w_t|}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} = 1.$$

The “standard” proof of this result can be found in many places (cf. for instance [1]). Our martingale proof is based on formula (4), which might be interesting by itself. In particular, this formula allows us to give a rather short proof of the Kolmogorov–Petrovskii criterion allowing one to recognize when a given function $\alpha(t)$ is an “upper” or “lower” function.

Let $\alpha(t)$ be a strictly positive continuously differentiable function on $[0, \infty)$. For $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ define

$$h(t, x) = \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} e^{|x|^2/(2t)}, \quad \beta(t) = \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} e^{\alpha^2(t)/(2t)}, \quad \gamma(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\beta(t)} \right)',$$

$$\tau(t) = \inf \{ s \geq t : |w_s| \geq \alpha(s) \}.$$

Lemma 2. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ the process*

$$m_\varepsilon(t) := h(t + \varepsilon, w_t) \beta^{-1}(t + \varepsilon) - \int_0^t h(r + \varepsilon, w_r) \gamma(r + \varepsilon) dr$$

is a martingale.

To prove the lemma it suffices to observe that for $0 \leq s \leq t$ we have

$$\beta^{-1}(t + \varepsilon) - \beta^{-1}(s + \varepsilon) = \int_s^t \gamma(r + \varepsilon) dr,$$

then take a normal $(0, (t + \varepsilon)I)$ variable ξ independent of w and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} E\{h(t + \varepsilon, w_t) | \mathcal{F}_s^w\} &= E h(t + \varepsilon, x + \xi \left(\frac{t - s}{t + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2}) |_{x=w_s} = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} (t + \varepsilon)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp \frac{1}{2(t + \varepsilon)} [|w_s + y \left(\frac{t - s}{t + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2}|^2 - |y|^2] dy, \\ &= \frac{1}{2(t + \varepsilon)} [|w_s + y \left(\frac{t - s}{t + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2}|^2 - |y|^2] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2(s + \varepsilon)} |w_s|^2 - \frac{1}{2(t + \varepsilon)} |y \left(\frac{s + \varepsilon}{t + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} + w_t \left(\frac{t - s}{s + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2}|^2, \\ &= E\{h(t + \varepsilon, w_t) | \mathcal{F}_s^w\} = \end{aligned}$$

The work was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9302516

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}(t+\varepsilon)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2(s+\varepsilon)}|w|_s^2 - \frac{s+\varepsilon}{2(t+\varepsilon)^2}|y|^2\right] dy = h(s+\varepsilon, w_s) \quad (\text{a.s.}).$$

Corollary 3. *If $0 < s < T < \infty$, then*

$$P\{s < \tau(s) \leq T\} + E \frac{1}{\beta(T)} h(T, w_T) I_{\tau(s) > T} =$$

$$\frac{\alpha^d(s)}{\beta(s)s^d} \kappa_d + E \int_s^T h(r, w_r) \gamma(r) I_{\tau(s) > r} dr, \quad (1)$$

where κ_d is $(2\pi)^{-d/2}$ times the volume of the unit sphere.

Indeed, $Em_\varepsilon(s)I_{\tau(s) > s} = Em_\varepsilon(\tau(s) \wedge T)I_{\tau(s) > s}$, which means that

$$E \frac{h(s+\varepsilon, w_s)}{\beta(s+\varepsilon)} I_{\tau(s) > s} + E \int_s^{\tau(s) \wedge T} h(r+\varepsilon, w_r) \gamma(r+\varepsilon) dr =$$

$$E \frac{h(\tau(s) \wedge T + \varepsilon, w_{\tau(s) \wedge T})}{\beta(\tau(s) \wedge T + \varepsilon)} I_{\tau(s) > s}.$$

It remains only to let here $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ and apply the dominated convergence theorem along with the observations that $|w_r| \leq \sup_{r \leq T} \alpha(r)$ for $s < r \leq \tau(s) \wedge T$,

$$E \frac{h(s, w_s)}{\beta(s)} I_{\tau(s) > s} = E \frac{h(s, w_s)}{\beta(s)} I_{|w_s| < \alpha(s)} =$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta(s)s^d(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{|x| < \alpha(s)} dx = \frac{\alpha^d(s)}{\beta(s)s^d} \kappa_d = \kappa_d \left[\frac{\alpha^2(s)}{s} \right]^{d/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha^2(s)}{2s}\right], \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{h(\tau(s) \wedge T, w_{\tau(s) \wedge T})}{\beta(\tau(s) \wedge T)} I_{\tau(s) > s} = \frac{1}{\beta(T)} h(T, w_T) I_{\tau(s) > T} +$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta(\tau(s))} h(\tau(s), w_{\tau(s)}) I_{T \geq \tau(s) > s} = \frac{1}{\beta(T)} h(T, w_T) I_{\tau(s) > T} + I_{T \geq \tau(s) > s}.$$

By letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ in (1) and by applying the monotone convergence theorem, relations (2) and the fact that $\{\tau(s) > T\} \subset \{|w_T| < \alpha(T)\}$ we immediately get the first assertion of the following lemma

Lemma 4. *Define $f(t) = \kappa_d \left[\frac{\alpha^2(t)}{t} \right]^{d/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha^2(t)}{2t}\right]$, let $\gamma(t) \geq 0$ for large t and let*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(t)}{\sqrt{t}} = \infty \quad (\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0). \quad (3)$$

Then for any $s > 0$

$$P\{s < \tau(s) < \infty\} = f(s) + \int_s^\infty E h(r, w_r) \gamma(r) I_{\tau(s) > r} dr; \quad (4)$$

$$\int_s^\infty r^{-d/2} \gamma(r) dr = \infty \implies \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} [|w_t| - \alpha(t)] \geq 0 \quad (\text{a.s.}); \quad (5)$$

$$\int_s^\infty \alpha^d(r) r^{-d} \gamma(r) dr < \infty \implies \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} [|w_t| - \alpha(t)] \leq 0 \quad (\text{a.s.}). \quad (6)$$

Proof. To prove (5) notice that $h(r, w_r) \geq r^{-d/2}$, so that

$$\int_s^\infty E h(r, w_r) \gamma(r) I_{\tau(s) > r} dr \geq \int_s^\infty r^{-d/2} \gamma(r) dr P\{\tau(s) = \infty\},$$

and under the condition in (5) we have $P\{\tau(s) = \infty\} = 0$. It remains only to observe that

$$\{\omega : \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} [|w_t| - \alpha(t)] < 0\} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{\omega : \tau(n) = \infty\}.$$

To prove (6) we use (2) and that $\{\tau(s) > r\} \subset \{|w_r| < \alpha(r)\}$ if $r > s$. Then

$$\int_s^\infty E h(r, w_r) \gamma(r) I_{\tau(s) > r} dr \leq \kappa_d \int_s^\infty \alpha^d(r) r^{-d} \gamma(r) dr,$$

and from (4) we see that under the condition in (6), $P\{s < \tau(s) < \infty\} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, for any $s > 0$

$$\{\omega : \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} [|w_t| - \alpha(t)] > 0\} \subset \{\omega : |w_s| \geq \alpha(s)\} \cup \{\omega : s < \tau(s) < \infty\},$$

$$P\{\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} [|w_t| - \alpha(t)] > 0\} \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P\{|w_s| \geq \alpha(s)\} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P\{|w_1| \geq \frac{\alpha(s)}{\sqrt{s}}\} = 0.$$

The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. Take $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$ and define $\alpha(t) = ((1 + \varepsilon)2t \log \log t)^{1/2}$ if $t \geq 10$ and for $t < 10$ define $\alpha(t)$ in any way just to get a positive differentiable function on $[0, \infty)$. Then $\beta(t) = t^{-d/2}(\log t)^{1+\varepsilon}$, $\alpha(t)/\sqrt{t} \rightarrow \infty$ for any ε , and as easy to check

$$\int^\infty r^{-d/2} d\frac{1}{\beta(r)} = \infty \text{ if } \varepsilon = 0, \quad \int^\infty \alpha^d(r) r^{-d} d\frac{1}{\beta(r)} < \infty \text{ if } \varepsilon > 0;$$

from Lemma 4 it follows that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|w_t|}{(2t \log \log t)^{1/2}} \geq 1, \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|w_t|}{(2t \log \log t)^{1/2}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon \text{ (a.s.) if } \varepsilon > 0.$$

The theorem is proved.

Next observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int^\infty \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{r^d} \gamma(r) dr &= \int^\infty \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{r^d} d(r^{d/2} \exp[-\frac{\alpha^2(r)}{2r}]) = \\ &= \frac{d}{2} \int^\infty \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{r^{d/2}} \exp[-\frac{\alpha^2(r)}{2r}] \frac{dr}{r} - \frac{1}{2} \int^\infty \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{r^{d/2}} \exp[-\frac{\alpha^2(r)}{2r}] d\frac{\alpha^2(r)}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

and under condition (3) the integral

$$\int^\infty \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{r^{d/2}} \exp[-\frac{\alpha^2(r)}{2r}] d\frac{\alpha^2(r)}{r} = \int^\infty x^{d/2} e^{-x/2} dx$$

is finite, so that the integrals

$$\int^\infty \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{r^d} \gamma(r) dr, \quad \int^\infty \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{r^{d/2}} \exp[-\frac{\alpha^2(r)}{2r}] \frac{dr}{r}$$

converge or diverge simultaneously.

Now we see that the statement (6) in Lemma 4 implies the second statement in the following Kolmogorov-Petrovskii criterion.

Theorem 5. Assume that $t^{d/2} \exp[-\alpha^2(t)/(2t)]$ and $t\alpha^{-1}(t)$ increase for large t , and assume (3). Then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{r^{d/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha^2(r)}{2r}\right] \frac{dr}{r} = \infty \implies \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|w_t|}{\alpha(t)} \geq 1 \text{ (a.s.)}; \quad (7)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{r^{d/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha^2(r)}{2r}\right] \frac{dr}{r} < \infty \implies \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|w_t|}{\alpha(t)} \leq 1 \text{ (a.s.)}.$$

Proof. We only need to prove (7), and to do this we come back to statement (4) of Lemma 4 but analyze it slightly more carefully. Notice that for any given $r > 0$ the process $w_t - w_r t/r$, $t \in [0, r]$, and the random vector w_r are independent. Therefore for $r > s$

$$\begin{aligned} Eh(r, w_r) I_{\tau(s) > r} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi r)^{d/2}} \int_{|x| < \alpha(r)} e^{-|x|^2/(2r)} h(r, x) P\{\tau(s) > r | w_r = x\} dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} r^d} \int_{|x| < \alpha(r)} P\{\tau(s) > r | w_r = x\} dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} r^d} \int_{|x| < \alpha(r)} P\left\{\sup_{t \in [s, r]} \left|w_t - \frac{t}{r} w_r + \frac{t}{r} x\right| \alpha^{-1}(t) < 1\right\} dx = \\ &= \frac{\alpha^d(r)}{(2\pi)^{d/2} r^d} \int_{|x| < 1} P\left\{\sup_{t \in [s, r]} \left|w_t - \frac{t}{r} w_r + \frac{t}{r} \alpha(r) x\right| \alpha^{-1}(t) < 1\right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (4) it now follows that if $\int_0^\infty \alpha^d(r) r^{-d} \gamma(r) dr = \infty$, then for any $s > 0$

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| < 1} P\left\{\sup_{t \in [s, r]} \left|w_t - \frac{t}{r} w_r + \frac{t}{r} \alpha(r) x\right| \alpha^{-1}(t) < 1\right\} dx = 0. \quad (8)$$

Now observe that for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $|x| \leq \varepsilon$ and s so large that $t\alpha^{-1}(t)$ increases for $t \geq s$, we have $(t/r)\alpha(r)|x|\alpha^{-1}(t) \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left\{\sup_{t \in [s, r]} \left|w_t - \frac{t}{r} w_r + \frac{t}{r} \alpha(r) x\right| \alpha^{-1}(t) < 1\right\} \geq \\ &P\left\{\sup_{t \in [s, r]} \left|w_t - \frac{t}{r} \alpha(r) [w_r \alpha^{-1}(r)]\right| \alpha^{-1}(t) < 1 - \varepsilon\right\} \geq \\ &P\left\{\sup_{t \in [s, r]} \left|w_t - \frac{t}{r} \alpha(r) [w_r \alpha^{-1}(r)]\right| \alpha^{-1}(t) < 1 - \varepsilon, |w_r| \alpha^{-1}(r) \leq \varepsilon\right\} \geq \\ &P\left\{\sup_{t \in [s, r]} \frac{|w_t|}{\alpha(t)} < 1 - 2\varepsilon\right\} - P\{|w_r| > \varepsilon \alpha(r)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha(r)/\sqrt{r} \rightarrow \infty$, the last probability tends to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and from (8) it follows that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$P\left\{\sup_{t \geq s} \frac{|w_t|}{\alpha(t)} < 1 - 2\varepsilon\right\} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\sup_{t \in [s, r]} \frac{|w_t|}{\alpha(t)} < 1 - 2\varepsilon\right\} = 0.$$

This obviously yields the first assertion of our theorem, which is thus proved.

Remark 6. From the zero-one law it follows easily that for one-dimensional Wiener process B_t

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_t}{\alpha(t)} \leq (\geq) 1 \text{ (a.s.)} \implies \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|w_t|}{\alpha(t)} \leq (\geq) 1 \text{ (a.s.)}.$$

Therefore, consideration of arbitrary d does not yield any advantage, though it actually might happen that for $d = 1$ the integral in (7) converges and, say for $d = 2$ diverges. In this case $\limsup$ in (7) simply equals 1 (a. s.).

Acknowledgment The author is sincerely grateful to P.-A. Meyer and M. Yor for comments and discussions related to the article.

REFERENCES

1. D. Revuz, M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, Springer, New York etc., 1991.

127 VINCENT HALL, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN, 55455
E-mail address: krylov@math.umn.edu