

# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

AZZOUZ DERMOUNE

**Chaoticity on a stochastic interval  $[0, T]$**

*Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, tome 29 (1995), p. 117-124

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\\_1995\\_\\_29\\_\\_117\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1995__29__117_0)

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

# Chaoticity on a stochastic interval $[0, T]$

A. Dermoune, Université du Maine,  
Laboratoire de Statistique et Processus, B.P.535, 72017 Le Mans cédex, France.

## Abstract

The chaotic representation property is given a meaning and established for a class of martingales  $X$  defined on some stochastic interval  $[0, T]$  and having only finitely many jumps before  $T - \varepsilon$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a martingale with predictable bracket  $\langle X, X \rangle_t = t$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  be its filtration and  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{t>0} \mathcal{F}_t$ . We say that the martingale  $X$  has the chaotic representation property (C.R.P) or is chaotic, if for all  $F \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , there exists a sequence  $(f_k)$  with  $f_k \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^k, dt^{\otimes k})$ , such that

$$F = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k,$$

where  $F_0 = \mathbb{E}[F]$  and for  $k > 0$

$$F_k = \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k} f_k(t_1, \dots, t_k) dX_{t_1} \dots dX_{t_k}.$$

(For the definition of the latter multiple stochastic integral, see [7].)

The random variables  $F_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are such that

$$\mathbb{E}[F_k F_j] = \delta_j(k) \left( \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k} f_k^2(t_1, \dots, t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \right),$$

where  $\delta_j(k) = 0$  if  $k \neq j$  and  $\delta_k(k) = 1$ .

It is interesting to express the chaotic representation property as an isomorphism between  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and the symmetric Fock space over  $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dt)$ , defined by

$$\text{Fock}(H) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^{\odot k}.$$

For,  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the space  $H^{\odot k} = L_{sym}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^k, dt_1 \dots dt_k)$  is the set of the class of square integrable functions with respect to  $dt_1 \dots dt_k$ , which are symmetric with respect to the  $k$  parameters  $(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ . The scalar product over  $H^{\odot k}$  is defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k} f(t_1, \dots, t_k) g(t_1, \dots, t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k,$$

and  $H^{\odot 0} = \mathbb{R}$ .

The well known examples of martingales having the chaotic representation property are the Brownian motion and the standard Poisson process [6].

Moreover, He and Wang [5] have characterized the Lévy processes which have the predictable representation property but until 1987 we did not know if these processes have the chaotic representation property.

In 1987, the author [2] proved that for the Lévy processes the chaotic representation property and the predictable representation property are equivalent.

In 1988, Emery [3] showed that a martingale earlier discovered by Azéma [1] has the chaotic representation property, introducing at the same time other examples which satisfy the "structure equation" of the form

$$d[X, X]_t = dt + \Phi(t)dX_t, \quad X_0 = x.$$

He later proved in [4] that if the predictable process  $\Phi(t)$  is such that the integral  $A_t = \int_0^t \Phi^2(s)ds$  is a.s. finite for all  $t$ , then the predictable representation property implies the chaotic representation property. This applies to structure equations with  $\Phi$  of the form

$$\Phi(t) = \phi_1(t)1_{[0, T_1]}(t) + \sum_{n \geq 2} \phi_n(t, T_{n-1}, \dots, T_1)1_{[T_{n-1}, T_n]}(t)$$

where  $\phi_n$  are deterministic and the  $T_n$ 's are the successive jumps of the solution  $X$  to the structure equation

$$d[X, X]_t = dt + \Phi(t)dX_t, \quad X_0 = x.$$

The hypothesis  $A_t < \infty$  implies that there are only finitely many jumps on finite intervals since  $A_t$  is the predictable compensator of the number of jumps

$$C_t = \sum_{n \geq 1} 1_{[T_n, \infty)}(t).$$

The aim of this work is to study the following problem : Dropping the finiteness assumption for  $A_t$  and putting  $T_\infty = \sup_n T_n$ , we will allow  $T_\infty$  to be finite. The above formulas define (in law) the martingale  $X$  only on the interval  $[0, T_\infty]$ . We will prove that  $X$  still has the chaotic representation property, in the following sense : If  $M$  is a chaotic martingale independent of  $X$  (possibly defined on an enlargement of  $\Omega$ ), the martingale

$$Y_t = \begin{cases} X_t & \text{for } t \leq T_\infty \\ X_{T_\infty} + M_{t-T_\infty} - M_0 & \text{for } t \geq T_\infty \end{cases}$$

has the chaotic representation property (we will see in Lemma 2.2. that this does not depend on the choice of  $M$ ).

## 2. Chaoticity before a stopping time

This section is devoted to giving a rigorous meaning to the chaotic representation property for a martingale defined only up to some stopping time.

**Definition.** Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a martingale such that  $\langle X, X \rangle_t$  is equal to  $t$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  be its filtration and  $T$  be a stopping time of  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ . We say that  $X$  is chaotic on  $[0, T]$  if  $L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$  is included in the chaotic space of  $X$ , i.e. if each  $F \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$  has an expansion  $F = \sum_{k=0}^\infty F_k$  with  $F_0 = \mathbb{E}[F]$  and for  $k > 0$

$$F_k = \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k} f_k(t_1, \dots, t_k) dX_{t_1} \dots dX_{t_k}$$

with  $(f_k)_{k \geq 0} \in \text{Fock}(H)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If the martingale  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is chaotic on  $[0, T]$  and if a martingale  $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  verifies  $\langle Y, Y \rangle_t = t$  and  $X = Y$  on  $[0, T]$ , then  $T$  is a stopping time for the filtration generated by  $Y$  and  $Y$  is also chaotic on  $[0, T]$ .*

**Proof.** By proposition (1, ii) of [4], each element of  $L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$  is a sum of multiple integrals with respect to  $Y$ ; so it only remains to prove that  $T$  is a stopping time for  $Y$ . For each  $t \geq 0$ , the indicator of the event  $\{T \leq t\}$  is in both  $L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$  and  $L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ , so it is of the form

$$IP(T \leq t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < t} f_k(t_1, \dots, t_k) dX_{t_1} \dots dX_{t_k}.$$

By proposition (1, ii) of [4] again, it is also equal to

$$IP(T \leq t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < t} f_k(t_1, \dots, t_k) dY_{t_1} \dots dY_{t_k}$$

and this shows that  $T$  is a stopping time for  $Y$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a stopping time and  $X$  be a martingale defined on the interval  $[0, T]$  only and verifying  $\langle X, X \rangle_t = t$  on this interval. The following conditions are equivalent.*

1) *For some chaotic martingale  $M$  independent of  $X$  (and possibly defined on an enlargement of  $\Omega$ ), the martingale*

$$Y_t = \begin{cases} X_t & \text{for } t \leq T \\ X_T + M_{t-T} - M_0 & \text{for } t \geq T \end{cases}$$

*has the chaotic representation property.*

2) *Same statement as 1), with "for every  $M$ " instead of "for some  $M$ ".*

3) *There exists a martingale  $(X'_t)_{t \geq 0}$  (possibly defined on an enlargement of  $\Omega$ ), verifying  $\langle X', X' \rangle_t = t$ , chaotic on  $[0, T]$ , with restriction  $X$  to  $[0, T]$ .*

4) *Every martingale  $(X'_t)_{t \geq 0}$  (possibly defined on an enlargement of  $\Omega$ ), verifying  $\langle X', X' \rangle_t = t$ , with restriction  $X$  to  $[0, T]$ , is chaotic on  $[0, T]$ .*

**Proof.** The implications  $2) \Rightarrow 1) \Rightarrow 3)$  are trivial and  $3)$  is equivalent to 4) by Lemma 2.1. So it suffices to prove  $3) \Rightarrow 2)$ . The proof is completely similar to the proof of Proposition (1, iii) of [4] and Corollary 2 of [4] except for one detail: With the notations of [4],  $X$  is no longer supposed to have the *C.R.P* but only to be chaotic on  $[0, T]$ . So in the proof of (1, iii), page 14, it is not obvious that there exists an element  $g$  in  $Fock(H)$  such that

$$U = \int g(A) dX_A := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k} g_k(t_1, \dots, t_k) dX_{t_1} \dots dX_{t_k}.$$

But we know that  $U = \int_{AC[T, \infty[} f(A) dX_A$ , so for almost every  $A$ ,  $E[f^2(A) 1_{AC[T, \infty[}]$  is finite, and the chaoticity of  $X$  on  $[0, T]$  implies that there exists  $h(B, A)$  such that  $\int h(B, A) dX_B$  is equal to  $f(A) 1_{AC[T, \infty[}$ . Since  $f(A) 1_{AC[T, \infty[} \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_{\inf A})$ , then  $h(B, A)$  is null if  $\sup B > \inf A$  and the existence of  $g$  is obtained by putting

$$g(\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} h(\{t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}\}, \{t_i, \dots, t_k\})$$

this proves the lemma.

**Definition.** Let  $T$  be a stopping time and  $X$  be a martingale defined only on the interval  $[0, T]$  and verifying  $\langle X, X \rangle_t = t$  on this interval. We say that  $X$  is chaotic on  $[0, T]$  if the four conditions of Lemma 2.2 are met.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a non-decreasing sequence of stopping times and  $T_\infty$  its limit.

1) If a martingale  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  verifying  $\langle X, X \rangle_t = t$  is chaotic on each interval  $[0, T_n]$ , it is also chaotic on  $[0, T_\infty]$ .

2) Let  $X$  be a martingale defined only on  $[0, T_\infty]$  and verifying  $\langle X, X \rangle_t = t$ . If for each  $n$  the restriction of  $X$  to  $[0, T_n]$  is chaotic on  $[0, T_n]$ , then  $X$  is chaotic on  $[0, T_\infty]$ .

**Proof.** 1) For each  $n$ , we know that  $L^2(\mathcal{F}_{T_n})$  is included in the chaotic space of  $X$ . As this chaotic space is closed and as, by the martingale convergence theorem,  $\bigcup_n L^2(\mathcal{F}_{T_n})$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathcal{F}_{T_\infty})$ , the latter is also included in the chaotic space of  $X$ .

2) Using Lemma 2.2, it suffices to apply 1) to the martingale

$$Y_t = \begin{cases} X_t & \text{for } t \leq T_\infty \\ X_{T_\infty} + B_{t-T_\infty} - B_0 & \text{for } t \geq T_\infty \end{cases}$$

where  $B$  is a Brownian motion independent of  $X$ .

### 3. Construction of the martingale

This section is devoted to constructing the martingale  $X$  announced in the introduction.

The set  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$  is the set of the sequences  $\omega = (S_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  with  $S_0$  equal to zero and  $S_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The sequence  $\omega$  defines the following increasing sequence :

$$T_n = \sum_{i=0}^n S_i \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $T_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$ .

For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\phi_{i+1}$  be a measurable  $\mathbb{R}_+$  valued function defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+^{i+1}$ . We define the point process  $p_i$  by

$$p_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, T_1[ \\ \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(T_j, \dots, T_1) & \text{for } t \in [T_i, T_{i+1}[. \end{cases}$$

The process  $(p_i)$  generates the increasing family of  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_t^0$  defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_t^0 = \sigma(p_s, s \leq t), \quad \mathcal{F}^0 = \sigma(p_s, s > 0).$$

We use the following notations:

$$\Phi_{i+1}(t) = \phi_{i+1}(t, T_i, \dots, T_1) \quad \text{for } i \geq 1,$$

$$\Phi(t) = \Phi_{i+1}(t) \quad \text{if } t \in [T_i, T_{i+1}].$$

We suppose that, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{F}_{T_i}^0$  measurable positive function  $\tau_{i+1} > T_i$ , such that

$$\int_{T_i}^t \Phi_{i+1}^{-2}(s) ds < +\infty \quad \text{for } t \in [T_i, \tau_{i+1}[ \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{T_i}^{\tau_{i+1}} \Phi_{i+1}^{-2}(s) ds = \infty.$$

The probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^0)$  is defined by the law of  $T_1$ , with density

$$\Phi_1^{-2}(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \Phi_1^{-2}(s) ds \right\} 1_{]0, \tau_1[}(t) dt$$

and the conditional law of  $T_{i+1}$ , with density

$$\Phi_{i+1}^{-2}(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_{T_i}^t \Phi_{i+1}^{-2}(s) ds \right\} 1_{]T_i, \tau_{i+1}[}(t) dt.$$

The  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_i^0$  are augmented with all subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets of  $\mathcal{F}^0$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T_i$  is a stopping time of  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $N(dt, dx)$  be the random measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_*$  defined for  $t > 0$  and  $A$  a measurable set of  $\mathbb{R}_*$  by*

$$N([0, t] \times A) = \sum_{T_n \leq t} 1_A(\Phi_n(T_n)).$$

*The predictable projection of  $N(dt, dx)$  with respect to the probability  $\mathbb{P}$  is given by*

$$\nu(dt, dx) = \Phi^{-2}(t) 1_{[0, T_\infty[}(t) dt \delta_{\Phi(t)}(dx).$$

**Proof.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$ ,  $f$  be a bounded measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $g$  be a bounded measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let us consider the predictable process

$$Z(t, x) = 1_{]T_n, T_{n+1}]}(t) f(T_1, \dots, T_n) g(x).$$

We have to prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_*} Z(t, x) N(dt, dx) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty Z(t, \Phi(t)) \Phi^{-2}(t) dt \right].$$

From the equality

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_*} Z(t, x) N(dt, dx) = f(T_1, \dots, T_n) g(\Phi_{n+1}(T_{n+1})),$$

and using the conditional law of  $T_{n+1}$ , with respect to  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_*} Z(t, x) N(dt, dx) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \int_{T_n}^{T_{n+1}} g(\Phi_{n+1}(t_{n+1})) \Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(t_{n+1}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \exp \left( - \int_{T_n}^{t_{n+1}} \Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(s) ds \right) dt_{n+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

An integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} \int_{T_n}^{t_{n+1}} g(\Phi_{n+1}(t)) \Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(t) dt \\
& \left\{ \Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(t_{n+1}) \exp\left(-\int_{T_n}^{t_{n+1}} \Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(s) ds\right) \right\} dt_{n+1} \\
& = \int_{T_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} g(\Phi_{n+1}(t_{n+1})) \Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(t_{n+1}) \exp\left(-\int_{T_n}^{t_{n+1}} \Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(s) ds\right) dt_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \int_{T_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} g(\Phi_{n+1}(t)) \Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(t) dt] \\
& = \mathbb{E}[f(T_1, \dots, T_n) g(\Phi_{n+1}(T_{n+1}))],
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly what was to be proved.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ .

1) The process  $(X_t)$  defined on the predictable interval  $[0, T_\infty[$  by

$$X_t = m + p_t - \int_0^t \Phi(s)^{-1} ds$$

is a  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  square integrable martingale with  $\langle X, X \rangle_t = t$ , it verifies the structure equation

$$d[X, X]_t = dt + \Phi(t) dX_t, X_0 = m.$$

2) When the definition of  $X$  is extended to  $[0, T_\infty]$  by

$X_{T_\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{T_n}$  on  $T_\infty < \infty$ , the martingale  $X$  has the chaotic representation property on  $[0, T_\infty]$ .

In the case when  $T_\infty = \infty$  a.s., the chaotic property 2) is a consequence of the Theorem 5 of [4].

**Proof.** 1) Since  $X_t$  is also equal to

$$X_t = m + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}_*} xp(dx, dt) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}_*} x\nu(dx, dt)$$

by Proposition 3.1,  $X$  is a martingale with predictable bracket  $\langle X, X \rangle_{t \wedge T_\infty} = t \wedge T_\infty$  and satisfies the structure equation

$$d[X, X]_t = 1_{[t < T_\infty]} dt + \Phi(t) dX_t, X_0 = m.$$

2) By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to verify that, for each finite  $n$ ,  $X$  is chaotic on  $[0, T_n]$ . Define a martingale  $X^n$  by the same construction as  $X$ , but with  $\phi_i \equiv 1$  for  $i > n$ . The martingale  $M^n$  is identical in law to  $X$  on  $[0, T_n]$  and is a compensated standard Poisson process after  $T_n$ . It has the chaotic representation property by Theorem 5 of [4]; this implies in particular that it is chaotic on  $[0, T_n]$ . So the restriction of  $X$  to  $[0, T_n]$  is chaotic by Lemma 2.2, and  $X$  is chaotic on  $[0, T_\infty]$  by Lemma 2.3.

#### 4. Examples

Let  $(\lambda_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$  be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers and  $(T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$  be the successive jumps such that the sojourn times  $(T_{n+1} - T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$  being independent exponentially distributed variables. The density of  $T_n - T_{n-1}$  is

$$\lambda_n e^{-\lambda_n t}.$$

When

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-1} < \infty,$$

$T_{\infty}$  is finite almost surely; or else, it is infinite almost surely. For  $t \in [T_{n-1}, T_n[$  The predictable process  $\Phi$  is given by

$\Phi(t) = \sqrt{\lambda_n^{-1}}$  and the martingale  $X$  by

$$X_t = -\sqrt{\lambda_n}(t - T_{n-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_i^{-1}} - \sqrt{\lambda_i}(T_i - T_{i-1}) \right).$$

It is chaotic on  $[0, T_{\infty}]$  by the preceding proposition.

Another example is given by the structure equation

$$d[X, X]_t = dt + f(X_{t-})dX_t, \quad X_0 = m$$

with  $m$  is such that  $f(m) \neq 0$  and  $f$  is a deterministic continuous function. Let  $T_{\infty} = \inf\{t > 0, X_t = 0\}$ , for  $t < T_{\infty}$ ,  $X_t$  can be constructed as follows: let  $(T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$  be the jump times of  $X_t$ , and suppose that the integral equation

$$x_t = f(X_{T_n} - \int_{T_n}^t x_s^{-1} ds), \quad t > T_n,$$

has a unique solution  $t \rightarrow \Phi_{n+1}(t, X_{T_n}, \tau_{n+1})$  on the widest interval  $[T_n, \tau_{n+1}[$  of  $[T_n, \infty[$  where  $x_t$  is defined.

If  $x_t$  is such that

$$\int_{T_n}^t x_s^{-2} ds < \infty, \quad \text{for } t \in [T_n, \tau_{n+1}[ \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{T_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} x_s^{-2} ds = \infty,$$

then we can see that  $x_{T_{n+1}} = \Delta X_{T_{n+1}}$  is the jump size at  $T_{n+1}$ ,

$$X_{T_{n+1}} = X_{T_n} + \Phi_{n+1}(T_{n+1}, X_{T_n}, \tau_{n+1}) - \int_{T_n}^{T_{n+1}} \Phi_{n+1}^{-1}(s, X_{T_n}, \tau_{n+1}) ds,$$

and for  $t \in [T_n, T_{n+1}[$ ,

$$X_t = X_{T_n} - \int_{T_n}^t \Phi_{n+1}^{-1}(s, X_{T_n}, \tau_{n+1}) ds.$$

If we put  $T_0 = 0$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the law of  $T_{n+1}$ , with respect to  $(T_0, \dots, T_n)$ , is supported by  $]T_n, \tau_{n+1}[$  and has the density

$$\Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(t, X_{T_n}, \tau_{n+1}) \exp \left\{ - \int_{T_n}^t \Phi_{n+1}^{-2}(s, X_{T_n}, \tau_{n+1}) ds \right\}.$$

By Proposition 3.2,  $X$  is chaotic on  $[0, T_{\infty}]$ .

If  $f(x) = \beta x$  we find again the Azéma martingale with parameter  $\beta \notin \{-1, 0\}$  on the interval  $[0, T_{\infty}]$ , where  $T_{\infty}$  is the first time when  $X = 0$  ( $T_{\infty}$  is also the first accumulation point of jump times of  $X$ ).



**Remark.**

The solution of the differential equation  $x_t = f(a - \int_0^t x_s^{-1} ds)$  allows us to construct the martingale  $X$  on  $[0, T_\infty]$ ; the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of this equation implies the existence and the uniqueness in law of  $X$  on  $[0, T_\infty]$ .

**Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank professor Jean-Pierre Lepeltier for his careful reading of the manuscript in several of its versions and Professor Michel Emery for his very useful help.

**References**

- [1] J. Azéma: Sur les fermés aléatoires. Séminaire de probabilités XIX, Lect. Notes in Maths. 1123, Springer (1985).
- [2] A. Dermoune: Distribution sur l'espace de Paul Lévy. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, vol. 26, n° 1, 1990, p. 101-119.
- [3] M. Emery: On the Azéma martingales. Séminaire de probabilités XXIII, Lect. Notes in Maths, 1372, Springer (1989).
- [4] M. Emery: Quelques cas de représentation chaotique. Séminaire de probabilités XXV, Lect. Notes in Maths, 1485, Springer (1991).
- [5] S. He, J. Wang: The total continuity of natural filtrations and the strong property of predictable representations for jump processes and processes with independent increments, Séminaire de probabilités XVI, Vol. 920, (1981).
- [6] K. Ito: Spectral type of the shift transformation of differential processes with increments. Tr. Ann. Math. Soc, Vol. 81, (1956).
- [7] P.A. Meyer: Un cours sur les intégrales stochastiques, Séminaire de probabilités X, Vol. 511, p.p 321-331, (1976).