

# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

JEAN JACOD

ANATOLI VLADIMIROVICH SKOROHOD

## **Jumping filtrations and martingales with finite variation**

*Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, tome 28 (1994), p. 21-35

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\\_1994\\_\\_28\\_\\_21\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1994__28__21_0)

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

# JUMPING FILTRATIONS AND MARTINGALES WITH FINITE VARIATION

J. JACOD and A.V. SKOROHOD

**ABSTRACT:** On a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is called a *jumping filtration* if there is a sequence  $(T_n)$  of stopping times increasing to  $+\infty$ , such that on each set  $\{T_n \leq t < T_{n+1}\}$  the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{T_n}$  coincide up to null sets. The main result is that  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is a jumping filtration iff all martingales have a.s. locally finite variation.

## 1 - INTRODUCTION

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space. By definition, a (right-continuous) filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is called a *jumping filtration* if there exists a *localizing sequence*  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (i.e. a sequence of stopping times increasing a.s. to  $+\infty$ ) with  $T_0 = 0$  and such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t > 0$ :

$$\text{the } \sigma\text{-fields } \mathcal{F}_t \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{T_n} \text{ coincide up to null sets on } \{T_n \leq t < T_{n+1}\}. \quad (1)$$

The sequence  $(T_n)$  is then called a *jumping sequence*. Note that it is by no means unique. Our aim is to prove the

**THEOREM 1:** *A filtration is a jumping filtration iff all its martingales are a.s. of locally finite variation (here and throughout the paper, martingales are supposed to be càdlàg).*

The necessary condition is easy (see Section 2) and not surprising, in view of the following known fact: consider a marked point process, that is an increasing sequence  $(T_n)$  of times, and associated marks  $X_n$  taking values in some measurable space  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ , and suppose that  $T_n \uparrow \infty$  a.s. Let  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  the filtration generated by some initial  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{G}$  and the marked point process

(i.e. the smallest filtration such that  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0$  and each  $T_n$  is a stopping time and  $X_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{T_n}$ -measurable). Then one knows (see [1]) that  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is a jumping filtration with jump times  $(T_n)$ , and if further  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  is a Blackwell space all martingales have a.s. locally finite variation.

In fact, any jumping filtration is generated by some marked point process, with a "very large" set of marks: take times  $T_n$  as in (1), and  $(E, \mathcal{E}) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (E_n, \mathcal{E}_n)$  where  $E_n = \Omega \cup \{\Delta\}$  ( $\Delta$  is an extra point) and  $\mathcal{E}_n$  is the  $\sigma$ -field of  $E_n$  generated by  $\mathcal{F}_{T_n}$ , and  $X_n(\omega)$  is the point of  $E$  with coordinates  $\Delta$ , except the  $n^{\text{th}}$  coordinate which is  $\omega$ .

So Theorem 1 implies that if all martingales are a.s. of locally finite variation, the filtration is indeed generated by an initial  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and a marked point process.

When the filtration is quasi-left continuous, the sufficient condition is relatively simple to prove, and some additional results are available: this is done in Section 3. The general case needs a systematic use of stochastic integrals w.r.t. random measures: some auxiliary results about these are gathered in Section 4, and the proof is given in Section 5.

## 2 - THE NECESSARY CONDITION

Assume here that  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is a jumping filtration, with jumping sequence  $(T_n)$ . For the necessary part of Theorem 1 it suffices to prove that a uniformly integrable martingale  $M$  which is 0 on  $[0, T_n]$  and constant on  $[T_{n+1}, \infty)$  for some  $n$  is a.s. of locally finite variation.

Set  $T = T_n$  and  $S = T_{n+1}$ , and call  $G$  a regular version of the law of the pair  $(S, M_S)$ , conditional on  $\mathcal{F}_T$ . By hypothesis, for each  $t$  there is an  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable variable  $N_t$  such that  $M_t = N_t$  a.s. on  $\{T \leq t < S\}$ . We have the following string of a.s. equalities (the third one comes from the martingale property; further  $(u, x) \rightarrow |x|$  is  $G$ -integrable for a.a.  $\omega$ , because  $M$  is uniformly integrable, and  $G'(t) = G((t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} N_t G'(t) 1_{\{T \leq t\}} &= E[N_t 1_{\{T \leq t < S\}} | \mathcal{F}_T] = E[M_t 1_{\{T \leq t < S\}} | \mathcal{F}_T] \\ &= E[M_S 1_{\{T \leq t < S\}} | \mathcal{F}_T] = 1_{\{T \leq t\}} \int G(du, dx) x 1_{\{u > t\}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The right-hand side of (2), which we denote by  $A_t$ , is a.s. càdlàg with locally finite variation, as a function of  $t$ ; further, the left-hand side of (2)

is a.s. equal to  $M_t G'(t)$  on the set  $\{T \leq t < S\}$ , so outside a null set we have  $M_t G'(t) = A_t$  for all  $t$  with  $t < S$ . Since  $M_t = M_S$  for  $t \geq S$  and  $G'$  is non-increasing, it follows that  $t \rightarrow M_t$  is a.s. of locally finite variation if  $S = \infty$  or if  $G'(S) > 0$  or if  $G'(S) = 0$  and  $G'(S-) > 0$ ; by definition of  $G'$ , at least one of these properties holds, hence the result.

### 3 - THE QUASI-LEFT CONTINUOUS CASE

Recall that the filtration is called *quasi-left continuous* if  $\mathcal{F}_{T-} = \mathcal{F}_T$  (up to null sets) for all predictable times  $T$ , or equivalently if all martingales are quasi-left continuous. In this case, the proof of the sufficient condition in Theorem 1 is simple, and provides additional information about the existence of a minimal jumping sequence. More precisely, we have:

**THEOREM 2:** a) *If the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is quasi-left continuous and all martingales are a.s. of locally finite variation, then  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is a jumping filtration. Furthermore there is a jumping sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that*

- (i)  $T_n$  is totally inaccessible when  $n \geq 1$  and  $T_n < T_{n+1}$  if  $T_n < \infty$ .
- (ii) Every totally inaccessible time  $T$  satisfies  $\llbracket T \rrbracket \subseteq \cup_{n \geq 1} \llbracket T_n \rrbracket$  a.s.
- (iii) Any other jumping sequence  $(T'_n)$  satisfies  $\cup \llbracket T'_n \rrbracket \supseteq \cup \llbracket T_n \rrbracket$  a.s.
- (iv) Local martingales jump only at the times  $T_n$ .

b) *If  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is a jumping filtration, with a jumping sequence consisting in totally inaccessible times, then the filtration is quasi-left continuous.*

(iii) means that  $(T_n)$  is the unique minimal jumping sequence, while (ii) means that it is the "maximal" sequence of totally inaccessible times.

**Proof.** We first suppose all the assumptions in (a).

$\alpha$ ) Let  $\mathcal{J}$  denote the class of all totally inaccessible times. We prove first that for any sequence  $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  and any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\text{the random set } U = \llbracket 0, q \rrbracket \cap (\cup_{n \geq 1} \llbracket S_n \rrbracket) \text{ is a.s. finite.} \quad (3)$$

Set  $V = \{\omega : \text{there are infinitely many } s \text{ with } (\omega, s) \in U\}$ . Suppose that (3) fails, that is  $\varepsilon := P(V) > 0$ . Call  $\pi(A)$  the projection of a subset  $A$  of  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$  on  $\Omega$ . Define by induction optional subsets  $U_n$  of  $U$  and stopping times  $T_n \in \mathcal{J}$  as such: set  $U_1 = U$ ; then if  $U_n$  is known the optional section

theorem yields a stopping time  $T_n$  such that  $[[T_n]] \subseteq U_n$  (hence  $T_n \in \mathcal{F}$ ) and  $P(\pi(U_n) \cap (T_n = \infty)) \leq \varepsilon 2^{-n}$ ; then set  $U_{n+1} = U_n \setminus [[T_n]]$ . Clearly  $\forall \pi(U_n)$  for all  $n$ , hence  $P(V \cap (T_n = \infty)) \leq \varepsilon 2^{-n}$  and thus  $A := \cap (T_n < \infty)$  satisfies  $P(V \setminus A) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $P(A) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ .

Now call  $M^n$  the purely discontinuous martingale having a jump of size  $+1$  at time  $T_n$  if  $T_n < \infty$ , and which is continuous elsewhere. The bracket of  $M^n$  is  $[M^n, M^n]_t = 1_{\{T_n \leq t\}}$ , and the  $M^n$ 's are pairwise orthogonal because they have no common jumps. Then the series  $\sum \frac{1}{n} M^n$  converges in  $L^2$  to a square-integrable martingale whose variation on  $[0, q]$  is bigger than  $\sum \frac{1}{n} 1_{\{T_n \leq q\}}$ . In particular this variation is infinite on the set  $A$  (since  $T_n < \infty \Rightarrow T_n \leq q$ ), so  $P(A) = 0$  by hypothesis, hence a contradiction and (3) is proved.

$\beta$ ) Next we construct the sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by induction. Set  $T_0 = 0$ . Suppose that  $T_n$  is known, and call  $\mathcal{J}_n$  the (non-empty) set of all  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $T \geq T_n$ , and  $T > T_n$  if  $T_n < \infty$ . Then define  $T_{n+1}$  to be the essential infimum of all  $T$  in  $\mathcal{J}_n$ . Since  $S, S' \in \mathcal{J}_n \Rightarrow S \wedge S' \in \mathcal{J}_n$ , there is a decreasing sequence  $(S_p)_{p \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{J}_n$ , with limit  $T_{n+1}$ . In view of (3), we must have  $S_p = T_{n+1}$  for  $p$  large enough (depending on  $\omega$ ), a.s.: hence  $T_{n+1} \in \mathcal{J}_n$  and  $T_{n+1} > T_n$  if  $T_n < \infty$ , and we have (i).

Using (3) once more, we get  $\lim_n T = +\infty$  a.s. Since any  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  has  $T \geq T_{n+1}$  on the set  $\{T > T_n\}$  by the definition of  $T_{n+1}$ , we have (ii). All local martingale having only totally inaccessible jumps, (iv) follows from (ii).

$\gamma$ ) Next we prove that  $(T_n)$  is a jumping sequence. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , and set  $T = T_n$ ,  $S = T_{n+1}$ . We consider the martingale  $N_s^A = P(A \cap (T \leq t < S) | \mathcal{F}_s)$ , and also the point process  $X_s = 1_{\{S \leq s\}}$  with its compensator  $Y$ . Since  $A \cap (T \leq t < S) \in \mathcal{F}_{S \wedge t}$ , we have

$$N_s^A = N_{S \wedge S \wedge t}^A, \quad N_S^A = 1_B. \quad (4)$$

Then  $M_s = N_s^A - N_{S \wedge T}^A$  is null on  $[0, T]$  and constant on  $[S \wedge t, \infty)$ , and so by (iv) has only one jump at time  $S$ , which is  $\Delta M_S = \Delta N_{S-}^A 1_{\{S \leq t\}} = -N_{S-}^A 1_{\{t \leq S\}}$ . Thus, with the predictable process  $H_u = -N_{u-}^A 1_{\{u \geq t\}}$ , we obtain  $M = X' - Y'$  with  $X'_s = \int_0^s H_u dX_u$  and  $Y'_s = \int_0^s H_u dY_u$  being the compensator of  $X'$ . Hence

$$N_s^A = N_T^A + \int_0^{S \wedge t} N_{u-}^A dY_u \quad \text{a.s. if } T \leq s < S. \quad (5)$$

Now, observing that  $Y_s = 0$  for  $s \leq T$  and with  $\mathcal{E}(Y)$  denoting the Doléans

exponential of  $Y$ , we deduce  $N_s^A = N_T^A \mathcal{E}(Y)_{sAt}$  if  $T \leq s < S$ . Similarly  $N_t^\Omega = N_T^\Omega \mathcal{E}(Y)_{sAt}$  if  $T \leq s < S$ , hence

$$N_t^A N_T^\Omega = N_t^\Omega N_T^A \quad \text{a.s. on } \{T \leq t < S\}. \quad (6)$$

Note that  $A' = \{N_T^A = N_T^\Omega > 0\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable, and  $N_t^A = 1_{A \cap \{T \leq t < S\}}$  and  $N_t^\Omega = 1_{\{T \leq t < S\}}$ ; we readily deduce  $A \cap \{T \leq t < S\} = A' \cap \{T \leq t < S\}$  a.s., hence (1).

δ) Now we prove (iii). Let  $(T'_n)$  be another jumping sequence. If (iii) were not true, there would exist a pair  $n, p$  of integers such that  $P(T'_n < T_p < T'_{n+1}) > 0$ . According to a (trivial) extension of Proposition (3.40) of [1], there is a  $(0, \infty]$ -valued  $\mathcal{F}_{T'_n}$ -measurable variable  $R$  such that  $S = T'_n + R$  has  $S = T_p < \infty$  on the set  $\{T'_n < T_p < T'_{n+1}\}$ . Now,  $S$  is clearly a predictable time, and  $P(T_p = S < \infty) > 0$  contradicts the property  $T_p \in \mathcal{F}$ . ■

e) It remains to prove (b). So now we assume that  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is a jumping filtration, with a jumping sequence  $(T_n)$  having  $T_n \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . It is enough to show that if  $M$  is a bounded martingale and  $T$  is a finite predictable time, then  $\Delta M_T = 0$  a.s. on each set  $A = \{T_n < T < T_{n+1}\}$ . (1) implies  $\mathcal{F}_T \cap A = \mathcal{F}_{T_n} \cap A = \mathcal{F}_{T_n} \cap A$  up to null sets. Further,  $P(B \setminus A) = 0$  if  $B = \{T_n < T \leq T_{n+1}\}$ , so  $\mathcal{F}_T \cap B = \mathcal{F}_{T_n} \cap B$  up to null sets as well. But  $B \in \mathcal{F}_{T_n}$ , hence  $\Delta M_{T \downarrow B}$  is measurable w.r.t. the completion of  $\mathcal{F}_{T_n}$ , and  $\Delta M_{T \downarrow B} = 1_B E(\Delta M_T | \mathcal{F}_{T_n})$  a.s. Since  $E(\Delta M_T | \mathcal{F}_{T_n}) = 0$  a.s. ( $M$  is a martingale and  $T$  is predictable), we obtain  $\Delta M_T = 0$  a.s. on  $B$ . ■

#### 4 - RANDOM MEASURES AND MARTINGALES WITH FINITE VARIATION

1) Let us begin with two auxiliary results, which are more or less known. We consider two measurable spaces  $(G, \mathcal{G})$  and  $(H, \mathcal{H})$ , and a positive transition measure  $\eta(x; dy)$  from  $(G, \mathcal{G})$  into  $(H, \mathcal{H})$ . The first lemma concerns the atoms of maximal mass of  $\eta(x, \cdot)$ :

**LEMMA 3:** Assume that  $(H, \mathcal{H})$  is a Polish space with its Borel  $\sigma$ -field and that  $\eta(x, H) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . Then if  $\alpha(x) = \sup\{\nu(x, \{y\}) : y \in H\}$ :

a)  $\alpha$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable.

b) There is a measurable function  $\zeta: (G, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow (H, \mathcal{H})$  such that  $\alpha(x) = \eta(x, \{\zeta(x)\})$ .

c) There is a  $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ -measurable set  $B$  such that  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \int \eta(x, dy) 1_B(x, y) \leq \frac{3}{4}$  if  $\alpha(x) \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\eta(x, E) > \frac{3}{4}$ .

**Proof.** There is a bi-measurable bijection  $\varphi$  from  $H$  into a Borel subset  $H'$  of  $[0,1)$  containing  $0$ , and we set  $\eta'(x,A) = \eta(x,\varphi^{-1}(A \cap H'))$  for every Borel subset of  $[0,1)$ . Then  $y'$  is an atom of  $\eta'(x, \cdot)$  iff  $y' = \varphi(y)$  where  $y$  is an atom of  $\eta(x, \cdot)$ , with the same mass. Set  $A(n,m) = [m2^{-n}, (m+1)2^{-n})$ .

a) The functions  $f_n(x) = \sup(\eta'(x, A(n,m)) : 0 \leq m \leq 2^n - 1)$  are measurable and decreases to  $\alpha(x)$ , hence the result.

b) Set  $M_n(x) = \inf(m : \eta'(x, A(n,m)) \geq \alpha(x))$ . If  $\alpha(x) = 0$  then  $M_n(x) = 0$  and  $A(n, M_n(x))$  decreases to  $\{0\}$ . If  $\alpha(x) > 0$ , for all  $n$  large enough we have for all  $m$ : either  $A(n,m)$  contains exactly one atom of  $\eta'(x, \cdot)$  of mass  $\alpha(x)$ , or it contains no such atom and  $\eta'(x, A(n,m)) < \alpha(x)$ ; thus for  $n$  large enough we have  $A(n+1, M_{n+1}(x)) \subseteq A(n, M_n(x))$ . Hence for all  $x$  the sequence  $A(n, M_n(x))$  converges as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to a singleton, say  $\{\zeta'(x)\}$ , with  $\zeta'(x) = 0$  if  $\alpha(x) = 0 \in H'$  and  $\zeta'(x) \in H'$  otherwise (because  $\eta'(x, \{\zeta'(x)\}) = \alpha(x)$ ). Then  $\zeta = \zeta' \circ \varphi^{-1}$  satisfies the requirements.

c) Set  $U(x) = 1 \wedge \inf(t \geq 0 : \eta'(x, [0,t]) \geq 1/2)$ . If  $\alpha(x) \leq 1/4$  and  $\eta'(x, [0,1]) = \eta(x, H) > 3/4$  we have  $1/2 \leq \eta'(x, [0, U(x)]) \leq 3/4$ . Then  $B = \{(x,y) : \varphi(y) \in [0, U(x)]\}$  answers the question. ■

The second lemma is a variation on the fact that if  $L^2(\mu) \subseteq L^1(\mu)$  for a measure  $\mu$ , then  $\mu$  is of finite total mass, and it results from discussions with J. Azéma and Ph. Biane.

**LEMMA 4:** Assume that there is a  $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ -measurable partition  $(F_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of  $G \times H$  such that  $\int \eta(x, dy) 1_{F_n}(x, y) \leq 1$  for all  $n$ . There is a  $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable function  $U$  with  $0 < U \leq 1$  and  $\int \eta(x, dy) U^2(x, y) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in G$ , and

$$\int \eta(x, dy) U(x, y) = \infty \Leftrightarrow \eta(x, F) = \infty. \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** We define by induction the sequence  $\gamma_n(x)$ , with  $\gamma_0(x) = 0$  and

$$\gamma_{n+1}(x) = \inf(m : \sum_{i: \gamma_n(x) < i \leq m} \int \eta(x, dy) 1_{F_i}(x, y) \geq 1).$$

Set  $N(x) = \inf(n : \gamma_n(x) = \infty)$  and  $K_n = \cup_{i \geq 1} [F_i \cap \{(x, y) : \gamma_{n-1}(x) < i \leq \gamma_n(x)\}]$  if  $n \geq 1$ . Finally set  $\delta = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2}$  and  $U = (1 \vee 2\delta)^{-1/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} 1_{K_n}$ .

The  $K_n$ 's constitute a measurable partition of  $G \times F$ , hence  $U$  is measura-

ble and  $0 < U \leq 1$ . By construction  $\int \eta(x, dy) 1_{K_n}(x, y) \leq 2$ , so  $\int \eta(x, dy) U^2(x, y) \leq 1$ . Further the integral  $\int \eta(x, dy) 1_{K_n}(x, y)$  is bigger than 1 if  $n < N(x)$  and null if  $n > N(x)$ , while  $\eta(x, F) = \infty \Leftrightarrow N(x) = \infty$ , so (7) follows. ■

2) Now we turn to random measures. We fix a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the predictable  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . Let  $E$  be a Polish space with its Borel  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times E$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ . An *integer-valued random measure* is a random measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times E$  of the form

$$\mu(\omega; dt, dx) = \sum_{s > 0, \gamma_s(\omega) \in E} \varepsilon_{(s, \gamma_s(\omega))}(dt, dx), \tag{8}$$

where  $\gamma$  is an optional process taking values in  $E \cup \{\Delta\}$ , and for which there is a  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable partition  $(G_n)$  of  $\tilde{\Omega}$  with  $E[\sum_{s > 0} 1_{G_n}(\cdot, s, \gamma_s)] < \infty$ . It is known that there is such a partition with  $E[\sum_{s > 0} 1_{G_n}(\cdot, s, \gamma_s)] \leq 1$  for all  $n$ .

We denote by  $\nu$  the (predictable) *compensator* of  $\mu$ , and we use all notation of [1], Chapter III: in particular if  $W$  is a  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable function on  $\tilde{\Omega}$  we set (with  $+\infty$  whenever an integral is not well defined):

$$\hat{W}_t(\omega) = \int_E W(\omega, t, x) \nu(\omega, t, dx), \quad a_t = \hat{1}_t = \nu(\cdot, t) \times E, \tag{9}$$

$$W * \mu_t = \int_{[0, t] \times E} W(\cdot, s, x) \mu(\cdot; ds, dx), \text{ and similarly for } W * \nu, \tag{10}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} C^\infty(W)_t &= (W - \hat{W})^2 * \nu_t + \sum_{s \leq t} (1 - a_s) (\hat{W}_s)^2, \\ C^0(W)_t &= |W - \hat{W}| * \nu_t + \sum_{s \leq t} (1 - a_s) |\hat{W}_s|. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{11}$$

Recall that one may define the stochastic integral process  $W * (\mu - \nu)$  of  $W$  w.r.t.  $\mu - \nu$  (for a  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable  $W$ ) iff one may write  $W = W' + W''$ , with  $W', W''$   $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable and  $C^\infty(W')_t + C^0(W'')_t < \infty$  a.s. for all  $t < \infty$ . Further, if  $\mathcal{L}^2$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}^1$ ) is the set of all  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable functions  $W$  such that  $C^\infty(W)_\infty$  (resp.  $C^0(W)_\infty$ ) is integrable, we have ([1], Proposition (3.71)):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} W \in \mathcal{L}^2 &\Leftrightarrow W * (\mu - \nu) \text{ is a square-integrable martingale} \\ W \in \mathcal{L}^1 &\Leftrightarrow W * (\mu - \nu) \text{ has integrable variation over } \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{12}$$

Finally, we also set

$$\alpha_t(\omega) = \sup_{x \in E} \nu(\omega; t, x), \quad J = \{a > 0\}, \quad K_\varepsilon = \{a > \varepsilon\}. \tag{13}$$

By Lemma 3,  $\alpha$  is a predictable process, and  $J, K_\varepsilon$  are predictable sets.

Note also that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq a \leq 1$ .

Our main aim in this section is to prove the following two theorems, in which  $\mathcal{L}_b^i$  denotes the set of all bounded functions in  $\mathcal{L}^i$  ( $i=1,2$ ), and

$$F_t^\varepsilon = 1_{(K_\varepsilon)^c} * \nu_t + \sum_{s \in K_\varepsilon, s \leq t} (1 - \alpha_s). \quad (14)$$

**THEOREM 5:** Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ . There is equivalence between:

- $\mathcal{L}_b^2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_b^1$ .
- $\mathcal{L}_b^1$  equals the set of all bounded  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable functions on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .
- $\mathcal{L}_b^2$  equals the set of all bounded  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable functions on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .
- $E(F_\infty^\varepsilon) < \infty$ .

**Proof.** We begin with some remarks. If  $\varepsilon < \eta$  then  $K_\eta \subseteq K_\varepsilon$ ; if further  $s \in K_\varepsilon \setminus K_\eta$ , then  $1 - \alpha_s \leq a_s / \varepsilon$  and  $a_s \leq (1 - \alpha_s) / (1 - \eta)$ . Hence

$$F^\varepsilon \leq (1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}) F^\eta, \quad F^\eta \leq (1 + \frac{1}{1-\eta}) F^\varepsilon, \quad (15)$$

and (d) does not depend on  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ . In the rest of the proof we take  $\varepsilon = 1/4$  and write  $K = K_{1/4}$ ,  $F = F^{1/4}$ . Next, apply Lemma 3 to  $(G, \mathcal{G}) = (\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{P})$  and  $(H, \mathcal{H}) = (E, \mathcal{E})$ , with the measure  $\eta((\omega, t), dx) = \nu(\omega, t) \times dx$ : we obtain a predictable  $E$ -valued process  $\zeta$  such that  $\alpha_t = \nu(\{t, \zeta_t\})$  and a  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable set  $B$  such that  $1/2 \leq \hat{1}_B \leq 3/4$  when  $\alpha \leq 1/4$  and  $a > 3/4$ . Then the sets  $A = \{(\omega, t, \zeta_t(\omega)) : (\omega, t) \in K\}$  and  $C = [(K^c \cap \{0 < a \leq 3/4\}) \times E] \cup [B \cap ((K^c \cap \{a > 3/4\}) \times E)]$  are  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable and satisfy for some predictable process  $\beta$ :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A \subseteq K \times E, \quad \hat{1}_A &= \alpha 1_K, \\ C \subseteq (J \setminus K) \times E, \quad \hat{1}_C &= \beta 1_{J \setminus K} \text{ with } \beta = a \text{ if } a \leq 3/4, \quad 1/2 \leq \beta \leq 3/4 \text{ if } a > 3/4. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

This, (14) and the definition of  $K = K_{1/4}$  yield

$$F_\infty^\varepsilon \leq 1_{J^c} * \nu_\infty + 4 \sum_{s \in K} \alpha_s (1 - \alpha_s) + 8 \sum_{s \in J \setminus K} \beta_s (1 - \beta_s), \quad (16)$$

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is obvious.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Consider the measure  $\eta$  on  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}})$  defined by  $\eta(W) = E[1_{J^c} * \nu_\infty]$ . Lemma 4 implies the existence of a  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable function  $U$  with  $0 < U \leq 1$  and  $\eta(U^2) < \infty$  and such that  $\eta(U) < \infty$  implies  $\eta(\tilde{\Omega}) < \infty$ . But (11) yields  $E[C_\infty^\omega (1_{J^c})_\infty] = \eta(U^2)$ , so  $1_{J^c} \in \mathcal{L}_b^2$  by definition of  $\mathcal{L}_b^2$ , hence (a) implies

$U1_{J^c} \in \mathcal{L}_b^1$  and by (11) again  $\eta(U) = E[C_\infty^0(U1_{J^c})] < \infty$ . Therefore

$$E(1_{J^c} * \nu_\infty) < \infty. \quad (17)$$

Next we consider the measure  $\eta$  on  $(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{P})$  defined by  $\eta(H) = E[\sum_{s \in K} \alpha_s (1 - \alpha_s) H_s]$ . If  $H$  is a predictable process, (11) yields

$$C_\infty^\omega(H1_A)_t = \sum_{s \in K, s \leq t} \alpha_s (1 - \alpha_s) H_s^2, \quad C^0(H1_A)_t = \sum_{s \in K, s \leq t} 2\alpha_s (1 - \alpha_s) |H_s|, \quad (18)$$

hence by the same argument as above, (a) and Lemma 4 imply  $\eta(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+) < \infty$ , that is

$$E[\sum_{s \in K} \alpha_s (1 - \alpha_s)] < \infty. \quad (19)$$

Finally, with the measure defined by  $\eta(H) = E[\sum_{s \in J \setminus K} \beta_s (1 - \beta_s) H_s]$  (and  $(\beta, C, J \setminus K)$  instead of  $(\alpha, A, K)$  in (18)) one obtains similarly

$$E[\sum_{s \in J \setminus K} \beta_s (1 - \beta_s)] < \infty. \quad (20)$$

Putting together (17), (19) and (20), we deduce (d) from (16).

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let  $W$  be a  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable function bounded by a constant  $\delta$ . First  $|\hat{W}| \leq \delta a$ , and since  $\sum_s a_s (1 - a_s) \leq F_s$  (because  $1 - a \leq 1 - \alpha$ ) we have

$$E[\sum_s (1 - a_s) |\hat{W}_s|] < \infty. \quad (21)$$

From the definition of  $A$ ,  $W$  can be written as  $W = U + H1_A$  with  $U = W1_{A^c}$  and  $H$  a predictable process. We have  $|W - \hat{W}| \leq 2\delta$  and  $|\hat{U}| \leq \delta \hat{1}_{A^c}$ , and  $W - \hat{W} = H(1 - \alpha) - \hat{U}$  on  $A$ , and  $\hat{1}_{A^c} = 1 - \alpha$  on  $K$ , so that with  $V = |W - \hat{W}|$ :

$$\hat{V} \leq 2\delta \hat{1}_{A^c} + \delta(1 - \alpha) + |\hat{U}| \leq 4\delta(1 - \alpha) \text{ on } K \quad (22)$$

Hence with  $V$  as above  $V * \nu_\infty = (V1_{K^c}) * \nu_\infty + \sum_{s \in K} \hat{V}_t \leq 4\delta F_\infty$ , and adding this to (21) yields  $E[C_\infty^0(W)_\infty] < \infty$ , hence  $W \in \mathcal{L}_b^1$ .

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): This is proved as the previous implication, with  $V = (W - \hat{W})^2$  satisfying  $\hat{V} \leq 8\delta^2(1 - \alpha)$  on  $K$  instead of (22).

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d): By hypothesis  $E[C_\infty^0(1)] < \infty$ , hence (17). We also have  $E[C_\infty^0(1_A)] < \infty$  which gives (19), and  $E[C_\infty^0(1_B)] < \infty$  which gives (20). ■

**THEOREM 6:** Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . There is equivalence between:

- a) All stochastic integrals  $W*(\mu-\nu)$  are a.s. of locally finite variation.  
 b) We have  $F_t^E < \infty$  a.s. for all  $t < \infty$ .

**Proof.** (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). By localization we can assume  $E(F_\infty^E) < \infty$ . According to (3.69), (3.70) and (3.71) of [1], any stochastic integral  $W*(\mu-\nu)$  is the sum of a local martingale with locally finite variation and another stochastic integral  $W'*(\mu-\nu)$  with  $W'$  bounded. Then (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) of Theorem 5 shows the result.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). If  $C^\infty(W)_t < \infty$  (or  $E[C^\infty(W)_t] < \infty$ ) for all  $t$ , (a) and (12) yield  $C^0(W)_t < \infty$  a.s. for all  $t$ ; there is even a localizing sequence  $(T_n)$  with  $E[C^0(W)_{T_n}] < \infty$ , so we have locally (a) of Theorem 5. However the localizing sequence a priori depends on  $W$ , so we cannot apply (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) of Theorem 5.

Below we assume (a), and we fix  $t > 0$ . In order to prove  $F_t^E < \infty$  a.s. it is enough by (15) and (16) to prove, with  $K = K_{1/4}$ :

$$1_{J^C} * \nu_t < \infty, \quad \sum_{s \in K, s \leq t} \alpha_s^{(1-\alpha_s)} < \infty, \quad \sum_{s \in J \setminus K, s \leq t} \beta_s^{(1-\beta_s)} < \infty. \quad (23)$$

We can apply Lemma 4 with  $(G, \mathcal{F}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $(H, \mathcal{H}) = (\mathbb{R}_+ \times E, \mathcal{R}_+ \otimes \mathcal{E})$  and  $\eta(\omega, \cdot) = (1_{J^C} * \nu)(\omega, \cdot)$ ; there is an  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{R}_+ \otimes \mathcal{E}$ -measurable function  $U$  with  $0 < U \leq 1$  and  $\int_{J^C \cap [0, t]} \eta(\omega; ds, dx) U^2(\omega, s, x) \leq 1$  and (7). If  $\rho(d\omega, ds, dx) = P(d\omega)\eta(\omega; ds, dx)$  there is a  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable partition  $(D_n)$  of  $\tilde{\Omega}$  with  $\rho(D_n) < \infty$ , so  $W = \rho(U | \tilde{\mathcal{P}})$  is well defined. We then have  $0 \leq W \leq 1$ ,  $W^2 \leq \rho(U^2 | \tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ , and  $\rho(U^2) = E[\int \eta(\cdot; ds, dx) U^2(\cdot, s, x)] \leq 1$ , hence  $E[C^\infty(W)_{J^C \cap [0, t]}] = \rho(W^2) \leq 1$  and (a), (12) and a localization argument imply  $C^0(W)_{J^C \cap [0, t]} < \infty$  a.s. Hence there is a localizing sequence  $(T_n)$  such that

$$\rho(U1_{[0, T_n]}) = \rho(W1_{[0, T_n]}) = E[(W1_{J^C} * \nu_{T_n \wedge t})] < \infty,$$

hence  $\int \eta(ds, dx) U(s, x) < \infty$  a.s. on  $\cup_n \{T_n \geq t\} = \Omega$ . Then (7) yields  $\eta(\mathbb{R}_+ \times E) < \infty$  a.s., which gives the first part of (23).

We apply the same argument with  $\eta(\omega, ds) = \sum_{r \in K, r \leq t} \alpha_r^{(1-\alpha_r)} \epsilon_r(ds)$ , and  $(G, \mathcal{F}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(D, \mathcal{D}) = (\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{R}_+)$ . Let  $U$  be as in Lemma 4. Then set  $\rho(d\omega, ds) = P(d\omega)\eta(\omega, ds)$  and  $H = \rho(U | \mathcal{P})$ , which has  $0 \leq H \leq 1$  and  $\rho(H^2) \leq 1$ . Then  $W = H1_A$  satisfies (18), so  $E[C^\infty(W)] = \rho(H^2) \leq 1$ , hence we deduce exactly as above that  $C^0(W) < \infty$  a.s., and that  $\int \eta(ds) U(s) < \infty$  a.s., hence  $\eta(\mathbb{R}_+) < \infty$  a.s. and this gives the second part of (23).

The third part of (23) is proved similarly, upon substituting  $(\beta, J \setminus K, B)$  with  $(\alpha, K, A)$ . ■

3) So far the measure  $\mu$  was fixed, but here we allow it to change. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the class of all integer-valued random measures, on all Polish spaces  $E$ , and by  $\mathcal{A}_0$  the subclass of all measures in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that the process  $\alpha$  associated by (13) has  $\alpha_t \leq 1/2$  identically. If  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$  has the compensator  $\nu$ , let  $\mathcal{M}(\mu)$  be the space of all local martingales of the form  $W^*(\mu-\nu)$ .

**PROPOSITION 7:** *If  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $\mathcal{M}(\mu') = \mathcal{M}(\mu)$ .*

**Proof.** a) We start with  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$ , on the Polish space  $E$ , and with compensator  $\nu$ . As in the proof of Theorem 5, there is a predictable  $E$ -valued process  $\zeta$  with  $\alpha_t = \nu(\{t, \zeta_t\})$ . Recall the process  $\gamma$  in (8), and in (13) set  $K = K_{1/2}$ .

The measure  $\mu'$  will be on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times E'$ , with  $E' = E \times \{0\} + E_\Delta \times \{1\}$ . We set  $E'_\Delta = E' + \{\Delta'\}$ , and

$$\gamma'_t = \begin{cases} (\gamma_t, 0) & \text{if } t \notin K \text{ and } \gamma_t \in E \\ (\gamma_t, 1) & \text{if } t \in K \text{ and } \gamma_t \in E_\Delta \setminus \{\zeta_t\} \\ \Delta' & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

This is an optional  $E'_\Delta$ -valued process, with which one associates the random measure  $\mu'$  by (8). Clearly  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}$ , and we add a dash to all quantities related to  $\mu'$ : e.g.  $\nu'$ ,  $\alpha'$ , etc...

b) We presently prove that  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . First, with any  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable function  $W'$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}' = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times E'$ , we associate the  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -measurable function  $f(W')(\omega, t, x) = W'(\omega, t, (x, 0))$ . We have

$$W'1_{K^c} * \mu' = f(W')1_{K^c} * \mu, \quad W'1_{K^c} * \nu' = f(W')1_{K^c} * \nu \quad (25)$$

(the first equality is obvious, and the second one follows by taking the compensators). Thus  $\alpha'_t = \alpha_t \leq 1/2$  and  $a'_t = a_t$  if  $t \in K^c$ . Next, since for every finite predictable time  $T$  the measure  $\nu(\{T\} \times \cdot)$  is characterized by the property  $\nu(\{T\} \times A) = P(\gamma_T \in A | \mathcal{F}_{T-})$ , and similarly for  $\nu'$ , up to changing  $\nu'$  on a null set we can assume that we have identically:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \nu'(\{t\} \times (E \times \{0\})) &= 0, & \nu'(\{t\} \times (C \times \{1\})) &= \nu(\{t\} \times (C \setminus \{\zeta_t\})), \\ \nu'(\{t\} \times \{\Delta, 1\}) &= 1 - \alpha_t. \end{aligned} \right\} \text{if } t \in K. \quad (26)$$

Then  $\alpha'_t \leq a'_t = 1 - \alpha_t < 1/2$  if  $t \in K$ . Hence  $\alpha'_t \leq 1/2$  for all  $t$ , and  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$ .

c) It remains to prove  $M(\mu') = M(\mu)$ . Since stochastic integrals w.r.t. random measures are characterized by their jumps, it suffices to prove that if  $W$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable (resp.  $W'$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ -measurable), we can find a  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable  $W$  (resp. a  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable  $W'$ ) such that for all  $t$ :

$$W(t, \gamma_t) 1_{E \setminus \{\gamma_t\}} - \int_E \nu(\{t\} \times dx) W(t, x) = W'(t, \gamma'_t) 1_{E \setminus \{\gamma'_t\}} - \int_E \nu'(\{t\} \times dy) W'(t, y). \quad (27)$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable functions are functions of the form

$$W(t, x) = H_t 1_{\{\zeta_t\}}(x) + U(t, x), \quad \text{with } U(t, \zeta_t) = 0, \quad (28)$$

where  $H$  is predictable and  $U$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable, and the left-hand side of (27) is then

$$H_t 1_{\{\zeta_t\}}(\gamma_t) + U(t, \gamma_t) 1_{E \setminus \{\zeta_t\}}(\gamma_t) - \alpha_t H_t - \hat{U}_t. \quad (29)$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ -measurable functions are functions of the form (with  $(x, i) \in E'$ ):

$$W'(t, (x, i)) = G_t^i 1_{\{\zeta_t\}}(x) + G_t^i 1_{\{\Delta, 1\}}(x, i) + U^i(t, x), \quad \text{with } U^i(t, \zeta_t) = 0, \quad (30)$$

where  $G, G^0, G^1$  are predictable and  $U^0, U^1$  are  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Due to (24), (25) and (26), the right-hand side of (27) is then

$$\left. \begin{aligned} G_t^0 1_{\{\zeta_t\}}(\gamma_t) + U^0(t, \gamma_t) 1_{E \setminus \{\zeta_t\}}(\gamma_t) - \alpha_t G_t^0 - \hat{U}_t^0 & \quad \text{if } t \notin K \\ G_t^1 1_{\{\Delta\}}(\gamma_t) + U^1(t, \gamma_t) 1_{E \setminus \{\zeta_t\}}(\gamma_t) - (1 - a_t) G_t^1 - \hat{U}_t^1 & \quad \text{if } t \in K \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (31)$$

If we start with (28) and if we define  $W'$  by (30) with  $G^1 = 0$ ,  $G^0 = H 1_{K^c}$ ,  $U^0 = U 1_{K^c}$ ,  $G = -H 1_K$ ,  $U^1(t, x) = [U(t, x) - H_t 1_{\{x \neq \zeta_t\}}] 1_K(t)$ , a simple computation shows that (29) and (31) are equal. We also have equality between (29) and (31) if we start with (30) and define  $W$  by (28), with  $H = H^0 1_{K^c} - G 1_K$  and  $U(t, x) = U^0(t, x) 1_{K^c}(t) + [U^1(t, x) - G_t^1 1_{\{x \neq \zeta_t\}}] 1_K(t)$ . Therefore (27) holds. ■

**COROLLARY 8:** Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$ . There is equivalence between:

a) All elements of  $M(\mu)$  are a.s. of locally finite variation.

b) For every  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  such that  $M(\mu') = M(\mu)$  we have  $1 * \nu_t < \infty$  a.s. for all  $t < \infty$  (or equivalently  $1 * \mu'_t < \infty$  a.s. for all  $t < \infty$ ).

**Proof.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $M(\mu') = M(\mu)$ . Then (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) of Theorem 6 applied to  $\mu'$  implies  $F_t^{1/2} < \infty$  a.s., where  $F^{1/2}$  is associated with  $\mu'$  by (14). Since  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  we have  $K_{1/2}' = \emptyset$ , so  $1 * \nu_t' < \infty$  a.s. It is also well known that  $1 * \nu_t' < \infty$  a.s. for all  $t < \infty$  is equivalent to  $1 * \mu_t' < \infty$  a.s. for all  $t < \infty$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): This readily follows from (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) of Theorem 6 and from the existence of  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $M(\mu') = M(\mu)$ . ■

## 5 - THE SUFFICIENT CONDITION OF THEOREM 1

For the proof of the sufficient condition in Theorem 1, we need two preliminary lemmas. If  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$  is given by (8), we set  $D(\mu) = \{(\omega, t) : \gamma_t(\omega) \in E\}$ .

**LEMMA 9:** For any sequence  $(\mu_n)$  in  $\mathcal{A}_0$  there exists  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0$  such that  $D(\mu) = \cup_n D(\mu_n)$  and  $\cup_n M(\mu_n) \subseteq M(\mu)$ .

**Proof.** For each  $n$ ,  $\mu_n$  is a random measure on the Polish space  $E^n$ , with the associated process  $\gamma^n$  (see (8)). Set  $E = \prod E_\Delta^n$ ,  $E_\Delta = E + \{\Delta\}$ , and define an  $E_\Delta$ -valued optional process  $\gamma$  by

$$\gamma_t = \begin{cases} (\gamma_t^1, \dots, \gamma_t^n, \dots) & \text{if } t \in \cup D(\mu_n) \\ \Delta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The associated measure  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_0$  (indeed if  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  is an atom of  $\nu(\{t\} \times \cdot)$ , there is at least one  $n$  such that  $x_n \neq \Delta$  and  $x_n$  is an atom of  $\nu_n(\{t\} \times \cdot)$ , and  $\nu(\{t, x\}) \leq \nu_n(\{t, x_n\})$ , so  $\alpha_t \leq \sup_n \alpha_t^n \leq 1/2$ ). By construction  $D(\mu) = \cup_n D(\mu_n)$ . Finally if  $M = W_n * (\mu_n - \nu) \in M(\mu_n)$  one easily checks that  $M = W * (\mu - \nu) \in M(\mu)$  if  $W(\omega, t, (x_1, \dots)) = W_n(\omega, t, x_n) 1_{E^n}(x_n)$ . ■

**LEMMA 10:** Assume that all martingales are a.s. of locally finite variation.

- a) If  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0$  the set  $D(\mu)$  has almost all its  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -sections locally finite.
- b) There exists  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0$  such that any other  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  has  $D(\mu') \subseteq D(\mu)$  a.s.

**Proof.** a) The  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -section of  $D(\mu)$  through  $\omega$  is locally finite iff  $1 * \mu_t(\omega) < \infty$  for all  $t < \infty$ , so the claim follows from Corollary 8.

b) We construct by induction an increasing sequence of stopping times  $(T_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and a sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}_0$  with the following:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} T_n < \infty \Rightarrow T_n < T_{n+1} \text{ a.s.}, \\ \text{for all } \mu \in \mathcal{A}_0, \text{ we have } \mathbb{I}_{T_{n-1}, T_n} \mathbb{I}_{\cap D(\mu) = \emptyset} \text{ a.s.} \end{array} \right\} \quad (32)$$

We start with  $T_0=0$ . Suppose that we know  $(T_n, \mu_n)$  with (32) for  $n \leq p$ . Set  $S(\mu) = \inf\{t > T_p : t \in D(\mu)\}$  if  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , and  $T_{p+1} = \text{ess inf}(S(\mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{A}_0)$ . (a) implies  $S(\mu) > T_p$  a.s. on the set  $A = \{T_p < \infty\}$ . By Lemma 9 if  $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  there is  $\mu'' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $D(\mu'') = D(\mu) \cup D(\mu')$ , hence  $S(\mu'') = S(\mu) \wedge S(\mu')$ . Therefore there exists a sequence  $(\rho_n)$  in  $\mathcal{A}_0$  such that  $S(\rho_n)$  decreases a.s. to  $T_{p+1}$ . Applying again Lemma 9, we obtain  $\mu_{p+1} \in \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $D(\mu_{p+1}) = \cup_n D(\rho_n)$ , so  $T_{p+1} = S(\mu_{p+1})$  a.s. and thus  $T_{p+1} > T_p$  a.s. on  $A$ . We have  $\mathbb{I}_{T_{p+1}} \mathbb{I}_{\subseteq D(\mu_{p+1})}$  a.s., and for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0$  we have  $T_{p+1} \leq S(\mu)$  a.s., so the last property in (32) is satisfied for  $n=p+1$ .

So far, we have constructed the sequences  $(T_n)$ ,  $(\mu_n)$  with (32). Taking the measure  $\mu$  associated with the sequence  $(\mu_n)$  in Lemma 9 gives (b). ■

**Proof of the sufficient condition of Theorem 1.** We assume that all martingales are a.s. of locally finite variation. Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0$  be the measure constructed in Lemma 10, and  $T_n = \inf\{t : 1 * \mu_t = n\}$ . Since  $1 * \mu_t < \infty$  a.s. for all  $t < \infty$ , we have outside a null set:  $T_0=0$ ,  $T_n \uparrow \infty$ ,  $T_n < T_{n+1}$  if  $T_n < \infty$ . We will prove that  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is a jumping filtration with jumping sequence  $(T_n)$ . To this effect, it suffices to prove that for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, there exists  $A' \in \mathcal{F}_{T_n}$  with

$$A \cap \{T_n \leq t < T_{n+1}\} = A' \cap \{T_n \leq t < T_{n+1}\} \text{ a.s.} \quad (33)$$

The proof is similar to part (γ) of the proof of Theorem 2. We set  $T=T_n$ ,  $S=T_{n+1}$ , and consider the martingale  $N_S^A = P(A \cap \{T \leq t < S\} | \mathcal{F}_S)$ . Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$  be the random measure associated with the jumps of the pair  $(N^A, N^\Omega)$ : it is given by (8) with  $E = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\gamma_t = (\Delta N_t^A, \Delta N_t^\Omega)$ , and we know that both  $N^A$  and  $N^\Omega$  belong to  $\mathcal{M}(\rho)$ . By Proposition 7 there is  $\rho' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $N^A, N^\Omega \in \mathcal{M}(\rho')$ . By Lemma 9 there is  $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}_0$  with  $N^A, N^\Omega \in \mathcal{M}(\mu')$  and  $D(\mu') = D(\mu) \cup D(\rho')$ , while Lemma 10 yields  $D(\mu') \subseteq D(\mu)$  a.s., so in fact  $D(\mu') = D(\mu)$  a.s. Therefore we also have  $T_n = \inf\{t : 1 * \mu'_t = n\}$  a.s., so up to substituting  $\mu$  with  $\mu'$  we can and will assume that  $N^A, N^\Omega \in \mathcal{M}(\mu)$ .

Set  $M_S = N_S^A - N_{S \wedge T}^A$ , so  $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mu)$ . As for Theorem 2, we have (4) and  $\Delta M_S = -N_{S-1}^A \mathbb{I}_{\{t \leq S\}}$ . Thus  $D(\mu) \cap \{\Delta M \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{I}_{[S]}$  and  $\Delta M_S = -N_{S-1}^A \mathbb{I}_{\{T < S \leq t \wedge S\}}$  for all  $t \in D(\mu)$ , outside a null set. In other words, if  $\gamma$  is associated with  $\mu$  by (8) and if  $U(\omega, s, x) = -N_{t-}^A(\omega) \mathbb{I}_{\{T(\omega) < s \leq t \wedge S(\omega)\}}$ , outside a null set we have

$\Delta M_t(\omega) = U(\omega, t, \gamma_t(\omega)) 1_{\mathbb{E}}(\gamma_t(\omega))$  for all  $(\omega, t) \in D(\mu)$ . Since  $U$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -measurable, we deduce from Theorems (3.45) and (4.47) of [1] that, since  $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mu)$ :

$$M = W * (\mu - \nu), \quad \text{with } W = U + \hat{\int} \frac{1}{1-a} 1_{\{a < 1\}}.$$

A simple computation shows that  $W(s, x) = -\frac{1}{1-a_s} 1_{\{a_s < 1\}} N_{s-}^A 1_{(T, t \wedge S)}(s)$ . If  $F = 1 * \nu$  we then deduce that

$$M_s = \int_T^{s \wedge t} N_{r-}^A \frac{1}{1-a_r} 1_{\{a_r < 1\}} dF_r \quad \text{if } T \leq s < S.$$

The process  $Y_s = \int_{s \wedge T}^{s \wedge t} \frac{1}{1-a_r} 1_{\{a_r < 1\}} dF_r$  is increasing and finite-valued, and  $N_s^A = N_T^A + M_s$  if  $s \geq T$ , hence (5) holds. Similarly (5) holds for  $N_s^Q$ , so we deduce (6), and  $A' = \{N_T^A = N_T^Q > 0\}$  satisfies (33).

**REMARK:** When the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  is separable, the proof is much simpler. Indeed, in this case there is a sequence  $(M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of martingales which "generates" (in the stochastic integrals sense) the space of all local martingales. Therefore if  $\mu$  is the integer-valued random measure on  $E = \mathbb{R}^N$  associated with the jumps of the infinite-dimensional process  $(M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(\mu)$  is the space of local martingales, so we do not need Lemmas 9 and 10. ■

## REFERENCE

- [1] J. JACOD: Calcul stochastique et problèmes de martingales. Lect. Notes In Math., 714. Springer Verlag: Berlin, 1979.

J. Jacod: Laboratoire de Probabilités (CNRS, URA 224), Université Paris 6, Tour 56, 4 Place Jussieu, F-75252 PARIS Cedex

A.V. Skorohod: Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian Acad. Sciences, KIEV