

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

MASATO KIKUCHI

**The best estimation of a ratio inequality for
continuous martingales**

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 23 (1989), p. 52-56

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1989__23__52_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1989, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

THE BEST ESTIMATION OF A RATIO INEQUALITY
FOR CONTINUOUS MARTINGALES

M. Kikuchi

Let $M = (M_t)$ be a continuous local martingale with $M_0 = 0$. In this note, we deal with such a [local] martingale only. In [2] we have proved that if $\alpha < 1$, then the ratio inequality

$$E[\langle M \rangle_\infty^p \exp\{\alpha \left(\frac{\langle M \rangle_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M^*}\right)^2\}] \leq C_{\alpha,p} E[\langle M \rangle_\infty^p]$$

is valid for every $p > 0$. Our aim here is to establish the inequality corresponding to the case where $\langle M \rangle_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and M^* are interchanged in the above. For this purpose, we need a good - λ inequality involving $\langle M \rangle_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and M^* .

Theorem. i) Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $p > 0$. Then, for any continuous local martingale $M = (M_t)$, we have

$$(1) \quad E[M^{*p} \exp\{\alpha \left(\frac{M^*}{\langle M \rangle_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^2\}] \leq C_{\alpha,p} E[M^{*p}],$$

where $C_{\alpha,p}$ is a universal constant depending on α and p only.

ii) If $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the inequality (1) is false for any $p > 0$.

This research was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 63540156), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

First of all, we shall exemplify ii). Let $B = (B_t)$ be a one dimensional Brownian motion starting at 0, and let $M_t = B_{t \wedge 1}$ ($0 \leq t \leq \infty$). Since $\exp(\frac{1}{2} B_1^2)$ is not integrable and $\langle M \rangle_\infty = \langle B \rangle_1 = 1$, we find

$$\infty = E[\exp(\frac{1}{2} B_1^2) : |B_1| \geq 1] \leq E[M^{*p} \exp\{ \frac{1}{2} (\frac{M^*}{\langle M \rangle_\infty^{1/2}})^2 \}] .$$

On the other hand, it is clear that $M^* \in L^p$ for each p . It follows that the inequality (1) fails for any $p > 0$ and $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Now, we shall prove i) of the theorem. For that, we need two lemmas. The following one is analogous to Corollary 1 in [3], and refers an integral inequality to a distribution function inequality

Lemma 1. Let X and Y be positive random variables. If there are two constants $a > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$P\{ X > \gamma \lambda, Y \leq \lambda \} \leq c \exp\{ -a (\gamma - 1)^2 \} P\{ X > \lambda \}$$

holds for every $\gamma > 1$ and $\lambda > 0$, then for each $p > 0$ and $\alpha < a$ we have

$$E[X^p \exp\{ \alpha (\frac{X}{Y})^2 \}] \leq C_{\alpha,p} E[X^p]$$

where $C_{\alpha,p}$ is a constant depending on α and p .

Proof. Note that $X=0$ a.s. on $\{Y=0\}$ by the assumption. Let $1 < \delta < (\frac{a}{\alpha})^{1/4}$, and for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \{ \delta^{i-1} < X \leq \delta^i, \delta^{i-j-1} < Y \leq \delta^{i-j} \} .$$

Since the complement of $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_{ij}$ is included in $\{Y=0\} \cup \{X \leq \delta Y\}$, we have

$$E[X^P \exp\{\alpha(\frac{X}{Y})^2\}] \leq \sum_{i,j} E[X^P \exp\{\alpha(\frac{X}{Y})^2\} 1_{\Lambda_{ij}}] + \exp(\alpha\delta^2) E[X^P],$$

so that, it is sufficient to estimate the sum of the expectations in the above. By elementary computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j} E[X^P \exp\{\alpha(\frac{X}{Y})^2\} 1_{\Lambda_{ij}}] \\ & \leq \sum_{i,j} \delta^{Pi} \exp(\alpha\delta^{2j+2}) P\{ X > \delta^{i-1}, Y \leq \delta^{i-j} \} \\ & \leq c \sum_{i,j} \delta^{Pi} \exp\{\alpha\delta^{2j+2} - a(\delta^{j-1} - 1)^2\} P\{ X > \delta^{i-j} \} \\ & = c \sum_{i,j} \delta^{Pj} \exp\{(\alpha\delta^4 - a)\delta^{2j-2} + 2a\delta^{j-1} - a\} \delta^{P(i-j)} P\{ X > \delta^{i-j} \} \\ & = c [\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \delta^{Pj} \exp\{(\alpha\delta^4 - a)\delta^{2j-2} + 2a\delta^{j-1} - a\}] [\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta^{Pm} P\{ X > \delta^m \}]. \end{aligned}$$

The first series of the right side converges and the second one is dominated by $\delta^P (\delta^P - 1)^{-1} E[X^P]$, so the proof is completed.

We are now going to prove the inequality:

$$(2) \quad P\{ M^* > \gamma\lambda, \langle M \rangle_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda \} \leq c \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1)^2\} P\{ M^* > \lambda \}$$

for every $\gamma > 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. This result is essentially due to R. Bañuelos [1]. We shall give a more simple proof of it.

Lemma 2. Let $M = (M_t)$ be a continuous martingale such that $\langle M \rangle_{\infty} \leq K$ a.s. Then the inequality:

$$(3) \quad P\{M^* > \lambda\} \leq c \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2K}\right)$$

holds for every $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. Consider the process $Z_t = \exp(M_t - \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_t)$, which is clearly a uniformly integrable martingale. Noticing that $Z_0 = 1$, we have $E[\exp(M_\infty - K/2)] \leq E[Z_0] = 1$, so that $E[\exp(M_\infty)] \leq \exp(K/2)$. Since this is valid for $-M$, we have

$$E[\exp(|M_\infty|)] \leq 2 \exp\left(\frac{K}{2}\right)$$

and replacing M by $\frac{\lambda}{K}M$, we obtain

$$E[\exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{K}|M_\infty|\right)] \leq 2 \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2K}\right)$$

On the other hand, we have $E[M^{*n}] \leq 4 E[|M_\infty|^n]$ for $n \geq 2$ by Doob's inequality and $E[\frac{\lambda}{K}M^*] \leq \text{const.} \exp(\lambda^2/2K)$ by Davis' inequality. Thus, expanding \exp ., we find

$$E[\exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{K}M^*\right)] \leq 2 \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2K}\right)$$

Then (3) follows at once from Chebyshev's inequality.

By conditioning (3), we obtain

$$(3') \quad P\{M^* - M_T^* > \lambda, T < \infty\} \leq c \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2K}\right) P\{T < \infty\}$$

for each stopping time T , where $M_t^* = \sup_{s \leq t} |M_s|$. We are now ready to prove (2)

Proof of i) of the theorem. For each fixed $\lambda > 0$, we define

the two stopping times σ and τ as follows:

$$\sigma = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \langle M \rangle_t^{\frac{1}{2}} > \lambda\} \quad , \quad \tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : M_t^* > \lambda\}$$

Obviously we have $\langle M^\sigma \rangle_\infty = \langle M \rangle_\sigma \leq \lambda^2$ a.s., where M^σ denotes the stopped martingale $(M_{t \wedge \sigma})$. Hence by (3') we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P\{M^* > \gamma\lambda \quad , \quad \langle M \rangle_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda\} &\leq P\{M^* - M_\tau^* > (\gamma - 1)\lambda \quad , \quad \sigma = \infty \quad , \quad \tau < \infty\} \\ &\leq P\{(M^\sigma)^* - (M^\sigma)_\tau^* > (\gamma - 1)\lambda \quad , \quad \tau < \infty\} \\ &\leq c \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1)^2\right\} P\{\tau < \infty\} \end{aligned}$$

which is just (2).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bañuelos, A sharp good- λ inequality with an application to Riesz transforms, Michigan Math. J., 35 (1988), 117 - 125.
- [2] N. Kazamaki and M. Kikuchi, Quelques inégalités des rapports pour martingales continues, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t.305, Série 1 (1987), 37 - 38.
- [3] T. Murai and A. Uchiyama, Good λ inequalities for the area integral and the nontangential maximal function, Studia Math., 83 (1986), 251 - 262.

Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science
 Toyama University
 Gofuku, Toyama 930
 JAPAN.