SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

D. P. VAN DER VECHT

Ultimateness and the Azéma-Yor stopping time

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 20 (1986), p. 375-378

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1986__20__375_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1986, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Ultimateness and the Azéma-Yor stopping time

D.P. van der Vecht

Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam

The purpose of this note is to give a correct proof of a result of Meilijson [3,p394], which was originally based on an identity proved wrong by Neil Falkner* (theorem 2). Our proof uses a special property of the Azéma-Yor stopping time (theorem 1 and lemma 1).

Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ denote standard Brownian Motion (started at zero) and for any stopping time τ define

$$M_\tau := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} B_t.$$

A stopping time τ is called *standard*, if whenever σ_1 and σ_2 are stopping times with $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \tau$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E|B_{\sigma_i}| &< \infty, \quad i=1,2, \text{ and} \\ E|B_{\sigma_1} - x| &\leq E|B_{\sigma_2} - x| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

(As N. Falkner [2,p.386] showed, a stopping time τ is standard if and only if the process $(B_{t \wedge \tau})$ is uniformly integrable.)

Let X be a random variable with $EX = 0$ and define the function g_X on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$g_X(x) := \begin{cases} E(X|X \geq x) & \text{if } P(X \geq x) > 0, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Azéma and Yor [1,p.95,p.625] showed that the stopping time T defined by

$$T := \inf\{t: M_t \geq g_X(B_t)\}$$

embeds (the distribution of) X , i.e. $B_T \stackrel{D}{=} X$, and is standard. We will refer to it as the A-Y stopping time (embedding X in (B_t)). It is also known that for any standard stopping time τ , that embeds X in (B_t) ,

$$(1) \quad P(M_\tau \geq g_X(x)) \leq P(M_T \geq g_X(x)) = P(B_T \geq x) = P(X \geq x)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the inequality we refer to Azéma and Yor [1,p.632].

The first equality is easily seen from the definition of T , while the second holds, because T embeds X .

* I. Meilijson communicated this to me by letter.

Theorem 1.

Of all standard stopping times τ that embed X , the A - Y stopping time T is essentially* the only one with

$$(2) \quad P(M_\tau \geq g_X(x)) = P(X \geq x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

□

A standard stopping time τ is called *ultimate*, whenever Y is a random variable with $E|Y-x| \leq E|B_\tau - x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists a stopping time $\sigma \leq \tau$, that embeds Y .

Theorem 2. (I. Meilijson [3, p.394])

Assume τ is a standard stopping time embedding X . If τ is ultimate, then there are $a \leq 0 \leq b$ with $P(X \in \{a, b\}) = 1$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.

We write g for g_X .

Let τ be a standard stopping time embedding X such that (2) holds.

Define the stopping time H_x by $H_x := \inf\{t: B_t \geq g(x)\}$ and put $\tau_x := \tau \wedge H_x$. Then

$$\{M_\tau \geq g(x)\} = \{H_x \leq \tau\}.$$

For $z \leq x$

$$\begin{aligned} E|B_\tau - z| &\geq E|B_{\tau_x} - z| = \\ &= (g(x) - z)P(H_x \leq \tau) + E|B_\tau - z| 1_{\{\tau < H_x\}} = \\ &= E(X - z) 1_{\{X \geq x\}} + E|B_\tau - z| 1_{\{\tau < H_x\}} = \\ &= E|B_\tau - z| + E|B_\tau - z| (1_{\{B_\tau \geq x, \tau < H_x\}} - 1_{\{B_\tau < x, \tau \geq H_x\}}). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(3) \quad E|B_\tau - z| 1_{\{B_\tau \geq x, \tau < H_x\}} \leq E|B_\tau - z| 1_{\{B_\tau < x, \tau \geq H_x\}}, \quad z \leq x.$$

Now using (2)

$$\begin{aligned} P(B_\tau \geq x, \tau < H_x) &= \\ P(B_\tau \geq x) - P(B_\tau \geq x, \tau \geq H_x) &= \\ P(X \geq x) - P(\tau \geq H_x) + P(B_\tau < x, \tau \geq H_x) &= \\ P(B_\tau < x, \tau \geq H_x), \end{aligned}$$

whence with $z \rightarrow -\infty$ in (3) it follows that

$$P(B_\tau \geq x, \tau < H_x) = P(B_\tau < x, \tau \geq H_x) = 0.$$

* apart from disagreement on a null set.

Therefore

$$\{B_\tau \geq x\} = \{M_\tau \geq g(x)\} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Q} (= \text{the rational numbers}) \text{ a.s..}$$

As for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we can find a sequence (x_n) in $\mathbb{Q}$ increasing to x and g is left-continuous, we get

$$\{B_\tau \geq x\} = \{M_\tau \geq g(x)\} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ a.s.,}$$

whence

$$M_\tau \geq g(B_\tau) \text{ a.s..}$$

(Simply observe that

$$B_\tau \in [x, x + \frac{1}{n}) \iff M_\tau \in [g(x), g(x + \frac{1}{n}))$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a.s..)

Now $t < T$ implies $M_t < g(B_t)$ and therefore $\tau \geq T$ a.s.. As τ is standard, it follows that for any stopping time σ with $T \leq \sigma \leq \tau$ a.s..

$$E|B_\sigma - x| = E|X - x| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which can only happen if $T = \tau$ a.s.. □

Let T_- be the A-Y stopping time embedding $-X$ in $(-B_t)$, then

$$\text{with } m_t = \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s,$$

$$T_- = \inf\{t: m_t \leq -g_{-X}(-B_t)\}$$

and

$$B_{T_-} \stackrel{D}{=} X.$$

Lemma 1.

If $T = T_-$ a.s., then there are $a \leq 0 \leq b$ with $P(X \in \{a, b\}) = 1$.

Proof.

First observe that

$$-g_{-X}(-x) \leq x \leq g_X(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

Now for a path (of (B_t)) with $T = T_-$ and $B_T = B_{T_-} = x$ we have

$$M_T \geq g_X(x) \quad (\geq x), \text{ and}$$

$$m_T \leq -g_{-X}(-x) \quad (\leq x).$$

That implies however that

$$(4) \quad -g_{-X}(-x) = x \text{ or } g_X(x) = x.$$

[If such a path first reaches level M_T and then level m_T it is forced to cross level x in between (continuity of paths) and 'T stops to soon', unless $-g_{-X}(-x) = x$; conversely if level m_T is reached before level M_T , 'T- stops to soon', unless $g_X(x) = x$.]

Now (4) implies $x \leq \text{es inf } X =: a(\leq 0)$, or $x \geq \text{es sup } X =: b(\geq 0)$.

As $T = T^-$ a.s., we can conclude

$$B_T \leq a \quad \text{or} \quad B_T \geq b \quad \text{a.s.}$$

As $X \stackrel{D}{=} B_T$, it follows that $P(X \notin (a,b)) = 1$.

By definition of a and b $P(X \in [a,b]) = 1$.

It follows that a and b are finite and $P(X \in \{a,b\}) = 1$. □

Proof of theorem 2.

By lemma 1 it is enough to prove $\tau = T$ a.s. and $\tau = T^-$ a.s..

As T^- is the A-Y stopping time embedding $-X$ in $(-B_t)$, it is sufficient to prove, that an ultimate stopping time is equal to the A-Y stopping time a.s., i.e. $\tau = T$ a.s..

With H_x as in the proof of theorem 1 we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by (1)

$$P(\tau \geq H_x) \leq P(T \geq H_x) = P(X \geq x).$$

As T is ultimate and T is standard, there is a stopping time $\sigma_x \leq \tau$ with

$$B_{\sigma_x} \stackrel{D}{=} B_{T \wedge H_x}.$$

But then

$$P(M_\tau \geq g_X(x)) \geq P(B_{\sigma_x} \geq g_X(x)) = P(B_{T \wedge H_x} \geq g_X(x)) = P(T \geq H_x),$$

and so

$$P(M_\tau \geq g_X(x)) = P(X \geq x).$$

By theorem 1 it follows that $\tau = T$ a.s.. □

References.

- [1] J. AZEMA et M. YOR,
 - a. Une solution simple au problème de Skorokhod.
 - b. Le problème de Skorokhod: compléments à l'exposé précédent.
 Sem. Prob. XIII, Lecture Notes in Math. 721, 1977/78.

- [2] N. FALKNER,
 - On Skorokhod embedding in n-dimensional Brownian Motion by means of natural stopping times.
 Sem. Prob. XIV, Lecture Notes in Math. 784, 1980.

- [3] I. MEILIJSON,
 - There exists no ultimate solution to Skorokhod's problem.
 Sem. Prob. XVI, Lecture Notes in Math. 920, 1980/81.