

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

EDWIN PERKINS

Multiple stochastic integrals. A counter example

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 19 (1985), p. 258-262

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1985__19__258_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Multiple Stochastic Integrals -- A Counter Example

by

Edwin Perkins

In this note we give an example of a continuous square integrable martingale M such that $d\langle M, M \rangle_t \ll dt$ (in fact M is an Itô integral) but for which the multiple stochastic integral

$$\iint_{\{0 < s < t < \infty\}} f_{st} dM_s dM_t$$

does not exist as an L^0 -integrator on the space of bounded predictable integrands.

We work on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$, satisfying the usual conditions. Let

$$C_2 = \{(s, t) \mid 0 < s < t < \infty\}.$$

A simple predictable set is a set of the form

$$\{(s, t, \omega) \in C_2 \times \Omega \mid S(\omega) < s \leq T(\omega) \text{ and } U(\omega) < t \leq V(\omega)\}$$

where S and T are stopping times, and U and V are non-negative \mathcal{F}_s -measurable random variables such that $T(\omega) \leq U(\omega)$ for all ω . A simple predictable process is a linear combination of indicator functions of simple predictable sets.

Definition. The predictable σ -field, \mathcal{P} , on $C_2 \times \Omega$ is the σ -field generated by the elementary predictable sets.

Note that, according to these definitions, a simple predictable process is not the same as "un processus prévisible simple", as defined in (3). The definition of \mathcal{P} , however, does agree with that in (2,3), as the reader can easily check.

If M is a square integrable martingale, then $\iint_{C_2} f(s, t) dM_s dM_t$ may be defined for simple predictable processes in the obvious way. Meyer (2) showed that if $\langle M, M \rangle_t = t$ then this multiple stochastic integral extends uniquely as an L^2 -integrator to

$$\{f(s, t, \omega) \mid f \text{ predictable, } E\left(\iint_{C_2} f^2(s, t, \omega) ds dt\right) < \infty\}.$$

This result was extended by Ruiz de Chavez (3) to the case when

$$(1) \quad \langle M, M \rangle_t - \langle M, M \rangle_s \leq m(t) - m(s) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq s < t$$

for some deterministic m .

At first glance it seems that, at least if M is continuous, there should be no problem in defining $\int\int_C f(s,t) dM_s dM_t$ by the iterated integral

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t f(s,t) dM_s \right) dM_t.$$

The above integrand, however, is only uniquely defined for each t up to a null set and one is therefore faced with the task of selecting a predictable version of this process. This done in (2) when $\langle M, M \rangle_t = t$. It is natural to ask if a condition like (1) is really needed to handle this measurability problem and extend the multiple stochastic integral as an L^0 integrator to the bounded predictable process. The following examples show that the answer is "yes" even if M is an Itô integral. Although we have tried to disguise it by working with a Brownian filtration, the discerning reader will note that this example is closely related to (and was inspired by) an example of a martingale measure that is not a stochastic integrator due to Bakry (1).

Assume B_t is an \mathcal{F}_t -Brownian motion. Choose $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\delta_p \downarrow 0$ such that $\sum_{p=1}^\infty \delta_p^2 < \infty$ but $\sum_{p=1}^\infty \delta_p p^{-\alpha} = \infty$. Define a sequence of stopping times $\{T_p\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= 0 \\ T_p &= \inf \{t > T_{p-1} \mid |B_t - B_{T_{p-1}}| = \delta_p\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $T_p \uparrow T_\infty$, and since $T_\infty = \sum_{p=1}^\infty \delta_p^2 S_p$ where $\{S_p\}$ are i.i.d. copies of $\inf\{t \mid |B_t| = 1\}$, it is easy to see that $T_\infty \in L^q, \forall q > 0$. Define a random variable, U , uniformly distributed on $(0, 1)$, by

$$U = \sum_{p=1}^\infty I(B(T_p) < B(T_{p-1})) 2^{-p},$$

and a sequence of Bernoulli random variables by

$$e_p(U) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } B(T_p) > B(T_{p-1}) \\ 1 & \text{if } B(T_p) < B(T_{p-1}) \end{cases}.$$

In addition let $U_n(U) = \sum_{p=1}^n e_p(U)2^{-p}$, $V_n = U_n + T_\infty$, $V = U + T_\infty$ and choose $f(t) \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_0^t f^2(s) ds = (\log \frac{1}{t})^{-\alpha} \equiv \phi(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1/2$$

Our continuous martingale is

$$M_t = \int_0^t (I_{(0, T_\infty)}(s) + I_{(V, V+1/2)}(s-V)) f(s-V) dB_s.$$

Then $\langle M, M \rangle_\infty \in L^q \mathbf{V}_q > 0$. If

$$H_n = \bigcup_{p=1}^n \{(s, t, \omega) | T_{p-1}(\omega) < s \leq T_p(\omega), V_{p-1}(\omega) < t \leq V_{p-1}(\omega) + 2^{-p}\},$$

then I_{H_n} is a simple predictable process and $I_{H_n} \uparrow I_H$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $H \in \mathcal{P}$. We claim, however, that $\int\int_{C_2} I_{H_n} dM_s dM_t$ does not converge in probability. Note that

$$M(V_{p-1} + 2^{-p}) - M(V_{p-1}) = I(e_p = 0) \int_{V_{p-1}}^{V_{p-1} + 2^{-p}} f(s-V) dB_s,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int\int_{C_2} I_{H_n}(s, t) dM_s dM_t &= \sum_{p=1}^n (M(T_p) - M(T_{p-1})) (M(V_{p-1} + 2^{-p}) - M(V_{p-1})) \\ (2) \quad &= \sum_{p=1}^n \delta_p I(e_p(U) = 0) \int_0^\infty I(s \leq U_{p-1}(U) + 2^{-p} - U) f(s) d\tilde{B}_s, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{B}_s = B(V+s) - B(V)$ is a Brownian motion independent of \mathcal{F}_V . Conditional on $U = u$, (2) has a mean zero normal distribution with variance

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^2(u) &= \sum_{p=1}^n \delta_p^2 I(e_p(u) = 0) \phi(U_{p-1}(u) + 2^{-p} - u) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} \delta_p \delta_q I(e_p(u) = e_q(u) = 0) \phi(U_{q-1}(u) + 2^{-q} - u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(3) \quad E\left(\exp\left\{i\lambda \int_0^1 \int_{C_2} I_{H_n}(s,t) dM_s dM_t\right\}\right) = \int_0^1 \exp\{-\lambda^2 \sigma_n^2(u)/2\} du.$$

We claim that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^2(u) = \infty \text{ for Lebesgue - a.a.u.}$$

Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p < q \leq n} \delta_q I(e_q(u) = 0) \left[\phi(U_{q-1}(u) + 2^{-q} - u) - 2^q \int_0^{2^{-q}} \phi(s) ds \right] \\ &= \sum_{p < q \leq n} \delta_q I(e_q(u) = 0) \left[\phi(U_q(u) + 2^{-q} - u) - 2^q \int_0^{2^{-q}} \phi(s) ds \right] \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ (w.r.t. Lebesgue measure on } [0,1]), \end{aligned}$$

by the martingale convergence theorem, as the conditional distribution of $U_q(u) + 2^{-q} - u$ given $\sigma(U_r(u) | r \leq q)$ is uniform on $[0, 2^{-q}]$. As $e_p(u) = 0$ for infinitely many p a.s. $[du]$, (4) will follow if for each p

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p < q \leq n} \delta_q I(e_q(u) = 0) 2^q \int_0^{2^{-q}} \phi(s) ds = \infty \text{ a.s. } [du].$$

The above expression is bounded below by

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p < q \leq n} \delta_q I(e_q(u) = 0) \phi(2^{-q-1}) \quad (\phi \text{ is concave}) \\ & \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c \sum_{p < q \leq n} \delta_q q^{-\alpha} I(e_q(u) = 0) = \infty \text{ a.s. } [du]. \end{aligned}$$

The last by the choice of $\{\delta_q\}$. This proves (5) and hence (4). (3) and (4) together show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\exp\left\{i\lambda \int_0^1 \int_{C_2} I_{H_n}(s,t) dM_s dM_t\right\}\right) = I(\lambda=0)$$

so that $\int_0^1 \int_{C_2} I_{H_n}(s,t) dM_s dM_t$ cannot converge in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as required.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank P.A. Meyer for posing this problem and D. Bakry for pointing out his related work (1).

References

- (1) D. Bakry, Une remarque sur les semimartingales à deux indices, Séminaire de Probabilités XV, Lect. Notes 850, p.671-672 (1981).
- (2) P.A. Meyer, Un cours sur les intégrales stochastiques, appendice au chapitre IV, Séminaire de Probabilités X, Lect. Notes 511, p. 321-331 (1976).
- (3) J. Ruiz de Chavez, Sur les intégrales stochastiques multiples, ce volume, p. 248

Math Department
U. of British Columbia
Vancouver, B.C.
Canada V6T 1Y4