

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

EDWIN A. PERKINS

**Stochastic integrals and progressive measurability.
An example**

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 17 (1983), p. 67-71

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1983__17__67_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS AND PROGRESSIVE

MEASURABILITY -- AN EXAMPLE

by

Edwin Perkins

In this note we construct a measurable set $D \subset [0, \infty) \times \Omega$, a 3-dimensional Bessel process, X , and a filtration, $\{F_t^B\}$, containing the canonical filtration, $\{F_t^X\}$, of X satisfying the following properties:

- (i) X is an $\{F_t^B\}$ - semimartingale.
- (ii) D is an $\{F_t^X\}$ - progressively measurable set, i.e.,
 $D \cap [[0, t]] \in \text{Borel}([0, t]) \times F_t^X$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (iii) $\int_0^t I_D dX = X(t)$, where the left side is interpreted with respect to $\{F_t^X\}$, and I_D denotes the indicator function of D .
- (iv) $\int_0^t I_D dX$ is an $\{F_t^B\}$ - Brownian motion when the stochastic integral is taken with respect to $\{F_t^B\}$.

As the local martingale part of X with respect to either filtration will be a Brownian motion (since $[X](t) = t$), $\int_0^t I_D dX$ may be defined in the obvious way even though D will not be predictable.

Let B be a 1-dimensional Brownian motion on a complete (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . If $M(t) = \sup_{s \leq t} B(s)$, $Y = M - B$ and $X = 2M - B$, then Y is a reflecting Brownian motion, and X is a 3-dimensional Bessel process by a theorem of Pitman [4]. $\{F_t^X\}$, respectively $\{F_t^B\}$, will denote the smallest filtration, satisfying the usual conditions, that makes X , respectively B , adapted. $F_t^X \subseteq F_t^B$ is clear, and since $M(t) = \inf_{s \geq t} X(s)$, the inf being assumed at the next zero of Y , we must have $F_t^X \subsetneq F_t^B$ for $t > 0$, as $M(t)$ cannot be F_t^X - measurable. Finally, define

$$D = \{(t, \omega) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n I(X(t+2^{-k}) - X(t+2^{-k-1}) > 0) = 1/2\}.$$

Property (i) is immediate and for (ii), fix $t \geq 0$ and note that

$$D \cap [[0, t]] = (\{t\} \times D(t)) \cup \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \{(s, \omega) \mid s \leq t - 2^{-N}\},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}(X(s+2^{-k}) - X(s+2^{-k-1}) > 0) = 1/2 \in \text{Borel}([0, t]) \times F_t^X.$$

Here $D(t)$ is the t -section of D . To show (iii) choose $t > 0$ and note that

$$X(t+2^{-k}) - X(t+2^{-k-1}) = B(t+2^{-k-1}) - B(t+2^{-k}) \text{ for large } k \text{ a.s.}$$

Therefore the law of large numbers implies that

$$(1) \quad P((t, \omega) \in D) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

The canonical decomposition of X with respect to $\{F_t^X\}$ is (see McKean [3])

$$(2) \quad X(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t X(s)^{-1} ds,$$

where W is an $\{F_t^X\}$ -Brownian motion. Therefore with respect to $\{F_t^X\}$ we have

$$\int_0^t I_D dX = \int_0^t I_D dW + \int_0^t I_D X_s^{-1} ds = X(t) \text{ a.s. (by (1))}.$$

It remains only to prove (iv). If

$$T(t) = \inf\{s \mid M(s) > t\},$$

we claim that

$$(3) \quad P((T(t), \omega) \in D) = 0 \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Choose $t \geq 0$ and assume $P((T(t), \omega) \in D) > 0$. Since $X(T(t) + \cdot) - X(T(t))$ is equal in law to $X(\cdot)$, the 0-1 law implies that $P((T(t), \omega) \in D) = 1$. The dominated convergence theorem and Brownian scaling imply

$$\begin{aligned}
1/2 &= n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n P(X(2^{-k}) - X(2^{-k-1}) > 0) \\
&= P(X(2) - X(1) > 0) \\
&= P(B(2) - B(1) < 2(M(2) - M(1))) \\
&> 1/2 .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3) holds and, with respect to $\{F_t^B\}$, we have w.p.1

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t I_D dX &= 2 \int_0^t I_D dM - \int_0^t I_D dB \\
&= 2 \int_0^t I_D(T(s), \omega) ds - B(t) \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\
&= -B(t) \quad (\text{by (3)})
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

It is not hard to see that the above result implies that the optional projections of I_D with respect to $\{F_t^X\}$ and $\{F_t^B\}$ are distinct. In particular D cannot be $\{F_t^X\}$ -optional. In fact, D is not $\{F_t^B\}$ -optional and both optional projections may be computed explicitly.

Proposition (a) The optional projection of I_D with respect to $\{F_t^X\}$ is $I_{(0, \infty) \times \Omega}$.

(b) The optional projection of I_D with respect to $\{F_t^B\}$ is I_Z^c where Z is the zero-set of Y .

(c) D is not $\{F_t^B\}$ -optional.

Proof (a) Let $\infty \geq T \geq \varepsilon > 0$ be an $\{F_t^X\}$ stopping time. The law of large numbers implies that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n I(W(T+2^{-k}) - W(T+2^{-k-1}) > 0) = 1/2 \quad \text{a.s. on } \{T < \infty\},$$

where W is as in (2). Recall that $M(t) = \inf_{s \geq t} X(s)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(I(W(T+2^{-k}) - W(T+2^{-k-1}) > 0) - I(X(T+2^{-k}) - X(T+2^{-k-1}) > 0) | I(T < \infty)) \\
&\leq P(0 \geq W(T+2^{-k}) - W(T+2^{-k-1}) \geq \int_{T+2^{-k-1}}^{T+2^{-k}} X(s)^{-1} ds, T < \infty) \\
&\leq P(0 \geq (W(T+2^{-k}) - W(T+2^{-k-1})) 2^{(-k-1)/2} \geq -2^{(-k-1)/2} M(\varepsilon)^{-1}, T < \infty)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C E(\min(1, 2^{-(k-1)/2} M(\epsilon)^{-1})) \\
&\leq C(2^{-(k-1)/4} + P(M(\epsilon) < 2^{-(k-1)/4})) \\
&\leq C(\epsilon) 2^{-(k-1)/4} .
\end{aligned}$$

The Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad &W(T+2^{-k}) - W(T+2^{-k-1}) > 0 \iff X(T+2^{-k}) - X(T+2^{-k-1}) > 0 \\
&\text{for large } k \text{ a.s. on } \{T < \infty\} .
\end{aligned}$$

(4) and (5) imply that $(T, \omega) \in D$ a.s. Moreover by (3) with $t = 0$, $(0, \omega) \notin D$ a.s. Therefore if T is any $\{F_t^X\}$ - stopping time and

$$T' = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } T > 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } T = 0 \end{cases} ,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
E(I_D(T, \omega) I(T < \infty)) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} E(I_D(T' \vee \epsilon, \omega) I(T' < \infty)) \\
&= P(T' < \infty) \quad (\text{since by the above } (T' \vee \epsilon, \omega) \in D \\
&\quad \text{a.s. on } \{T' < \infty\}) \\
&= P(0 < T < \infty) .
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (a) .

(b) Let $T \leq \infty$ be any $\{F_t^B\}$ - stopping time. Then just as in the derivation of (1) one has

$$(6) \quad (T, \omega) \in D \text{ a.s. on } \{Y(T) \neq 0, T < \infty\} .$$

Moreover just as in the derivation of (3) one has

$$(7) \quad (T, \omega) \notin D \text{ a.s. on } \{Y(T) = 0, T < \infty\} .$$

Therefore

$$E(I_D(T, \omega) I(T < \infty)) = P(Y(T) \neq 0, T < \infty) ,$$

and (b) is proved.

(c) If D is $\{F_t^B\}$ - optional then $D = Z^C$ (up to indistinguishability) by the above. Therefore Z is on $\{F_t^X\}$ - progressively measurable set. $M(t)$ is the local time of Z and hence can be constructed from Z as Lévy's mesure du voisinage [2, p.225]. It follows easily from this construction that $M(t)$ is $\{F_t^X\}$ - adapted. As $M(t)$ is the future minimum of X , this is absurd. \square

The above example was suggested by joint work with Michel Emery [1], in which the predictable set

$$\{(t, \omega) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n I((cM - B)(t-2^{-k}) - (cM - B)(t-2^{-k-1})) > 0\} = 1/2\}$$

was used to show $F_t^{cM-B} = F_t^B \iff c \neq 2$.

List of References

1. Emery, M. and Perkins, E. La filtration de $B+L$.
Z.f. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie 59, 383-390 (1982).
2. Lévy, P. Processus Stochastiques et Mouvement Brownien. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1948.
3. McKean, M.P. The Bessel motion and a singular integral equation.
Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto. Ser. A Math. 33, 317-322 (1960).
4. Pitman, J. One-dimensional Brownian motion and the three-dimensional Bessel process. Adv. Appl. Prob. 7, 511-526 (1975).

Edwin Perkins
Mathematics Department
U.B.C.
Vancouver, B.C.
Canada V6T 1Y4