

# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

JOSEPH GLOVER

## **An extension of Motoo's theorem**

*Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, tome 16 (1982), p. 515-518

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\\_1982\\_\\_16\\_\\_515\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1982__16__515_0)

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

AN EXTENSION OF MOTOO'S THEOREM

Joseph Glover\*

Let  $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, X_t, \theta_t, P^X)$  be a right process on a Lusin topological space  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}^* = \sigma\{f(X_s) : s \geq 0, f \text{ is universally measurable on } E\}$ . Let  $A_t$  and  $B_t$  be  $\mathcal{F}^*$ -measurable continuous raw additive functionals. If  $A_t$  and  $B_t$  are  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted and  $dA_t \ll dB_t$  almost surely, then a very useful theorem (due first to Motoo and extended by Gettoor) says that there is a positive function  $h$  so that  $dA_t = h(X_t) dB_t$  almost surely. We prove an extension of this theorem by weakening the hypothesis of adaptedness.

Define  $[B] = \{(t, \omega) : B_t(\omega) < B_{t+e}(\omega) \text{ for all } e > 0\}$ . A process  $C_t \in \mathcal{B}(R^+) \times \mathcal{F}^*$  is said to be  $[B]$ -intrinsically predictable if whenever  $T \in \mathcal{F}^*$  is a positive random variable with  $[T] \subset [B]$ , then  $C_t(k_T(\omega)) = C_t(\omega)$  for all  $t \leq T(\omega)$ , for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Here,  $k_t$  is the killing operator of Azema [1].

(1) Theorem. Let  $A_t$  and  $B_t$  be  $\sigma$ -integrable  $\mathcal{B}(R^+) \times \mathcal{F}^*$ -measurable continuous raw additive functionals. If  $A_t$  and  $B_t$  are  $[B]$ -intrinsically predictable and  $dA_t \ll dB_t$  almost surely, then there is a positive universally measurable function  $h$  on  $E$  so that  $A_t = \int_0^t h(X_s) dB_s$  almost surely.

Examples of such raw additive functionals can be found in [2] and [3], where a theory of time change by the inverses of such additive functionals is discussed. The proof of the theorem given below is in much the same vein as those given in Section 1 of [3], but the objective and hypotheses are a bit different.

---

\*

Research supported in part by NSF grant MCS-8002659 and a CNRS Fellowship.

Let  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_t)$  denote the collection of  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -optional processes. If  $C_t$  is an increasing process, let  $C_t^\circ$  denote its dual optional projection. As usual,  $\mathcal{F}^\circ = \sigma\{X_s: s \geq 0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^e = \sigma\{f: f \text{ is } 1\text{-excessive for } X\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^* = \sigma\{f: f \text{ is universally measurable on } E\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^e = \sigma\{f(X_s): s \geq 0, f \in \mathcal{F}^e\}$ .

Proof. Let  $T_t = \inf\{s: B_s > t\}$ . Then  $T_{t+s} = T_t + T_s \circ \theta_{T(t)}$ . For each  $s$ , set  $\mathcal{H}_s = \sigma\{H \in \mathcal{F}: \text{there exists } Z \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_t) \text{ with } H = Z_{T(s)} \text{ on } \{T_s < \infty\}\}$ .

(2) Lemma.  $(\mathcal{H}_s)$  is an increasing family of  $\sigma$ -algebras.

Proof. If  $B_t \in \mathcal{F}^e$  for each  $t$ , the proof is very simple and goes as follows. Fix  $t > 0$  and  $s > 0$  and define  $V_r = \inf\{u < r: B_u \circ k_r > s\}$ . It is simple to check that  $V_r \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_r)$  and  $V_{T(t+s)} = \inf\{u < T_{t+s}: B_u \circ k_{T(t+s)} > s\} = \inf\{u < T_{t+s}: B_u > s\}$  on  $\{T_{t+s} < \infty\}$  by the hypothesis of [B]-intrinsic predictability. Thus  $V_{T(t+s)} = T_s$  on  $\{T_{t+s} < \infty\}$ , and it follows that  $\mathcal{H}_s \subset \mathcal{H}_{t+s}$ . Assuming that  $B_t$  is only  $\mathcal{F}^*$ -measurable complicates the proof in only technical ways: the full proof is given in (1.3) of [3]. +

(3) Lemma. There are

- (i) a kernel  $K$  from  $(E, \mathcal{F}^*)$  to  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^*)$ , and
- (ii) for each  $x \in E$ , a set  $M^x \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  of full Lebesgue measure,

so that  $E^x[G \circ \theta_{T(t)} | \mathcal{H}_t] = KG(X_{T(t)})$  almost surely  $(P^x)$  for each  $t \in M^x$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{F}^{\circ+}$ .

Proof. In assuming that  $A_t$  and  $B_t$  are  $\sigma$ -integrable, we mean there is a strictly positive optional process  $(R_t)$  so that  $E^x \int R_t dA_t < \infty$  and  $E^x \int R_t dB_t < \infty$  for all  $x$ . (If  $A_t$  and  $B_t$  are  $\mathcal{F}^e$ -measurable for each  $t$ , they are always  $\sigma$ -integrable: take  $R_t = \exp(-A_t \circ k_t - B_t \circ k_t)$ ). Let  $Z_t \in \mathcal{b}\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_t)^+$ , and let  $G \in \mathcal{b}\mathcal{F}^{\circ+}$ . Then

$$(4) \quad E^x \int (RZ)_{T(t)} G \circ \theta_{T(t)} dt = E^x \int (RZ)_t G \circ \theta_t dB_t.$$

Set  $D_t = \int_0^t G \circ \theta_s dB_s$ . Since  $dD_t^\circ \ll dB_t^\circ$  and both  $D_t^\circ$  and  $B_t^\circ$  are continuous additive functionals of  $X_t$ , there is a function  $f^G \in \mathcal{F}^{e+}$  so that we may rewrite the right hand side of (4) as

$$E^x \int (RZ)_t f^G(X_t) dB_t = E^x \int (RZ)_{T(t)} f^G(X_{T(t)}) dt.$$

Standard arguments yield existence of a kernel  $K$  from  $(E, \mathbb{E}^*)$  to  $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}^*)$  so that

$$E^x \int (RZ)_{T(t)} G \circ \theta_{T(t)} dt = E^x \int (RZ)_{T(t)} KG(X_{T(t)}) dt.$$

Fix  $x$  in  $E$ . There is an  $(\mathbb{F}_t)$ -optional process  $(W_t^x)$  so that  $W_{T(t)}^x = e^{-at}$  on  $\{T_t < \infty\}$ .

(See Lemma (1.4) in [3]. If  $B_t$  is assumed to be  $\mathbb{F}^e$ -measurable, then  $W_t^x =$

$\exp(-aB_t \circ k_t)$ ). Replacing  $Z_t$  with  $Z_t W_t^x$  and applying Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$(5) \quad \int e^{-at} E^x [(RZ)_{T(t)} G \circ \theta_{T(t)}] dt = \int e^{-at} E^x [(RZ)_{T(t)} KG(X_{T(t)})] dt.$$

There is a separable  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}^x \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}_t)$  so that for each process  $Y_t \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}_t)$

there is a process  $Y_t^x \in \mathcal{O}^x$  so that  $Y_t$  and  $Y_t^x$  are  $P^x$ -indistinguishable ([4], p.366).

Let  $(Z_t^{x,n})_{n \geq 1}$  be an algebra of bounded processes generating  $\mathcal{O}^x$ , and let  $(G^m)_{m \geq 1}$

be an algebra of bounded random variables generating  $\mathbb{F}^o$ . Equation (5) implies

that for each  $n$  and  $m$ , there is a set  $M_{n,m}^x \subset R^+$  of full Lebesgue measure so that

for each  $t \in M_{n,m}^x$ ,  $E^x [(RZ^{x,n})_{T(t)} G^m \circ \theta_{T(t)}] = E^x [(RZ^{x,n})_{T(t)} KG^m(X_{T(t)})]$ . Thus

there is one set  $M^x \subset R^+$  of full Lebesgue measure so that for each  $t \in M^x$ ,

$E^x [Z_{T(t)}^{x,n} G \circ \theta_{T(t)}] = E^x [Z_{T(t)}^{x,n} KG(X_{T(t)})]$  for all  $Z_t \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}_t)^+$  and for all  $G \in \mathbb{F}^{o+}$ .

It follows that  $E^x [G \circ \theta_{T(t)} | \mathfrak{H}_t] = KG(X_{T(t)})$  almost surely ( $P^x$ ) for each  $t \in M^x$ .  $\dagger$

Now let  $C_t = A_{T(t)}$ . Then  $C_t \in \mathbb{F}^*$  for each  $t$ ,  $C_{t+s} = C_t + C_s \circ \theta_{T(t)}$ , and

$|C_t| \ll dt$ . If we set  $Z_t = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(C_{t+1/n} - C_t)$ , then  $Z_t \in \mathbb{F}^*$  for each  $t$ ,

and  $Z_{t+s} = Z_t \circ \theta_{T(s)}$ . By Lebesgue's differentiation theorem,  $C_t = \int_0^t Z_s ds$ .

Let  $\nu$  be the measure on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}^o)$  defined by setting  $\nu(H) = E^x [H \circ k_{T(t)}]$  for

all  $H \in \mathbb{F}^{o+}$ . Since  $A_{T(t)} \in \mathbb{F}^*$ , there is a random variable  $Q \in \mathbb{F}^o$  so that

$A_{T(t)} = Q \circ k_{T(t)}$  almost surely ( $\nu$ ). Let  $(Y_s)$  be the  $(\mathbb{F}_s)$ -predictable process  $(Q \circ k_s)$ .

Then  $Y_{T(t)} = Q \circ k_{T(t)} \in \mathfrak{H}_t$ . Since  $Q \circ k_{T(t)} = A_{T(t)} \circ k_{T(t)} = A_{T(t)}$  almost surely

$P^x$ , we conclude that for each  $s$ ,  $Z_s$  differs from an element of  $\mathfrak{H}_{s+}$  by a

$P^x$ -null set. Set  $g(x) = K(x, Z_0) \in \mathbb{E}^*$ , and let  $\mu$  be the measure on  $(E, \mathbb{E})$

defined by setting  $\mu(f) = E^x [f(X_{T(t)})]$ . Since  $g \in \mathbb{E}^*$ , there is a function

$h \in \mathbb{E}$  so that  $\mu(|h-g|) = 0$ . Thus  $E^x [ |h(X_{T(t)}) - g(X_{T(t)}) | ] = 0$  and  $h(X_{T(t)}) \in \mathfrak{H}_t$

since  $h(X_t)$  is an optional process. Therefore, if  $t \in M^x$ ,  $g(X_{T(t)}) = E^x[g(X_{T(t)}) | \mathcal{H}_t] = E^x[Z_0 \circ \theta_{T(t)} | \mathcal{H}_t] = E^x[Z_t | \mathcal{H}_t]$  almost surely ( $P^x$ ). Recall that there is a set  $N^x \subset R^+$  so that  $R^+ - N^x$  is countable and  $E^x[H | \mathcal{H}_t] = E^x[H | \mathcal{H}_{t+}]$  almost surely ( $P^x$ ) for all  $H \in \mathcal{H}^+$  for each  $t \in N^x$ . Thus if  $t \in M^x \cap N^x$ ,  $g(X_{T(t)}) = Z_t$  almost surely ( $P^x$ ) since  $Z_t$  is in the  $P^x$ -completion of  $\mathcal{H}_{t+}$ . Since  $M^x \cap N^x$  is of full Lebesgue measure, standard Fubini arguments yield that  $C_t = \int_0^t g(X_{T(s)}) ds$ , and it follows that  $A_t = \int_0^t g(X_s) dB_s$ . This completes the proof of Theorem (1). +

#### References

1. J. Azéma (1973). Théorie générale des processus et retournement du temps. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. t. 6 459-519.
2. J. Glover (1981) Applications of raw time changes to Markov processes. Ann. Prob. 9.
3. J. Glover (1981) Raw time changes of Markov processes. Ann. Prob. 9 90-102.
4. C. Dellacherie and C. Stricker (1977). Changements de temps et intégrales stochastiques. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 581 365-375.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank B. Maisonneuve for his hospitality and his suggestions.