

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

SVEND ERIK GRAVERSEN

MURALI RAO

Hypothesis (B) of Hunt

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 16 (1982), p. 509-514

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1982__16__509_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

HYPOTHESIS (B) OF HUNT

S.E. Graversen* and Murali Rao**

For a strong Markov process X_t with a locally compact second Countable State Space, Hunt's Hypothesis (B) may be stated

$$P_G P_K = P_K$$

for all compact K and open G containing K .

There are equivalent statements of hypothesis (B):

- 1) The hitting time to any set of the process X_{t-} is the same as that of X_t ;
- 2) The probability is zero that the process belongs to a given Semipolar set at any time of discontinuity;
- 3) If $\alpha > 0$, Hypothesis (B) is equivalent to [2]

3)
$$P_G^\alpha P_K^1 = P_K^\alpha.$$

In this note we remove the restriction that $\alpha > 0$, assuming that we have a transient Markov process satisfying Hypothesis L). There are instances where it is easiest to verify the above when $\alpha = 0$ hence such a result is not without interest.

In the proof sets of the form $(P_K^1 = 1)$ for thin sets K play an important role. We show that non-existence of such sets implies hypothesis (B) provided of course that (0) is valid when $\alpha = 0$. It is also shown in the end that a set of the type $(P_K^1 = 1)$ is finely open so that unless empty it is rather "large".

Thanks are due to Professor K.L.Chung and J.Azema for encouragement.

Notation will be as in [1].

Aarhus University

** University of Florida

Let $K = K_0$ be a Borel set contained in a given compact set. Define for each countable ordinal γ a set K_γ as follows

$$K_{\gamma+1} = \{x \in K_\gamma : P_{K_\gamma}^1(x) = 1\}$$

$$K_\gamma = \bigcap_{\beta < \gamma} K_\beta \text{ if } \gamma \text{ is a limit ordinal.}$$

Put

$$A = \bigcap_{\gamma} K_\gamma.$$

Lemma 1. The set A is Borel and

$$(1) \quad A \subset \{x : P_A^1(x) = 1\}.$$

Proof. Hypothesis (L) implies that K_γ is a Borel set for all countable ordinals γ . Let ξ denote a probability reference measure. As $\phi(\gamma) = E^\xi(\exp(-T_{K_\gamma}))$ is non-increasing there is a countable ordinal β such that $\phi(\gamma) = \phi(\beta)$ for all $\gamma \geq \beta$.

If $x \in K_{\beta+1}$, $P^{x}(T_{K_\beta} < \infty) = 1$ and hence $P^{x}(T_{K_{\beta+1}} < \infty) = 1$ i.e. $x \in K_{\beta+1}$ and hence $x \in K_{\beta+2}$ etc.

Therefore for all $\gamma \geq \beta$ K_γ is the same $K_{\beta+1}$. That is to say $A = K_{\beta+1}$ is Borel. The assertion is proved.

A Borel set B is called thin if $P_B^1(x) = E^x(\exp(-T_B)) < 1$ for all x . It is called totally thin if there exists $\eta < 1$ such that

$$P_B^1(x) \leq \eta < 1 \text{ for all } x \in B.$$

Using Theorem 11.4 p.62 of [1] it is seen that the successive hitting times to a totally thin set must increase to infinity almost surely.

Lemma 2. Let A be as in Lemma 1. Assume the process is transient. If A is totally thin then A is empty.

Proof. A being relatively compact the last exit time L from A is finite almost surely. But A being totally thin the successive hitting times tend to infinity almost surely. But by (1) for $x \in A$ all successive hitting times to A are finite almost surely. Since all these are less or equal to L , transience is violated. The Lemma follows.

Theorem 3. Assume transience and hypothesis (L). If for all compact K and all open G containing K

$$(2) \quad P_G^x P_K^1 = P_K^1$$

then $P_G^x P_K = P_K$, namely hypothesis (B) holds.

Proof. The arguments of p.p. 70-71 of [2] show that for the validity of hypothesis (B) it is sufficient to prove that for each totally thin set K contained in an open set G we have for each x

$$(3) \quad P^x(T_G = T_K, T_G < \infty) = 0.$$

Using the notation above we now show that on the set $(T_G = T_K < \infty)$

we have

$$(4) \quad X_{T_G} \in K_\gamma \text{ for every } \gamma \text{ countable ordinal.}$$

This is trivial if $\gamma = 0$. Assuming (4) is valid for a particular γ . On the set $(T_G = T_K < \infty)$ we have $T_G = T_K$.

By (2) with $K = K_\gamma$

$$(5) \quad P_G^{P_{K_\gamma}} 1(x) = P_{K_\gamma} 1(x).$$

From (5) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & E^X [P_{K_\gamma} 1(X_{T_G}), T_G = T_{K_\gamma} < \infty] \\ &= P^X [T_G = T_{K_\gamma} < \infty] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $X_{T_G} \in K_{\gamma+1}$ on $T_G = T_K < \infty$.

Next if γ is a limit ordinal, $X_{T_G} \in K_\beta$ for $\beta < \gamma$, trivially implies $X_{T_G} \in K_\gamma$. Thus $X_{T_G} \in A$. But by Lemma 2 this set is empty.

The proof is complete.

Complements

The assumptions will be as above.

Theorem 4. Let K denote a thin Borel set. Then the set

$$(6) \quad B = \{P_K 1 = 1\}$$

is a finely open and closed Borel set. In particular it has positive ξ -measure unless it is empty. ξ is an excessive reference measure.

Proof. B is Borel and finely closed by definition. Since B does not have regular points, it is sufficient to show that for all $x \in B$,

$$7) \quad P^x[X_t \in B \text{ for all } 0 < t < T_K] = 1.$$

ut

$$s = P_K 1.$$

then $x \notin B$ iff $s(x) < 1$. In other words

$$B^c = \bigcup_n A_n, \quad A_n = \{s \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

7) follows if we show

$$8) \quad P^x[T_n < T] = 0, \quad x \in B$$

where $T_n = T_{A_n}$ and $T = T_K$.

But by strong Markov property and the fact that $s(x) = 1$ for $x \in B$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^x[T_n < T] &= E^x[s(X_{T_n}), T_n < T] \\ &\leq (1 - \frac{1}{n})P_x[T_n < T < \infty] \end{aligned}$$

because A_n being finely closed, X_{T_n} belongs to A_n . That completes the proof.

If B and K are as above and B is not empty, it is intuitively clear that the last exit from K is at least as large as the last exit time from B . Let us supply a proof. Since B is finely open it is clear that the last exit time L

from B satisfies

$$L = \sup\{t > 0, t \in Q, x_t \in B\}$$

where Q denotes the set of rationals. Write

$$A = \{(t, w) : X_t \in B \text{ and } t \in Q\}.$$

A is optional with countable sections. There exists stopping times T_n with disjoint graphs $[T_n]$ such that

$$A = \bigcup_n [T_n].$$

For every x , M denoting the last exit from K

$$\begin{aligned} P^x(M \geq T_n, T_n < \infty) &\geq P^x[T_n + T_K(\theta_{T_n}) < \infty] \\ &= E^x[P_K^1(X_{T_n}), T_n < \infty] = P^x[T_n < \infty] \end{aligned}$$

namely $M \geq T_n$ on the set $T_n < \infty$, P^x - a.s.

That completes the proof.

References

- [1] R.M. Blumenthal and R.K. Gettoor: Markov Processes and Potential theory. Academic Press (1968).
- [2] P.A. Meyer: Processus du Markov et la Frontiere du Martin. Springer Lecture Notes Vol 77 (1968).