

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

MARTIN T. BARLOW

On brownian local time

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 15 (1981), p. 189-190

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1981__15__189_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On Brownian Local Time

by M.T. Barlow

Let B be a Brownian motion starting at 0, and L_t^a denote its local time - as usual we take a version of L which is jointly continuous in (a,t) . Recently, Perkins has proved that, for fixed t , the process $a \rightarrow L_t^a$ is a semimartingale relative to the excursion fields. It is natural to ask about L_T^a , where T is a stopping time: in this note we give an example to show that L_T^a may be very far from being a semimartingale.

Given a stopping time T (which will be defined later) let

$$M = \inf \{ a : L_T^a > 0 \} = \inf_{s \leq T} B_s,$$

$$Y_a = L_T^{M+a},$$

\underline{Y}_a , $a > 0$, be the (usual augmentation of the) natural filtration of Y

We will choose T so that, for some fixed $x > 0$, if $R = \inf\{a: Y_a = x\}$, then the process $(t,\omega) \rightarrow Y_{R+t}(\omega)$ is $B([0,\infty)) \otimes \sigma(R)$ measurable with positive probability. Since Y is never of finite variation, it follows that Y is not a semimartingale \underline{Y}_t .

Let $\psi : C[0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ be injective and measurable. Set $S = \inf \{ t : |\underline{B}_t| = 1 \}$, and let ε, x be positive reals. On $\{B_S = 1\}$ let $T = S$, and on $\{B_S = -1\}$ define

$$U = \inf \{ a : L_S^a \geq x \} ,$$

$$V = \psi (L_S^{U+\epsilon})$$

$$W = \max \{ a < 1 : a + n\epsilon = U - \epsilon V \text{ for some } n \geq 0 \}$$

Thus $-(1 + \epsilon) \leq W < -1$, and $U - W = \epsilon(V + n)$ for some $n(\omega) \geq 0$. Now on $\{B_S = -1\}$ let $T = \inf \{ t > S : B_t = U \text{ or } W \}$. Then, if

$$F = \{B_S = -1\} \cap \{B_T = W\} \cap \{L_T^a < x , \text{ for } a \geq U\} ,$$

it is evident that ϵ, x may be chosen so that $P(F) > 0$. However, on F $V = [R/\epsilon]$ ($[x]$ denotes the fractional part of x), and thus if $X_{R+} = \psi^{-1}([R/\epsilon])$, $1_F Y_{R+} = 1_F X_{R+}$. Thus T has the required properties, and it is clear, from, for example, the characterization of semimartingales as stochastic integrators, that Y is not a semimartingale.

Statistical Laboratory,
16, Mill Lane,
Cambridge. CB2 1SB
England