

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

JOHN C. TAYLOR

Some remarks on Malliavin's comparison lemma and related topics

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 12 (1978), p. 446-456

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1978__12__446_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Some remarks on Malliavin's comparison lemma and related topics

by

J.C. Taylor

Introduction. Let M be a connected non-compact C^3 -manifold and let L be a strictly elliptic second order differential operator on M with locally Lipschitz coefficients. If $K \subset M$ is compact with non-void interior let e_K denote its equilibrium potential. If h is a non-degenerate C^3 -function on $M \setminus K$ valued in $]1, +\infty[$ the comparison lemma in question gives upper and lower estimates for $e_K(y)$ of the form $c a(h(y))$, where a is the equilibrium potential for $]1, +\infty[$ corresponding to appropriate diffusions on \mathbb{R} that are explicitly described in terms of h and the operator L .

A purely analytic (and short) proof of these estimates (for locally Hölder continuous coefficients) due to Azencott (and inspired by [6] and [11]) appears as part of the proof of proposition 5.2 in [2]. However, Malliavin [7] obtains these estimates by comparing the trajectories of various diffusions and this article, which presents Malliavin's ideas (and additional remarks), can be viewed as an illustration of the use of various probabilistic techniques.

In particular it is remarked that the functoriality of diffusions follows immediately once they are defined as solutions to the martingale problem.

1°. The diffusion associated with L . For simplicity (and also because [1] is not generally available) it will be assumed $L1=0$. An exposition of Azencott's arguments for this case is given in [12] (the general situation being considered in [1]).

Let M be a connected manifold of class C^2 .

If (U, ϕ) is a chart of M and $u \in C^2(M)$

then

$$(Lu) \circ \phi^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}$$

where $v \circ \phi = u$. The coefficients are assumed to be locally Hölder continuous and the matrix $(a_{ij}(x))$ to be positive definite.

Denote by $\Omega(M)$ the set of continuous functions $\omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow M \cup \{\partial\}$ (the one-point compactification of M) that are absorbed by ∂ i.e. $\omega(t) = \partial$ implies $\omega(t+s) = \partial$ for all $s > 0$. Let $X_t : \Omega(M) \rightarrow M \cup \{\partial\}$ be the canonical coordinate maps $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$ and let $\mathbb{F}_t = \sigma\{X_s \mid 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Define $\delta(\omega) = \inf\{t \mid X_t(\omega) = \partial\}$.

Let $X(M) = (\Omega(M), \mathbb{F}_t, X_t, \zeta)$.

Definition 1. A probability P on $(\Omega(M), \mathbb{F}_\infty)$ is said to be a solution of the (x, L) -martingale problem if

- (1) for all $f \in C_c^2(M)$, i.e. twice continuously differentiable and with compact support,

$$C_t^f = f \circ X_t - f \circ X_0 - \int_0^t L f \circ X_s ds$$

is a martingale with respect to $(\Omega(M), \mathbb{F}_t, P)$; and

- (2) $P\{X_0 = x\} = 1$.

Theorem 2. (Azencott; cf [12]).

For all $x \in M$ there is one and only one solution P^x to the (x, L) -martingale problem.

Definition 3. $(\Omega(M), \mathbb{F}_t, X_t, P^x)_{x \in M} = (X(M), P^x)_{x \in M}$ is called the diffusion associated with L .

It turns out (see [12]) that because of the above uniqueness the process $(X(M), P^x)_{x \in M}$ satisfies the strong Markov property. In [2] Azencott characterizes its transition semigroup as the minimal sub-Markovian semigroup (P_t) such that for all $f \in C_c^2(M)$

- (a) $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L)P_t f = 0$, and
 (b) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t f(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in M$.

2^o. The functoriality of the diffusion.

Consider M_1, M_2 two connected C^2 -manifolds and let $\psi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a proper map of class C^2 . Let L_1, L_2 be two strictly elliptic operators on the corresponding manifolds for which $L_1 1$ and $L_2 1$ are both zero and such that, for all $f \in C_c^2(M_2)$

$$L_1(f \circ \psi) = (L_2 f) \circ \psi.$$

Let $(P^x)_{x \in M_1}$ and $(Q^y)_{y \in M_2}$ be the families of probabilities that define the corresponding diffusions.

Proposition 4. The canonical map $\Omega(\psi) : (M_1) \rightarrow \Omega(M_2)$ induced by ψ sends P^x to $Q^{\psi(x)}$ for all $x \in M_1$. In other words, the diffusion associated to L is a covariant functor on the obvious category.

Proof: The formula $X_t^2 \circ \Omega(\psi) = \psi \circ X_t^1$ for all $t > 0$ determines $\Omega(\psi)$. Let $x \in M_1$ and let $Q = \Omega(\psi)_* P^x$ be the image of P^x under $\Omega(\psi)$. It is then easy to see that Q is a solution of the $(\psi(x), L_2)$ -martingale problem.

Remarks. 1. The result is not new. It is merely another way of showing the functoriality of the semigroup with infinitesimal generator L which can be realised as the transition semigroup of a strong Markov process.

2. Use of [1] rather than [12] allows one to drop the condition that $L_1 1 = 0$.

3. A particular case of this situation is known as the theorem of Eells-Malliavin (see [8] p.168).

4. Let $M_1 = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $M_2 = \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\psi(x) = \|x\|$. If $L_1 = \Delta$ and $L_2 g = g' + \frac{(n-1)}{\|x\|} g'$ then the image of Brownian motion on M_1 under ψ is the appropriate Bessel process on \mathbb{R}^+ (pointed out by J. Faraut).

5. The properness of ψ is used twice. First, to define $\Omega(\psi)$ and secondly to ensure that for all $f \in C_c^2(M_2)$ $(f \circ X_t^2 - f \circ X_0^2 - \int_0^t L_2 f \circ X_s^2 ds) \circ \Omega(\psi)$ is integrable (because it is exactly $(f \circ \psi) \circ X_t^1 - (f \circ \psi) \circ X_0^1 - \int_0^t L_1 (f \circ \psi) \circ X_s^1 ds$!). The arguments given on p.109 of [12] suggest that the first use is not essential and consequently the result is probably true as long as the integrability is preserved. The case of a fibration with non-compact fibre is perhaps worth considering

3°. The associated increasing process.

Let M and L be as in 1° and for $f \in C^2(M)$ let $C_t = C_t^f = f \circ X_t - f \circ X_0 - \int_0^t L f \circ X_s ds$. Then for all $x \in M$, (C_t) is a local martingale on $(\Omega(M), \mathbb{F}_t, \zeta, P^x)$ in the sense that there is an increasing sequence (T_n) of stopping times $T_n < \zeta$ such that $(C_t^{T_n})$ is a uniformly integrable martingale for all n . Consequently it is natural to determine the increasing process associated with (C_t^2) up to ζ (i.e. to compute $\langle C, C \rangle_t$).

Theorem 5. Let $f \in C^2(M)$. Then, for each x , $\langle C, C \rangle_t = \int_0^t \| \nabla f \|^2 \circ X_s ds$ on $[0, \zeta)$ where $\| \nabla f \|^2 = Lf^2 - 2fLf$, which in local coordinates (U, ϕ) is

$\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ with $g \circ \phi = f$ (the square of the length of the intrinsic gradient of f associated with L).

Proof: Before giving a complete proof of this result the special case of $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ will be discussed and then an easy "proof" will be given which unfortunately contains a flaw.

1) $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. If σ is a positive square root of a then the solution P^Y of the (y, L) -martingale problem can be constructed via the unique solution of the stochastic integral equation

$$Y_t = y + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_s) dB_s + \int_0^t b(Y_s) ds$$

where (B_s) is Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^n and $b(x) = (b_1(x), \dots, b_n(x))$ (see Girsanov [5] theorem 3).

For $f \in C^2(M)$ Ito's lemma states that

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ Y_t &= f(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}(Y_s) dY_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(Y_s) d \langle Y^i, Y^j \rangle_s = \\ &= f(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}(Y_s) \sigma_{ij}(Y_s) dB_s^j + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t b_i(Y_s) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}(Y_s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_0^t a_{ij}(Y_s) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(Y_s) ds \end{aligned}$$

where Y_t^i and B_t^i denote the i -coordinate of the vector Y_t (resp. B_t) and $Y_t^{ic} = \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(Y_s) dB_s^j$

Consequently

$$C_t^f = f \circ Y_t - f \circ Y_0 - \int_0^t Lf \circ Y_s ds = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t (\text{grad } f \cdot \sigma)_j \circ Y_s dB_s^j \text{ and hence } \langle C^f, C^f \rangle_t = \int_0^t \|\text{grad } f \cdot \sigma\|^2 \circ Y_s ds = \int_0^t \|\nabla f\|^2 \circ Y_s ds .$$

Remark. This shows that the result holds in general up to the exit time from a coordinate neighbourhood of x and so we could hope to prove it in general by patching things together with stopping times.

2) An incomplete proof for general M .

In the second article in [9] on the Littlewood-Paley inequalities MEYER defines a weak-type of infinitesimal generator A for the transition semigroup (P_t) of a "right" process. Specifically, $f \in D(A)$ and $Af = g$ if

- 1) f is bounded and universally measurable on the state space E ;
- 2) g is universally measurable on E and $U_p |g|$ is bounded for all $p > 0$ (where (U_p) is the associated resolvent) ; and
- 3) for all $p > 0$, $f = U_p (pf - g)$.

In the present context it is clear that, for each $f \in C_c^2(M)$, $P_t f - P_s f =$

$$\int_s^t P_u Lf du \text{ and so } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t f(x) = P_t Lf(x) . \text{ Hence, } U_p Lf = \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t f \right) dt = -f + pU_p f$$

which implies $f \in D(A)$ and $Af = Lf$. Now providing $D(A)$ is an algebra the computation of $\langle C^f, C^f \rangle_t$ as $Af^2 - fAf$ given in [9] p. 145 is applicable. However, it is not known whether $D(A)$ is an algebra and consequently the proof is incomplete.

The fact that $C_c^2(M)$ is an algebra does however play a key role in the following proof.

3) A martingale proof (suggested by both MEYER and YOR , details due to YOR, c.f. article by YOR in this volume).

First of all note that it suffices to prove the result for $f \in C_c^2(M)$ since the general result is obtained by using a sequence (T_n) of stopping times $T_n < \zeta$ that increase to ζ .

If $f \in C_c^2(M)$ then as noted above $f \in D(A)$ and so (C_t^f) is a martingale that is locally square integrable (MEYER [10] p. 143).

If $J_t = (C_t^f)^2$ and $\Gamma_t = f \circ X_0 + \int_0^t Lf \circ X_s ds$ then

$$J_t = f^2 \circ X_t + \Gamma_t^2 - 2(f \circ X_t) \Gamma_t = f^2 \circ X_t + \Gamma_t^2 - 2\{C_t^f + \Gamma_t\} \Gamma_t = f^2 \circ X_t - 2C_t^f \Gamma_t - \Gamma_t^2 .$$

Set $Q_t \equiv R_t$ if $(Q_t - R_t)$ is a local martingale. Then, since $f^2 \in C^2(M)$,

$f^2 \circ X_t \equiv \int_0^t Lf^2 \circ X_s ds$, $C_t^f \Gamma_t \equiv \int_0^t C_s^f d\Gamma_s$ (see MEYER [10] theorem 38 p. 315) and

$$\Gamma_t^2 - \Gamma_0^2 = 2 \int_0^t \Gamma_s d\Gamma_s . \text{ It then follows that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_t &\equiv \int_0^t Lf \circ X_s^2 ds - 2 \int_0^t \{C_s^f + \Gamma_s\} d\Gamma_s \\ &= \int_0^t \{Lf^2 - 2fLf\} \circ X_s ds . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\langle C^f, C^f \rangle_t - \int_0^t \{Lf^2 - 2fLf\} \circ X_s ds$ is a local martingale that is pre-visible and of bounded variation. Hence, it is constant. Since it vanishes at zero this completes the proof.

4. The corresponding time change

Let $f \in C^2(M)$ and consider the additive functional $A_t = \int_0^t \|\nabla f\|^2 \circ X_s ds$. ($= \langle C^f, C^f \rangle_t$ where $C_t^f = f \circ X_t - f \circ X_0 - \int_0^t Lf \circ X_s ds$) defined for all $t > 0$ (note that $\|\nabla f\|^2(\delta) = 0$ by convention). Set $\sigma = \sup A_t$ and denote by T_s the stopping time equal to $\inf\{t < \zeta \mid A_t > s\}$ with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = \zeta$. Then $A_{T_s} = s \wedge \sigma$, for all $s \geq 0$.

As is shown in the appendix, the random variable $M_s = C_{T_s}^f$ is defined for all $s > 0$ (not only on $\{s < \sigma\}$) by a limit argument and satisfies

$$f \circ X_{T_s} = f \circ X_{T_0} + M_s + \int_0^s Lf \circ X_u du ,$$

where $f \circ X_{T_s}$ is defined appropriately if $\sigma \leq s$ (note that $T_s = \zeta$ if $\sigma \leq s$).

If $\|\nabla f\|^2(u) > 0$ for all x , i.e. if f is non-degenerate, then

$\int_0^s Lf \circ X_u du = \int_0^s (a \circ X_{T_v}) dv$ where $a = (Lf) / \|\nabla f\|^2$, providing $s \leq \sigma$. Consequently, for $s \leq \sigma$, if $Y_s = f \circ X_{T_s}$, $Y_s = Y_0 + M_s + \int_0^s (a \circ X_{T_v}) dv$. MALLIAVIN [7] remarks that (M_s) is a Brownian motion. As shown in the appendix, this is so up to the $(F_{T_s})_s$ -stopping time σ . In other words, (M_s) is a stopped Brownian motion.

5^o. The comparison lemma.

Let $K \subset M$ be compact with non-void interior and consider the equilibrium potential e_K of K on $M \setminus K$ i.e. $e_K(x) = E^x[T < \zeta]$ where T is the hitting time of K . It suffices to study e_K on a connected component of $M \setminus K$ and so to simplify notation it will be assumed that $M \setminus K$ is connected.

Denote by f a non-degenerate proper C^3 -function defined on a neighbourhood of $(\mathbb{R})^c$ with values in \mathbb{R}^+ . Then $f(M \setminus K)$ is an interval I with end points $a < b$ and it will be assumed that as $x \rightarrow \partial$ in M $f(x)$ converges to b . Replacing f by $\frac{1}{b-f}$ if $b < \infty$ it is clear that one can assume $b = +\infty$. To simplify matters it will be assumed that $\partial K = \{f = 1\}$.

Let u be a C^2 -function on I . Then $L(u \circ f) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f\|^2 (u' \circ f) + Lf(u' \circ f) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f\|^2 \{u' \circ f + a(u \circ f)\}$ where $a = \frac{Lf}{\|\nabla f\|^2}$ as can be seen by a computation in local coordinates. Consequently, the differential operator L modulo $\|\nabla f\|^2$ factors through f if and only if a is constant on the level hypersurfaces of f . In this case the "radial" behaviour of the diffusion associated with L on $M \setminus K$ can be reduced to that of a 1-dimensional diffusion on \mathbb{R} . However, when this is not so estimates are obtained for the behaviour of e_K on $M \setminus K$ by studying two diffusions on \mathbb{R} .

Let $a^+(r) = \max \{Lf(x)/\|\nabla f\|^2(x) \mid f(x) = r\}$ and $a^-(r) = \min\{Lf(x)/\|\nabla f\|^2(x) \mid f(x) = r\}$. These two functions can be extended from $[1, \infty)$ to all of \mathbb{R} so as to be Hölder-continuous functions on \mathbb{R} since $f \in C^3$. The two diffusions in question are determined by the differential operators $D^+ = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + a^+ \frac{d}{dr}$ and $D^- = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + a^- \frac{d}{dr}$.

Fix $x \in M \setminus K$ and hence $f(x) = r \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $U = \{1 < f\}$ and let T be the hitting time of U^c for the diffusion on M . The strictly increasing process $(A_{t \wedge T})$, $A_t = \int_0^t \|\nabla f\|^2 \circ X_s ds$ determines a time change (T_s) such that if $Y_t = f \circ X_{T_t}$ and $M_t = C_{t \wedge T}^f$ then:

- (1) $Y_t = Y_0 + M_t + \int_0^t a \circ X_{T_s} dv$; and
- (2) (M_t) is a Brownian motion stopped at $\sigma^T = \sup A_{t \wedge T}$.

Let α denote either a^+ or a^- and let (U_t) be the solution of the stochastic integral equation

$$U_t = r + \int_0^t (1 \circ U_s) dM_s + \int_0^t \alpha \circ U_s ds,$$

for the existence and uniqueness, see [5]).

When $\alpha = a^\pm$ let U_t^\pm denote the corresponding solution.

Proposition 6. (Comparison Lemma).

The following results hold:

- (1) $U_t^- \leq Y_t \leq U_t^+$ for all $t < \sigma^T$
- (2) $f \circ X_{T_t} = \infty \Rightarrow U_{S^+}^+ = \infty$
- (3) $f \circ X_{T_t} = 1 \Rightarrow U_{S^-}^- = 1$, where S^\pm are the exit times from $(1, \infty)$ of the processes (U_t^\pm) .

Proof: (MALLIAVIN [7]). To prove (1) first replace a^+ by $a^+ + \epsilon$ and let $(U_t^{+\epsilon})$ be the corresponding solution. Then the following modification of a lemma due to SKOROKHOD ([13] p.125) shows that $Y_t \leq U_t^{+\epsilon}$ for all $t > 0$. Let $G_t = U_t^{+\epsilon} - Y_t$ and $k_t = a^+ \circ U_t^{+\epsilon} - a \circ X_{T_t}$. Then $G_t = \epsilon t + \int_0^t k_s ds$ P^x -a.s. since $P^x\{X_0 = x\} = 1$ implies $Y_0 = r$ P^x -a.s. Let $S = \inf \{t \mid 0 < t < \sigma^T, G_t \leq 0\}$. Then S is an $(\mathbb{F}_{T_t}^S)$ stopping time and $S > 0$ P^x -a.s.. This follows since k_t is continuous in $t, k_0 = a^+(r) - a(x) \geq 0$ and so $k_t(\omega) > -\epsilon$ for $0 \leq t < \delta(\omega)$. If $t' = S(\omega) < \infty$ then $G_{S'}(\omega) = 0, k_{S'}(\omega) = a^+(f(X_{T_{t'}}(\omega))) - a(X_{T_{t'}}(\omega)) \geq 0$. Since $k_t(\omega) > -\epsilon$ if $S(\omega) - \delta_1(\omega) < t \leq S(\omega)$ it follows that for such $t, 0 < G_t(\omega) - G_{S'}(\omega) \leq \epsilon(t - S(\omega)) - \epsilon(t - S(\omega)) = 0$. Consequently, $S(\omega) = +\infty$ P^x -a.s. i.e. $G_t > 0$ on $\{t < \sigma^T\}$.

Similarly, replacing a^- by $a^- - \epsilon$ it follows that $U_t^{-\epsilon} \leq Y_t$ for all $t \geq 0$.

To complete the proof of (1) it therefore suffices to show that $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} U_t^{\pm \epsilon} = U_t^{\pm}$. In view of Girsanov's result on uniqueness [5], this follows from YAMADA [14] (Theorem 1.2).

The remaining statements (2) and (3) are immediate consequences of (1) since δ (the lifetime of the diffusion on $M \setminus K$) can be viewed as the entrance time of $K \cup \{\delta\}$ when starting from $x \in M \setminus K$.

6°. Extension of the stopped Brownian motion (M_t) .

If the martingale (M_t) on $(\Omega(M), \mathbb{F}_t, P^x)$ was in fact a Brownian motion then the solution (U_t) of the stochastic integral equation

$$U_t = r + M_t + \int_0^t \alpha \circ U_s ds$$

would describe the diffusion on \mathcal{R} starting from r that corresponds to the infinitesimal generator $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \alpha \frac{d}{dr}$.

Then the comparison lemma could be directly applied to show that $P^x\{U_{S^-}^- = 1\} \leq e_K(x)$ and $1 - e_K(x) \leq P^x\{U_{S^+}^+ = +\infty\} = 1 - P^x\{U_{S^+}^+ = 1\}$ where $e_K(x) = P^x\{f \circ X_T = 1\}$ and $T = T_{U \subset C}$. Hence, $P^x\{U_{S^+}^+ = 1\} \leq e_K(x) \leq P^x\{U_{S^-}^- = 1\}$, where the times S^{\pm} now refer to exit from $(1, +\infty)$.

Therefore, in some way (U_t) has to be extended so as to describe the diffusion on \mathcal{R} . Malliavin does this by tacking onto the trajectories $t \mapsto U_t(\omega)$ the trajectories of the diffusion on \mathcal{R} that start from $U_\sigma(\omega)$. As he points out "this identification is not completely straightforward and a little additional construction seems to be needed [7]".

Rather than follow this route I propose to outline results of DAMBIS [3] which immediately permit (M_t) to be "extended" so as to give a Brownian motion (a trick used in [3]) on a larger probability space. This will then quickly give the desired extension of the process (U_t) .

Let $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}_t, \mathbb{F}, P)$ satisfy "les hypothèses droites". Denote by (X_t) and (Y_t) two right continuous martingales on this space and let T be a stopping time. Set $Z_t = X_{t \wedge T} + Y_t - Y_{t \wedge T}$.

Theorem 7. (DAMBIS [3]) (Z_t) is a right continuous martingale. Furthermore, if (X_t) and (Y_t) are square integrable with (A_t) and (B_t) the corresponding associated increasing processes, (Z_t) is square integrable and the increasing process (C_t) associated with (Z_t) is given by the formula

$$C_t = A_{t \wedge T} + B_t - B_{t \wedge T}.$$

Corollary 7. Let (M_t) be a Brownian motion on $(\Omega, \mathbb{G}_t, \mathbb{G}, P)$ stopped at the stopping time T . Then there exists (i) a Brownian motion $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_t, \bar{\mathbb{F}}, \bar{B}_t, \bar{P})$, (ii) an $(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_t)$ -stopping time \bar{T} , and (iii) a map $\pi: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$ such that:

- (1) $T \circ \pi = \bar{T}$;
- (2) $M_t \circ \pi = \bar{B}_{t \wedge \bar{T}}$; and
- (3) $\pi_* \bar{P} = P$ on \mathbb{G} .

Proof: (DAMBIS) Let (B_t) be a Brownian motion on (\wedge, H_t, H, Q) . Let $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \times \wedge$, $\bar{F}_t = \underline{G}_t \otimes H_t$, $\bar{F} = \underline{G} \otimes H$ and $\bar{P} = P \otimes Q$. Define $\tilde{M}_t = M_t \circ \pi$ where $\pi(\omega, \lambda) = \omega$ and $\tilde{B}_t = B_t \circ \rho$ where $\rho(\omega, \lambda) = \lambda$. Then (\tilde{M}_t) and (\tilde{B}_t) are continuous martingales on $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{F}_t, \bar{F}, \bar{P})$. Furthermore (\tilde{M}_t) stops at $\bar{T} = T \circ \pi$, which is an (\bar{F}_t) -stopping time.

Define $\bar{B}_t = \tilde{M}_t + \tilde{B}_t - \tilde{B}_{t \wedge \bar{T}}$. Then (\bar{B}_t) is a square integrable continuous martingale whose associated increasing process is $\bar{T} \wedge t - T \wedge t = t$.

Proposition 8. Let (V_t) be the solution of the stochastic integral equation on $\bar{\Omega}$

$$(*) \quad V_t = r + \bar{B}_t + \int_0^t \alpha \circ V_s ds.$$

Let (U_t) be the solution of the stochastic integral equation on Ω

$$U_t = r + M_t + \int_0^t \alpha \circ U_s ds.$$

$$\text{Then } U_t \circ \pi = V_{t \wedge \bar{T}}.$$

Proof: $(V_{t \wedge \bar{T}})$ is the solution of the stochastic integral equation

$$V_t = r + \bar{B}_{t \wedge \bar{T}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \bar{T}} \alpha \circ V_s ds.$$

Since $(U_t \circ \pi)$ solves the same equation the result follows.

Let S^\pm be as in the statement of proposition 6. Let R^\pm be the exit times from $(1, +\infty)$ for the diffusion on \mathbb{R} with differential generator D^\pm . Since these diffusions when started from r can be realized by V^\pm (solutions of equation $(*)$ with $\alpha = a^\pm$) $\pi^{-1}\{U_{S^+} = \infty\} \subset \{V_{R^+} = \infty\}$ and $\pi^{-1}\{U_{S^-} = 1\} \subset \{V_{R^-} = 1\}$. Consequently, the previous corollary (3) and the comparison lemma imply the following result.

Corollary 9.

$$P^x\{f(X_T) = \infty\} \leq \bar{P}^x\{V_{R^+} = \infty\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$P^x\{f(X_T) = 1\} \leq \bar{P}^x\{V_{R^-} = 1\}.$$

Finally, in view of the equations $(*)$ with $\alpha = a^\pm$ it follows that $\bar{P}^x\{V_{R^+} = \infty\} = 1 - h^+(r)$ and $\bar{P}^x\{V_{R^-} = 1\} = h^-(r)$ where h^\pm are the solutions of the Dirichlet problem for D^\pm on $(1, \infty)$ with boundary value $1_{\{1\}}$.

Hence, this yields.

Corollary 8. $h^+(r) \leq e_K(x) \leq h^-(r)$.

Appendix. The definition and properties of (M_s)

1. Let $C_t^f = C_t = f \circ X_t - f \circ X_0 - \int_0^t Lf \circ X_u du$. Then, for all x , (C_t) is a local martingale on $(\Omega, \underline{F}_t, \underline{P}^x)$. Let (T_n) be a sequence of stopping times $T_n < \zeta$ that reduces (C_t) ([10] p.292) and the local martingale $(C_t^2 - A_t)_t$, where $A_t = \int_0^t \| \nabla f \|^2 \circ X_s ds$.

2. Fix $s = a$ and consider $(C_{t \wedge T_a})_t$ with associated increasing process $(A_{t \wedge T_a})_t$. Then (T_n) reduces the local martingale $(C_{t \wedge T_a}^2 - A_{t \wedge T_a})_t$.

Lemma . For all $a, b \geq 0$ $(C_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge b})_n$ is a uniformly integrable martingale on $(\Omega, \underline{F}, \underline{F}_{T_n}, P^X)$.

Proof: $E[C_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge b}^2] = E[A_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge b}] \leq a$ and so, if $X_t = C_{T_a \wedge T_{(n+1)} \wedge b}$, (X_t) is a uniformly integrable martingale relative to (\underline{F}_t) . Hence, $E[X_{T_{(n+1)}} | \underline{F}_{T_n}] = X_{T_n}$. The uniform integrability follows from the first inequality.

Define $C_{T_a \wedge t}$ to be $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge t}$. Then $C_{T_a \wedge t}$ agrees with its usual value for $t < \zeta$ and if $T_a \wedge t \geq \zeta$ its value is given by this limit rather than by

$$C_\zeta = -f_0 X_0 - \int_0^\zeta L f_0 X_u du .$$

Lemma . $(C_{T_a \wedge t})_t$ is a uniformly integrable martingale on $(\Omega, \underline{F}, \underline{F}_t, P^X)$.

Proof: Let $t_1 < t_2$ and $\wedge \in \underline{F}_{t_1}$. Then $E[1_{\wedge} C_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge t_1}] = E[1_{\wedge} C_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge t_2}]$ and uniform integrability implies $E[1_{\wedge} C_{T_a \wedge t_1}] = E[1_{\wedge} C_{T_a \wedge t_2}]$.

Furthermore, $E[C_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge t}^2] \leq a \forall n, t$ implies $E[C_{T_a \wedge t}^2] \leq a$ and so $(C_{T_a \wedge t})_t$ is uniformly integrable.

Corollary (c.f. DAMBIS [3] lemma 6). $(C_{T_a})_a$ is a martingale on $(\Omega, \underline{F}, \underline{F}_{T_a}, P^X)$.

Proof: If $a < b$ then $E[C_{T_a \wedge T_b} | \underline{F}_{T_a}] = C_{T_a}$.

Lemma . $(C_{T_a}^2 - \sigma \wedge a)_a$ is a martingale on $(\Omega, \underline{F}, \underline{F}_{T_a}, P^X)$.

Proof: For each n , $(C_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge t}^2 - A_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge t})_t$ is a martingale. Since $C_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge t}^2 \rightarrow C_{T_a \wedge t}^2$ in L^1 (by theorem 4.15 (iii) in [4]) and $A_{T_a \wedge T_n \wedge t} \rightarrow A_{T_a \wedge t}$ monotonically as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $(C_{T_a \wedge t}^2 - A_{T_a \wedge t})_t$ is also a martingale. By repeating the argument it follows that $(C_{T_a}^2 - A_{T_a})_a$ is a martingale where

$$C_{T_a} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} C_{T_a \wedge t} . \text{ Note that } A_{T_a} = \sigma \wedge a .$$

Finally, the following result concludes the proof that (C_{T_a}) is a Brownian motion stopped at σ .

Proposition $a \rightsquigarrow C_{T_a}$ is continuous a.s.

Proof: It is obvious on $[0, \sigma)$. If $a = \sigma(\omega)$ then $T_a(\omega) = \zeta(\omega)$ and $C_{T_a}(\omega)$ is defined by a limit from the left. For $a > \sigma(\omega)$, $T_a(\omega) = \zeta(\omega)$ and so the result follows.

Bibliography

- [1] AZENCOTT, R. Methods of localization and diffusions on manifolds.
Unpublished manuscript.
- [2] AZENCOTT, R. Behaviour of diffusion semi-groups at infinity,
Ball. Soc. Math. France 102 (1974), 193-240.
- [3] DAMBIS, K.E. On the decomposition of continuous submartingales,
Theory Prob. and Appl. 10 (1965), 401-410.
- [4] DOOB, J.L. Stochastic processes, *John Wiley & Sons Inc.*,
New York, London, Sydney, 1953.
- [5] GIRSANOV, I.V. On Ito's stochastic integral, *Soviet Math.* 2 (1961),
506-509 [*Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSR* 138 (1961), 18-21].
- [6] KHAS'MINSKII. R.S. Ergodic properties of recurrent diffusion processes,
Theory Prob. and Appl. 5 (1960), 179-196.
- [7] MALLIAVIN, P. Asymptotic of the Green's function of a Riemannian
manifold and Ito's stochastic integrals, *Proc. Nat.
Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 71 (1974), 381-383.
- [8] MALLIAVIN, M.P. and Factorisation et lois limites de la diffusion
MALLIAVIN, P. horizontale au-dessus d'un espace riemannien
symétrique, in *Springer Lecture Notes* 404,
Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.
- [9] MEYER, P.A... Démonstration probabiliste de certaines inégalités
de LITTLEWOOD-PALEY, in *Seminaire de probabilités X*,
Springer Lecture Notes 511, *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin,
Heidelberg, New York, 1976.
- [10] MEYER, P.A. Un cours sur les intégrales stochastiques, Ibid.
- [11] MEYERS, N. and The exterior Dirichlet problem for second order
SERRIN, J. elliptic partial differential equations, *J. Math.
and Mech.* 9 (1960), 513-538.

