

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

KAI LAI CHUNG

Pedagogic notes on the barrier theorem

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 11 (1977), p. 27-33

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1977__11__27_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

PEDAGOGIC NOTES ON THE BARRIER THEOREM

by Kai Lai Chung*

Let D be an open bounded set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$; ∂D its boundary.
Given $z \in \partial D$, a function f defined in D is called a barrier at z iff

- (i) f is superharmonic and > 0 in D ;
- (ii) $\lim_{D \ni x \rightarrow z} f(x) = 0$.

Let $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ be the standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d . For any Borel subset B of \mathbb{R}^d , let S_B denote the first exit time from B :

$$S_B = \inf\{t > 0: X_t \notin B\}.$$

D being fixed, we write S for S_D below. A point x is regular iff $P^x\{S = 0\} = 1$; otherwise $P^x\{S > 0\} = 1$ by the zero-one law.

Proposition 1. Let f be superharmonic in D and ≥ 0 in D .
Extend f to \bar{D} (= closure of D) as follows: for each $z \in \partial D$,

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \lim_{D \ni x \rightarrow z} f(x).$$

Then for each $x \in D$ we have

$$(2) \quad f(x) \geq E^x\{f(X(S))\}.$$

Proof. Let K_n be compact, $K_n \subset K_{n+1}^o$ (= interior of K_{n+1}) $\subset D$
such that $\bigcup_n K_n = D$. Then

*Research supported in part by NSF grant MPS74-00405-A01 at Stanford University.

$$(3) \quad S_{K_n} < S, \quad S_{K_n} \uparrow S.$$

For each n , the process

$$(4) \quad \{f(X_{t \wedge S_{K_n}}); 0 \leq t < \infty\}$$

is a supermartingale for each P^x , $x \in K_n^0$ (see Doob's lecture notes¹ for the latest proof of this result). Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ and using Fatou's lemma, we deduce that

$$(5) \quad f(x) \geq E^x\{f(X(S_{K_n}))\}, \quad x \in K_n^0.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, $X(S_{K_n}) \rightarrow X(S) \in \partial D$, hence by the extended definition of f we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(X(S_{K_n})) \geq f(X(S)).$$

Since $f \geq 0$ in \bar{D} , it follows from Fatou's lemma that

$$f(x) \geq E^x\left\{\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(X(S_{K_n}))\right\} \geq E^x\{f(X(S))\}.$$

This is true if $x \in K_n^0$, for every n ; hence it is also true if $x \in D$.

Proposition 2. Let B_1 and B_2 be two open subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , $B_1 \subset B_2$. Then for every $z \in \bar{D}$,

$$(6) \quad E^z\{S_{B_2} < S; f(X(S_{B_2}))\} \leq E^z\{S_{B_1} < S; f(X(S_{B_1}))\}.$$

1. See p.7 below for an alternative proof that doesn't use this result.

Proof. Writing S_1 for S_{B_1} , S_2 for S_{B_2} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E^z\{S_1 < S; E^{X(S_1)}[S_2 < S; f(X(S_2))]\} \\
 (7) \quad & = E^z\{S_1 < S; E^{X(S_1)}[S_2 < S; f(X(S_2 \wedge S))]\} \\
 & \leq E^z\{S_1 < S; E^{X(S_1)}[f(X(S_2 \wedge S))]\}
 \end{aligned}$$

because $X(S_2 \wedge S) \in \bar{D}$ and $f \geq 0$ in \bar{D} . Now $X(S_1) \in B_2 \cap D$ on $\{S_1 < S\}$, hence we may apply Prop. 1 with D replaced by $B_2 \cap D$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(X(S_1)) & \geq E^{X(S_1)}[f(X(S_{B_2 \cap D}))] \\
 & = E^{X(S_1)}[f(X(S_2 \wedge S))].
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into the last term of (7), we obtain (6).

Theorem 1. If there exists a barrier at $z \in \partial D$, then z is regular.

Proof. Let f be the barrier, extend it to \bar{D} as in (1). Apply Prop. 2 with B_1 and B_2 two balls centered at z . Suppose z is not regular, so that $P^z\{S > 0\} = 1$. Since $S_{B_2} \downarrow 0$ as B_2 shrinks to z , we may choose B_2 so that

$$P^z\{S_{B_2} < S\} > 0.$$

Since $X(S_{B_2}) \in D$ on $\{S_{B_2} < S\}$, and $f > 0$ in D , we have

$$(8) \quad E^z\{S_{B_2} < S; f(X(S_{B_2}))\} > 0.$$

Now fix B_2 and let B_1 shrink to z . Then $X(S_{B_1}) \rightarrow z$, and on $\{S_{B_1} < S\}$, $X(S_{B_1}) \in D$; hence $f(X(S_{B_1})) \rightarrow 0$ by property (ii) of a barrier. Replacing f by $f \wedge 1$, which preserves (i) and (ii), we may assume that f is bounded. Hence by bounded convergence,

$$(9) \quad E^z\{S_{B_2} < S; f(X(S_{B_2}))\} \rightarrow 0.$$

The relations (6), (8) and (9) are incompatible. Hence z must be regular.

Remark. Theorem 1 is true for any continuous, strongly Markovian process in a nice topological space, provided that the definition of a "superharmonic function" will imply (5) above. This is essentially Dynkin's generalization (see [1], p. 35 ff.). The observation that Prop. 2 follows from Prop. 1 is due to R. Durrett.

Next, we define f in R^d as follows:

$$(10) \quad f(x) = E^x\{S\}.$$

Proposition 3. f is bounded in R^d and continuous in D .

Proof. $\{\|X_t\|^2 - dt, t \geq 0\}$ is a martingale, where $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2$. Hence for any $x \in R^d$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$E^x\{\|X_{S \wedge n}\|^2 - d(S \wedge n)\} = \|x\|^2.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $\|X_{S \wedge n}\|^2$ is bounded we obtain

$$(11) \quad E^X\{\|X_S\|^2\} - dE^X\{S\} = \|x\|^2.$$

The first term in (11) is the stochastic solution to the Dirichlet problem for the domain D and the boundary function $x \rightarrow \|x\|^2$. Hence it is harmonic in D and therefore is in $C^\infty(D)$; hence so is f .

Let B be an open ball with center 0 and radius r . Apply (11) to S_B we obtain

$$E^X\{S_B\} = \frac{r^2 - \|x\|^2}{d}, \quad x \in D.$$

Choose r so large that $\bar{D} \subset B$. It follows that $f \leq r^2/d$ in \bar{D} , hence in R^d because $f = 0$ in $R^d - \bar{D}$.

Proposition 4. The f in (10) is upper semi-continuous in R^d .

Proof. Let D_n be open bounded such that $D_n \supset \bar{D}_{n+1} \supset D$ and $\bigcap_n \bar{D}_n = \bar{D}$. Then for each $x \in R^d$, we have

$$(12) \quad S_{D_n} \downarrow S \quad P^x \text{ -a.s.}$$

For each n , define f_n in R^d as follows:

$$f_n(x) = E^X\{S_{D_n}\}.$$

By Prop. 3, f_n is continuous in D_n . It follows from (12) and the boundedness of f_1 (by Prop. 3) that

$$(13) \quad f_n(x) \downarrow f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The continuity of f_n in D_n , the fact that D_n is an open neighborhood of \bar{D} , and the relation (13) together imply that

$$(14) \quad f(x) \geq \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} f(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Theorem 2. Let $z \in \partial D$ and z be regular. Then the function f in (10), restricted to D , is a bounded continuous barrier at z .

Proof. This function is superaveraging over surfaces of closed balls in D , by a standard argument. It is bounded and continuous in D by Prop. 3. Hence it is superharmonic in D by the usual definition. It is clearly > 0 in D . Since z is regular, $f(z) = 0$. By Prop. 4, we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow z} f(x) \leq f(z) = 0$$

even if x is not restricted to D . Hence f is a barrier at z .

Remark. To generalize Theorem 2 to a continuous, strongly Markovian process we need only to have Prop. 4. As its proof shows, it is sufficient to have the function f in (10) upper semi-continuous in D . (This will force f to be continuous in D if by "superharmonic" we include "lower semi-continuous" as habitually done.) If X has the strong Feller property, then $E^X\{S \circ \theta_t\}$ is continuous in D . Since

$$E^X\{S\} = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \downarrow E^X\{t + S \circ \theta_t\}, \quad x \in D,$$

the left member is upper semi-continuous. This is Dynkin's generalization.

Here is the alternative proof mentioned on p.2 (communicated by J.L.Doob).

Let $B(x)$ be the open ball with center x and radius half the distance from x to ∂D . Define $T_0 = 0$ and let T_{n+1} be the hitting time after T_n of $\partial B(X(T_n))$. Then T_n is optional and $\{X(T_n), \mathfrak{F}(T_n), n \geq 0\}$ is a Markov process with stationary transition probabilities. The transition distribution from x is the uniform distribution on $\partial B(x)$. It follows trivially that if f is positive and superharmonic the process $\{f(X(T_n)), \mathfrak{F}(T_n)\}$ is a positive supermartingale and that $T_n \rightarrow S$ a.s.. Hence $f(x) \geq E^x[f(X(T_n))]$. By (1) this f is lower semicontinuous on \bar{D} and so Fatou's lemma gives (2).