

# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

HIROSHI KUNITA

## **Absolute continuity of Markov processes**

*Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, tome 10 (1976), p. 44-77

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\\_1976\\_\\_10\\_\\_44\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1976__10__44_0)

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Université de Strasbourg  
Séminaire de Probabilités

ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY OF MARKOV PROCESSES

by Hiroshi Kunita

Introduction and summary.

A great deal of attentions has been devoted to multiplicative functionals of Markov processes and its transformations, and the absolute continuity of Markov processes. The problem we are concerned in this paper is this : Given two Markov processes which are equivalent on the germ field, find a criterion that they are equivalent up to the lifetime. As an earlier result for this direction, we refer to Dawson [2].

After introducing Lévy systems of Hunt process in §1, we get the representation of a terminal time ; the terminal time consists of a hitting time and a first jumping time for a suitable set. The result is very close to Walsh-Weil [18].

§3 is devoted to the representation of a multiplicative functional (MF). Then we study the relation of Lévy systems between the given Markov process and the one transformed by MF. These are generalizations of the work by Kunita-Watanabe [19]. The Lebesgue decomposition of two Markov processes is discussed in §5. The Radon-Nikodym derivative is defined as a MF.

Our central problem is discussed in §6. Assuming that two Hunt processes satisfy Hunt's hypothesis (K), we show that the equivalence on the germ field implies the equivalence up to the lifetime if and only if corresponding Lévy measures are equivalent.

Three examples are discussed in §7.

§1. Notations and definitions. Lévy system.

In this section, we introduce the basic notation and terminology of Hunt process, and then introduce a Lévy system. For a more information of the standard material, refer to the book of Blumenthal-Gettoor [1].

Let  $(\Omega, F, F_t, X_t, \theta_t, P_x)$  be a Hunt process with state space  $E$ . Let  $F_t^0 = \sigma(X_s : s \leq t)$ . Recall that  $F_t$  is the "completed"  $\sigma$ -field of  $F_t^0$ . We denote by  $\zeta$  the lifetime of the process as usual. Throughout this paper, we assume Meyer's hypothesis (L) : i.e., there exists a measure  $\gamma$  on  $E$  such that any  $\alpha$ -excessive function with  $\int u(x)\gamma(dx) = 0$  is identically 0. Also, the following Hunt's hypothesis (K) is often our basic assumption (Hunt [5]).

Hypothesis (K). If  $u$  is an  $\alpha$ -excessive function,  $u(X_t)$  is continuous in  $t \in (0, \zeta)$  where  $X_t$  is continuous.

The event which is valid with  $P_x$ -probability 1 for all  $x \in E_\Delta$  is denoted as "a.s." (almost surely).

A right continuous  $F_t$ -adapted process  $A_t$  is called an additive functional (AF) if  $A_0 = 0$ ,  $A_t = A_\zeta$  for  $t \geq \zeta$  a.s. and satisfies  $A_{t+s} = A_t + A_s \circ \theta_t$  a.s. for each  $t, s \geq 0$ . If the exceptional set  $N_{t,s}$  that the above is not valid satisfies  $P_x(\cup_{t,s} N_{t,s}) = 0$  for all  $x$ ,  $A_t$  is called a perfect AF. In the sequel, we consider perfect AF's only.

Let  $A_t$  be a continuous increasing AF. A measure  $\mu$  on  $E$  is called a canonical measure of  $A_t$  if  $\int_0^t 1_F(X_s) dA_s = 0$  a.s. is equivalent to  $\mu(F) = 0$ . The existence of the canonical measure is known. Let  $A_t^1$  and  $A_t^2$  be continuous increasing AF's with canonical measures  $\mu^1$  and  $\mu^2$ . Then  $\mu^1 \ll \mu^2$  (absolutely continuous) if and only if there exists a  $E$ -measurable

function  $f$  such that  $A_t^1 = \int_0^t f(X_s) dA_s^2$ . (We write  $A^1 \ll A^2$  in such a case).

Following S.Watanabe [18], we shall introduce a Lévy system. Let  $f(x, y) \geq 0$  be a bounded  $E \times E_\Delta$ -measurable function such that  $f(x, x) = 0$  on  $E$ . Set

$$P_t(f) = \sum_{s \leq t} f(X_{s-}, X_s).$$

If  $P_t(f)$  is integrable, there exists a continuous increasing AF  $\hat{P}_t(f)$  such that  $P_t(f) - \hat{P}_t(f)$  is a  $P_x$ -martingale. A pair of a kernel  $n(x, dy)$  on  $E \times E_\Delta$  and a continuous increasing AF  $\varphi_t$  is called a Lévy system if

$$\hat{P}_t(f) = \int_0^t n \circ f(X_s) d\varphi_s \quad \text{a.s.}$$

holds for all  $f$  such that  $P_t(f)$  is integrable. Here

$$n \circ f(x) = \int_{E_\Delta} n(x, dy) f(x, y).$$

Define

$$(1.1) \quad Q_t(f) = P_t(f) - \int_0^t n \circ f(X_s) d\varphi_s.$$

It is a martingale AF.

The Lévy system of a given process is not unique. Let  $(\hat{n}, \hat{\varphi})$  be another Lévy system with the canonical measure  $\hat{\mu}$  of  $\hat{\varphi}$ . Then the measures on  $E \times E_\Delta$  ;

$$\mu(dx)n(x, dy) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mu}(dx)\hat{n}(x, dy)$$

are equivalent (mutually absolutely continuous). In fact, if  $P_t(f) = 0$  a.s., then  $\hat{P}_t(f) = 0$  a.s.. This implies

$$\int_{\mu} (dx)n(x, dy)f(x, y) = 0.$$

The same is valid for  $\tilde{\mu}(dx)\tilde{n}(x, dy)$ . Thus the null sets of the measure  $\mu(dx)n(x, dy)$  does not depend on the choice of Lévy system. We call it the canonical measure.

Now let  $A_t$  be a discontinuous increasing AF. It is called quasi-left continuous if for any increasing sequence of stopping times  $T_n$  with limit  $T$ , it holds  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{T_n} = A_T$  a.s.. The following representation theorem is due to Motoo and S. Watanabe [18].

Representation theorem. Let  $A_t$  be a discontinuous increasing quasi-left continuous AF. Suppose that  $A_t$  is locally integrable. Then there exists a nonnegative  $E \times E_{\Delta}$ -measurable function  $f$  such that  $A_t = P_t(f)$  a.s.. The function  $f$  is unique except for the null set of the measure  $(\mu(dx)n(x, dy))$ .

In several points of later discussions, the classification of stopping times due to Meyer [12] is often used. Here we review it. A stopping time  $T$  is called totally inaccessible if for any increasing sequence of stopping times  $S_n$  with limit  $S$  less than  $T$ , it holds

$$P_x(S_n < S = T < \infty \text{ for all } n) = 0$$

for all  $x$ . A stopping time  $T$  is called accessible (or predictable) if for each  $x$  there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times  $T_n$  with limit  $T$  such that  $T_n < T$  for all  $n$  a.s.  $P_x$  on the set  $0 < T$ . An important characterization is that  $T$  is totally inaccessible if and only if  $X_T \neq X_{T-}$  on  $0 < T < \infty$  a.s. and  $T$  is accessible if and only if  $X_T = X_{T-}$  ( $X_0 = X_{0-}$  by convention). Let now  $T$  be a stopping time.

Define  $T^a$  by  $T$  if  $X_T = X_{T-}$  and by  $\infty$  if  $X_T \neq X_{T-}$ , then  $T^a$  is accessible. On the other hand,  $T^i$  defined by  $T$  if  $X_T \neq X_{T-}$  and  $\infty$  if  $X_T = X_{T-}$  is totally inaccessible. We have the decomposition  $T = T^a \wedge T^i$ .

## §2. Representation of terminal times.

In this section, we review some properties of terminal time and then obtain a representation of exact terminal time, that will play a basic role in §6.

A stopping time  $T$  is called a terminal time if  $T \circ \theta_t + t = T$  holds on the set  $T > t$  a.s. for each  $t$ . The exceptional set may depend on  $t$ . If the exceptional set does not depend on  $t$ , it is called a perfect terminal time.

Let  $T$  be a terminal time. It holds  $T \circ \theta_t + t \geq T$  a.s. for each  $t$  and  $T \circ \theta_t + t \geq T \circ \theta_s + s$  a.s. for each  $s \leq t$ . Define  $\hat{T} = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} (T \circ \theta_s + s)$  ( $s$  : rationals). Then  $\hat{T} \geq T$  a.s. and  $\hat{T} = T$  on  $T > 0$ . If  $\hat{T} = T$  a.s.,  $T$  is called exact. It is easy to see that  $\hat{T}$  defined above is exact. Walsh [17] and Meyer [13] proved that the exact terminal time is perfect.

Suppose now  $T$  is an exact terminal time. Then the function  $u(x) = E_x(e^{-T})$  is 1-excessive. In fact,

$$e^{-t} P_t u(x) = E_x(e^{-(t+T \circ \theta_t)}) ; \zeta > t$$

and the right hand side increases to  $u$  as  $t$  decreases to 0. Let  $F$  be the set of regular points of  $T$  ;

$$F = \{x \in E_\Delta ; P_x(T = 0) = 1\}.$$

Then  $F$  is finely closed nearly Borel set, since  $F$  coincides with the

set of  $x$  such that  $u(x) = 1$ .

Let  $T_F$  be the hitting time for the set  $F$ . Then it holds  $T \leq T_F$  a.s.. In fact, note that  $T \circ \theta_{T_F} > 0$  on  $T > T_F$ : Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_x(T > T_F) &= P_x(T \circ \theta_{T_F} > 0, T > T_F) \\ &= E_x(E_{X_{T_F}}(T > 0) ; T > T_F) \\ &= P_x(X_{T_F} \notin F, T > T_F). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the 0-1 law :  $P_x(T > 0) = 0$  or  $1$ . Since  $F$  is finely closed,  $X_{T_F} \in F$  a.s. on  $T_F < \infty$ . This proves  $P_x(T > T_F) = 0$ .

The following representation of the terminal time was obtained by Walsh-Weil [18], under a different assumption.

Theorem 2.1. Assume hypothesis (K). Let  $T$  be an exact terminal time and let  $F$  be the set of regular points of  $T$ . Then there exists a  $E \times E$ -measurable set  $A$  included in  $(\overline{E-F}) \times (E-F) - \{(x, x) ; x \in E\}$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad T = \inf\{t > 0 : (X_{t-}, X_t) \in A \text{ or } X_t \in F\} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

on  $T < \zeta$ .

Before going to the proof, we prepare two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Define iterates of  $T$  as  $T_0 = 0$ ,  $T_1 = T$ ,  $T_n = T_{n-1} + T \circ \theta_{T_{n-1}}$  and  $T_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$ . Then  $T_\infty = T_F$  a.s. on  $T_\infty < \zeta$ .

Proof. The stopping time  $T_\infty$  is a terminal time. In fact, it is easy to see that  $T_n \circ \theta_t + t = T_{n+k}$  if  $T_k \leq t < T_{k+1}$ . Letting  $n$  tend to infinity, we obtain  $T_\infty \circ \theta_t + t = T_\infty$ . Moreover, it holds  $\{T_\infty = 0\} = \{T = 0\}$ , which can be checked easily by induction. This implies that  $T_\infty$  is exact

and the set of regular points of  $T_\infty$  coincides with  $F$ . Therefore it holds  $T_\infty \leq T_F$  a.s..

We shall prove  $T_\infty \geq T_F$  a.s.. Recall  $u(x) = E_X(e^{-T})$ . By the strong Markov property,

$$E_X(e^{-T_n}) = E_X(e^{-T_{n-1}} u(X_{T_{n-1}})).$$

Making  $n$  tend to  $\infty$ , we see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(X_{T_n}) = 1$  on  $T_\infty < \infty$ . Hypothesis (K) implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(X_{T_n}) = u(X_{T_\infty})$  on  $T_\infty < \zeta$ . Therefore,  $X_{T_\infty} \in F$  on  $T_\infty < \zeta$  a.s., i.e.  $T_\infty \geq T_F$  on  $T_\infty < \zeta$ .

Define now

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \inf_{n \geq 1} \{T_n ; X_{T_n} = X_{T_n-}\}, \\ &= T_\infty \text{ if } \{ \} = \phi. \end{aligned}$$

(As a convention, we put  $X_0 = X_{0-}$ .) Then  $S$  is a terminal time. In fact, if  $t < S$ , there exists a nonnegative integer  $k$  such that  $T_k \leq t < T_{k+1}$ . Note the relation  $T_n \circ \theta_t + t = T_{n+k}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S \circ \theta_t + t &= \inf_n \{T_n \circ \theta_t + t ; X_{T_n \circ \theta_t} \circ \theta_t = X_{T_n \circ \theta_t-} \circ \theta_t\} \\ &= \inf_n \{T_{n+k} ; X_{T_{n+k}} = X_{T_{n+k}-}\} \\ &= S. \end{aligned}$$

The exactness of  $S$  will be obvious.

Lemma 2.2. It holds  $S = T_F$  a.s. on  $S < \zeta$ .

Proof. Let us define the accessible part of  $S$  as

$$S^a = S \text{ if } X_S = X_{S-} \text{ and } S < \infty$$



$$= \infty \text{ if } X_S \neq X_{S_-}.$$

If holds  $S^a = S$  on  $S < T_\infty = T_F$ . Then for each  $P_x$ , there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times  $S_n^a$  with limit  $S^a$  such that  $S_n^a < S^a$  for all  $n$  on the set  $S^a > 0$  a.s.  $P_x$ . Set  $S_n = S_n^a \wedge S$ . Then  $\cup_n (S_n = S) \subset (S = T_F)$  a.s.. We shall show that  $S \circ \theta_{S_n} + S_n = S$  a.s. for each  $n$ . If  $S_n < S$ , the relation is clear since  $S$  is a perfect terminal time. If  $S_n = S$ , then  $S \circ \theta_{S_n} = 0$ . In fact

$$\begin{aligned} P_x(S \circ \theta_{S_n} > 0, S_n = S) &= E_x(P_{X_{S_n}}(S > 0) ; S_n = S) \\ &\leq E_x(P_{X_{T_F}}(S > 0) ; S = T_F). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $T \leq S \leq T_F$ , then the set of regular points of  $S$  coincides with  $F$ . Then it holds  $P_{X_{T_F}}(S > 0) = 0$  a.s.  $P_x$ , proving that the last member of the above is 0.

Define now  $v(x) = E_x(e^{-S})$ . It is a 1-excessive function. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} E_x(e^{-S}) &= E_x(e^{-(S \circ \theta_{S_n} + S_n)}) = E_x(e^{-S_n} v(X_{S_n})) \\ &= E_x(e^{-S} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(X_{S_n})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(X_{S_n}) = 1$  a.s. on  $S < \infty$ . Then we have  $X_S \in F$  a.s. on  $S < \zeta$ , since the regular points of  $S$  coincides with  $F$ . We thus have  $S \geq T_F$  on  $S < \zeta$ .

Proof of Theorem. From the previous lemma, it holds  $X_{T_-} \neq X_{T^+}$  a.s. on  $0 < T < T_F$ . Set

$$A_t = \sum_{T_n \leq t < T_\infty} 1_{E-F}(X_{T_n}).$$

It is easy to see  $A_t + A_s \circ \theta_t = A_{t+s}$  if  $t+s < T_\infty$ . It is quasi-left continuous since it jumps at the discontinuous time of  $X_t$ . Then by the representation theorem of Motoo and Watanabe [18], there exists a  $E \times E_\Delta$  measurable function such that  $A_t = P_t(f)$  on  $t < T_F$  a.s.. Since  $\Delta A_t = 0$  or  $1$ ,  $f$  takes values  $0$  and  $1$  a.s.  $\mu(dx) \nu(x, dy)$ . Set  $A = \{(x, y) ; f(x, y) = 1\}$ . Then  $A_t = P_t(1_A)$ . Let  $R$  be the first jump time of  $A_t$ . Then

$$R = \inf\{t > 0; (X_{t-}, X_t) \in A\}.$$

Since  $R = T$  on  $T < T_F$ , we obtain the representation (2.1). The set  $A$  is included in  $(\overline{E-F}) \times (E-F)$  because  $X_t \in E-F$  for  $t < T_F$ . The proof is complete.

### §3. Representation of regular MF.

A  $F_t$ -adapted process  $\alpha_t$  is called a multiplicative functional (MF) if for each  $t, s \geq 0$ , it holds  $\alpha_t \alpha_s \circ \theta_t = \alpha_{t+s}$  a.s.. In this paper, we assume that MF  $\alpha_t$  is nonnegative, right continuous and  $E_x(\alpha_t) \leq 1$  for each  $x$  and  $t$ . Thus  $\alpha_t$  is a supermartingale.

A MF  $\alpha_t$  is called perfect if exceptional sets  $N_{t,s}$  that  $\alpha_t \alpha_s \circ \theta_t = \alpha_{t+s}$  are not valid satisfy  $P_x(\cup_{t,s} N_{t,s}) = 0$  for all  $x$ . MF is not perfect in general, but we may modify it to a perfect one by Walsh [16] and Meyer [13]. Given a MF  $\alpha_t$ , we define a new functional  $\hat{\alpha}_t$  by

$$\hat{\alpha}_t = \text{ess } \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{t-s} \circ \theta_s \quad \text{if } t > 0$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_0 = \text{ess } \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow 0} \hat{\alpha}_s,$$

where  $\overline{\text{ess lim}}$  is taken with respect to the Lebesgue measure of the time interval. Then  $\hat{\alpha}_t$  is perfect MF. It holds  $\alpha_t = \hat{\alpha}_t$  if  $\alpha_0 > 0$ .

A MF  $\alpha_t$  is called exact if for each  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ ,  $\alpha_{t-\varepsilon_n} \circ \theta_{\varepsilon_n}$  converges to  $\alpha_t$  a.s.  $P_x$ . If  $\alpha_t$  is exact,  $\alpha_t = \hat{\alpha}_t$  a.s. so that  $\alpha_t$  is perfect.

Now, let  $\alpha_t$  be a MF. Set

$$T_\alpha = \inf\{t > 0 ; \alpha_t = 0\}$$

$$= \infty \quad \text{if } \{ \} = \phi.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $T_\alpha$  is a terminal time. If  $\alpha_t$  is perfect,  $T_\alpha$  is perfect. If  $\alpha_t$  is exact,  $T_\alpha$  is exact.

A MF  $\alpha_t$  is called regular if for each  $x$  there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times  $T_n$  such that  $\lim T_n \geq T_\alpha$  and that  $\alpha_{t \wedge T_n}$  is a martingale with respect to  $P_x$ . The following factorization of MF is due to Itô-Watanabe [7].

Factorization theorem of MF. Let  $\alpha_t$  be a perfect MF. Then there exists a regular perfect MF  $\alpha_t^{(0)}$  and a decreasing perfect MF  $\alpha_t^{(1)}$  which has no common jumps with  $X_t$  on  $[0, T_\alpha)$ , such that  $\alpha_t = \alpha_t^{(0)} \alpha_t^{(1)}$  and that  $T_\alpha = T_\alpha^{(0)} = T_\alpha^{(1)}$ . The factorization is unique.

In order to state our theorem, we need a slight modification of the definition of a local martingale. Let  $T$  be an accessible stopping time. A right continuous  $F_t$ -adapted process  $M_t$  defined for  $t \in [0, T)$  is called a local martingale, if for each  $x$ , there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times  $T_n$  such that  $T_n < T$  on  $T > 0$  a.s. for all  $n$  and  $\underbrace{T_n}_{\text{with limit } T}$

that  $M_{t \wedge T_n}$  is a  $P_x$ -martingale for each  $n$ . For notations and basic properties of stochastic integral by local martingales, refer to Kunita-Watanabe [10].

Theorem 3.1. Let  $\alpha_t$  be a regular perfect MF. Let  $T_\alpha^a$  be the accessible part of  $T_\alpha$  ( $T_\alpha^a = 0$  if  $T_\alpha = 0$ ). Then there exists a unique continuous local martingale  $M_t^C$ ,  $t \in [0, T_\alpha^a)$  such that  $M_t^C + M_{S \circ \theta_t}^C = M_{t+s}^C$  for  $t+s < T_\alpha$  a.s., and a unique nonnegative  $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}_\Delta$ -measurable function  $g(x, y)$  with  $g(x, x) = 0$  on  $E$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^t n_\circ \left( \frac{|g-1|^2}{1+|g-1|} \right) (X_s) d\mathcal{F}_s < \infty \quad \text{for } t < T_\alpha \text{ a.s.,}$$

and  $\alpha_t$  is represented as

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha_t = \exp \left[ M_t^C - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^C \rangle_t + Q_t(g-1) \cdot \prod_{\substack{s \leq t \\ X_{s-} \neq X_s}} g(X_{s-}, X_s) e^{-(g(X_{s-}, X_s)-1)} \right] \quad \text{if } t < T_\alpha^a \\ = 0 \quad \text{if } t \geq T_\alpha^a.$$

Proof. In case where  $\alpha_t$  is strictly positive, the proof is found in Kunita-Watanabe [10] and Deléans [3]. Also, a similar representation of a nonnegative supermartingale is in Kunita [8]. We give here a quick proof, leaving some details to the above references.

Given a positive number  $\varepsilon$ , let us define

$$T^\varepsilon = \inf \{ t < T_\alpha ; \left| \frac{\Delta \alpha_t}{\alpha_{t-}} \right| > \varepsilon \} \\ = T_\alpha \quad \text{if } \{ \} = \phi,$$

where  $\Delta \alpha_t = \alpha_t - \alpha_{t-}$ . It is a perfect terminal time. Since  $\alpha_t$  is regular,  $T^\varepsilon$  is totally inaccessible. Define iterates of  $T^\varepsilon$  by  $T_1^\varepsilon = T^\varepsilon$ ,  $T_n^\varepsilon = T_{n-1}^\varepsilon + T_{T_{n-1}^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon$ . Then  $T_n^\varepsilon$  are totally inaccessible. Set

$$A_t^\varepsilon = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta \alpha_{T_n^\varepsilon}}{\alpha_{T_n^\varepsilon -}} 1_{T_n^\varepsilon \leq t}.$$

It is a quasi-left continuous AF, which is then written as  $A_t^\varepsilon = P_t(f^\varepsilon)$ .

Now the local martingale AF  $Q_t(f^\varepsilon)$  (defined by (1.1)) is the compensated sum of  $\Delta \alpha_s / \alpha_{s-}$  such that  $|\Delta \alpha_s / \alpha_{s-}| > \varepsilon$  for  $t < T_\alpha$ . It turns out that

$$\left( \sum_{s \leq t} \left| \frac{\Delta \alpha_s}{\alpha_{s-}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad t \in [0, T_\alpha^a)$$

is locally integrable. Then it implies that  $Q_t(f^\varepsilon)$  converges in  $P_x$ -probability to a local martingale as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . (See [8]). Then we can find a  $E \times E_\Delta$ -measurable function  $f(x, y)$  with  $f(x, x) = 0$  on  $E$  such that  $Q_t(f) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} Q_t(f^\varepsilon)$  in  $P_x$ -probability. It holds  $f-1 \geq 0$  a.e.  $\mu(dx) \nu(x, dy)$ , since

$$\Delta Q_s(f) = \frac{\Delta \alpha_s}{\alpha_{s-}} \geq -1.$$

Set now  $f_1 = f 1_{|f| \leq 1}$  and  $f_2 = f 1_{|f| > 1}$ . Then

$$\int_0^t n \circ f_1^2(X_s) d\varphi_s < \infty, \quad \int_0^t n \circ |f_2| (X_s) d\varphi_s < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

(See [10]). The above is equivalent to

$$\int_0^t n \circ \left( \frac{|f|^2}{1+|f|} \right) (X_s) d\varphi_s < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Setting  $g = f+1$ , we get the condition (3.1)

We shall next define the continuous local martingale  $M_t^C$ . The stochastic integral

$$N_t = \int_0^t \alpha_{s-} dQ_s(f), \quad t \in [0, T_\alpha^a)$$

is well defined as a local martingale. It holds  $\Delta N_s = \Delta \alpha_s$ . Then  $\alpha_t^c \equiv \alpha_t - N_t$ ,  $t \in [0, T_\alpha^a)$  is a continuous local martingale. Define now

$$M_t^c = \int_0^t \alpha_s^{-1} d\alpha_s^c, \quad t \in [0, T_\alpha^a).$$

It is a continuous local martingale.

Set now  $M_t = M_t^c + Q_t(f)$ . Then it holds

$$\alpha_t - 1 = \int_0^t \alpha_s^- dM_s, \quad t \in [0, T_\alpha^a).$$

This functional equation has a unique solution. The solution is in fact represented as (3.2) by Doléans [3].

It remains to prove the additivity of  $M_t$ . Let  $s, t \geq 0$  and let

$$\delta = \{0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = s < s_{n+1} < \dots < s_{n+m} = s+t\}$$

be a partition of  $[0, s+t]$  such that  $s_k - s_{k-1} = \varepsilon$ . Set

$$M_u^\varepsilon = \sum_{s_n \leq u} \alpha_{s_n}^{-1} 1_{T_\alpha > s_n} (\alpha_{s_n} - \alpha_{s_{n-1}}).$$

Then  $M_u^\varepsilon$  converges to  $M_t$  in probability as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, since

$$M_u^\varepsilon = \sum_{s_n \leq u} \alpha_{\varepsilon \circ \theta_{s_n}}^{-1} 1_{T_\alpha > s_n},$$

it holds  $M_s^\varepsilon + M_t^\varepsilon \circ \theta_s = M_{s+t}^\varepsilon$  for  $s, t \in \delta$  such that  $s, t < T_\alpha$ .

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , one has the additivity of  $M_t$ . The proof is complete.

Remark 1. Assume hypothesis (K). If  $\alpha_t$  is exact, then  $T_\alpha$  has the representation of Theorem 2.1. The set  $A$  then coincides with  $\{(x, y) ; g(x, y) = 0\}$ . In fact, the totally inaccessible part  $T_\alpha^i$

coincides on the set  $T_\alpha^i < T_\alpha$  with the first time that

$$\prod_{s \leq t} g(X_{s-}, X_s) e^{-(g(X_{s-}, X_s) - 1)}$$

becomes 0. The above infinite product is convergent, since  $\sum_{s \leq t} (1 - g(X_{s-}, X_s))^2$  is finite. Hence  $T_\alpha^i$  coincides with the first time that  $g(X_{t-}, X_t) = 0$  on the set  $T_\alpha^i < T_\alpha$ .

Remark 2. Assume hypothesis (K). Then any potential is regular. Hence natural increasing AF's are continuous. (See Meyer [11]). Let  $\alpha_t = \alpha_t^{(0)} \alpha_t^{(1)}$  be the factorization of Itô-Watanabe. Then  $\log \alpha_t^{(1)}$ ,  $t \in [0, T_\alpha)$  is a natural increasing AF (up to  $t < T_\alpha$ ), so that it is continuous. We can assume  $\log \alpha_t^{(1)} \ll \varphi_t$  for  $t < T_\alpha$ . Then one has the representation

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_t^{(1)} = \exp - \int_0^t c(X_s) d\varphi_s,$$

where  $c(x)$  is a nonnegative function, unique up to the null set of the canonical measure  $\mu$  of  $\varphi_t$ .

The following example will be used in section 6.

Example. Assume hypothesis (K). Let  $T$  be an exact terminal time and let  $F$  and  $A$  be sets of Theorem 2.1. Let  $\alpha_t = 1_{T > t}$ . It is an exact MF. The factorization of Itô-Watanabe is

$$\alpha_t^{(0)} = \exp \int_0^t n \circ 1_A(X_s) d\varphi_s \quad 1_{T_\alpha > t},$$

$$\alpha_t^{(1)} = \exp - \int_0^t n \circ 1_A(X_s) d\varphi_s \quad 1_{T_\alpha > t}.$$

The representation (3.2) corresponds to

$$\alpha_t^{(0)} = \exp Q_t(1_A) \cdot 1_{A^c}(X_{T-}, X_T) e^{-1_A(X_{T-}, X_T)}, \quad t < T_\alpha^a.$$

§4. Transformation by MF and the Lévy system.

Let  $\alpha_t$  be a perfect MF such that  $\alpha_t = 0$  for  $t \geq \zeta$  a.s.. Set

$$Q_t(x, A) = \int_{X_t \in A} \alpha_t dP_x \quad \text{if } A \subset E$$

$$Q_t(x, \Delta) = 1 - Q_t(x, E).$$

It is well known that  $Q_t$  is a transition probability. We shall define a family of probability measures  $Q_x$ ,  $x \in E_\Delta$  on  $F_t^0$  as

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_x(X_{t_1} \in A_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n} \in A_{t_n}) \\ &= \int \dots \int_{A_1 \times \dots \times A_n} Q_{t_1}(x, dx_1) Q_{t_2-t_1}(x_1, dx_2) \dots Q_{t_n-t_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}, dx_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $Q_x$  is a standard Markov process. It satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad Q_x(B \cap \zeta > T) = E_x(\alpha_T; B), \quad B \in F_T$$

for any stopping time  $T$  (see [9]).

The purpose of this section is to prove

Theorem 4.1. Assume hypothesis (K). Let  $\alpha_t = \alpha_t^{(0)} \alpha_t^{(1)}$  be the decomposition of Itô-Watanabe, and let (3.2) and (3.3) be the representations of  $\alpha_t^{(0)}$  and  $\alpha_t^{(1)}$ . Set

$$(4.2) \quad n^Q(x, dy) = n(x, dy)g(x, y) \quad \text{on } E \times E$$

$$n^Q(x, \Delta) = c(x).$$



Then  $(n^{\circ}, \varphi_t)$  is a Lévy system of the process  $Q_x$ .

We shall consider the case where  $\alpha_t$  is regular and is decreasing separately, and next combine two cases. We assume  $T_\alpha > 0$  a.s.. Detailed discussion for the case  $T_\alpha \geq 0$  is omitted.

Lemma 4.2. The assertion of the theorem is valid if  $\alpha_t$  is regular.

Proof. We shall first observe that the lifetime of  $Q_x$  is accessible.

Let  $T_n$  be an increasing sequence of stopping times with limit  $\geq T_\alpha$  such that  $\alpha_{t \wedge T_n}$  is a  $P_x$ -martingale. Then

$$Q_x(\zeta > T_n \wedge t) = E_x(\alpha_{T_n \wedge t}) = 1,$$

$$Q_x(\zeta > T_\alpha) = E_x(\alpha_{T_\alpha}) = 0,$$

proving that  $\zeta$  is accessible.

Now, set  $M_t = M_t^C + Q_t(g-1)$  as before. Then it holds  $\alpha_t - 1 = \int_0^t \alpha_{s-} dM_s$ . Let  $Z_t$  be a  $P_x$ -local martingale and let  $Y_t = Z_t - \langle Z, M \rangle_t$ . We shall prove that  $Y_t$ ,  $t \in [0, \zeta)$  is a  $Q_x$ -local martingale. By Itô's formula ([10]).

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t Y_t &= (1 + \int_0^t \alpha_{s-} dM_s)(Z_t - \langle Z, M \rangle_t) \\ &= Z_t - \langle Z, M \rangle_t + \int_0^t \alpha_{s-} (Z_{s-} - \langle Z, M \rangle_{s-}) dM_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left( \int_0^{s-} \alpha_{u-} dM_u \right) dZ_s - \int_0^t \left( \int_0^{s-} \alpha_{u-} dM_u \right) d\langle Z, M \rangle_s + \int_0^t \alpha_{s-} d\langle Z, M \rangle_s. \end{aligned}$$

The 1st, 3rd and 4-th terms are local martingales. The sum of the remaining terms is 0. Hence  $\alpha_t Y_t$  is a local martingale.

Now, let  $\{T_n\}$  be an increasing sequence of stopping times such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n \geq T_\alpha$  and that  $\alpha_{t \wedge T_n}$  and  $\alpha_{t \wedge T_n} Y_{t \wedge T_n}$  are  $P_x$ -martingale for each  $n$ .

Then  $T_n < \zeta$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n \geq \zeta$  a.s.  $Q_x$  as before. It holds

$$E_x(\alpha_{t \wedge T_n} Y_{t \wedge T_n} ; B) = E_x(\alpha_{s \wedge T_n} Y_{s \wedge T_n} ; B)$$

for any  $B \in \mathcal{F}_s$ . Denote the expectation by  $Q_x$  as  $E_x^Q$ . Then the above is equivalent to

$$E_x^Q(Y_{t \wedge T_n} ; B) = E_x^Q(Y_{s \wedge T_n} ; B)$$

by (4.1). Therefore  $Y_t$ ,  $t \in [0, \zeta]$  is a  $Q_x$ -local martingale.

Now, let  $f$  be a bounded  $E \times E$ -measurable function such that  $f(x, x) = 0$  and  $\int_0^t n_0 |f|(X_s) d\varphi_s$  is integrable. Set  $Z_t = Q_t(f)$ . Then

$$\langle Q(f), M \rangle_t = \langle Q(f), Q(g-1) \rangle_t = \int_0^t n_0 (f(g-1))(X_s) d\varphi_s.$$

Therefore,

$$Q_t(f) - \langle Q(f), M \rangle_t = P_t(f) - \int_0^t n_0 (fg)(X_s) d\varphi_s, \quad t \in [0, \zeta],$$

is a  $Q_x$ -local martingale. Recall the definition of the Lévy system ; we obtain the assertion.

Lemma 4.3. The assertion of the theorem is valid if  $\zeta$  is accessible  $(P_x)$  and  $\alpha_t$  is decreasing.

Proof. Suppose that (3.3) is the representation of  $\alpha_t = \alpha_t^{(1)}$ . Set

$$\beta_t = \exp \int_0^t c(X_s) d\varphi_s.$$

Then  $\beta_t 1_{\zeta > t} dQ_x = 1_{\zeta > t} dP_x$  on  $F_t$ . Therefore,  $\beta_t 1_{\zeta > t}$ ,  $t \in [0, \zeta]$  is a  $Q_x$ -local martingale. We shall prove that

$$(4.3) \quad P_t(1_\Delta) - \int_0^t c(X_s) d\varphi_s = 1_{\zeta \leq t} - \int_0^t c(X_s) d\varphi_s$$

is a  $Q_x$ -local martingale, where  $1_\Delta(x, y)$  is 0 or 1 according as  $y \neq \Delta$  or  $y = \Delta$ . It holds

$$\int_0^t \beta_{s-} d1_{\zeta>s} = 1_{\zeta>t} \beta_t - \int_0^t 1_{\zeta>s} d\beta_s = 1_{\zeta>t} \beta_t - \beta_t$$

and

$$- \int_0^t \beta_{s-} c(X_s) d\varphi_s = \beta_t - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^t \beta_{s-} dP_s(1_\Delta) - \int_0^t \beta_{s-} c(X_s) d\varphi_s = 1_{\zeta>t} \beta_t - 1 = Q_x\text{-local martingale.}$$

This implies that (4.3) is a local martingale. We then see that  $n^{(0)}(x, \Delta) = c(x)$ .

We shall next show that  $Q_t(f)$ ,  $t \in [0, \zeta)$  is a  $Q_x$ -local martingale.

Set  $Z_t = \beta_t 1_{\zeta>t}$ . Then  $Z_t Q_t(f)$  is a  $Q_x$ -local martingale, because

$$E_x^Q[Z_T Q_T(f) ; B] = E_x^Q[Q_T(f) ; B \cap \zeta > t],$$

for any stopping time  $T$  such that both are well defined. Since  $Z_t$  and  $Q_t(f)$  are processes with bounded variation, it holds

$$Z_t Q_t(f) = \int_0^t Z_s dQ_s(f) + \int_0^t Q_{s-}(f) dZ_s.$$

Denote the first term of the right hand as  $Y_t$ . Then  $Y_t$  is a  $Q_x$ -local martingale. Since  $Z_t$  and  $Q_t(f)$  have no common jumps, we can write as  $Y_t = \int_0^t Z_{s-} dQ_s(f)$ . Now, consider the stochastic integral  $\int_0^t Z_{s-}^{-1} dY_s$ . Obviously, it equals  $Q_t(f)$ , proving that  $Q_t(f)$  is a  $Q_x$ -local martingale. The proof is complete.

**Proof of Theorem.** Let  $Q_x^{(0)}$  be the standard process such that

$1_{\zeta > t} dQ_x^{(0)} = \alpha_t^{(0)} dP_x$  on  $F_t$ . Then  $(n(x, dy)g(x, y)1_{E \times E}(x, y), \mathcal{P}_t)$  is a Lévy system of  $Q_x^{(0)}$  by Lemma 4.2. Note the relation  $1_{\zeta > t} dQ_x = \alpha_t^{(1)} dQ_x^{(0)}$ . Then Lemma 4.3 proves the theorem.

§5. Lebesgue decomposition and Radon-Nikodym density of Markov processes.

Let us consider two Hunt processes  $P_x, Q_x$  defined on the same state space  $E$  and the same sample space  $(\Omega, F^0, F_{t+}^0, X_t, \theta_t)$ . Recall that  $F_t^0 = \sigma(X_s, s \leq t)$  and  $F_{t+}^0 = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} F_{t+\varepsilon}^0$ . The purpose of this section is to prove

Theorem 5.1. There exists a terminal time  $T$  (unique up to the measures  $P_x + Q_x$  for all  $x$ ) and a right continuous MF  $\alpha_t$  relative to  $P_x$  such that

$$(i) \quad P_x(T = \infty) = 1 \quad \forall x$$

$$(ii) \quad Q_x(\cdot \cap T \leq t) \text{ is singular to } P_x \text{ on } F_{t+}^0$$

$$(iii) \quad Q_x(\cdot \cap T > t) \text{ is absolutely continuous with respect to } P_x \text{ and}$$

$$(5.1) \quad Q_x(B \cap T > t) = \int_B \alpha_t dP_x \quad \forall B \in F_{t+}^0.$$

(iv) The Lebesgue decomposition is written as

$$(5.2) \quad P_x(B) = \int_B \alpha_t dP_x + Q_x(B \cap T \leq t).$$

The proof is divided to 4 lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. There exists a stopping time  $T$  and a right continuous  $F_{t+}^0$ -adapted process  $\alpha_t$  which satisfy (i) ~ (iv) of Theorem 5.1.

Proof. Since  $Q_x \ll \frac{1}{2}(P_x + Q_x)$  on  $F_t^0$  for each  $x$ , there exists a density function  $h_t^{(x)}(\omega)$  such that

$$Q_x(B) = \frac{1}{2} \int_B h_t^{(x)} d(P_x + Q_x).$$

For each  $t \geq 0$ , we can define  $h_t^{(x)}(\omega)$  as a  $E_{\Delta} \times F_t^0$ -measurable function by a standard argument. Set  $h_t(\omega) = h_t^{(x_0(\omega))}(\omega)$ . It is a  $\frac{1}{2}(P_x + Q_x)$ -martingale such that  $0 \leq h_t \leq 2$  a.s.. Denote the right continuous modification as  $\bar{h}_t$ . Then  $(\bar{h}_t, F_{t+}^0)$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}(P_x + Q_x)$ -martingale for each  $x$ . Let

$$T = \inf\{t > 0 ; 2 - \bar{h}_t = 0\}.$$

Then  $T$  is a  $F_{t+}^0$ -stopping time. It holds  $2 - \bar{h}_t = 0$  for  $t \geq T$  a.s.  $\frac{1}{2}(P_x + Q_x)$ , since  $2 - \bar{h}_t$  is a nonnegative martingale.

Remark now the relation  $\bar{h}_t dP_x = (2 - \bar{h}_t) dQ_x$ . Then

$$P_x(T \geq t) = \int_{T \geq t} \bar{h}_t dP_x = \int_{T \geq t} (2 - \bar{h}_t) dQ_x = 0.$$

On the other hand, it holds  $\frac{1}{2 - \bar{h}_t}(2 - \bar{h}_t) = 1$  on  $T > t$ . Hence for  $B \in F_{t+}^0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_x(B \cap T > t) &= \int_{B \cap T > t} \frac{1}{2 - \bar{h}_t} (2 - \bar{h}_t) dQ_x \\ &= \int_{B \cap T > t} \frac{1}{2 - \bar{h}_t} \bar{h}_t dP_x. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t &= \frac{\bar{h}_t}{2 - \bar{h}_t} && \text{if } t < T \\ &= 0 && \text{if } t \geq T. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$Q_x(B \cap T > t) = \int_B \alpha_t dP_x.$$

We have thus the Lebesgue decomposition (5.2). The proof is complete.

Two  $\sigma$ -fields  $F_t^0$  and  $F_{t+}^0$  are different in general. Hence it may occur that  $h_t \neq \bar{h}_t$  with positive probability. We denote as  $N_t = \{h_t = 2\}$  and  $f_t = \frac{h_t}{2-h_t}$  on  $N_t^c$ . Then the Lebesgue decomposition on  $F_t^0$  is written as

$$P_x(B) = \int_B f_t dP_x + Q_x(B \cap N_t) \quad B \in F_t^0.$$

$f_t$  is a  $(F_t^0, P_x)$ -supermartingale (See Neveu [14]). It holds  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{t+\epsilon} = \alpha_t$  a.s.  $P_x$ .

Lemma 5.3. The process  $f_t$  is a MF.

Proof. We follow the discussion of Dynkin [4]. Let  $Q_t(x, dy)$  and  $P_t(x, dy)$  be transition probabilities of  $Q_x$  and  $P_x$ , respectively. Consider the Lebesgue decomposition

$$Q_t(x, dy) = q_t(x, y)P_t(x, dy) + Q_t(x, dy)1_{N_t(x)}(y).$$

We can assume that  $1_{N_t(x)}(y)$  is  $E_\Delta \times E_\Delta$ -measurable.

Let  $\delta ; 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$  be a partition. Let  $B_t^\delta = \sigma(X_{t_0}, X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$ . Define

$$N_t^\delta = \{\omega ; X_{t_i}(\omega) \in N_{t_i - t_{i-1}}^{(X_{t_{i-1}}(\omega))} \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

and

$$f_t^\delta = \prod_{i=1}^n q_{t_i - t_{i-1}}(X_{t_{i-1}}, X_{t_i}).$$

Then the Lebesgue decomposition on the  $\sigma$ -field  $B_t^\delta$  is written as

$$Q_x(B) = \int_B f_t^\delta dP_x + Q_x(B \cap N_t^\delta), \quad B \in B_t^\delta.$$

Consider now a sequence of partitions  $\delta_1 \prec \delta_2 \prec \dots \prec \delta_n \prec \dots (\delta_{n-1}$  is

a subpartition of  $\delta_n$ ), such that  $|\delta_n| (= \max_i |t_i^{(n)} - t_{i-1}^{(n)}|)$  tends to 0. Then  $(f_t^{\delta_n}, \mathcal{B}_t^{\delta_n}, P_x)_{n=1, \dots}$  is a supermartingale for each  $t$ . It converges to  $f_t$  a.s.  $P_x$ . (Neveu [14, Proposition III-2-7]).

Now let  $\delta' ; 0 = s_0 < \dots < s_m = s$  be a partition of  $[0, s]$  and let  $\delta \cup \delta' ; 0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = t < t_{n+s_1} < \dots < t_{n+s_m}$  be a partition of  $[0, t+s]$ . Then it holds

$$f_{t+s}^{\delta \cup \delta'} = f_t^{\delta} f_s^{\delta'} \circ \theta_t$$

Letting  $|\delta| \rightarrow 0$  and  $|\delta'| \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $f_{t+s} = f_t \cdot f_s \circ \theta_t$  a.s.  $P_x$ .

The proof is complete.

The set  $\{T > 0\}$  belongs to the germ field  $F_{0+}^0$ . It holds  $Q_x(T > 0) = 1$  or 0 by the 0-1 law. Also,  $\alpha_0 = f_{0+}$  is  $F_{0+}^0$ -measurable, so that  $\alpha_0 = \text{const}$  a.s.  $P_x$  by the 0-1 law. Since  $E_x(\alpha_0) = Q_x(T > 0)$ , we see that

$$P_x(\alpha_0 = 1) = 1 \Leftrightarrow Q_x(T > 0) = 1$$

$$P_x(\alpha_0 = 0) = 1 \Leftrightarrow Q_x(T = 0) = 1.$$

Define

$$\hat{E} = \{x ; Q_x(T > 0) = 1\}.$$

Then  $\hat{E}$  is  $E$ -measurable. It holds  $\alpha_0 = 1_{\hat{E}}(X_0)$  a.s..

Lemma 5.4. The process  $\alpha_t$  is a MF. It holds

$$(5.3) \quad \alpha_t = f_t 1_{\hat{E}}(X_t) = \alpha_t 1_{\hat{E}}(X_t) \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in the equality  $f_{t+\varepsilon} = f_t f_{\varepsilon} \circ \theta_t$ , we have

$\alpha_t = f_t \alpha_0 \circ \theta_t$ . Let  $B \in F_{t+}^0$ . Then

$$E_X(\alpha_0 \circ \theta_t ; B) = E_X(E_{X_t}(\alpha_0) ; B) = E_X(1_{\hat{E}}(X_t) ; B).$$

This implies  $\alpha_0 \circ \theta_t = 1_{\hat{E}}(X_t)$  a.s.  $P_X$ , proving (5.3).

We shall next prove that  $\alpha_t$  is a MF. Let  $t, s \geq 0$ . If  $s = 0$ ,  $\alpha_t \alpha_0 = \alpha_t$  is obvious since  $\alpha_0 = 1_{\hat{E}}(X_0)$ . If  $s > 0$  and  $\alpha_t = 0$ , then  $\alpha_{t+s} = \alpha_t \alpha_s \circ \theta_t$  holds because both are 0. If  $s > 0$  and  $\alpha_t > 0$ , then  $\alpha_t = f_t$ . Therefore,

$$\alpha_{t+s} = f_t f_s \circ \theta_t 1_{\hat{E}}(X_{t+s}) = \alpha_t \alpha_s \circ \theta_t.$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 5.5.  $T$  is a terminal time.

Proof. Let  $\hat{Q}_X$  be the standard process defined by the relation  $\hat{Q}_X(B \cap \zeta > t) = E_X(\alpha_t ; B)$ ,  $B \in F_{t+}^0$ , where  $\alpha_t$  is the MF of the Radon-Nikodym density. Then  $\hat{Q}_X \leq Q_X$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \zeta > t$ . The Radon-Nikodym density  $\beta_t = d\hat{Q}_X/dQ_X$  equals  $1_{T>t}$  obviously. The multiplicativity of  $\beta_t$  implies  $1_{T>t+s} = 1_{T>t} 1_{T \circ \theta_t > s}$ . This proves  $T \circ \theta_t + t = T$  if  $t < T$ , i.e.,  $T$  is a terminal time.

Theorem 5.1 follows immediately from Lemmas 5.2 ~ 5.5.

A simple consequence of theorem is

Proposition 5.6. It holds

$$x \in \hat{E} \Leftrightarrow P_X = Q_X \text{ on } F_{0+}^0$$



$$x \notin \hat{E} \Leftrightarrow P_x \perp Q_x \text{ (singular) on } F_{0+}^0.$$

Proof. If  $x \in \hat{E}$  then  $P_x \gg Q_x$  on  $F_{0+}^0$  and  $dQ_x = \alpha_0 dP_x$ . Since  $\alpha_0 = 1$  a.s.  $P_x$ , we have  $Q_x = P_x$  on  $F_{0+}^0$ . The converse is obvious. If  $x \notin \hat{E}$ , then there is no absolute continuous part. Hence  $P_x \perp Q_x$  on  $F_{0+}^0$ . Then converse is also clear.

#### §6. Absolute continuity of Markov process.

The preceding theorem shows that  $Q_x \ll P_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{T > t\}$ . We shall study the terminal time  $T$  in details and find a criterion that  $T \geq \zeta$  a.s.  $Q_x$ . We assume for simplicity that  $T > 0$  a.s.  $Q_x$  for  $x \in E$  except for the last remark in this section. Hence  $T$  is an exact terminal time and hence it has the representation.

$$(6.1) \quad T = \inf\{t > 0 ; (X_{t-}, X_t) \in A\} \text{ a.s. } Q_x \text{ on } T < \zeta.$$

Observe that the Radon-Nikodym density  $\alpha_t$  is an exact and is a perfect MF.

We shall denote by  $\mu_n^P (= \int_{E \times E} \mu(dx) n^P(x, dy))$  and  $\mu_n^Q (= \int_{E \times E} \mu(dx) n^Q(x, dy))$  canonical measures of the processes  $P_x$  and  $Q_x$ , respectively. Note that  $\mu_n^P$  and  $\mu_n^Q$  are measures restricted to  $E \times E$ .

Theorem 6.1. Assume hypothesis (K) for  $P_x$  and  $Q_x$ . Then  $1_A \mu_n^Q$  and  $\mu_n^P$  are mutually singular and  $1_{A^c} \mu_n^Q$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_n^P$ .

Remark. Let  $\hat{Q}_x$  be the standard process defined by

$$\hat{Q}_x(B \cap \zeta > t) = \int_{B \cap \zeta > t} \alpha_t dP_x = Q_x(B \cap T \wedge \zeta > t).$$

Then  $(g_n^P, \mathcal{F}_t^P)$  and  $(1_{A^c} n^Q, \mathcal{F}_t^Q)$  are Lévy systems of  $\hat{Q}_x$  (restricted to  $E$ ) by Theorem 4.1 and Example in §4. We can choose canonical measures of  $P_x$  and  $Q_x$  such that  $g_n^{P,P} = 1_{A^c} \mu^{Q,Q}$ . Then the assertion of the theorem is equivalent to that

$$\mu^{Q,Q} = g_n^{P,P} + 1_A \mu^{Q,Q},$$

is the Lebesgue decomposition of canonical measures.

The theorem states that the terminal time  $T$  is just the hitting time for the support of the singular part of  $\mu^{Q,Q}$  with respect to  $\mu^{P,P}$ . Hence  $\mu^{Q,Q} \ll \mu^{P,P}$  if and only if  $T$  is greater than  $\zeta$  a.s.  $Q_x$ . This implies our main result.

Theorem 6.2. Assume hypothesis (K) for  $P_x$  and  $Q_x$ . Then  $P_x = Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0$  for each  $x$  implies  $Q_x \ll P_x$  on  $F_{\bullet+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$  for each  $t$  and  $x$  if and only if  $\mu^{Q,Q} \ll \mu^{P,P}$  on  $E \times E$ .

Remark 1. An immediate consequence of the theorem is  $P_x = Q_x$  on  $F_{0+}^0$  for each  $x$  implies  $Q_x \cong P_x$  (equivalent) on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$  if and only if  $\mu^{Q,Q} \cong \mu^{P,P}$  on  $E \times E$ . In particular, if  $P_x$  and  $Q_x$  are diffusions (up to  $\zeta$ ), then  $P_x = Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0$  implies  $P_x \cong Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$ , since  $\mu^{Q,Q} = \mu^{P,P} = 0$ . This fact was proved by Dawson [2] under a stronger condition.

Remark 2. Of course, it can occur that  $Q_x \ll P_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$  but  $P_x \ll Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$  fails. Such examples are given in §7. What we can show is that  $P_x \ll Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{S > t\}$ , where

$$S = \inf\{t > 0 ; (X_{t-}, X_t) \in K\}, \quad K = \{(x, y) ; g(x, y) = 0\}.$$

In fact,  $1_K \mu^{P,P}$  is the singular part of  $\mu^{P,P}$  with respect to  $\mu^{Q,Q}$ .

The idea of the proof is as follows. Let  $\bar{g}(x, y)$  be the Radon-Nikodym density of the absolute continuous part of  $\mu^{P,P}$  with respect to  $\mu^{Q,Q}$ : here  $\mu^{P,P}$  and  $\mu^{Q,Q}$  are chosen so that  $g \mu^{P,P} = 1_A \mu^{Q,Q}$ . Then it holds  $\bar{g} \geq g$  a.s.  $\mu^{P,P}$  and  $\bar{g} = g$  on  $A^c$ . Theorem 6.1 is equivalent to that  $\bar{g} = g$  a.s.  $\mu^{P,P}$ .

For the proof of this fact, let us define a prefact MF

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}_t = & \exp[M_t^c - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c \rangle_t + Q_t(g-1)] \prod_{\substack{s \leq t \\ X_s \neq X_{s-}}} \bar{g}(X_{s-}, X_s) e^{-(\bar{g}(X_{s-}, X_s)-1)} \\ & \cdot \exp - \int_0^t c(X_s) d\varphi_s^P, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_t^c$  is the continuous local martingale defined in the representation of  $\alpha_t^{(0)}$ . We can extend  $M_t^c$  so that it has the additive property for  $t < \zeta$ .

$c(x)$  is the function defined in the representation of  $\alpha_t^{(1)}$ . Then it holds

$\bar{\alpha}_t = \alpha_t$  for  $t < T_\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_t \geq \alpha_t$  a.s.. We have further.

Lemma 6.2.  $E_x(\bar{\alpha}_t) \leq 1$  for each  $t$  and  $x$ .

Proof.  $\bar{\alpha}_t$  has the factorization  $\bar{\alpha}_t = \bar{\alpha}_t^{(0)} \bar{\alpha}_t^{(1)}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}_t^{(0)} = & \exp[M_t^c - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^c \rangle_t + Q_t(\bar{g}-1)] \prod_{\substack{s \leq t \\ X_s \neq X_{s-}}} \bar{g}(X_{s-}, X_s) e^{-(\bar{g}(X_{s-}, X_s)-1)} \\ \bar{\alpha}_t^{(1)} = & \exp \int_0^t \{n^P \circ (\bar{g}-g)(X_s) - c(X_s)\} d\varphi_s^P. \end{aligned}$$

The first one is a regular MF. The killing rate  $n^{\hat{Q}}(x, \Delta)$  of the process

$\hat{Q}_x$  is  $c(x) = \int_E n^Q(x, dy) 1_A(x, y)$  by Theorem 4.1. Therefore  $n^P \circ (\bar{g}-g)(x) \leq c(x)$ ,

proving that  $\bar{\alpha}_t^{(1)}$  is decreasing. Then  $\bar{\alpha}_t^{(0)\bar{\alpha}_t^{(1)}}$  is a supermartingale for each  $P_x$ . The proof is complete.

Let us now define another standard process  $\bar{Q}_x$  as

$$\bar{Q}_x(B \cap \zeta > t) = \int_{B \cap \{\zeta > t\}} \bar{\alpha}_t dP_x \quad B \in F_{t+}^0.$$

Then  $\bar{Q}_x \geq \hat{Q}_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$  and  $\bar{Q}_x = \hat{Q}_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{T \wedge \zeta > t\}$ . The next lemma shows that  $\bar{Q}_x$  is again a subprocess of  $Q_x$ . But since  $\hat{Q}_x$  is the maximal subprocess among absolutely continuous ones, we get  $\hat{Q}_x = \bar{Q}_x$ . This proves  $g = \bar{g}$  a.s.  $\mu^{P,P}$  and then the theorem is established.

Lemma 6.3. It holds  $\bar{Q}_x \leq Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$ .

Proof. We may assume  $T \leq \zeta$  without loss of generality. (Consider  $T \wedge \zeta$  instead of  $T$ , if necessary). Define iterates of  $T$  as  $T_1 = T$ ,  $T_n = T_{n-1} + T \circ \theta_{T_{n-1}}$  and  $T_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$ . It holds  $T_1 < T_2 < \dots$  a.s., so that  $T_\infty$  is an accessible terminal time. The regular points of  $T_\infty$  is empty. Therefore  $T_\infty \geq \zeta$  a.s.  $Q_x$  by Theorem 2.1. Hence it suffices to prove  $\bar{Q}_x \leq Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{T_n > t\}$  for each  $n$ . We shall prove the case  $T_2$  only since the discussion for the general  $T_n$  is similar.

Let  $F_{T-}^0$  be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the sets  $B \cap \{T > t\}$ , where  $B \in F_{t+}^0$ . Let  $\phi(t, \omega)$  be a bounded positive left continuous  $F_{t+}^0$ -adapted process. Then  $\phi(T)$  is  $F_{T-}^0$ -measurable. Let  $\psi$  be a bounded positive  $F_{T-}^0$ -measurable function. We shall prove

$$(6.2) \quad E_x^{\bar{Q}}(\phi(T)\theta_{T \circ \psi}; T_2 < \zeta) \leq E_x^Q(\phi(T)\theta_{T \circ \psi}; T_2 < \zeta).$$

Set  $f(x) = E_x(\psi; T < \zeta)$ . Then the right hand of the above equals

$E_x^Q(\phi(T)f(X_T))$ . Note that the  $Q_x$ -expectation of

$$\int_0^T \phi(s) dQ_s(1_A f) = \phi(T)f(X_T) - \int_0^T \phi(s) n^{Q_0}(1_A f)(X_s) d\varphi_s^Q$$

is 0. Then the right hand of (6.2) equals

$$(6.3) \quad E_x^Q \left[ \int_0^T \phi(s) n^{Q_0}(1_A f)(X_s) d\varphi_s^Q \right].$$

Similarly, the left hand of (6.2) equals

$$(6.4) \quad E_x^{\bar{Q}} \left[ \int_0^T \phi(s) n^{\bar{Q}_0}(1_A f)(X_s) d\varphi_s^{\bar{Q}} \right],$$

since  $f(x) = E_x^{\bar{Q}}(\psi ; T < \zeta)$ . Furthermore, we can choose a Lévy system  $(n^{\bar{Q}}, \varphi^{\bar{Q}})$  such that  $n^{\bar{Q}}(x, dy) = n^P(x, dy) \bar{g}(x, y)$  and  $\varphi_t^{\bar{Q}} = \varphi_t^Q$  for  $t < T$ . Then  $n^{\bar{Q}} \leq n^Q$ . Therefore (6.3) is larger than (6.4), proving (6.2).

Now, the  $\sigma$ -field  $F_{T_2^-}^0$  is generated by elements of  $F_{T^-}^0$  and  $\theta_T F_{T^-}^0$ . The inequality (6.2) shows that  $\bar{Q}_x \leq Q_x$  on  $F_{T_2^-}^0 \cap \{T_2 < \zeta\}$  i.e.,  $\bar{Q}_x \leq Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{T_2 > t, \zeta > T\}$  for each  $x$ . While on the set  $\zeta = T$ , it holds  $\zeta = T_2 = T$ , so that  $\{T_2 > t, \zeta = T\} = \{\zeta = T > t\}$ . Hence  $\bar{Q}_x = Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{T_2 > t, \zeta = T\}$ . This proves  $\bar{Q}_x \leq Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{T_2 > t\}$ . The proof is complete.

Remark. In case where  $\hat{E} \neq E$ , the terminal time  $T$  may not be exact. Let  $\hat{T}$  be the exact modification of  $T$  defined at the beginning of §2.

$\hat{T}$  is represented as (2.1) with sets  $F$  and  $A$ . Since  $\hat{T} \geq T$ , the sets of regular points of  $\hat{T}$  is included in the sets of regular points of  $T$ , i.e., one has  $\hat{E} \subset E-F$ . The set  $(E-F) - \hat{E}$  is of  $Q_x$ -potential 0. One can show similarly that if  $\mu^P n^P \ll \mu^Q n^Q$  on  $(\bar{E}-F) \times (E-F)$ , then  $P_x \ll Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{T_{\hat{E}^c} > t\}$  for  $x \in \hat{E}$ .

## §7. Examples.

## 7.1. Additive processes.

Let  $P_x$  and  $Q_x$  be temporollary homogeneous additive processes. Let  $X_t = X_t^c + X_t^d$  be the decomposition of continuous additive process and the discontinuous one. We assume for simplicity that the laws of  $X_t^c$  relative to  $P_x$  and  $Q_x$  are the same. We denote Lévy measures of  $P_x$  and  $Q_x$  by  $\sigma^P$  and  $\sigma^Q$  respectively. Then

Proposition 7.1.  $P_x \gg Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0$  for all  $x \in R^1$  if and only if  $\sigma^P \gg \sigma^Q$  and the density function  $g(x)\sigma^P(dx) = \sigma^Q(dx)$  satisfies

$$(7.1) \quad \int \frac{|g(x)-1|^2}{1+|g(x)-1|} \sigma^P(dx) < \infty.$$

Further,  $P_x \approx Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0$  if and only if  $g(x) > 0$  a.s.  $\sigma^P$ .

Proof. A Lévy system of  $P_x$  is defined as

$$n^P(x, dy) = \sigma^P(dy-x), \quad \varphi_t^P = t.$$

A Lévy system of  $Q_x$  is defined similarly. If  $P_x \gg Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0$  for all  $x$ , then there exists a  $R \times R$ -measurable function  $g(x, y)$  such that  $n^Q(x, dy) = g(x, y)n^P(x, dy)$ . Then  $g(x, y)$  must be a function of  $x-y$  a.s.  $n^Q$ . We shall write  $g(x-y) = g(x, y)$ . This  $g$  satisfies (7.1) in view of (3.1).

Conversely, let  $g$  be a function satisfying (7.1). We define a MF  $\alpha_t$  by the right hand of (3.2) setting  $M_t^C = 0$ . Define the process  $\hat{Q}_x$  by  $\hat{Q}_x = \alpha_t P_x$ . Then  $\hat{Q}_x$  is an additive process with the Lévy measure  $\sigma^P(dx)g(x) = \sigma^Q$  (See Skorohod [16]). Hence  $\hat{Q}_x = Q_x$  holds, i.e.  $P_x \gg Q_x$ . The last assertion will be obvious.

It should be noted that  $\sigma^P \gg \sigma^Q$  does not imply  $P_x \gg Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0$ . Actually the mass  $\sigma^P(K)$  of the set  $K = \{x ; g(x) = 0\}$  has to be finite if  $P_x \gg Q_x$ . In fact, since

$$\frac{|g(x)-1|^2}{1+|g(x)-1|} \geq \frac{1}{2} 1_K(x).$$

The relation (7.1) implies  $\sigma^P(K) < \infty$ .

Conversely, if  $K$  is a set such that  $\sigma^P(K) < \infty$  and  $\sigma^Q(dx) = 1_{K^c}(x)\sigma^P(dx)$ , then  $P_x \gg Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0$ , because

$$\frac{\left| \frac{1}{K^c} - 1 \right|^2}{1 + \left| \frac{1}{K^c} - 1 \right|} = \frac{1}{2} 1_K$$

and the left hand side is integrable relative to  $\sigma^P$ .

Corollary. Let  $P_x^W$  be a Wiener process and let  $P_x$  be an additive process of the form "Wiener process + discontinuous additive process". Let  $\sigma$  be its Lévy measure. Then  $P_x \gg P_x^W$  on  $F_{t+}^0$ ,  $\forall t$  if and only if  $\sigma(\mathbb{R}^1) < \infty$ . The Radon-Nikodym density is  $\alpha_t = 1_{T>t}$ , where  $T$  is the first jumping time of the process  $Q_x$ ;  $T = \inf\{t > 0 : |X_t - X_{t-}| > 0\}$ .

## 7.2. One dimensional diffusion.

Let  $P_x$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$  be a one dimensional regular diffusion and let

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{A}u(\xi)m(d\xi) = dD_s u(\xi) - u(\xi)k(d\xi),$$

be its generator, where  $s(x)$  is the canonical scale and  $D_s u(\xi)$  is the derivative relative to  $s(x)$ .  $m$  is the speed measure and  $k$  is the killing measure. (Itô-McKean [6]).

Proposition 7.2.  $P_x \simeq P_x^W$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$  for all  $x$  if and only

if there exists a function  $f \in L^2_{loc}(R^1)$  such that  $dm = 2e^B d\xi$ ,  $ds = e^{-B} d\xi$ , where  $B(\xi) = \int^\xi f(y)dy$ . The Radon-Nikodym density  $\alpha_t^{P_x^W} = P_x$  is represented as

$$(7.3) \quad \alpha_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t f(X_s) dX_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |f(X_s)|^2 ds\right) \exp\left\{-\int_0^t \ell(t, x) k(dx)\right\},$$

where  $\ell(t, x)$  is the local time at the point  $x$ .

Proof. Consider the case where  $P_x$  has no killing, i.e.  $k \equiv 0$ . If  $P_x \simeq P_x^W$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$  for all  $x$ ,  $\alpha_t$  is a regular MF. Hence there exists a continuous local martingale AF  $M_t^C$  such that  $\alpha_t = \exp(M_t^C - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^C \rangle_t)$ . It is well known that  $M_t^C$  is represented as  $M_t^C = \int_0^t f(X_s) dX_s$  with  $\int_0^t |f(X_s)|^2 ds < \infty$  a.s.  $P_x^W$ . Also, it holds  $\int_0^t |f(X_s)|^2 ds < \infty$  a.s.  $(P_x^W)$ , if and only if  $f \in L^2_{loc}(R^1)$  (Orey [15]). Then the generator of  $P_x$  is

$$\mathcal{J}u(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} u(\xi) + f(\xi) \frac{d}{d\xi} u(\xi).$$

This proves the "only if" part. "If" part is well known.

It is easy to prove the case with killing. We omit the detail.

The process  $P_x^W$  is conservative. Hence  $P_x \gg P_x^W$  on  $F_{t+}^0$  ( $\forall x$ ) under the condition of the proposition. But  $P_x \ll P_x^W$  on  $F_{t+}$  is not true if  $P_x$  is not conservative. It holds  $P_x \simeq P_x^W$  on  $F_{t+}^0$  if and only if  $k \equiv 0$ ,  $f \in L^2_{loc}(R^1)$  and that  $P_x$  is conservative. (Orey [15]).

### 7.3. Markov chain.

Let  $P_x$  and  $Q_x$  be Markov chains on a countable set  $E$  and let  $T_1 = \inf\{t > 0 ; X_t \neq X_0\}$ . Set  $(q_x^P)^{-1} = E_x[T_1]$  and  $\pi^P(x, y) = P_x(X_{T_1} = y)$ .



Then

$$n^P(x, dy) = q_x^P \pi^P(x, y)$$

and  $\varphi_t^P = t$  is a Lévy system of  $p_x$ . Lévy system of  $Q_x$  is defined similarly. Then  $p_x \gg Q_x$  on  $F_{t+}^0 \cap \{\zeta > t\}$  if and only if  $n^P(x, dy) \gg n^Q(x, dy)$ . In fact, since  $n^P$  and  $n^Q$  are finite measures, condition (3.1) is always satisfied.

Nagoya university

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Blumenthal-R. K. Gettoor ; Markov processes and Potential theory, Academic press 1968.
- [2] D. A. Dawson ; Equivalence of Markov processes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 131, 1-31 (1968).
- [3] C. D. Doléans-Dade ; Quelques applications de la formula de changement de variables les martingales  $Z$ , Wahrscheinlichkeits-theorie, 16, 181-194 (1970).
- [4] E. B. Dynkin ; Markov processes, (English translation), Springer-Verlag, 1965.
- [5] G. A. Hunt ; Markov process and potentials, III, Illinois J. Math. 2, 151-213 (1958).
- [6] K. Itô-H. P. McKean ; Diffusion processes and their sample paths, Springer, Berlin, 1965.
- [7] K. Itô-S. Watanabe ; Transformation of Markov processes by multiplicative functional, Ann Inst. Fourier 15, 13-30 (1965).
- [8] H. Kunita ; Local martingale, in preparation.
- [9] H. Kunita-T. Watanabe ; Notes on transformations of Markov processes connected with multiplicative functionals, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. A17, 181-191 (1963).
- [10] H. Kunita-S. Watanabe ; On square integrable martingales, Nagoya J. of Math. 30 (1967), 209-245.
- [11] P. A. Meyer ; Fonctionnelles multiplicatives et additives de Markov, Ann. Inst. Fourier 12, 125-230 (1962).
- [12] P. A. Meyer ; Probability and potentials, Ginn. (Blaisdall), 1966.

- [13] P. A. Meyer ; La perfection en probabilité, Séminaire de Probabilités VI, Lecture Notes in Math., 258 (1972).
- [14] J. Neveu ; Martingales à temps discret, Masson & cie, 1972.
- [15] S. Orey ; Radon-Nikodym derivatives of probability measures ; martingale methods, Lecture note at Tokyo University of Education 1974.
- [16] A. V. Skorokhod ; Studies in the theory of random processes Addison-Wesley, 1965.
- [17] T. B. Walsh ; The perfection of multiplicative functionals, Séminaire de Probabilités VI, Lecture Notes in Math., 258 (1972).
- [18] T. B. Walsh-M. Weil ; Représentation des temps terminaux et applications aux fonctionnelles additives et aux systèmes de Lévy, Ann Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup 5, 121-155 (1972).
- [19] S. Watanabe ; On discontinuous additive functionals and Lévy measures of a Markov process, Japanese J. of Math 34m 53-70 (1964).