



Centre de
Mathématiques
Laurent Schwartz



ÉCOLE
POLYTECHNIQUE

SEMINAIRE

**Equations aux
Dérivées
Partielles**

2003-2004

Yoshinori Morimoto and Chao-Jiang Xu

Regularity of weak solutions for a class of infinitely degenerate elliptic semilinear equation

Séminaire É. D. P. (2003-2004), Exposé n° VII, 14 p.

<http://sedp.cedram.org/item?id=SEDP_2003-2004____A7_0>

U.M.R. 7640 du C.N.R.S.
F-91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX

Fax : 33 (0)1 69 33 49 49

Tél : 33 (0)1 69 33 49 99

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

**REGULARITY OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF
INFINITELY
DEGENERATE ELLIPTIC SEMILINEAR EQUATIONS**

Y. MORIMOTO & C.-J. XU

1. NOTATIONS AND RESULTS

In this work, we study the C^∞ regularity of weak solution of Dirichlet problems for a class of second order semi-linear infinitely degenerate elliptic equation. Consider a system of vector fields $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ defined on an open domain $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. In the infinite degenerate case, the following is called logarithmic regularity estimate,

$$(1.1) \quad \|(\log \Lambda)^s u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \|X_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right\}, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}),$$

where $\Lambda = (e^2 + |D|^2)^{1/2} = \langle D \rangle$. If the system X satisfies the finite type of Hörmander's condition then (1.1) holds for any real $s > 0$. On the other hand (1.1) admits the infinite degeneracy of the system X , and the estimate (1.1) with $s > 1$ always implies the interior hypoellipticity of the second order operator $\Delta_X = \sum_{j=1}^m X_j^* X_j$, where X_j^* is the formal adjoint of X_j (see [7]). Some sufficient conditions for this estimate can be seen in the Appendix of [10]. The typical example for (1.1) is the system in \mathbb{R}^3 such as $X_1 = \partial_{x_1}, X_2 = \partial_{x_2}, X_3 = \exp(-|x_1|^{-1/s})\partial_{x_3}$ with $s > 0$ (see [5, 6, 7]). The operator Δ_X for this example degenerates infinitely on $\Gamma_0 = \{x_1 = 0\}$.

Associated with the system of vector fields $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$, we define function spaces :

$$H_X^1(\tilde{\Omega}) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}); X_j u \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}), j = 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

We say that $u \in H_{X, \text{loc}}^1(\tilde{\Omega})$, if $\alpha u \in H_X^1(\tilde{\Omega})$ for any $\alpha \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$. Take $\Omega \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$ and suppose that $\partial\Omega$ is C^∞ and non characteristic for the system of vector fields X . Here, for a smooth surface Γ of $\tilde{\Omega}$, we say that Γ is non characteristic for the system of vector fields X , if for any point $x_0 \in \Gamma$ there exists at least one vector field of X_1, \dots, X_m which is transversal to Γ at x_0 . We define $H_{X,0}^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H_X^1(\Omega); u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$, as in [10], this is also a Hilbert space, and $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)$.

We consider the following Dirichlet problem;

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta_X u + X_0 u = F(x, u), \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$(1.3) \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = g,$$

where $F \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ and X_0 a vector fields on $\tilde{\Omega}$. As for the linear hypoellipticity, it is known that the estimate (1.1) with $s = 1$ is not sufficient for hypoellipticity, but the following weak form of estimates is sufficient : For any small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|\log \Lambda v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^m \|X_j v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|v\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}).$$

The estimate (1.1) with $s > 1$ implies immediately the estimate (1.4) by interpolation. We have a very simple example which satisfies the estimate (1.4), but not (1.1) for any $s > 1$. It is the system in \mathbb{R}^3 such as $X_1 = \partial_{x_1}$, $X_2 = \partial_{x_2}$, $X_3 = \exp(-(|x_1| |\log |x_1| |)^{-1}) \partial_{x_3}$, (see [6, 10]).

We have now the following nonlinear hypoelliptic results :

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that the system of vector fields X satisfy the logarithmic regularity estimate (1.4), and $u \in H_{X,loc}^1(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of equation (1.2). Then $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.*

Moreover if $\partial\Omega$ is C^∞ and non characteristic for the system of vector fields X , and if $u \in H_X^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of Dirichlet problem (1.2)–(1.3) with $g \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, then $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$.

Remark : We get also regularity up to the boundary for linear Dirichlet problem if the function F is linear in (1.2).

We give here an example of equation (1.2) coming from a variational problem. From (1.1), we have the following logarithmic Sobolev inequality(see [10]),

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 \left| \log \left(e + \frac{|v|^2}{\|v\|_{L^2}^2} \right) \right|^{2s-1} \leq C_0 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \|X_j v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \right\},$$

for all $v \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)$. Suppose that $1 \leq k < 2(s-1)$, take $A = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and consider the following variational problems :

$$(1.6) \quad I_A = \inf_{\|v\|_{L^2}=1, v \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \|X_j v\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 (\log(e + v^2))^j \right\}.$$

We say that the system of vector fields $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ satisfies the “**non trapping condition**”, if the system of vector fields X satisfies the finite type of Hörmander’s condition on $\tilde{\Omega}$ except for $\Gamma = \cup_{j \in J} \Gamma_j$, a union of smooth surfaces Γ_j in $\tilde{\Omega}$, provided that Γ is non characteristic for X . Here we say that the union $\Gamma = \cup_{j \in J} \Gamma_j$ of smooth surfaces in $\tilde{\Omega}$ is non characteristic for X , if for any point $x_0 \in \Gamma$ there exists at least one vector field of X_1, \dots, X_m which transverses Γ_j at x_0 for all $j \in J_0 = \{k \in J; x_0 \in \Gamma_k\}$.

The example with infinite degeneracy on the union $\Gamma = \cup_j \Gamma_j$ is the system in \mathbb{R}^2 such as $X_1 = \partial_{x_1}$, $X_2 = \exp(-(x_1^2 \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{x_1}))^{-1/2s})\partial_{x_2}$, and we see that if $\Gamma_j = \{x_1 = \frac{1}{j}\}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, $\Gamma_0 = \{x_1 = 0\}$, then X_1 is transversal to all Γ_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and X_2 vanishes infinitely on $\Gamma = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_j$.

The non trapping condition and Bony's maximal principle implies immediately the following first Poincaré inequality :

$$(1.7) \quad \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_0 \sum_{j=1}^m \|X_j v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}).$$

We have

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $\partial\Omega$ is C^∞ and non characteristic for the system of vector fields X . Assume that the system of vector fields X verifies the estimate (1.1) for $s > 3/2$ and satisfies the non trapping condition. Then I_A is an attained minimum in $H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)$, and the minimizer belongs to $C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$.*

In fact, by exactly the same calculus as in [10], the inequality (1.5) and (1.7) give the existence of minimizer $u \in H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)$ for the variational problems (1.6), and the minimizer is a bounded non trivial positive weak solution of the following Euler-Lagrange equation;

$$(1.8) \quad \Delta_X u = F(u), \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

with nonlinear term

$$F(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \left(t (\log(e + t^2))^j + \frac{j}{2} \frac{t^3}{e + t^2} (\log(e + t^2))^{j-1} \right) + b_0 t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

where b_0 is a constant depending on the minimizer u . See [11] for the detail.

2. LITTLEWOOD-PALEY THEORY FOR LOGARITHMIC SOBOLEV SPACES

Let $\ell > 0$, and define the following logarithmic Sobolev's space :

$$H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n); (\log\langle\xi\rangle)^\ell \widehat{u}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

where $\langle\xi\rangle = (e^2 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$. We study now the Littlewood-Paley decomposition for this function space as in [1, 13].

Let $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; e < \langle\xi\rangle < e^3\}$, $\mathcal{C}_k = e^k \mathcal{C}_0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{C}_{-1} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle\xi\rangle < e^2\}$, there exist $\psi \in C_0^\infty(]0, e^2[)$, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(]e, e^3[)$ such that

$$\psi(\langle\xi\rangle) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi(e^{-j}\langle\xi\rangle) = 1, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we set

$$\Delta_{-1} f = \psi(\Lambda) f, \quad \Delta_j f = \varphi(e^{-j}\Lambda) f, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $f = \sum \Delta_j f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we have the following characterization for function space $H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 2.1. For $\ell > 0$, we have that

1) if $u \in H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_j j^{-\ell}, \quad \|\{c_j\}\|_{\ell^2} \leq \|u\|_{H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

2) if $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_j j^{-\ell}, \quad \{c_j\} \in \ell^2,$$

then $u \in H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for any $S \geq 1$

$$S^{2\ell} \|(\log \Lambda)^\ell u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C_1 \ell^{2\ell} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + C_2^S S^{2\ell} \|\{c_j\}\|_{\ell^2}^2,$$

with C_1, C_2 independent of S, ℓ and u .

Proof : 1) For $u \in H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 = \int \varphi(e^{-j}\langle \xi \rangle)^2 |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq j^{-2\ell} \int_{C_j} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^{2\ell} \varphi(e^{-j}\langle \xi \rangle)^2 |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

We set

$$c_j^2 = \int_{C_j} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^{2\ell} \varphi(e^{-j}\langle \xi \rangle)^2 |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Then the fact $\psi^2(\xi) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi(e^{-j}\langle \xi \rangle)^2 \leq 1$ implies that

$$\sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} c_j^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^{2\ell} \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \varphi(e^{-j}\langle \xi \rangle)^2 |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \|u\|_{H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

2) For $S > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^{2\ell} \|(\log \Lambda)^\ell u\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq 3 \sum_{S(j+3) \leq \ell} (S(j+3))^{2\ell} \int \varphi(e^{-j}\langle \xi \rangle)^2 |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &+ 3 \sum_{S(j+3) > \ell} (S(j+3))^{2\ell} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq 3\ell^{2\ell} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 3S^{2\ell} \sum_{S(j+3) > \ell} (j+3)^{2\ell} j^{-2\ell} c_j^2 \\ &\leq 3\ell^{2\ell} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 3S^{2\ell} \sum_j (1+3/j)^{2S(j+3)} c_j^2 \\ &\leq 3\ell^{2\ell} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 3(e^6 2^6)^S S^{2\ell} \|\{c_j\}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

As in the classical case, for the second part in the preceding lemma, we have more general results

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\text{Supp } \widehat{u}_k \subset B(0, Ke^k)$ and for $\ell > 1/2$,

$$\|u_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_k k^{-\ell}, \quad \{c_k\} \in \ell^2.$$

Then $u = \sum_k u_k \in H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any $S \geq 1$,

$$S^{2\ell-1} \|(\log \Lambda)^{\ell-1/2} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C_1(\ell-1/2)^{2\ell-1} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + C_2^S S^{2\ell-1} (2\ell-1) \|\{c_k\}\|_{\ell^2}^2,$$

with C_1, C_2 independent of S, ℓ and u .

Remark : We have a loss of $1/2$ for the index because of the logarithmic sum.

Proof : Since $\ell > 1/2$, we have that $u = \sum_k u_k$ converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in fact,

$$\|u\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_k \|u_k\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_k c_k k^{-\ell} \leq \|\{c_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \left(\sum_k k^{-2\ell} \right)^{1/2}.$$

We suppose now $S = 1$, since the general case of S is similar as lemma 2.1. We set

$$u = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \Delta_j u = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} v_j = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \sum_k \Delta_j u_k.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 &\leq 2 \left\| \sum_{j+3 \leq \ell-1/2} \Delta_j u \right\|_{H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + 2 \left\| \sum_{j+3 > \ell-1/2} \Delta_j u \right\|_{H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ &\leq 2(\ell-1/2)^{2\ell-1} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \sum_{j+3 > \ell-1/2} (j+3)^{2\ell-1} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, there exists $N_1 > 0$ (depending only on K) such that for any $j > k + N_1$, $\mathcal{C}_j \cap B(0, Ke^k) = \emptyset$, then $\Delta_j u_k = 0$. We have $v_j = \sum_{k \geq j-N_1} \Delta_j u_k$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int \left| \sum_{k \geq j-N_1} \Delta_j u_k \right|^2 dx \leq \left(\sum_{k \geq j-N_1} k^{-2\ell} \right) \left(\sum_{k \geq j-N_1} \int k^{2\ell} |\Delta_j u_k|^2 dx \right) \\ &\leq (2\ell-1)(j-N_1)^{-2\ell+1} \sum_{k \geq j-N_1} k^{2\ell} \|\Delta_j u_k\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Set now $\tilde{c}_j^2 = \sum_{k \geq j-N_1} k^{2\ell} \|\Delta_j u_k\|_{L^2}^2$, we have

$$\sum_j \tilde{c}_j^2 \leq \sum_k k^{2\ell} \|u_k\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \sum_k c_k^2.$$

Finally, for $j+3 > \ell-1/2$,

$$\left(\frac{j+3}{j-N_1} \right)^{2\ell-1} \leq \left(\frac{j+3}{j-N_1} \right)^{2(j+3)} \leq e^{2(N_1+3)} (N_1+4)^{2(N_1+3)} \leq C_2.$$

We have proved the lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $\{u_k\}$ is a sequence in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for $\ell > 1/2$ there exists a function $v \in H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the following : For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there exist $B_{|\alpha|} \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|D^\alpha u_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B_{|\alpha|} e^{k|\alpha|} \|\Delta_k v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then $u = \sum_k u_k \in H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any $S \geq 1$,

$$S^{2\ell-1} \|u\|_{H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C_S \left((\ell - 1/2)^{2\ell-1} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + S^{2\ell-1} (2\ell - 1) \|v\|_{H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right),$$

with C_S depending only on $B_0, B_{[S]+2}$ and C_1, C_2 the constants in lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Proof : As in the lemma 2.2, we have $u = \sum_k u_k \in L^2$. We decompose,

$$u_k = u_k^1 + u_k^2 = \psi(e^{-k-1}\Lambda)u_k + (1 - \psi(e^{-k-1}\Lambda))u_k.$$

Then $u^1 = \sum u_k^1$ satisfies the hypothesis of lemma 2.2, we have for $S \geq 1$,

$$S^{2\ell-1} \|u^1\|_{H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C_1 (\ell - 1/2)^{2\ell-1} B_0^2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2^S B_0^2 S^{2\ell-1} (2\ell - 1) \|v\|_{H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

We study now $u^2 = \sum u_k^2$, with the conditions

$$\text{Supp } u_k^2 \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle \xi \rangle \geq e^k\}, \quad \|D^\alpha u_k^2\|_{L^2} \leq B_\alpha e^{k|\alpha|} \|\Delta_k v\|_{L^2}.$$

For $k \geq p + 3$, $\mathcal{C}_p \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle \xi \rangle \geq e^k\} = \emptyset$, we have $\Delta_p u^2 = \sum_{k \leq p+2} \Delta_p u_k^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_p u^2\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{k \leq p+2} e^{2k} \right) \left(\sum_{k \leq p+2} e^{-2k} \|\Delta_p u_k^2\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2e^{2(p+2)} \sum_{k \leq p+2} e^{-2k} \|\Delta_p u_k^2\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2e^4 p^{-2\ell+1} \sum_{k \leq p+2} e^{-2k} \|\langle D \rangle (\log \Lambda)^{\ell-1/2} \Delta_p u_k^2\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Set now $\tilde{c}_p^2 = \sum_{k \leq p+2} e^{-2k} \|\langle D \rangle (\log \Lambda)^{\ell-1/2} \Delta_p u_k^2\|_{L^2}^2$. We have

$$\sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_p^2 \leq \sum_k e^{-2k} \|\langle D \rangle (\log \Lambda)^{\ell-1/2} u_k^2\|_{L^2}^2.$$

By lemma 2.1, we have

$$S^{2\ell-1} \|(\log \Lambda)^{\ell-1/2} (u^2)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_1 (\ell - 1/2)^{2\ell-1} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2^S S^{2\ell-1} \|\{\tilde{c}_p\}\|_{\ell^2}^2.$$

We study now $\|\{\tilde{c}_p\}\|_{\ell^2}$. For simplicity of the notation, we replace $\ell - 1/2$ by ℓ in what follows,

$$\|\langle D \rangle (\log \Lambda)^\ell u_k^2\|_{L^2}^2 = \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2([S]+1)} \langle \xi \rangle^{2[S]+4} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^{2\ell} (1 - \psi(e^{-k-1}\langle \xi \rangle))^2 |\hat{u}_k(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

and if $([S] + 1)(k + 2) \geq \ell$,

$$\langle \xi \rangle^{-2([S]+1)} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^{2\ell} (1 - \psi(e^{-k-1}\langle \xi \rangle))^2 \leq e^{-2([S]+1)(k+2)} (k+2)^{2\ell} (1 - \psi(e^{-k-1}\langle \xi \rangle))^2;$$

if $([S] + 1)(k + 2) < \ell$,

$$\langle \xi \rangle^{-2([S]+1)} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^{2\ell} (1 - \psi(e^{-k-1} \langle \xi \rangle))^2 \leq e^{-2([S]+1)(k+2)} \left(\frac{\ell}{[S] + 1} \right)^{2\ell} (1 - \psi(e^{-k-1} \langle \xi \rangle))^2.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_p^2 &\leq \sum_{([S]+1)(k+2) < \ell} e^{-2k} e^{-2([S]+1)(k+2)} \left(\frac{\ell}{[S] + 1} \right)^{2\ell} \|u_k\|_{H^{[S]+2}}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{([S]+1)(k+2) \geq \ell} e^{-4([S]+1)} e^{-2k([S]+2)} \left(1 + \frac{2}{k} \right)^{2\ell} k^{2\ell} \|u_k\|_{H^{[S]+2}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $H^{[S]+2}$ is classical Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^n . From the hypothesis of lemma,

$$\|u_k\|_{H^{[S]+2}} \leq B_{[S]+2} e^{k([S]+2)} \|\Delta_k v\|_{L^2},$$

we have

$$\sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_p^2 \leq B_{[S]+2}^2 (S^{-2\ell} \ell^{2\ell} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2).$$

We have proved the lemma with the constant C_S depending on $B_0, B_{[S]+2}$ and C_1, C_2 .

We study now the non-linear composition for the function of space $H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have the following results.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $F(0) = 0$, and $u \in H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a real function for $\ell > 1/2$. Then $F(u) \in H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any $S \geq 1$*

$$S^{2\ell-1} \|F(u)\|_{H_{\ell-1/2}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C_S \left(\left(\ell - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2\ell-1} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + S^{2\ell-1} (2\ell - 1) \|u\|_{H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right),$$

with C_S depending only on $\text{Sup}_{|t| \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty}} |F^{(j)}(t)|$ and $\|u\|_{L^\infty}^j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, [S] + 2$.

Remark : This theorem is still true for the vector value function $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ and $F(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Proof : We have firstly

$$\|F(u)\|_{L^2} = \|F(u) - F(0)\|_{L^2} \leq \left(\sup_{|t| \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty}} |F'(t)| \right) \|u\|_{L^2}.$$

We denote, for $k \geq 1$, $S_k u = \sum_{j=-1}^{k-2} \Delta_j u$, then for $u \in H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $F(u) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F(S_k u)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so that

$$F(u) = F(S_1 u) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (F(S_k u) - F(S_{k-1} u)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$$

with $f_1 = F(S_1 u)$ and for $k > 1$

$$f_k = \int_0^1 F'(S_{k-1} u + t \Delta_k u) dt \Delta_k u.$$

Since for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\|D^\alpha(S_{k-1} u + t \Delta_k u)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_{|\alpha|} e^{k|\alpha|} \|u\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \|D^\alpha \Delta_k u\|_{L^2} \leq e^{(k+3)|\alpha|} \|\Delta_k u\|_{L^2},$$

the Faà-di-Bruno formula implies that

$$\|D^\alpha f_k\|_{L^2} \leq B_{|\alpha|} e^{k|\alpha|} \|\Delta_k u\|_{L^2}$$

with $B_{|\alpha|}$ depending only on $\text{Sup}_{|t| \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty}} |F^{(j)}(t)|$ and $\|u\|_{L^\infty}^j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, |\alpha| + 2$.

Then $\sum_k f_k$ satisfies the hypothesis of lemma 2.3, and so we have proved the theorem.

To study the regularity up to the boundary for nonlinear problems, we introduce the following tangential logarithmic Sobolev spaces (see [15]) : For $\ell > 0$, we set

$$H_{0,\ell}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n); (\log\langle(\xi', 0)\rangle)^\ell \widehat{u}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

and

$$H_{0,\ell}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n); (\log\langle(\xi', 0)\rangle)^\ell \mathcal{F}_{x'} u(\xi', x_n) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)\},$$

where $\xi = (\xi', \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x', x_n); x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, x_n > 0\}$. We have

$$H_{0,\ell}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)|_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} = H_{0,\ell}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

We use now the tangential Littlewood-Paley decomposition :

$$\Delta'_{-1} f = \psi(\Lambda') f, \quad \Delta'_j f = \varphi(e^{-j} \Lambda') f, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\varphi(\Lambda') f) = \varphi(\langle(\xi', 0)\rangle) \widehat{f}$, and the function spaces $H_{0,\ell}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is characterized by

$$\sum j^{2\ell} \|\Delta'_j u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 < +\infty.$$

We have the similar results as lemmas 2.1–2.3 and theorem 2.1 for the tangential function spaces.

3. NONLINEAR HYPOELLIPTICITY

Take $\alpha, \beta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\alpha \subset\subset \beta$. By using the theorem 2.1 and its remark, we have the following estimate : Suppose that $\beta u \in H_\ell^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\ell > 1/2$, then for any $S \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \|(\log \Lambda^S)^{\ell-1/2} (\alpha F(x, u))\|_{L^2}^2 \leq A_S^2 (\ell^{2\ell-1} \|\beta u\|_{L^2}^2 + (2\ell-1) \|(\log \Lambda^S)^\ell (\beta u)\|_{L^2}^2),$$

where A_S depends on S , $\|u\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\alpha(x) F(x, t)\|_{C^{[S]+2}(\Omega \times [-\|u\|_{L^\infty}, \|u\|_{L^\infty})}$, but not on ℓ .

By interpolation, the estimate (1.4) implies that : For any small $\varepsilon > 0$, any $N > 0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon, N} > 0$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|\log \Lambda v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^m \|X_j v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\varepsilon, N} \|v\|_{H^{-N}}^2, \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}),$$

where H^{-N} is classical Sobolev space. For small $\delta > 0$, we set $\Lambda_\delta = (1 - \delta \Delta)^{-1}$, then this is a uniformly bounded family of operators on $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\Lambda_\delta(\alpha u) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $u \in L_{loc}^2(\Omega)$. We prove now the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that the system of vector fields X satisfies the logarithmic regularity estimate (1.4), and $u \in H_{X, loc}^1(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of equation (1.2). Then for any $\alpha \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}, S \geq 1$, we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \|(\log \Lambda^S)^\ell \Lambda_\delta(\alpha u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq (M_0 \ell)^\ell \ell^{m_S} R_S,$$

where M_0 depends only on $\text{Supp } \alpha$, m_S depends only on S , R_S depends on A_S of (3.1) and $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Furthermore the constant M_0, m_S and R_S are independent of small $\delta > 0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of first part of theorem 1.1 : By using the estimate (3.3) with $S = 4eM_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle D \rangle^2 \Lambda_\delta(\alpha u)\|_{L^2} &\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \|(\log \Lambda^2)^\ell \Lambda_\delta(\alpha u)\|_{L^2} (\ell!)^{-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \|(\log \Lambda^S)^\ell \Lambda_\delta(\alpha u)\|_{L^2} \left(\frac{2}{S}\right)^\ell (\ell!)^{-1} \\ &\leq R_S \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^\ell \ell^{m_S} + \|\alpha u\|_{L^2} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimate $\ell^\ell \leq e^\ell \ell!$. Since R_S, m_S independent of δ , we have proved $\alpha u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now $\Lambda_\delta(\alpha u) \in H^4$, the similar calculus as above give that $\alpha u \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if we take $S = 2 \times 4eM_0$ in (3.3). By recurrence we get that $\alpha u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem that $\alpha u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\alpha \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is arbitrary, we have proved $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof of proposition 3.1 For $\ell \geq 1$ fixed, we choose the functions of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ as in [6, 7],

$$\alpha = \alpha_\ell \ll \alpha_{\ell-1} \ll \dots \ll \alpha_1 \ll \alpha_0 = \beta,$$

such that

$$(3.4) \quad \|D^\lambda \alpha_j\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_\lambda \ell^{|\lambda|}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

For the proof of proposition 3.1, we prove the following estimate : for any $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, and any $j \leq k \leq \ell$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \|(\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta(\alpha_k u)\|_{L^2} \leq (M_0 \ell)^j \ell^{m_S} R_S$$

with the constant as in proposition 3.1.

We need also the following two classicals results about pseudo-differential calculus (see [4]).

First result is about the pseudo-differential operators as a regularizer.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\|(\alpha_k - 1)(\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1} u)\|_{H^m}^2 \leq C_{S, m, m'} (j! \ell^{3m+2m'+2S+3n+4})^2 \|\beta u\|_{H^{-m'}}^2,$$

with $C_{S, m, m'}$ independent of ℓ, j and δ , and

$$\|\alpha_k (\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1} u)\|_{H^{-s}}^2 \leq C_S (j! \ell^{2S+3n+7})^2 \|\beta u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

with C_S independent of ℓ, j and δ .

For the commutators, we have

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be vector fields, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, $j \leq k \leq \ell$, we have*

$$\|[X, \alpha_k (\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta \alpha_{k+1}] \alpha_k u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_S (\ell^2 \|u\|_{j, k, S}^2 + (j!)^2 \ell^{10(S+n+2)}) \|\beta u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$\|[X, [X, \alpha_k (\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta \alpha_{k+1}]] \alpha_k u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_S (\ell^4 \|u\|_{j, k, S}^2 + (j!)^2 \ell^{10(S+n+2)}) \|\beta u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

with C_S independent of j, k, ℓ and δ , where

$$\|u\|_{j, k, S}^2 = \sum_{0 \leq j' \leq \min\{j, S+2\}} \left(\frac{j!}{(j-j')!} \right)^2 \|(\log \Lambda^S)^{j-j'} \Lambda_\delta \alpha_{k-j'} u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We prove now (3.5) by induction on j .

1) For $j = 1$, $1 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$, take $\alpha_{k+1} \Lambda_\delta \alpha_k^2 \Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1} u) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as test function in (1.2),

$$\sum_{p=1}^m \int_{\Omega} (X_p u) X_p (\alpha_{k+1} \Lambda_\delta \alpha_k^2 \Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1} u)) dx = \int_{\Omega} \alpha_{k+1} (F(x, u) - X_0 u) (\Lambda_\delta \alpha_k^2 \Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1} u)) dx.$$

Then it follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (3.4) that

$$\sum_{p=1}^m \|X_p \alpha_k \Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1} u)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_1 \|\alpha_{k+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2 \ell^2 \|\alpha_k u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are the constants in (3.1) and (3.4). On the other hand, (1.4) gives that

$$\|\log \Lambda(\alpha_k \Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1} u))\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|X(\alpha_k \Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1} u))\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\alpha_{k+1} u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We have for any $S \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\log(\Lambda^S)\Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1}u)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq S^2\varepsilon\|X(\alpha_k\Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1}u))\|_{L^2}^2 + S^2C_\varepsilon\|\alpha_{k+1}u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\log(\Lambda^S)(\alpha_k - 1)\Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1}u)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq S^2\varepsilon(C_1\|\alpha_{k+1}u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2\ell^2\|\alpha_ku\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + S^2C_\varepsilon\|\alpha_{k+1}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\log(\Lambda^S)(\alpha_k - 1)\Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1}u)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the last term of right hand side, the proposition 3.2 gives

$$\|\log(\Lambda^S)(\alpha_k - 1)\Lambda_\delta(\alpha_{k+1}u)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_S\ell^{4S+6n+8}\|\beta u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We have proved (3.5) for $j = 1$ if we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small such that $\varepsilon S^2 \leq 1$ and

$$M_0^2 \geq C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + 1, \quad R_S^2 \geq (SC_{1/S} + C_S)\|\beta u\|_{L^2}^2, \quad 2m_S \geq 10(S + n + 2).$$

2) Suppose now that there exists a $j \leq \ell - 1$ such that (3.5) is true for any $p \leq j$. We shall prove (3.5) for $j + 1$. Firstly, take $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we have for any $p \leq j$ and $p \leq k \leq \ell$

$$(3.6) \quad \|(\log \Lambda^S)^p(\alpha_k u)\|_{L^2} \leq (M_0\ell)^p \ell^{m_S} R_S,$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{0 \leq j' \leq \min\{j, S+2\}} \left(\frac{j!}{(j-j')!} \right)^2 \|(\log \Lambda^S)^{j-j'} \Lambda_\delta \alpha_{k-j'} u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_S (M_0\ell)^{2j} \ell^{2m_S} R_S^2.$$

For $j \leq k \leq \ell - 1$, set

$$v = \alpha_{k+1} \Lambda_\delta (\log \Lambda^S)^j \alpha_k^2 (\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta (\alpha_{k+1} u),$$

then $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, using v as test function in (1.2),

$$\int_\Omega \left(\sum_{p=1}^m X_p^* X_p u \right) v dx = \int_\Omega (F(x, u) - X_0 u) v dx.$$

By using integration by part, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, induction hypothesis, Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, we have that, if $m_S \geq 5(S + n + 2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1}^m \|X_p \alpha_k (\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta (\alpha_{k+1} u)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\log(\Lambda^S) \alpha_k (\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta (\alpha_{k+1} u)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \tilde{C}_S (M_0\ell)^{2j+2} \ell^{2m_S} R_S^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2) with $N = S$ and the proposition 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\log \Lambda \alpha_k (\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta (\alpha_{k+1} u)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{p=1}^m \|X_p \alpha_k (\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta (\alpha_{k+1} u)\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\varepsilon, S} \|\alpha_k (\log \Lambda^S)^j \Lambda_\delta (\alpha_{k+1} u)\|_{H^{-S}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that $\varepsilon S^2 \leq 1$, $\varepsilon S^2 C_S \leq 1/4$, we get (3.5) if we take

$$R_S^2 \geq 2(2S^2 C_{\varepsilon, S+1} + 3C_S) \|\beta u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Regularity up to the boundary

As in the classical case, we transform firstly the non homogeneous Dirichlet problem (1.2)–(1.3) into a homogeneous Dirichlet problem, i. e. we suppose that $g = 0$ in (1.3). Suppose now that $u \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap H_{X,0}^1(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of Dirichlet problem (1.2)–(1.3), we have already the interior regularity $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, and so we want to prove here that $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$, that is C^∞ regularity up to the boundary.

Since $\partial\Omega$ is C^∞ and non characteristic for the system of vector fields X , near a point of $\partial\Omega$, we use the standard process of localization and a C^∞ change of variable to flatten out the boundary (we keep the same notation for the solution u), then the weak solution u satisfies the following equation (see [2, 3, 15]) :

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{x_n}^2(\alpha u) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Y_j^* Y_j(\alpha u) = \partial_{x_n}(a_0 \beta u) + Y_0(\beta u) + \tilde{F}(x, \beta u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ \beta u(x', 0) = 0, & \text{for } x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, a_0 \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$, $\alpha \subset\subset \beta$ with $\text{Supp } \beta$ a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n , and $Y_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{jk}(x', x_n) \partial_{x_k}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ are the tangential vector fields. We have that the system of vector fields $Y = (\partial_{x_n}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1})$ satisfies still the logarithmic regularity estimates (1.1) or (1.4) on a neighborhood $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of 0. Remark that we have $\beta u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap H_{Y,0}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

Let $\Lambda' = (e + |D'|^2)^{1/2}$ with $D' = (D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_{n-1}})$. On account of (1.4), for any small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad \|(\log \Lambda') v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \varepsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|Y_j v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|\partial_{x_n} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) + C_\varepsilon \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

for all $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n)$. By density, this is true for $v \in H_{Y,0}^1(\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

Firstly for the nonlinear term we have the similar result as in (3.1) : Suppose that $\beta u \in H_{0,\ell}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for some $\ell > 1/2$, then for any $S \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\log \Lambda'^S)^{\ell-1/2}(\alpha \tilde{F}(x, \beta u))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 &\leq A_S^2 (\ell^{2\ell-1} \|\beta u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 \\ &+ (2\ell - 1) \|(\log \Lambda'^S)^\ell(\beta u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where A_S depends on S , $\|u\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\alpha(x) \tilde{F}(x, t)\|_{C^{[S]+2}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \times [-\|u\|_{L^\infty}, \|u\|_{L^\infty})}$, but not on ℓ .

If the equation (1.2) is linear, we use the following estimate : If $f \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$, then for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, any $S \geq 1$, and $\alpha \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$,

$$\|(\log \Lambda'^S)^\ell(\alpha f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \ell! \|\Lambda'^S(\alpha f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

For small $\delta > 0$, we set $\Lambda'_\delta = (1 - \delta \Delta_{x'})^{-1}$, with $\Delta_{x'} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_j}^2$, this is a tangential regularization operators. As for the proposition 3.1, we have that for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and any $S \geq 1$,

$$(3.11) \quad \|(\log \Lambda'^S)^\ell \Lambda'_\delta(\alpha u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq (M_0 \ell)^\ell \ell^{m_S} R_S,$$

with the same constants as in (3.3). By using the estimates (3.9) and (3.10), the proof of this estimations is exactly as that of proposition 3.1, for example in the step 2 of proof for the proposition 3.1, we take here

$$v = \alpha_{k+1} \Lambda'_\delta (\log \Lambda'^S)^j \alpha_k^2 (\log \Lambda'^S)^j \Lambda'_\delta(\alpha_{k+1} u),$$

as test function in (3.8). In fact, we have $v, \partial_{x_n} v, \Lambda' v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and $v(x', 0) = 0$, then $v \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Moreover, $\alpha_{k+1} \Lambda'_\delta (\log \Lambda'^S)^j \alpha_k^2 (\log \Lambda'^S)^j \Lambda'_\delta \alpha_{k+1}$ is a tangential pseudo-differential operators, thus all pseudo-differential calculus in the proof is tangential, and the integration by part for the variable x_n take only once.

Now the estimate (3.11) implies that $\Lambda'^m(\alpha u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\alpha \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$, and we have already $\partial_{x_n}(\alpha u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, so that we have $\alpha u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. For $m \geq 2$, we have, by using the equation (3.8),

$$\partial_{x_n}^2(\alpha u) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Y_j^* Y_j(\alpha u) + \partial_{x_n}(a_0 \beta u) + Y_0(\beta u) + \tilde{F}(x, \beta u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n),$$

then, we have $\alpha u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. By induction we prove that $\alpha u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We have proved finally $\alpha u \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ by Sobolev embedding theorem. Take $\alpha = 1$ near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have proved $u \in C^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n . So that we get the C^∞ regularity of solution up to the boundary.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, *Annales de l'École Normale Supérieure*, **14** (1981), 209–246.
- [2] M. Derridj, Un problème aux limites pour une classe d'opérateurs du second ordre hypoelliptiques, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* **21** (1971), 99–148.
- [3] D. Jerison, The Dirichlet problem for the Kohn-Laplacian on the Heisenberg group, Parts I and II, *J. Funct. Analysis*, **43** (1981), 97–142.
- [4] H. Kumano-go, Pseudo-differential operators, MIT Press, 1982
- [5] S. Kusuoka and D. Stroock, Applications of the Malliavin calculus, Part II, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math.*, **32**, 1-76 (1985).
- [6] Y. Morimoto, Hypoellipticity for infinitely degenerate elliptic operators, *Osaka J. Math.* **24** (1987), 13-35.
- [7] Y. Morimoto, A criterion for hypoellipticity of second order differential operators, *Osaka J. Math.* **24** (1987), 651-675.
- [8] Y. Morimoto and T. Morioka, The positivity of Schrödinger operators and the hypoellipticity of second order degenerate elliptic operators, *Bull. Sc. Math.* **121** (1997), 507-547.
- [9] Y. Morimoto and T. Morioka, Hypoellipticity for elliptic operators with infinite degeneracy, "Partial Differential Equations and Their Applications" (Chen Hua and L. Rodino, eds.), *World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ*, (1999), 240-259.
- [10] Y. Morimoto and C.-J. Xu, Logarithmic Sobolev inequality and semi-linear Dirichlet problems for infinitely degenerate elliptic operators, *Astérisque* **284** (2003), 245–264.
- [11] Y. Morimoto and C.-J. Xu, Nonlinear hypoellipticity of infinite type, *Preprint*, 2003.
- [12] C.-J. Xu, The Dirichlet problems for a class of semilinear sub-elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **37** (1999), no. 8, Ser. A: Theory Methods, 1039–1049.
- [13] C.-J. Xu, Nonlinear microlocal analysis. *General theory of partial differential equations and microlocal analysis* (Trieste, 1995), 155–182, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 349, Longman, Harlow, 1996.
- [14] C.-J. Xu, Regularity problem for quasi-linear second order subelliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **45** 77–96 (1992).
- [15] C.-J. Xu and C. Zuily, Smoothness up to the boundary for solutions of the nonlinear and nonelliptic Dirichlet problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **308** (1988), 243–257.

Yoshinori Morimoto
 Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies
 Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan
 email : morimoto@math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Chao-Jiang XU
 Université de Rouen, UMR 6085-CNRS, Mathématiques
 76821 Mont-Saint-Aignan, France
 email : Chao-Jiang.Xu@univ-rouen.fr