

SÉMINAIRE D'ANALYSE FONCTIONNELLE ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

T. K. CARNE

Operator algebras

Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique) (1979-1980), exp. n° 15, p. 1-9

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF_1979-1980__A12_0>

© Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle
(École Polytechnique), 1979-1980, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES

PLATEAU DE PALAISEAU - 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX

Téléphone : 941.82.00 - Poste N°

Télex : ECOLEX 691 596 F

S E M I N A I R E

D ' A N A L Y S E F O N C T I O N N E L L E

1979-1980

OPERATOR ALGEBRAS
=====

T. K. CARNE

(Trinity College, Cambridge.)

A Banach algebra which is isomorphic to a closed subalgebra of the linear operators on some Hilbert space is called an operator algebra and it is these algebras which I wish to discuss today. In particular, I shall consider the extent to which these algebras can be characterized as those for which the multiplication maps

$$A \otimes \dots \otimes A \longrightarrow A \quad ; \quad a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \longmapsto a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n$$

are continuous relative to some norm on the tensor product. I shall begin by defining tensor products of Banach spaces. Then I shall describe the construction of universal algebras used to study classes of Banach algebras. Finally I shall turn to those results which are specific to operator algebras.

All Banach spaces E will be complex with unit ball $B(E)$ and dual E^* . To avoid irrelevant complications with Russel's paradox we will assume that all of the spaces considered lie in some fixed universe. A Banach algebra A is a Banach space which is given an algebra structure for which the multiplication

$$A \times A \longrightarrow A$$

is continuous. We shall not always demand that this has unit norm, although this could always be achieved by renorming A suitably.

A tensor product α (of rank r) gives a norm on every r -fold tensor product of Banach spaces :

$$E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_r \quad .$$

We demand that whenever $T_n : E_n \rightarrow F_n$ are bounded linear maps then

$$T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_r : E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_r \longrightarrow F_1 \otimes \dots \otimes F_r$$

has bound $\|T_1\| \cdot \dots \cdot \|T_r\|$ relative to the α -norms ; and we normalize α by demanding the α -norm on

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$$

is simply the usual modulus. It is clear that each of Grothendieck's

tensor norms is an example of such a tensor product, with rank 2. Given a tensor product α we shall denote by

$$\alpha(E_1, \dots, E_r) \quad (\text{or } E_1 \alpha E_2 \text{ when } r = 2)$$

the completion of $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_r$ relative to the α -norm, and by

$$\alpha(T_1, \dots, T_r) : \alpha(E_1, \dots, E_r) \longrightarrow \alpha(F_1, \dots, F_r)$$

the continuous extension of $T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_r$. A Banach algebra A is an α -algebra if the multiplication map

$$m(A) : A \otimes \dots \otimes A \longrightarrow A$$

is continuous when the tensor product is given the α -norm.

A collection $\mathcal{Q}$ of Banach algebras will be called a class if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (i) Every $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ has $\|a_1 \cdot a_2\| \leq \|a_1\| \cdot \|a_2\|$ for $a_1, a_2 \in A$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{C} \in \mathcal{Q}$.
- (iii) If B is a closed subalgebra of $A \in \mathcal{Q}$, then $B \in \mathcal{Q}$.
- (iv) If $A_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ for each $i \in I$ then $\bigoplus_{\infty} (A_i : i \in I) \in \mathcal{Q}$.

There are many examples of such classes. The largest contains all Banach algebras which satisfy condition (i), while the smallest consists only of uniform algebras. Furthermore, if α is any tensor product, then the collection of Banach algebras A for which the multiplication map

$$\alpha(A, \dots, A) \longrightarrow A$$

is a contraction form a class. For classes of Banach algebras one can construct universal algebras analogous to the universal tensor algebras. Let E be a Banach space. Then $T(E)$ is the vector space

$$E \oplus E^{\otimes 2} \oplus E^{\otimes 3} \oplus \dots$$

This becomes an algebra for the multiplication

$$E^{\otimes r} \times E^{\otimes s} \longrightarrow E^{\otimes (r+s)} \quad ; \quad (u, v) \longmapsto u \otimes v$$

and is called the universal tensor algebra over E . It has the universal property that any linear map $R : E \rightarrow A$ into an algebra extends uniquely

to an algebra homomorphism

$$\tilde{R} : T(E) \longrightarrow A \quad .$$

For a class $\mathcal{Q}$ of Banach algebras we can define a semi-norm on $T(E)$ by

$$\|u\| = \sup(\|\tilde{R}u\| : R : E \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ is a linear contraction}) \quad .$$

Condition (i) ensures that this is finite, so we can define $T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$ to be the Hausdorff completion relative to this semi-norm. This will be called the $\mathcal{Q}$ -universal algebra over E . Since $\mathbb{C} \in \mathcal{Q}$, the Hahn-Banach theorem implies that the natural map

$$E \longrightarrow T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$$

is a metric embedding. Also, $T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$ is a closed subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{\infty} (A : \|R : E \rightarrow A\| \leq 1)$ and hence lies in $\mathcal{Q}$. The very construction of $T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$ ensures that any linear contraction

$$R : E \longrightarrow A \in \mathcal{Q}$$

extends uniquely to an algebra homomorphism

$$\tilde{R} : T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E) \longrightarrow A$$

which is also a contraction. .

Certain examples of $\mathcal{Q}$ -universal algebras can be described explicitly. For example, when $\mathcal{Q}$ consists of all Banach algebras with $\|a_1 \cdot a_2\| \leq \|a_1\| \cdot \|a_2\|$, then $T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$ is the ℓ_1 -direct sum of the projective powers of E :

$$T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E) = \bigoplus_1 E \oplus \bigoplus_1 \widehat{E^{\otimes 2}} \oplus \bigoplus_1 \widehat{E^{\otimes 3}} \oplus \dots \quad .$$

When $\mathcal{Q}$ is the class of uniform algebras, then $T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$ is the closed subalgebra of $C(B(E^*),_{\sigma}(E^*, E))$ generated by E . However, in most cases we have to be content with less exact information about $\mathcal{Q}$ -universal algebras.

The algebra $T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of subspaces $T_{\mathcal{Q},r}(E)$ corresponding to the decomposition of $T(E)$ as $\bigoplus (E^{\otimes r})$. To see this observe that, for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \leq 1$, the contraction $zI : E \rightarrow E$ induces an algebra homomorphism

$$T_{\mathcal{Q}}(zI) : T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E) \longrightarrow T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E) \quad .$$

Then

$$P_r = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{T_{\mathcal{Q}}(zI)}{z^r} dz$$

is a contractive projection onto a subspace $T_{\mathcal{Q},r}(E)$ of $T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$. The natural map

$$T(E) \longrightarrow T_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$$

sends $E^{\otimes r}$ into a dense subspace of $T_{\mathcal{Q},r}(E)$ so the decomposition given by these projections is the one we require. The space $T_{\mathcal{Q},r}(E)$ need not be a tensor product as the example of uniform algebras shows, however we can associate a tensor product of rank r with $T_{\mathcal{Q},r}(E)$ in a natural way. Let $E_1, \dots, E_r$ be Banach spaces and E their ℓ_1 -direct sum. Then the map

$$E^{\otimes r} \longrightarrow T_{\mathcal{Q},r}(E)$$

induces a norm on the subspace $E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_r$ of $E^{\otimes r}$ and this is readily seen to define a tensor product of rank r , which we call α_r . In fact, α_r is the smallest tensor product β such that the multiplication map

$$\beta(A, \dots, A) \longrightarrow A$$

is a contraction for every $A \in \mathcal{Q}$. In particular, every algebra in $\mathcal{Q}$ is an α_r -algebra.

From now on we shall consider only the class $\mathcal{Q}$ of closed subalgebras of the linear operators on Hilbert spaces. Then a Banach algebra A is an operator algebra if, and only if, it is isomorphic to an element of $\mathcal{Q}$. Thus, if A is an operator algebra then there is a constant C such that the map

$$\frac{1}{C} I : A \longrightarrow A$$

extends to a contractive algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : T_{\mathcal{Q}}(A) \longrightarrow A \quad .$$

Conversely, if such a C exists then A is isomorphic to a quotient of the operator algebra $T_Q(E)$. It is known that any quotient of an operator algebra is itself an operator algebra so it follows that A is an operator algebra. Using the decomposition of $T_Q(A)$ we see that A is an operator algebra if, and only if, there is a constant C' such that the multiplication map

$$T_{Q,r}(A) \longrightarrow A$$

has norm $\leq C'^r$ for $r = 2, 3, \dots$. For the class of operator algebras one can show that the Banach-Mazur distance of $T_{Q,r}(E)$ from $\alpha_r(E, \dots, E)$ is at most K^r for some constant K . Thus we obtain the following criterion :

A is an operator algebra if, and only if, there exists a constant C'' such that the multiplication map

$$\alpha_r(A, \dots, A) \longrightarrow A$$

has norm $\leq C''^r$ for $r = 2, 3, \dots$.

This is a restatement of a result of Varopoulos [7] and it shows that operator algebras can be characterized by the sequence of tensor products α_r . The question arises whether α_2 alone suffices. Charpentier [3] showed that every operator algebra is an H' -algebra for the tensor norm H' introduced by Grothendieck [4]. Tonge [5] [6] complemented this by showing that, for the closely related tensor norm $/H'$, every $/H'$ -algebra is an operator algebra. However, we shall see below that $\alpha_2 = H'$ and not every H' -algebra is an operator algebra. Indeed, the operator algebras cannot be characterized as the β -algebras for any single tensor product β . (See [1] and [2] where this is explained in greater detail.)

Lemma : A linear functional $\varphi : E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a contraction for the α_r -norm if, and only if, there exist Hilbert spaces

$$\mathbb{C} = H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{r-1}, H_r = \mathbb{C}$$

and linear contractions

$$T_n : E_n \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{n-1}, H_n)$$

such that

$$\varphi(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n) = T_r(e_r) \circ \dots \circ T_1(e_1) \quad .$$

Proof : Suppose first that φ is a contraction for the α_r -norm. With $E = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_r$ we have embeddings

$$\alpha_r(E_1, \dots, E_r) \hookrightarrow T_Q(E) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(K, K)$$

for some Hilbert space K . The Hahn-Banach theorem yields a contraction $\psi: \text{Hom}(K, K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extending φ . Since $\text{Hom}(K, K)$ is a C^* -algebra, there exists a representation $\pi: \text{Hom}(K, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, H)$ and elements $x \in B(H)$, $y \in B(H)^*$ with

$$\psi(a) = \langle y, \pi(a)x \rangle \quad \text{for } a \in \text{Hom}(K, K) .$$

Then we obtain the desired factorization by setting :

$$H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_{r-1} = H \quad \text{and}$$

$$T_1 : E_1 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, H) = H \quad ; \quad e_1 \longmapsto \pi(e_1)x$$

$$T_n : E_n \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H, H) \quad ; \quad e_n \longmapsto \pi(e_n)$$

$$T_r : E_r \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}) = H \quad ; \quad e_r \longmapsto y \circ \pi(e_r) .$$

Conversely, if φ factorizes as in the lemma, then we may set $K = H_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_r$ and consider

$$S_n : E_n \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(K, K) : e_n \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc & \dots & \dots & \bigcirc \\ \vdots & T_n(e_n) & & \vdots \\ \bigcirc & \dots & \dots & \bigcirc \end{pmatrix} .$$

These are contractions, and $\text{Hom}(K, K)$ is an operator algebra, so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_r(E_1, \dots, E_r) &\xrightarrow{\alpha_r(S_1, \dots, S_r)} \alpha_r(\text{Hom}(K, K), \dots, \text{Hom}(K, K)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(K, K) \\ e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_r &\longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} & \varphi(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_r) \\ \bigcirc & \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is also a contraction. Hence φ has norm ≤ 1 . ■

Note especially the case $r=2$. Then φ is a contraction for the α_2 -norm precisely when it factorizes as

$$E_1 \otimes E_2 \xrightarrow{T_1 \otimes T_2} H \otimes H^* \xrightarrow{\text{scalar product}} \mathbb{C} .$$

Grothendieck defined the tensor norm H' by this property, so $\alpha_2 = H'$. This shows that every operator algebra is an H' -algebra. We shall show that this does not characterize the operator algebras by constructing an H' -algebra which is not an α_3 -algebra and so certainly not an operator algebra.

The natural place to seek such a counter-example is from the universal tensor algebras. We are only concerned with triple products so let us take three Banach spaces E_1, E_2 and E_3 and consider $T_Q(E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus E_3)$. (Here $\oplus$ can be any direct sum, eg. the ℓ_1 -direct sum.) Even in this algebra we can quotient out everything which is not involved in the products $e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot e_3$ for $e_n \in E_n$. Thus we are led to consider the following situation : let

$$\varphi : E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be a linear functional which has continuous extensions to both $(E_1 H' E_2) H' E_3$ and $E_1 H' (E_2 H' E_3)$. Then A is the algebra

$$[E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus E_3] \oplus [(E_1 H' E_2) \oplus (E_2 H' E_3)] \oplus \mathbb{C}$$

with the multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} (e_1, e_2, e_3; u_{12}, u_{23}; \lambda) \cdot (\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3; \bar{u}_{12}, \bar{u}_{23}; \bar{\lambda}) = \\ = (0, 0, 0; e_1 \otimes \bar{e}_2, e_2 \otimes \bar{e}_3; \varphi(e_1 \otimes \bar{u}_{23}) + \varphi(u_{12} \otimes \bar{e}_3)) . \end{aligned}$$

Our hypotheses ensure that this is an H' -algebra. If it were an operator algebra then the lemma would show that φ factorizes as

$$E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 \longrightarrow H_1 \otimes \text{Hom}(H_1, H_2) \otimes H_2^* \xrightarrow{\text{composition}} \mathbb{C} . \quad (*)$$

For an appropriate choice of φ we shall show that this is impossible.

Set $E_1 = E_3 = \ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$, $E_2 = \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $J : \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the natural injection. The convolution map

$$\Phi : \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}) ; \quad x \otimes y \longmapsto J(x * y)$$

induces

$$\varphi : \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} ; \quad x \otimes z \otimes y \longmapsto \langle z, \Phi(x \otimes y) \rangle$$

and one can readily check that φ has continuous extensions to $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \mathcal{H}' (\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mathcal{H}' \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $(\ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \mathcal{H}' \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})) \mathcal{H}' \ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$ as required. We must show that φ does not factorize as in (*). If it did, then Φ would factorize as

$$\Phi : \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{R_1 \otimes R_2} H_1 \widehat{\otimes} H_2 \xrightarrow{S} \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

for some continuous linear maps R_1, R_2 and S with $\|R_1\|, \|R_2\| \leq 1$. In other words, there would be positive Hermitian forms ρ_n on $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $\rho_n(x, y) = \langle R_n x, R_n y \rangle$ with

$$\|\Phi(x \otimes y)\|^2 \leq \|S\|^2 \cdot \rho_1(x, x) \cdot \rho_2(y, y) \quad .$$

The symmetry of the convolution operator Φ now enables us to obtain a contradiction.

Let $T : \ell_p(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ be the shift operator, then

$$\Phi(T^a x \otimes y) = T^a \cdot \Phi(x \otimes y) \quad \text{for each } a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad .$$

So

$$\|\Phi(x \otimes y)\|^2 \leq \|S\|^2 \cdot \rho_1(T^a x, T^a x) \cdot \rho_2(y, y) \quad .$$

If $\mathcal{U}$ is a non-trivial ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ then

$$\widetilde{\rho}_n(x, y) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{a=-N}^N \rho_n(T^a x, T^a y)$$

are positive Hermitian forms and they satisfy

$$\|\Phi(x \otimes y)\|^2 \leq \|S\|^2 \cdot \widetilde{\rho}_1(x, x) \cdot \widetilde{\rho}_2(y, y) \quad . \quad (**)$$

By definition, $\widetilde{\rho}_n$ is invariant under the shift operator. As in Bochner's theorem on positive definite functions, this implies that $\widetilde{\rho}_n$ must be of the form

$$\tilde{\rho}_n(x, y) = \int \hat{x} \cdot \overline{\hat{y}} \, d\mu_n$$

for some positive measure μ_n on the circle group $\mathbb{T}$ dual to $\mathbb{Z}$. In this case, inequality (**) becomes

$$\int |f_1 \cdot f_2| \, dm \leq \|S\|^2 \cdot \int |f_1| \, d\mu_1 \cdot \int |f_2| \, d\mu_2$$

for $f_1, f_2 \in C(\mathbb{T})$ and the Haar measure m . This certainly implies that m is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$, say $m = g \cdot \mu$ for $g \in L_1(\mu)$. Thus

$$\int |f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot g| \, d\mu \leq \|S\|^2 \cdot \int |f_1| \, d\mu \cdot \int |f_2| \, d\mu \quad .$$

It is readily established that this can only hold if μ is purely atomic and, since m is not purely atomic, this gives a contradiction.

REFERENCES

=====

- [1] T.K. Carne : Tensor products and the geometry of Banach spaces, Thesis, Cambridge (1979).
- [2] T.K. Carne : Not all H' -algebras are operator algebras , Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 86 (1979), 243-249.
- [3] Ph. Charpentier : Q -algèbres et produits tensoriels topologiques, Thèse, Orsay (1973).
- [4] A. Grothendieck : Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo 8 (1956), 1-79.
- [5] A.M. Tonge : Banach algebras and absolutely summing operators, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 80 (1976), 465-473.
- [6] A.M. Tonge : Sur les algèbres de Banach et les opérateurs p -sommants, Exposé No 13, Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1975-76, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau.
- [7] N. Th. Varopoulos, A theorem on operator algebras, Math. Scand. 37 (1975), 173-182.
