

SÉMINAIRE D'ANALYSE FONCTIONNELLE ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

A. BELLOW

**(Appendice n°1) Sufficiently rich sets of stopping times,
measurable cluster points and submartingales**

Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique) (1977-1978), p. 1-11

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF_1977-1978__A22_0>

© Séminaire analyse fonctionnelle (dit "Maurey-Schwartz")
(École Polytechnique), 1977-1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES

PLATEAU DE PALAISEAU - 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX

Téléphone : 941.82.00 - Poste N°

Télex : ECOLEX 691 596 F

S E M I N A I R E S U R L A G E O M E T R I E
D E S E S P A C E S D E B A N A C H

1977-1978

SUFFICIENTLY RICH SETS OF STOPPING TIMES,
MEASURABLE CLUSTER POINTS AND SUBMARTINGALES

A. BELLOW

(Northwestern University)

Sufficiently rich sets of stopping times,
measurable cluster points and submartingales

by A. Bellow*

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a fixed probability space. We denote by N the set of positive integers; $\bar{N} = N \cup \{+\infty\}$. We shall assume in what follows that:

$(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in N}$ is an increasing sequence of sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{F} , i.e.,
 $\mathcal{F}_m \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ for $m \leq n$ and we let

$$\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_n\right);$$

that is, \mathcal{F}_∞ is the σ -field spanned by $\bigcup_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_n$.

A mapping $\theta: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{N}$ is called a stopping time (relative to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in N}$) if $\{\theta = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for each $n \in N$. We associate with θ the σ -field \mathcal{F}_θ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_\theta = \{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty \mid A \cap \{\theta = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ for each } n \in N\};$$

\mathcal{F}_θ is "the σ -field of events prior to time θ ."

We denote by T_f the set of all stopping times σ that are finite a.s., that is, such that $P(\{\sigma < +\infty\}) = 1$. We denote by T the set of all bounded stopping times, that is, the set of all stopping times $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow N$, assuming only finitely many values. Clearly T is a proper subset of T_f . We recall also that if σ, τ belong to T_f , the relation $\sigma \leq \tau$ implies $\mathcal{F}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{F}_\tau$.

Let now S be a subset of T_f . For each $\tau \in T_f$ we define

$$S(\tau) = \{\sigma \in S \mid \sigma \geq \tau\};$$

in particular, for each $n \in N$

$$S(n) = \{\sigma \in S \mid \sigma \geq n\}.$$

For $X \in L^1 = L^1_R(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ we write

*Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation (U.S.A.).

$$\|X\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} |X(\omega)| dP(\omega).$$

We say that a sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of L^1 is L^1 -bounded if

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|X_n\|_1 < +\infty.$$

If $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is a sub- σ -field of \mathcal{F} , we denote by $E^{\mathcal{G}}$ the conditional expectation operator in L^1 .

Below whenever we speak of r.v.'s we shall always mean real-valued random variables.

A sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of r.v.'s is called adapted (relative to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$) if each X_n is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable. If $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an adapted sequence of r.v.'s and if $\tau \in \mathbf{T}_f$, then X_τ denotes the r.v. defined by $(X_\tau)(\omega) = X_{\tau(\omega)}(\omega)$ if $\omega \in \{\tau < +\infty\}$, and $(X_\tau)(\omega) = 0$ otherwise. Note that X_τ is always \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable.

§1. Sufficiently rich sets of stopping times and measurable cluster points

We begin with the following definition:

Definition 1. We say that a set $S \subset \mathbf{T}_f$ is sufficiently rich if:

- a) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $S(n) \neq \emptyset$;
- b) (Localization) For each finite family $(\tau_j)_{j \in J}$ of stopping times with $\tau_j \in S$ (for $j \in J$) and finite partition of Ω , $(A_j)_{j \in J}$ with $A_j \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_j}$ (for $j \in J$), if we set $\tau(\omega) = \tau_j(\omega)$ for $\omega \in A_j$ ($j \in J$), then $\tau \in S$.

Remark. If $S \subset \mathbf{T}_f$ is sufficiently rich, then for any $\sigma \in S, \tau \in S$, the stopping times $\sigma \vee \tau$ and $\sigma \wedge \tau$ belong to S (note that the set $\{\sigma \leq \tau\}$ belongs both to \mathcal{F}_σ and \mathcal{F}_τ).

Examples. 1) The sets \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{T}_f clearly are sufficiently rich.

2) If $S \subset \mathbf{T}$ is sufficiently rich and if S contains the constants, then $S = \mathbf{T}$.

3) Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an adapted sequence of r.v.'s and let $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel set which is recurrent for $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$; this means that a.s. for $\omega \in \Omega$, the sequence $(X_n(\omega))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ visits the set B infinitely many times. Let \mathbf{S} be the set of all $\tau \in \mathbf{T}_f$ with the property that $P(\{X_\tau \in B\}) = 1$. Then the set \mathbf{S} is sufficiently rich.

Definition 2. Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an adapted sequence of r.v.'s and let $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{T}_f$ be a sufficiently rich set of stopping times. We say that a r.v. Y is a measurable cluster point of the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ relative to \mathbf{S} and we write $Y \in \mathfrak{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}; \mathbf{S}]$ if: there is a sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\tau_n \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ such that $X_{\tau_n} \rightarrow Y$ a.s.

Remarks. 1) Suppose $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{T}$. In this case every r.v. Y which coincides a.s. with an \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable one and having the property that a.s. for $\omega \in \Omega$, $Y(\omega)$ is a cluster value of the sequence $(X_n(\omega))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, belongs to $\mathfrak{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}; \mathbf{T}]$ (see for instance Theorem 1 in [4]). We write $\mathfrak{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}] = \mathfrak{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}; \mathbf{T}]$ and we speak of the elements of $\mathfrak{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]$ as the measurable cluster points of the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

2) Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an adapted sequence of r.v.'s and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $P(k)$ be a measurable property that the process $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ might satisfy. We assume that: i) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \text{the process } (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ satisfies } P(k)\}$$

belongs to \mathcal{F}_k . ii) For almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, the process $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $P(k)$ for all k large enough, that is, for all $k \geq k_\omega$ (here the integer k_ω may depend on ω). Let \mathbf{S} be the set of all $\tau \in \mathbf{T}_f$ satisfying: on the set $\{\tau = k\}$ the process $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $P(k)$. Then the set \mathbf{S} is sufficiently rich and it is easily seen that $\mathfrak{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}; \mathbf{S}] = \mathfrak{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]$.

§2. The submartingales associated with X , the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set \mathbf{S}

From now on, through the rest of the paper we shall assume that:

$(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an adapted sequence of elements of L^1 , and $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{T}_f$ is a sufficiently rich set of stopping times such that $X_\tau \in L^1$ for each $\tau \in \mathbf{S}$.

Our starting point is an idea proposed by Baxter (see [2]; see also [4]) which we expand as follows:

Proposition 1. Let $X \in L^1$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\mu_n: \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\mu_n(A) = \inf_A \left\{ \int_A |X - X_\tau| dP \mid \tau \in \mathbf{S}(n) \right\}, \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

There is then a positive submartingale $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (relative to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of course) such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mu_n(A) = \int_A S_n dP, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Proof: The fact that

(1) $\mu_n: \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is finitely additive is an immediate consequence of the "localization" property b) of \mathbf{S} . Note also that if we fix $\tau(n) \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ then

$$(2) \mu_n(A) \leq \int_A |X - X_{\tau(n)}| dP, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Properties (1) and (2) imply in particular that μ_n is countably additive and absolutely continuous with respect to the restriction $P|_{\mathcal{F}_n}$. This yields the existence of $S_n \in L^1(\mathcal{F}_n)$, $S_n \geq 0$ satisfying

$$\mu_n(A) = \int_A S_n dP, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

It is clear that the sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the submartingale property relative to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (for the definition and basic properties of submartingales; see for instance Chap. IV in [8]).

Definition 3. We call the sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Proposition 1 the submartingale of type (I) associated with X, the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set S.

With the notation of Proposition 1 we have:

Corollary 1. The submartingale $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is L^1 -bounded if and only if there is a sequence $(\tau(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\tau(n) \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ such that $(X_{\tau(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is L^1 -bounded. In particular, this is the case if S contains the constants and

$$\liminf_n \|X_n\|_1 < \infty.$$

Proof: Immediate consequence of the definition of μ_n and S_n .

Corollary 2. Suppose that there is a sequence $(\tau(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\tau(n) \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ such that $(X_{\tau(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable. Then the submartingale $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable. In particular this is the case if S contains the constants and if there is a subsequence of $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is uniformly integrable.

Proof: Corollary 2 follows easily from formula (2) (in the proof of Proposition 1) if we note that

$$0 \leq S_n \leq E^{\mathcal{F}_n}(|X|) + E^{\mathcal{F}_n}(|X_{\tau(n)}|), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and if we recall that whenever $\mathcal{H} \subset L^1$ is uniformly integrable, then the set

$$\{E^{\mathcal{G}}(Y) \mid Y \in \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F} \text{ an arbitrary sub-}\sigma\text{-field}\}$$

is also uniformly integrable (for an elegant treatment of uniform integrability see [7], pp. 16-17).

Corollary 3. Assume that the submartingale $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is L^1 -bounded. Then: i) For each $\sigma \in \mathbf{T}_f$, S_σ is integrable; ii) if $\sigma \in \mathbf{T}_f$ is such that $S(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$ then we also have

$$(3) \int_A S_\sigma dP \leq \inf_A \left\{ \int |X - X_\tau| dP \mid \tau \in \mathbf{S}(\sigma) \right\}, \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma.$$

Proof: i) is an immediate consequence of the L^1 -boundedness of $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the submartingale property.

ii) Let $\sigma \in \mathbf{T}_f$ such that $\mathbf{S}(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$ and let $A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$. Take any $\tau \in \mathbf{S}(\sigma)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $A_n = A \cap \{\sigma = n\}$. Then $A_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and $A_n \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{F}_\tau$; choose now $\sigma_n \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ and define

$$\tau_n(\omega) = \tau(\omega) \quad \text{for } \omega \in A_n, \quad \tau_n(\omega) = \sigma_n(\omega) \quad \text{for } \omega \in (A_n)^c.$$

Clearly $\tau_n \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A S_\sigma dP &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_n} S_n dP \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_n} |X - X_{\tau_n}| dP = \int_A |X - X_\tau| dP \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3).

Remarks. 1) If $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}$, then clearly $\mathbf{S}(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$.

2) With the notation of Corollary 3, if σ assumes only finitely many values, i.e. if $\sigma \in \mathbf{T}$, then as is easily seen, we actually have equality in (3).

We now show how one can associate a second type of submartingale with X , the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set \mathbf{S} :

Proposition 2. Let $X \in L^1$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\gamma_n: \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\gamma_n(A) = \inf_A \left\{ \int |X - (X_\sigma - X_\tau)| dP \mid \sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{S}(n) \right\},$$

for $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$. There is then a positive submartingale $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (relative to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of course) such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\gamma_n(A) = \int_A G_n dP, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

The submartingale $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is always L^1 -bounded and even uniformly integrable.

Proof: We note that (take $\sigma = \tau$)

$$(4) \quad \gamma_n(A) \leq \int_A |X| dP, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

The existence of the submartingale $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ follows by an argument similar to that used in the proof of Proposition 1. The L^1 -boundedness of $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and even the uniform integrability of $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ follow from inequality (4) (see the argument in the proof of Corollary 2 above).

Definition 4. We call the sequence $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Proposition 2 the submartingale of type (II) associated with X, the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set S.

§3. The main result: Submartingale characterization of measurable cluster points.

The result is the following:

Theorem 1. Suppose that there is a sequence $(\tau(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\tau(n) \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ such that $(X_{\tau(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is L^1 -bounded. Let $Y \in L^1$ and let $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the submartingale of type (I) associated with Y, the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set S. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) The r.v. Y is a measurable cluster point of the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ relative to S, that is, $Y \in \mathcal{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}; \mathbf{S}]$.

(ii) The submartingale $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero a.s.

Proof: (ii) \Rightarrow (i). By assumption $S_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability. Thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find an integer $k(n) \geq n$ and a set $A(n) \in \mathcal{F}_{k(n)}$ such that

$$\mu_{k(n)}(A(n)) = \int_{A(n)} S_{k(n)} dP < \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad P((A(n))^c) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

By the definition of $\mu_{k(n)}$ there is then $\tau_n \in \mathbf{S}(k(n))$ such that

$$\int_{A(n)} |Y - X_{\tau_n}| dP < \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and of course} \quad P((A(n))^c) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

It is then clear that $\tau_n \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $X_{\tau_n} \rightarrow Y$ in probability.

Thus $Y \in \mathcal{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}; \mathbf{S}]$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $(\xi(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence with $\xi(n) \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ such that $X_{\xi(n)} \rightarrow Y$ a.s.

By Corollary 1 in Section 2, the submartingale $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is L^1 -bounded and hence by the "Doob a.s. convergence theorem for submartingales" (see for instance [8], p. 63), $\lim_n S_n(\omega)$ exists a.s.; to identify the limit it suffices to show that for some sequence of stopping times $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\sigma_k \in \mathbf{S}(k)$ we have

(1) $S_{\sigma_k} \rightarrow 0$ in probability.

By assumption Y is integrable and Y coincides a.s. with an \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable r.v.; hence if we let $Y_n = E_n^{\mathcal{F}}(Y)$, then $\|Y - Y_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ (see for instance [8], pp. 103-104). In particular then $Y_n - X_{\xi(n)} \rightarrow 0$ in probability. Choose now an increasing sequence of integers (n_k) such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \|Y - Y_{n_k}\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{k} \\ P(\{|Y_{n_k} - X_{\xi(n_k)}| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}) \leq \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases}$$

Since Y_{n_k} is \mathcal{F}_{n_k} -measurable and $n_k \leq \xi(n_k)$, the set $B(k) = \{|Y_{n_k} - X_{\xi(n_k)}| < \frac{1}{k}\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\xi(n_k)}$. Using Corollary 3 in Section 2 and

(2) above we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(k)} S_{\xi(n_k)} dP &\leq \int_{B(k)} |Y - X_{\xi(n_k)}| dP \leq \frac{1}{k} + \int_{B(k)} |Y_{n_k} - X_{\xi(n_k)}| dP \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} = \frac{2}{k} \end{aligned}$$

and of course $P((B(k))^c) \leq 1/k$. Setting $\sigma_k = \xi(n_k)$ yields (1) and thus finishes the proof.

Remark. The above theorem gives (under suitable assumptions) a characterization of the integrable elements $Y \in \mathcal{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}; \mathbf{S}]$. This extends Theorem 1 of [4].

§4. Consequences

From Theorem 1 we easily obtain the following result which generalizes a theorem of Baxter [2] (see also Theorem 2 of [4]):

Theorem 2. Suppose that there is a sequence $(\tau(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\tau(n) \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ such that $(X_{\tau(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is L^1 -bounded. Let Y and Z be integrable elements of $\mathfrak{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}; \mathbf{S}]$. Then the submartingale of type (II) associated with $X = Y - Z$, the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set \mathbf{S} is identically zero and hence there are sequences $(\sigma'(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\sigma''(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\sigma'(k) \in \mathbf{S}(k)$, $\sigma''(k) \in \mathbf{S}(k)$ such that

$$\lim_k \|(Y - Z) - (X_{\sigma'(k)} - X_{\sigma''(k)})\|_1 = 0.$$

Proof: Let $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ — respectively $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ — be the submartingales of type (I) associated with Y , the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set \mathbf{S} — respectively with Z , the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set \mathbf{S} . Let $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the submartingale of type (II) associated with $X = Y - Z$, the sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set \mathbf{S} . Now S_n, T_n, G_n correspond respectively to the set functions μ_n, ν_n and γ_n defined on \mathcal{F}_n . From the obvious inequality $\gamma_n \leq \mu_n + \nu_n$ follows that $0 \leq G_n \leq S_n + T_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 1 in Section 3, $\lim_n S_n(\omega) = \lim_n T_n(\omega) = 0$ a.s. We deduce that

$$\lim_n G_n(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

But $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable by Proposition 2 in Section 2; as the sequence $(\int G_n dP)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ increases and must converge to zero, we deduce the desired conclusion: $G_n = 0$ a.s. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We shall need two more observations which we state in the form of lemmas:

Lemma 1. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sup_{\substack{(\sigma, \tau) \\ \sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{S}(n)}} \int (X_\tau - X_\sigma) dP = \sup_{\substack{(\sigma, \tau) \\ \sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{S} \\ \tau \geq \sigma \geq n}} \int |E^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}(X_\tau) - X_\sigma| dP$$

Proof: Easy: Note that for $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{S}$, the set $A = \{\sigma \leq \tau\}$ belongs to both \mathcal{F}_σ and \mathcal{F}_τ [respectively, for $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{S}$ with $\tau \geq \sigma$, the set $B = \{X_\sigma \leq E^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}(X_\tau)\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{F}_\tau$] and then use the "localization" property b) of \mathbf{S} .

Lemma 2. Let Y and Z be elements of $\mathcal{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}; \mathbf{S}]$. Then $Y \vee Z$ and $Y \wedge Z$ also belong to $\mathcal{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}; \mathbf{S}]$.

Proof: Elementary (use again the "localization" property of \mathbf{S}).

Using Lemmas 1 and 2 we may easily derive the following corollary of Theorem 2 which extends the "Generalized Fatou Inequality" of Chacon ([5]; see also [2] and [4]):

Theorem 3 (Generalized Fatou Inequality). Suppose that there is a sequence $(\tau(n))_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ with $\tau(n) \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ such that $(X_{\tau(n)})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is L^1 -bounded. Let Y and Z be integrable elements of $\mathcal{M}^c[(X_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}; \mathbf{S}]$. Then we have for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$:

$$(I) \quad \int (Y - Z) dP \leq \sup_{\substack{(\sigma, \tau) \\ \sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{S}(n)}} \int (X_\tau - X_\sigma) dP;$$

or alternatively,

$$(I') \quad \int |Y - Z| dP \leq \sup_{\substack{(\sigma, \tau) \\ \sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{S} \\ \tau \geq \sigma \geq n}} \int |E^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}(X_\tau) - X_\sigma| dP.$$

Remarks. 1) For other related results, such as the "amart convergence theorem" see for instance [4] (see also [1],[6],[3]).

2) Further applications of the above techniques will be given in a forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgment. I am indebted to J. L. Doob for comments that considerably improved the terminology of this paper.

Bibliography

- [1] Austin, D. G., Edgar, G. A., and Ionescu Tulcea, A., Pointwise convergence in terms of expectations, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete, 30 (1974), 17-26.
- [2] Baxter, J. R., Convergence of stopped random variables, Adv. Math., 21 (1976), 112-115.
- [3] Bellow, A., Several stability properties of the class of asymptotic martingales, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete, 37 (1977), 275-290.
- [4] Bellow, A., Submartingale characterization of measurable cluster points, Adv. Probability, vol. IV (1978).
- [5] Chacon, R. V., A "stopped" proof of convergence, Adv. Math., 14 (1974), 365-368.
- [6] Edgar, G. A. and Sucheston, L., Amarts: A class of asymptotic martingales. A. Discrete parameter, J. Multivariate Analysis, 6 (1976), 193-221.
- [7] Meyer, P. A., Probability and potentials, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1966.
- [8] Neveu, J., Martingales à temps discret, Masson, Paris, 1972.
- [9] Sudderth, W. D., A "Fatou Equation" for randomly stopped variables, Ann. Math. Stat., 42 (1971), 2143-2146.
