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Abstract - In this paper we prove the existence of two solutions of the nonhomogeneous Neumann problem (1.1) involving a critical Sobolev exponent. It is assumed that the coefficient $Q$ is positive and smooth on $\Omega$ and $\lambda>0$ is a parameter which does not belong to the spectrum of $-\Delta$. We examine the common effect of the mean curvature of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ and the shape of the graph of the coefficient $Q$ on the existence of a second solution.

## 1. Introduction.

In this paper, we study the existence of multiple solutions of the superlinear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda u+Q(x) u_{+}^{2^{*}-1}+f(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial v} u(x)=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}, N \geqslant 3$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\lambda \geqslant 0$ is a parameter and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary
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$\partial \Omega$. We assume that the coefficient $Q$ is smooth and positive on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $f \in L^{r}(\Omega)$ with $r>N$. We use the notation $u_{+}=\max (u, 0)$.

This problem belongs to a class of problems referred to as the Ambro-setti-Prodi type. More precisely, in the case of the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=g(u)+f(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u \quad=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

the limits

$$
g_{-}=\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} \quad \text { and } \quad g_{+}=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(s)}{s}
$$

play an important role. We can basically distinguish three types of problems using the location of $g_{-}$and $g_{+}$with respect to the spectrum of the operator $-\Delta$ with the Dirichlet boundary conditions. Denoting by $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ the sequence of the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ with the Dirichlet boundary conditions, the following types of problems have been considered:
(I) $-\infty \leqslant g_{-}<\lambda_{1}<g_{+} \leqslant+\infty$,
(II) $g_{-}$and $g_{+}$are both finite and the interval $\left(g_{-}, g_{+}\right)$contains an eigenvalue. In this case the problem is asymptotically linear,
(III) $g_{-}$lies between two consecutive eigenvalues and $g_{+}=+\infty$.

We refer to the paper [12] where the extensive bibliography concerning these problems can be found. We point out here that conditions (I) and (III) cover the cases of subcritical, critical and supercritical growth for $g$. In the case of the Neumann problem the literature is rather scarce. In this paper we consider the nonlinear Neumann problem of type (III) with the nonlinearity of one-sided critical growth. We follow some ideas from [12], which considered a similar problem with the Dirichlet boundary conditions. First we consider the case $\lambda>0$. The case $\lambda=0$ will be treated separately.

Problem (1.1) may have constant solutions in contrast to the Dirichlet problem. We now discuss a number of conditions guaranteeing that a positive solution of (1.1) is not constant. If for some $\lambda>0$ and a constant $c>0$, the functions $Q$ and $f$ satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda c+Q(x) c^{2^{*}-1}+f(x)=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in \Omega$, then $u=c$ is a solution of (1.1). If $f$ and $Q$ are differentiable on some open subset of $\Omega$ then the following condition
(a) $\nabla f(\bar{x})$ is not parallel to $\nabla Q(\bar{x})$ for some $\bar{x} \in \Omega$
ensures that a positive solution of (1.1) is not constant. If $f$ and $Q$ are not differentiable we can proceed as follows. Integrating the equation (*) we get
(**)

$$
\lambda c|\Omega|+c^{2^{*}-1} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) d x+\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x=0
$$

where $|\Omega|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $\Omega$. From (*) and (**) we derive the equation

$$
c^{2^{*}-1}\left(Q(x)|\Omega|-\int_{\Omega} Q(x) d x\right)+\left(f(x)|\Omega|-\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x\right)=0
$$

We immediately obtain a contradiction if
(b) either $Q(x)=$ const and $f(x) \neq$ const, or $Q(x) \neq$ const and $f(x)=$ const .

If both functions $Q(x)$ and $f(x)$ are not constant we define a set

$$
\Omega_{0}=\left\{x ; \frac{1}{|\Omega|_{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) d x=Q(x)\right\}
$$

which is nonempty. Then a positive solution cannot be constant if
(c) either $f(x)=\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x) d x$ for all $x \in \Omega-\Omega_{0}$, or $f(x) \neq$ $\neq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x) d x$ for some $x \in \Omega_{0}$.

Finally, if (c) does not hold we require
(d) the ratio

$$
\frac{f(x)|\Omega|-\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x}{\int_{\Omega} Q(x) d x-Q(x)|\Omega|}
$$

is either not constant on $\Omega-\Omega_{0}$, or it is constant and nonpositive on $\Omega-\Omega_{0}$.

Therefore one of these conditions will be assumed throughout this work.

We assume that $f(x)=t+h(x)$, where $t$ is a constant and $h \in L^{r}(\Omega)$ with $r>N$. We start by finding a negative solution of (1.1). We denote by $\lambda_{1}=0<\lambda_{2}<\ldots$ the sequence of eigenvalues for $-\Delta$ with the Neumann boundary conditions. The first eigenvalue is simple and has constant eigenfunctions.

Let $\lambda \neq \lambda_{k}$ for every $k$. Then there exists a unique solution $u_{0} \in$ $\in H^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda u+h(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

The function $u_{t}=-\frac{t}{\lambda}+u_{0}$, with $t>\lambda \sup _{\Omega}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|$ is negative and satisfies (1.1). We look for a second solution of the form $u=v+u_{t}$, where $v$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta v=\lambda v+Q(x)\left(v+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Problem (1.2) will be solved through the min-max based on a topological linking. To this end, we define a variational functional

$$
J(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}-\lambda v^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(v+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x
$$

for $v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. In the next section we examine Palais-Smale sequences for $J$. In particular, we find the energy level of the functional $J$ below which the Palais-Smale condition holds. In Section 3 we verify that the functional $J$ has the geometry of a topological linking. Conditions guaranteeing the existence of critical points of $J$ will be given in Sections 4 and 5 . The existence results of this section depend on a relation between $Q_{m}=\max _{x \in \partial \Omega} Q(x)$ and $Q_{M}=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} Q(x)$. Section 6 is devoted to the case $\lambda=0$. The existence of a critical point in this case is obtained through the implicit function theorem. The distinction of two cases involving the quantities $Q_{M}$ and $Q_{m}$ envisaged in Section 4 disappears in the case $\lambda=0$.

## 2. The Palais-Smale condition.

We need two quantities:

$$
Q_{m}=\max _{x \in \partial \Omega} Q(x) \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{M}=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} Q(x) .
$$

We set

$$
S_{\infty}=\min \left(\frac{S^{N / 2}}{N Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2}}, \frac{S^{N / 2}}{2 N Q_{m}^{(N-2) / 2}}\right)
$$

where $S$ denotes the best Sobolev constant, that is,

$$
S=\inf _{u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)-\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u(x)|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}} .
$$

Here $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes a Sobolev space obtained as the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

In what follows, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in $H^{1}(\Omega)$, which is given by

$$
\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x
$$

In this paper we frequently use the Sobolev inequality:

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leqslant C_{s} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x
$$

for all $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, where $C_{s}>0$ is a constant.
Proposition 2.1. Let $\lambda_{k}<\lambda<\lambda_{k+1}$. If

$$
J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c<S_{\infty} \quad \text { and } \quad J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } \quad H^{-1}(\Omega)
$$

then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is relatively compact in $H^{1}(\Omega)$.
Proof. We commence by showing that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. We write

$$
u_{n}=u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{+}, \quad u_{n}^{-} \in E^{-} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{n}^{+} \in E^{+}
$$

where

$$
E^{-}=\text {span of all eigenfunctions corresponding to } \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k},
$$

and $E^{+}=\left(E^{-}\right)^{\perp}$. If $\phi \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, then
(2.1) $\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \phi d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} u_{n} \phi d x=\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} \phi d x+\varepsilon_{n}\|\phi\|$
with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Taking $\phi=u_{n}{ }^{+}$, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{+}\right|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}=\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{n}^{+} d x+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|
$$

Let $\delta>0$ be such that $\lambda+\delta<\lambda_{k+1}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-\frac{\lambda+\delta}{\lambda_{k+1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} d x & +\delta \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} d x \leqslant  \tag{2.2}\\
& \leqslant \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{n}^{+} d x+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

We now use (2.1) with $\phi=u_{n}^{-}$and let $\delta_{1}>0$ be such that $\lambda-\delta_{1}>\lambda_{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\lambda-\delta_{1}}{\lambda_{k}}-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{-}\right|^{2} d x+\delta_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} d x \leqslant  \tag{2.3}\\
& \\
& \leqslant-\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{n}^{-} d x+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand for $n \geqslant n_{0}$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & +\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|+1 \geqslant J\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{n} d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{t} d x \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the Young inequality, we deduce from (2.2) and the above
estimate that for $\eta>0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 2.4) }\left(1-\frac{\lambda+\delta}{\lambda_{k+1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} d x+\delta \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} d x \leqslant  \tag{2.4}\\
& \leqslant \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{n}^{+} d x+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\| \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \eta\left(\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}+C_{\eta}\left(\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2\left(2^{*}-1\right) / 2^{*}} d x+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\| \\
& \leqslant C_{s} Q_{M}^{2 / 2^{*}} \eta\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}+C_{1}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{(N+2) / N}+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\| \\
& \leqslant C_{s} Q_{M}^{2 / 2^{*}} \eta\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}+C_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{(N+2) / N}+C_{2}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|+C_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constants $C_{1}>0, C_{2}>0$ and $C_{3}>0$. In a similar way, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\lambda-\delta_{1}}{\lambda_{k}}-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{-}\right|^{2} d x+\delta_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} d x \leqslant  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad \leqslant C_{s} Q_{M}^{2 / 2^{*}} \eta\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}+C_{4}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{(N+2) / N}+\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|+1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $C_{4}>0$. Estimates (2.4) and (2.5) imply that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. We may therefore assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. By the concentration-compactness principle there exist sequences of points $\left\{x_{j}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, sequences of numbers $\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*} *} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup}|u|^{2^{*}}+\sum_{j} v_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}
$$

and

$$
\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup}|\nabla u|^{2}+\sum_{j} \mu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}
$$

in the sense of measures, where

$$
S v_{j}^{2 / 2^{*}} \leqslant \mu_{j} \quad \text { if } x_{j} \in \Omega
$$

and

$$
\frac{S v_{j}^{2 / 2^{*}}}{2^{2 / N}} \leqslant \mu_{j} \quad \text { if } x_{j} \in \partial \Omega
$$

Fix $x_{j}$. Let $\left\{\phi_{\delta}\right\}$ be a family of smooth and positive functions concentrating at $x_{j}$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Then using the Brézis-Lieb Lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \phi_{\delta} d x & +\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} u_{n} \nabla \phi_{\delta} d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{2} \phi_{\delta} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{n} \phi_{\delta} d x+o(1) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} \phi_{\delta} d x-\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{t} \phi_{\delta} d x+o(1) \\
& \leqslant \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left|u_{n}+u_{t}\right|^{2^{*}} \phi_{\delta} d x-\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{t} \phi_{\delta} d x+o(1) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} \phi_{\delta} d x-\int_{\Omega} Q(x)|u|^{2^{*}} \phi_{\delta} d x+\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left|u+u_{t}\right|^{2^{*}} \phi_{\delta} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*-1}} u_{t} \phi_{\delta} d x+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we deduce that in both cases $x_{j} \in \partial \Omega$ and $x_{j} \in \Omega$,

$$
\mu_{j} \leqslant Q\left(x_{j}\right) v_{j}
$$

If $\mu_{j}>0$ for some $x_{j}$, then

$$
\mu_{j} \geqslant \frac{S^{N / 2}}{Q\left(x_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}} \quad \text { if } x_{j} \in \Omega \text { and } \mu_{j} \geqslant \frac{S^{N / 2}}{2 Q\left(x_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}} \text { if } x_{j} \in \partial \Omega
$$

We now write

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(u_{n}\right) & -\frac{1}{2^{*}}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}-\lambda u_{n}^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{n}+o(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}-\lambda u_{n}^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u_{t} d x+o(1) \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}-\lambda u_{n}^{2}\right) d x+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u$ is a solution of (1.1) we also have

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-\lambda u^{2}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} u & d x= \\
& =\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*-1}} u_{+} d x \geqslant 0 .
\end{array}
$$

We aim to show that $\mu_{j}=0$ for every $j$. If not, the concentration-compactness principle implies that

$$
c \geqslant \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-\lambda u^{2}\right) d x+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \mu_{j} \geqslant \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \mu_{j}
$$

If $\mu_{j}>0$ for some $j$ with $x_{j} \in \partial \Omega$, then

$$
c \geqslant \frac{1}{2 N} \frac{S^{N / 2}}{Q\left(x_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}} \geqslant \frac{1}{2 N} \frac{S^{N / 2}}{Q_{m}^{(N-2) / 2}}
$$

This is obviously impossible. Similarly if $\mu_{j}>0$ for some $j$ with $x_{j} \in \Omega$. Thus

$$
\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u_{n}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x
$$

and also

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

and the result follows.

## 3. Topological linking.

We assume that $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k+1}\right)$. Let

$$
E^{-}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{l}\right\},
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{l}$ are eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$. We set $E^{+}=\left(E^{-}\right)^{\perp}$. Let

$$
S_{\varrho}=\partial B_{\varrho} \cap E^{+} \text {and } D=[0, R e] \oplus\left(B_{r} \cap E^{-}\right), \quad e \in E^{+}
$$

where $B_{r}$ denotes the ball of radius $r$ with centre at 0 . To apply a topological linking we need to verify that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.J\right|_{S_{Q}} \geqslant \alpha>0, \quad \varrho<R \\
\left.J\right|_{\partial D}<\alpha \quad \text { and } \quad \max _{u \in D} J(u)<S_{\infty} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3.1. There exist $\varrho_{0}>0$ and $\alpha:\left(0, \varrho_{0}\right] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
J(u) \geqslant \alpha(\varrho) \quad \text { for every } \quad v \in S_{\varrho} .
$$

Proof. We choose $\eta>0$ so that $\lambda_{k}<\lambda+\eta<\lambda_{k+1}$. Then

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geqslant \lambda_{k+1} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x
$$

for every $u \in E^{+}$. Since $u_{t}<0$ on $\Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) & \geqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1-\frac{\lambda+\eta}{\lambda_{k+1}}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\eta \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-C_{s}^{-2^{* / 2}} Q_{M}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x\right)^{2^{* / 2}} \\
& \geqslant \beta \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x-C_{s}^{-2^{* / 2}} Q_{M}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x\right)^{2^{* / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\beta=\min \left(1-\frac{\lambda+\eta}{\lambda_{k+1}}, \eta\right)
$$

Letting $\varrho=\|u\|$ we obtain the following estimate

$$
J(u) \geqslant \beta \varrho^{2}-C_{s}^{-2^{* / 2}} Q_{M} \varrho^{2^{*}}
$$

To complete the proof we set

$$
\alpha(\varrho)=\beta \varrho^{2}-C_{s}^{-2^{*} / 2} Q_{M} \varrho^{2^{*}}
$$

with $\varrho_{0}>0$ such that $\varrho_{0}^{2}-C_{s}^{-2^{*} / 2} Q_{M} \varrho_{0}^{2^{*}}>0$.
From now on, we use the instanton

$$
U_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{c_{N} \varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}}{\left(\varepsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{N-2 / 2}}
$$

in the definition of the set $D$, where $c_{N}>0$ is a constant and we set $e_{\varepsilon}=P^{+} U_{\varepsilon}$. It is well-known that $U=U_{1}$ satisfies the equation

$$
-\Delta U=U^{2^{*-1}} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

and moreover

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{2^{*}} d x=S^{N / 2}
$$

With the choice of $e=e_{\varepsilon}$ we verify the remaining conditions of the topological linking. Without loss of generality we may assume in Lemma 3.2 below that $0 \in \Omega$.

Lemma 3.2. There exist $r_{0}>0, R_{0}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for $r \geqslant r_{0}, R \geqslant R_{0}$ and $0<\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ we have

$$
J(u)<\alpha \text { for every } u \in \partial D
$$

Proof. We set

$$
\partial D=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma_{3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{1}=\bar{B}_{r} \cap E^{-}, \\
\Gamma_{2}=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega) ; v=w+s e_{\varepsilon}, w \in E^{-},\|w\|=r, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant R\right\} \\
\Gamma_{3}=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega) ; v=w+R e_{\varepsilon}, w \in E^{-} \cap B_{r}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $v \in E^{-}$we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \leqslant \lambda_{k} \int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x
$$

and

$$
J(v) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(v+u_{t}\right)^{2^{*}} d x \leqslant 0
$$

We now consider $\Gamma_{2}$. Let $v \in \Gamma_{2}$ and define

$$
\delta^{2}=\sup _{0<\varepsilon \leqslant 1} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x
$$

Let $r^{2}=\|\nabla w\|^{2}$ and choose $\eta_{1}>0$ so that $\lambda_{k}<\lambda-\eta_{1}$. Then for $v=w+$ $+s e_{\varepsilon}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(v) & \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla w|^{2} d x-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} w^{2} d x+\frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\lambda-\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla w|^{2} d x-\frac{\eta_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega} w^{2} d x+\frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\eta_{2}=\max \left(1-\frac{\lambda-\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{k}},-\frac{\eta_{1}}{2}\right)<0$. We then have

$$
J(v) \leqslant \eta_{2} r^{2}+\frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x
$$

We set $s_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{2 \alpha}}{\delta}$. Then $J(v) \leqslant \alpha$ for $0 \leqslant s \leqslant s_{0}$. We now consider the
case $s>s_{0}$. Put

$$
K=\sup \left\{\left\|\frac{w+u_{t}}{s}\right\|_{\infty} ; s_{0} \leqslant s \leqslant R,\|w\|=r, w \in E^{-}\right\}
$$

We now estimate $P^{-} U_{\varepsilon}$. Let

$$
P^{-} U_{\varepsilon}=\sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_{j} e_{j}, \quad \alpha_{j}=\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon} e_{j}(x) d x
$$

Since the first eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda=0$ is constant, we see that $P^{-} U_{\varepsilon} \not \equiv 0$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P^{-} U_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_{j}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon} e_{j} d x\right)^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{l}\left\|e_{j}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\left\|U_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1}^{2} \leqslant C \varepsilon^{N-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{+} U_{\varepsilon}(0) & =U_{\varepsilon}(0)-P^{-} U_{\varepsilon}(0) \\
& \geqslant C \varepsilon^{-(N-2) / 2}-\left\|P^{-} U_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant C \varepsilon^{-(N-2) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the continuity of $P^{+} U_{\varepsilon}$ there exists a $\delta=\delta(K)$ such that

$$
B_{\delta}(0) \subset\left\{x \in \Omega ; P^{+} U_{\varepsilon}(x)>K\right\} .
$$

We also need the following inequality: if $\omega \subset \Omega$ and $u+v>0$ on $\omega$, then

$$
\left.\left|\int_{\omega}(u+v)^{p} d x-\int_{\omega}\right| u\right|^{p} d x-\int_{\omega}|v|^{p} d x \mid \leqslant C \int_{\omega}\left(|u|^{p-1}|v|+|u||v|^{p-1}\right) d x
$$

where $C=C(p)$. We apply this estimate with $\omega=\Omega_{\varepsilon}$, where

$$
\Omega_{\varepsilon}=\left\{x \in \Omega ; P^{+} U_{\varepsilon}(x)>K\right\}
$$

Letting $Q_{*}=\min _{x \in \Omega} Q(x)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(\frac{w+u_{t}}{s}+e_{\varepsilon}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x & \geqslant Q_{*} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(e_{\varepsilon}+\frac{w+u_{t}}{s}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x \\
& \geqslant Q_{*}\left(\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\frac{w+u_{t}}{s}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right. \\
& \left.-C \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\left|e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}-1}\left|\frac{w+u_{t}}{s}\right|+\left|e_{\varepsilon}\right|\left|\frac{w+u_{t}}{s}\right|^{2^{*}-1}\right) d x\right) \\
& \geqslant Q_{*}\left(\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left|e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\frac{w+u_{t}}{s}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right) \\
& -C_{1}\left(\left\|e_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}-1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2^{*}-1}+\left\|e_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left\|P^{+} U_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}-1}}^{2^{*}-1} \leqslant C \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|P^{+} U_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leqslant C \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}
$$

we deduce from the previous estimate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(v) & \leqslant \eta_{2} r^{2}+\frac{s^{2}}{2} S^{N / 2}-\frac{s^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} Q_{*} S^{N / 2}+C s^{2^{*}} \varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2} \\
& =\eta_{2} r^{2}+\Phi_{\varepsilon}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(s) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{S^{N / 2}}{Q_{*} S^{N / 2}}\right)^{1 / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right)
$$

Increasing $r$, if necessary, we get

$$
J(v)<0 \quad \text { for } \quad v \in \Gamma_{2}
$$

If $v \in \Gamma_{3}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(v) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla w|^{2} d x+\frac{R^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{R^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left(e_{\varepsilon}+\frac{w+u_{t}}{R}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K>0$ be such that $\left\|w+u_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant K$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ (small enough) such that $P^{+} e_{\varepsilon}(0)>2 K$ for every $0<\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$. Then for
every $0<\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ we can find $R_{0}=R_{0}(\varepsilon)$ and $\eta=\eta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\left|\left\{x \in \Omega ; e_{\varepsilon}+\frac{w+e_{\varepsilon}}{R}>1\right\}\right| \geqslant \eta
$$

for $R \geqslant R_{0}$. Hence $J(v) \leqslant 0$ for $v \in \Gamma_{3}$ for $\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ and $R \geqslant R_{0}$.

## 4. Case $Q_{M}<2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}$.

Let $H(y)$ denote the mean curvature of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ at $y \in \partial \Omega$. Throughout this section we assume that:
(A) the coefficient $Q$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
Q_{M}<2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}
$$

and $|Q(x)-Q(y)|=o(|x-y|)$ for some $y \in \partial \Omega$ with $Q(y)=Q_{m}, H(y)>$ $>0$ and $x$ close to $y$.

Obviously in this case we have $S_{\infty}=\frac{S^{N / 2}}{2 N Q_{m}^{(N-2) / 2}}$.
Proposition 4.1. Let $N \geqslant 5$ and suppose that (A) holds. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{v \in D} J(v)<\frac{S^{N / 2}}{2 N Q_{m}^{(N-2) / 2}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $y=0$. Let $v \in D$. Then $v=w+s e_{\varepsilon}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(w+s e_{\varepsilon}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}-\lambda w^{2}\right) d x+\frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}-\lambda e_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(w+s e_{\varepsilon}+u_{t}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

For $0<s \leqslant s_{0}$, with $s_{0}$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
J\left(w+s e_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x \leqslant \frac{S^{N / 2}}{2 N Q_{m}^{(N-2) / 2}}
$$

If $s \geqslant s_{0}$, then repeating the estimates from Lemma 3.2 we get

$$
J\left(w+s e_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}-\lambda e_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) d x-\frac{s^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) e_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} d x+C s^{2^{*}} \varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}
$$

for some constant $C>0$. Hence

$$
J\left(w+s e_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}-\lambda e_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) d x\right)^{N / 2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left|e_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{(N-2) / 2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|P^{+} U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x=\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} d x+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla P^{+} U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)
$$

we obtain

$$
J\left(w+s e_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}-\lambda U_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) d x\right)^{N / 2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} Q(x) U_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{(N-2) / 2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right)
$$

We need the following asymptotic formulas (see [17])

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x=\frac{K_{1}}{2}-I(\varepsilon)+o(\varepsilon) \\
\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} d x=\frac{K_{2}}{2}-\Pi(\varepsilon)+o(\varepsilon)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $K_{1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{2} d x, K_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{2^{*}} d x, S=K_{1} / K_{2}^{(N-2) / N}, I(\varepsilon)=O(\varepsilon)$ and $\Pi(\varepsilon)=O(\varepsilon)$. Moreover, we have (see (3.17) in [17])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{I(\varepsilon)}{\Pi(\varepsilon)}>\frac{N-2}{N} \frac{K_{2}}{K_{1}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption ( $A$ ) we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} Q(x) U_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} d x=\frac{Q_{m} K_{2}}{2}+o(\varepsilon)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(w+s e_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\frac{K_{1}}{2}-I(\varepsilon)+o(\varepsilon)\right)^{N / 2}}{\left(\frac{Q_{m} K_{2}}{2}-\Pi(\varepsilon) Q_{m}+o(\varepsilon)\right)^{(N-2) / 2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (4.2) we can find an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and a $\varrho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\varepsilon)>\frac{N-2}{N} \frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}} \Pi(\varepsilon)+\varrho \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$. It then folllows from (4.3) and (4.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(w+s e_{\varepsilon}\right) & \leqslant\left(\left(\frac{K_{1}}{2}\right)^{N / 2}-\frac{N}{2}\left(\frac{K_{1}}{2}\right)^{(N-2) / 2} I(\varepsilon)+o(\varepsilon)\right) \\
& \times\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} K_{2} Q_{m}\right)^{-(N-2) / 2}+\frac{N-2}{2} Q_{m} \Pi(\varepsilon)\left(\frac{1}{2} K_{2} Q_{m}\right)^{-N / 2}+o(\varepsilon)\right) \\
& <\frac{S^{N / 2}}{2 N Q_{m}^{(N-2) / 2}}-C \varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ and the result follows.
We are now in a position to formulate the following result
Theorem 4.2. Suppose that the assumptions of Proposition 4.1 hold. Then problem (4.1) has at least two solutions.
5. Case $Q_{M} \geqslant 2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}$.

If $Q_{M} \geqslant 2^{(2 / N)-2} Q_{m}$, then $S_{\infty}=\frac{S^{N / 2}}{N Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2}}$. We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Q(x)-Q(y)|=o\left(|x-y|^{2}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $y \in \Omega$ with $Q(y)=Q_{M}$ and $x$ close to $y$.
Assuming that $y=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x=K_{1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right) \\
& \int_{\Omega} Q(x) U_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} d x=K_{2} Q_{M}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} U_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x \geqslant c_{1} \varepsilon^{2}
$$

for some constant $c_{1}>0$ independent of $\varepsilon$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(w+s e_{\varepsilon}\right) & \leqslant \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla U_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}-\lambda U_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) d x\right)^{N / 2}}{N\left(\int_{\Omega} Q(x) U_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{(N-2) / 2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{\left(K_{1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-2}\right)-c_{1} \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{N / 2}}{N\left(K_{2} Q_{M}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)^{(N-2) / 2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-2) / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $N \geqslant 7$, taking $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, we can check that

$$
\max _{v \in D} J(v) S^{N / 2} / Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2}
$$

THEOREM 5.1. Let $N \geqslant 7$. Suppose that $Q_{M} \geqslant 2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}$ and that (5.1) holds. Then problem (1.1) has two solutions.
6. Existence of solutions in the case $\lambda=0$.

In this case problem (1.1) takes the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u \quad=Q(x) u_{+}^{2^{*}-1}+f(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{6.1}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial v} u(x)=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously a necessary condition for the existence of a solution of problem (6.1) is the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x<0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda=0$ are constant, we decompose $H^{1}(\Omega)$ as $H^{1}(\Omega)=\operatorname{span}\{1\} \oplus E^{+}$, where

$$
E^{+}=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega) ; \int_{\Omega} v d x=0\right\}
$$

Thus for every function $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ we have $u=t+v$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and
$\int_{\Omega} v d x=0$. The variational functional $J$ for (6.1) is given by

$$
J(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)(t+v)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x)(t+v) d x
$$

It is easy to show that the function $t \rightarrow J(t+v)$ is bounded above. Let $v \in E^{+}$and set

$$
g(t)=J(t+v)
$$

It is clear that for every $v \in E^{+}$there exists $t(v)>0$ such that

$$
g(t(v))=\max _{t \in \mathbb{R}} g(t),
$$

that is, $J(t+v) \leqslant J(t(v)+v)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus by the implicit function theorem we can define a continuously differentiable mapping

$$
v \in E^{+} \Rightarrow t(v) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

such that $J(t+v) \leqslant J(t(v)+v)$ for every $t \neq t(v)$. Since

$$
0=g^{\prime}(t(v))=-\int_{\Omega} Q(x)(t(v)+v)^{2^{*}-1} d x-\int_{\Omega} f d x
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} Q(x)(t(v)+v)_{+}^{2_{+}^{*}-1} d x+\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x=0 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $v \in E^{+}$. In particular, if $v=0$, then

$$
\int_{\Omega} Q(x)(t(0))_{+}^{2^{*}-1} d x=-\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x .
$$

This combined with (6.2) yields $t(0)>0$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(0)^{2^{*}-1} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) d x=-\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now claim that the functional

$$
F(v)=J(v+t(v))
$$

attains its minimum on some ball $B_{\varrho}(0)$. We set

$$
A=-\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x \quad \text { and } \quad B=\int_{\Omega} Q(x) d x
$$

By easy computations using (6.4), we verify that

$$
F(0)=\frac{N+2}{2 N} \frac{A^{2 N /(N+2)}}{B^{(N-2) /(N+2)}} .
$$

We now estimate $F(v)$ from below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(v) & \geqslant J(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q v_{+}^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\Omega} f v d x \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q v_{+}^{2^{*}} d x-\|f\|_{2}\|v\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now observe that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \geqslant \lambda_{2} \int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x
$$

for every $v \in E^{+}$. Since $\int_{\Omega} v d x=0$, we can use the Sobolev inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(v) & \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x-\frac{Q_{M}}{2^{*}} S^{-N /(N-2)}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x\right)^{2^{* / 2}} \\
& -\|f\|_{2} \lambda_{2}^{-1}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\varrho=\|\nabla v\|_{2}$ we derive from the above estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(v) & \geqslant \frac{\varrho^{2}}{2}-\frac{Q_{M}}{2^{*}} S^{-N / N_{2}} \varrho^{2^{*}}-\|f\|_{2} \lambda_{2}^{-1 / 2} \varrho \\
& =\varrho z\left(\frac{\varrho}{2}-\frac{Q_{M}}{2^{*}} S^{-N / N-2} \varrho^{\varrho^{*}-1}-\|f\|_{2} \lambda_{2}^{-1 / 2}\right)=\varrho j(\varrho) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $j(\varrho)$ achieves its maximum at

$$
\varrho_{0}=\left(\frac{N}{(N+2) Q_{M}}\right)^{(N-2) / 4} S^{N / 4}
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
F(v) & \geqslant \varrho_{0}\left(\varrho_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{N-2}{2(N+2)}\right)-\|f\|_{2} \lambda_{2}^{-1 / 2}\right)  \tag{6.6}\\
& =\varrho_{0}\left(\frac{2 \varrho_{0}}{N+2}-\|f\|_{2} \lambda_{2}^{-1 / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We now assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{2} \leqslant \frac{\lambda_{2}^{1 / 2}}{N+2}\left(\frac{N}{(N+2) Q_{M}}\right)^{(N-2) / 4} S^{N / 4} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(6.8) $\quad-\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x \leqslant$

$$
\leqslant\left(\frac{2 N}{N+2}\right)^{(N+2) / 2 N} N^{-(N+2) / 2 N} Q_{M}^{-\left(N^{2}-4\right) / 4 N} S^{(N+2) / 4}\left(\int_{\Omega} Q(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2^{*}}
$$

As an immediate consequence of (6.5), (6.6), (6.7) and (6.8) we can state the following lemma:

Lemma 6.1. Suppose that (6.7) and (6.8) hold. Then $F(v)>F(0)$ for all $v \in E^{+}$such that $\|v\|=\varrho_{0}$, and moreover

$$
F(0)<\frac{S^{N / 2}}{N Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2}}
$$

We can now formulate the existence result in the case $\lambda=0$.
THEOREM 6.2. Suppose that (6.7) and (6.8) hold. Then problem (6.1) has a solution.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\inf _{v \in B_{e_{0}(0)}} F(v)<\frac{S^{N / 2}}{N Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2}} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ be a minimizing sequence for (6.9). Since $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}(\Omega)$, we may assume that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{0}$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ for every $2 \leqslant q<2^{*}$. By the low semicontinuity of norm with respect to
weak convergence we have

$$
\left\|v_{0}\right\| \leqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leqslant \varrho_{0}
$$

Estimate (6.6) shows that $F$ is bounded away from 0 near the boundary of $B_{\varrho_{0}}(0)$ for $f$ small enough. On the other hand $m \leqslant F(0)$ and $F(0)$ is close to 0 for small $f$. Therefore we can always assume that the minimizing sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is contained in the interior of the ball $B_{\varrho_{0}}(0)$, say $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset$ $\subset B_{\varrho_{0} / 2}(0)$. It then follows from the Ekeland variational principle that

$$
F\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow m \quad \text { and } \quad F^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $F^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ means that $J^{\prime}\left(v_{n}+t\left(v_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(v_{n}+t\left(v_{n}\right)\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\Omega} f\left(v_{n}+t\left(v_{n}\right)\right) d x=m+o(1)
$$

and also by (6.3) we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(v_{n}+t\left(v_{n}\right)\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} v_{n} d x-\int_{\Omega} f v_{n} d x=o(1)
$$

Since $v_{0}$ is a weak solution of (6.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2}-Q(x)\left(v_{0}+t\left(v_{0}\right)\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1} v_{0}-f v_{0}\right) d x=0 \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(Q(x)\left(v_{0}+t\left(v_{0}\right)\right)_{+}^{2^{*}-1}+f\right) d x=0 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to show that $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. As in [12] we show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t\left(v_{n}\right)=t\left(v_{0}\right)$. We set $w_{n}=v_{n}-v_{0}$. By the Brézis-Lieb Lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(v_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(w_{n}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x=m+o(1) \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(w_{n}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x-\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(v_{0}+t\left(v_{0}\right)\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x \\
&+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} f\left(v_{0}+t\left(v_{0}\right)\right) d x=o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows from (6.10) and (6.11) that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} Q(x)\left(w_{n}\right)_{+}^{2^{*}} d x=o(1)
$$

Hence by (6.12) we get

$$
F\left(v_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} d x=m+o(1)
$$

Since $F\left(v_{0}\right) \geqslant m$, this implies that $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} d x=o(1)$ and consequently $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$.
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