

# RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

JIRÍ NEUSTUPA

## **Stabilizing influence of a Skew-symmetric operator in semilinear parabolic equation**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 102 (1999), p. 97-123

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1999\\_\\_102\\_\\_97\\_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1999__102__97_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1999, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques*  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Stabilizing Influence of a Skew-Symmetric Operator in Semilinear Parabolic Equation (\*).

JIRÍ NEUSTUPA (\*\*)

**ABSTRACT** - Sufficient conditions for asymptotic stability of the zero solution of a nonlinear parabolic differential equation in a Hilbert space are formulated by means of spectral properties of a certain linear operator  $L$ . The operator  $L$  need not be dissipative and its spectrum may have a continuous part touching the imaginary axis. Stability is a consequence of an appropriate influence of a skew-symmetric part of the operator  $L$ .

### 1. Introduction.

This paper deals with stability of the zero solution of the differential equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = Lu + N(t, u)$$

where  $L = A + B$ ,  $A$  is a nonpositive selfadjoint operator in a real Hilbert space  $H$  which does not have zero as its eigenvalue,  $B$  is a linear operator «of a lower order» than  $A$  and  $N(t, \cdot)$  is a nonlinear operator in  $H$ . Many works have studied the same problem on a more or less abstract level under various conditions on the operators  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $N$ .

(\*) The research was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (grant No. 201/96/0313).

(\*\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Czech Technical University, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Department of Technical Mathematics, Karlovo nám. 13, 121 35 Praha 2, Czech Republic.

The situation when the operator  $L$  is essentially dissipative is studied in detail in the work of G. P. Galdi - M. Padula (1990). Conditions leading to a similar situation are also used in the papers of K. Masuda (1975), P. Maremonti (1984), G. P. Galdi - S. Rionero (1985), W. Borchers - T. Miyakawa (1992) and H. Kozono - M. Yamazaki (1995). All these conditions involve the requirement that operator  $B$  is in some sense «sufficiently small» in comparison with  $A$ .

If the symmetric part  $L_s$  of operator  $L$  has some eigenvalues on the positive side of the real axis then operator  $L$  is non-dissipative. The zero solution of equation (1) can be stable even in this case if the skew-symmetric ( $\equiv$  antisymmetric) part of  $L$  has an appropriate influence on the behaviour of solutions of equation (1). This influence is involved in the widely used assumption that  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq -\delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$  and all  $\lambda \in \sigma(L)$ , where  $\sigma(L)$  denotes the spectrum of  $L$  (see e.g. G. Prodi (1962), D. H. Sattinger (1970) and H. Kielhöfer (1976)). However, this assumption cannot be satisfied if the spectrum of  $L$  has an essential part which has a nonempty intersection with the imaginary axis. Such a case is typical for problems in exterior domains. Sufficient conditions for the stability of the zero solution of equation (1) which can be fulfilled if  $L$  is not dissipative and the spectrum of  $L$  touches the imaginary axis are derived in J. Neustupa (1994). However, these conditions are not formulated as conditions on the spectrum of  $L$  only. Operator  $L$  is supposed to have the form  $-A + B_1 + B_2$  where  $A$  is a nonnegative selfadjoint operator which does not have zero as its eigenvalue,  $B_1, B_2$  are certain operators «of the lower order» and the conditions used in J. Neustupa (1994) also involve certain boundedness of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  with respect to  $A$ .

The present paper deals with the case when operator  $L$  is not dissipative and its spectrum has an essential part which has a non-empty intersection with the imaginary axis, but sufficient conditions for stability are expressed mainly by an assumption about  $R_\lambda(L)$  (the resolvent operator of  $L$ ). This assumption (see condition (iv) in Section 2) does not require «sufficient smallness» of operator  $B$  relative to  $A$  and it can be regarded as a generalization of the condition « $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq -\delta$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(L)$ ».

This paper has the following structure: Section 2 contains basic assumptions and auxiliary lemmas. The main result on stability at an abstract level is proved in Section 3. A simple example in one space dimension is given in Section 4. The results from Sections 2 and 3 can also be applied to a general parabolic system in three space dimensions and to

the Navier-Stokes equations in an exterior domain. Follow-up papers are being prepared on these themes.

The author wishes to thank Prof. M. Padula and Prof. G. P. Galdi for their encouragement to study the stabilizing influence of a skew-symmetric operator in parabolic equations.

## 2. Basic assumptions and auxiliary lemmas.

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space with a scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$  and an associated norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$ . Suppose that

$$L = A + B_s + B_a$$

where  $A$  is a selfadjoint operator in  $H$  which is nonpositive (i.e. its spectrum is a subset of the interval  $(-\infty, 0]$ ) and it does not have 0 as its eigenvalue.  $B_s$  and  $B_a$  are linear operators in  $H$  such that their domains  $D(B_s)$  and  $D(B_a)$  contain  $D(A)$ ,  $B_s$  is symmetric and  $B_a$  is skew-symmetric.  $N(t, \cdot)$  is for each  $t \in [0, +\infty)$  a nonlinear operator in  $H$  with the domain  $D(N)$  which does not depend on  $t$  and  $D(A) \subset D(N)$ .

Throughout this paper,  $\sigma(L)$  will denote the spectrum of  $L$ ,  $\rho(L)$  will denote the resolvent set of  $L$  and  $R_\lambda(L)$  will be the resolvent of  $L$  (i.e.  $R_\lambda(L) = (L - \lambda I)^{-1}$ ). We put

$$\|\phi\|_1 = \|(-A)^{1/2} \phi\|_0 \quad \text{for } \phi \in D((-A)^{1/2}), \quad \|\phi\|_2 = \|A\phi\|_0 \quad \text{for } \phi \in D(A).$$

$H_1$  will be the completion of  $D((-A)^{1/2})$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $H_2$  will be the completion of  $D(A)$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . We shall use the following assumptions for operators  $B_s$  and  $B_a$ :

- (i)  $\exists c_1 > 0 : \|B_s \phi\|_0 \leq c_1 \|\phi\|_1$  for  $\phi \in H_1$ ,
- (ii)  $\exists \alpha \in [1/2, 1) \quad \exists c_2, c_3 \geq 0 : \|B_a \phi\|_0 \leq c_2 \|(-A)^\alpha \phi\|_0 + c_3 \|\phi\|_1$  for  $\phi \in D((-A)^\alpha)$ .

It can be verified (for example by means of the resolution of identity for the operator  $(-A)$  and the Hölder inequality) that  $\|(-A)^\alpha \phi\|_0 \leq \|\phi\|_2^{2\alpha-1} \|\phi\|_1^{2-2\alpha}$ . Hence it follows from condition (ii) that if  $\mu > 0$  is given and  $k(\mu) = (2c_2^2)^{1/(2-2\alpha)} \mu^{(1-2\alpha)/(2-2\alpha)} + 2c_3^2$  then

$$(2) \quad \|B_a \phi\|_0^2 \leq \mu \|\phi\|_2^2 + k(\mu) \|\phi\|_1^2$$

for all  $\phi \in D(A)$ .

It can be derived from condition (i) that operator  $B_s$  is  $A$ -bounded

with an  $A$ -bound arbitrarily small. Hence operator  $A + B_s$  is selfadjoint (see T. Kato (1996), p. 287). Let us denote its resolution of identity by  $E(\lambda)$ . Put

$$P' = \int_0^{+\infty} dE(\lambda), \quad P'' = I - P', \quad H' = P' H, \quad H'' = P'' H.$$

$P', P''$  are orthogonal projections in  $H$  and  $H', H''$  are closed orthogonal subspaces of  $H$  such that  $H = H' \oplus H''$ . Both projections  $P'$  and  $P''$  commute with  $A + B_s$  on  $D(A + B_s) \equiv D(A)$  and so  $P' D(A) \subset D(A)$  and  $P'' D(A) \subset D(A)$ .

LEMMA 1. *If condition (i) is satisfied then there exist positive constants  $c_4, c_5, c_6$  and  $c_7$  so that*

$$(3) \quad \|P' \phi\|_1^2 + \|P'' \phi\|_1^2 \leq c_4 \|\phi\|_1^2 + c_5 \|\phi\|_0^2$$

$$(4) \quad \|P' \phi\|_2^2 + \|P'' \phi\|_2^2 \leq c_6 \|\phi\|_2^2 + c_7 \|\phi\|_0^2$$

for  $\phi \in D(A)$ .

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P' \phi\|_1^2 + \|P'' \phi\|_1^2 = \\ & = (-AP' \phi, P' \phi)_0 + (-AP'' \phi, P'' \phi)_0 = ((-A - B_s) P' \phi, P' \phi)_0 + \\ & + ((-A - B_s) P'' \phi, P'' \phi)_0 + (B_s P' \phi, P' \phi)_0 + (B_s P'' \phi, P'' \phi)_0 \leq \\ & \leq ((-A - B_s) \phi, \phi)_0 + \|B_s P' \phi\|_0 \|P' \phi\|_0 + \|B_s P'' \phi\|_0 \|P'' \phi\|_0 \leq \\ & \leq (-A \phi, \phi)_0 + [\|B_s \phi\|_0 + \|B_s P' \phi\|_0 + \|B_s P'' \phi\|_0] \cdot \|\phi\|_0 \leq \\ & \leq \|\phi\|_1^2 + c_1 [\|\phi\|_1 + \|P' \phi\|_1 + \|P'' \phi\|_1] \cdot \|\phi\|_0 \leq \\ & \leq \|\phi\|_1^2 + \varepsilon c_1 [\|\phi\|_1 + \|P' \phi\|_1 + \|P'' \phi\|_1]^2 + \frac{c_1}{4\varepsilon} \|\phi\|_0^2 \leq \\ & \leq (1 + 3\varepsilon c_1) \|\phi\|_1^2 + 3\varepsilon c_1 \|P' \phi\|_1^2 + 3\varepsilon c_1 \|P'' \phi\|_1^2 + \frac{c_1}{4\varepsilon} \|\phi\|_0^2, \\ & (1 - 3\varepsilon c_1) [\|P' \phi\|_1^2 + \|P'' \phi\|_1^2] \leq (1 + 3\varepsilon c_1) \|\phi\|_1^2 + \frac{c_1}{4\varepsilon} \|\phi\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\varepsilon$  is chosen for example so that  $1 - 3\varepsilon c_1 = 1/2$  then we obtain the estimate (3). The inequality (4) can be derived in a similar way.

Then next condition we shall need is:

$$(iii) \exists c_8 \in (0, 1): ((A + B_s) \phi, \phi)_0 \leq -c_8 \|\phi\|_1^2 \text{ for } \phi \in H'' \cap D(A).$$

The following lemma shows the case when (iii) is fulfilled.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\sigma(A + B_s + \varepsilon P'' B_s)|_{H''}$  (i.e. the spectrum of the operator  $A + B_s + \varepsilon P'' B_s$  reduced to  $H''$ ) is a subset of the interval  $(-\infty, 0]$ . Then condition (iii) is satisfied.*

**PROOF.** It follows from the assumption of the lemma that  $((A + B_s + \varepsilon P'' B_s) \phi, \phi)_0 \leq 0$  for all  $\phi \in H''$ . This can be rewritten as

$$((A + B_s) \phi, \phi)_0 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} (A\phi, \phi)_0.$$

Since  $(A\phi, \phi)_0 = -\|\phi\|_1^2$ , the above inequality confirms the validity of (iii).

The projection  $P''$  is identical with  $I - P'$ . Since space  $H'$  can be finite-dimensional in many practical cases,  $P'$  can easily be expressed and there exists a good possibility to verify the assumptions of Lemma 2. We shall show this verification in a concrete example in Section 4 and we formulate other conditions implying the validity of the assumptions of Lemma 2 in another concrete situation in Section 5.

If  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z \neq 0$  then  $\arg z$  will denote the number  $\varphi \in (-\pi, \pi]$  such that  $z = |z|e^{i\varphi}$ .

We shall denote by  $H_C$  a so called complexification of  $H$ . It is the space of all elements of the type  $\phi_r + i\phi_i$ , where  $\phi_r, \phi_i \in H$ . The scalar product of two elements  $\phi \equiv \phi_r + i\phi_i$ ,  $\psi \equiv \psi_r + i\psi_i$  in  $H_C$  is defined by

$$(\phi, \psi)_0 = [(\phi_r, \psi_r)_0 + (\phi_i, \psi_i)_0] + i[(\phi_i, \psi_r)_0 - (\phi_r, \psi_i)_0].$$

Operators  $A$ ,  $B_s$  and  $B_a$  can be extended in the usual way to the operators in  $H_C$  so that, for example, if  $\phi \equiv \phi_r + i\phi_i \in H_C$  and  $\phi_r, \phi_i \in D(A)$  then  $A\phi = A\phi_r + iA\phi_i$ .

Since operator  $(-A)$  is selfadjoint and nonnegative in  $H$ , it is sectorial in  $H$ . Using conditions (i), (ii) and applying Theorem 1.3.2 from D. Henry (1981), p. 19, we can derive that operator  $(-L)$  is sectorial, too. Thus, there exists  $\varphi \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  (we can assume that  $a \geq 0$  without loss of generality) and  $c_9 > 0$  so that

$$S \equiv \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \lambda \neq a \text{ and } |\arg(\lambda - a)| < \varphi\} \subset \varrho(L)$$

and

$$(5) \quad \|R_\lambda(L) \phi\|_0 \leq \frac{c_9}{|\lambda - a|} \|\phi\|_0$$

for all  $\lambda \in S$  and  $\phi \in H$ . Moreover,  $R_\lambda(L) \phi$  is an analytic  $H_C$ -valued function of  $\lambda$  in  $\varrho(L)$  for every  $\phi \in H$ . It follows from D. Henry (1981), p. 20, that operator  $L$  is a generator of an analytic semigroup  $e^{Lt}$  in  $H$  and there exists  $c_{10} > 0$  so that

$$(6) \quad \|e^{Lt} \phi\|_0 \leq c_{10} e^{at} \|\phi\|_0$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\phi \in H$ .

Denote  $C_+(-\delta) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re} z > -\delta\}$ . The next condition we shall use is:

(iv)  $\exists \delta > 0$  so that if  $\phi \in H'$  then  $P' R_\lambda(L) \phi$  can be extended (in dependence on  $\lambda$ ) from  $\varrho(L) \cap C_+(-\delta)$  to an  $H_C$ -valued analytic function in  $C_+(-\delta)$ .

The validity of this condition will be verified in an example in Section 4.

REMARK 1. It is obvious that condition (iv) is satisfied if there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that for each  $\phi \in H'$  the equation

$$(7) \quad (L - \lambda I) y_\lambda = \phi$$

has a solution  $y_\lambda(\phi)$  such that  $P' y_\lambda(\phi)$  can be extended from  $\varrho(L) \cap C_+(-\delta)$  to an  $H_C$ -valued analytic function of  $\lambda$  in  $C_+(-\delta)$ .

We shall denote by  $\delta_1$  the number  $\delta/2$  in the rest of this paper.

LEMMA 3. If conditions (i), (ii) and (iv) are satisfied then there exists  $c_{11} > 0$  so that  $\|P' e^{Lt} \phi\|_0 \leq c_{11} e^{-\delta_1 t} \|\phi\|_0$  for all  $\phi \in H'$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

PROOF. Assume first that  $t \geq 1$ . Put  $\eta = -\delta_1 + i\xi$ , where  $\xi$  is a positive real number which is so large that  $\eta \in S$ . Denote  $\psi = \arg \eta$ . It is clear that  $\psi \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ . Let us define the curves  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_4$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  by means of their parametrizations — in order not to complicate the notation we denote the parametrizations by the same letters as the curves:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(s) &= -s e^{-\psi i}; s \in (-\infty, -|\eta|], & \Gamma_2(s) &= -\delta_1 + is; s \in [-\xi, \xi], \\ \Gamma_3(s) &= s e^{\psi i}; s \in [|\eta|, +\infty), & \Gamma_4(s) &= a + |\eta - a| e^{si}; s \in [-\psi, \psi]. \end{aligned}$$

Curves  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_4$  and  $\Gamma_3$  are subsets of  $S$ , the end point of  $\Gamma_1$  = the initial point of  $\Gamma_4 = \bar{\eta}$  and the end point of  $\Gamma_4$  = the initial point of  $\Gamma_3 = \eta$ .

The semigroup  $e^{Lt}$  can be defined by the formula

$$e^{Lt} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_4 \cup \Gamma_2} e^{\lambda t} R_\lambda(L) d\lambda.$$

Since projector  $P'$  is closed in  $H$ , we have

$$P' e^{Lt} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_4 \cup \Gamma_2} e^{\lambda t} P' R_\lambda(L) d\lambda.$$

Suppose that  $\phi \in H'$ . Denote by  $y'_\lambda(\phi)$  the analytic extension of  $P' R_\lambda(L) \phi$  to the domain  $\mathbb{C}_+(-\delta)$ . It follows from Cauchy's theorem that the integral of  $e^{\lambda t} y'_\lambda(\phi)$  on  $\Gamma_4$  is equal to the integral of the same function on  $\Gamma_2$ . This means that

$$(8) \quad P' e^{Lt} \phi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3} e^{\lambda t} y'_\lambda(\phi) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} e^{\lambda t} P' R_\lambda(L) \phi d\lambda + \\ + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_2} e^{Lt} y'_\lambda(\phi) d\lambda + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_3} e^{\lambda t} P' R_\lambda(L) \phi d\lambda.$$

Using estimate (5) and the expression of the integral on  $\Gamma_1$  by means of parametrization, we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} e^{\lambda t} P' R_\lambda(L) \phi d\lambda \right\|_0 = \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{-|\eta|} e^{-s \exp(-\psi i) t} \cdot P' R_{-s \exp(-\psi i)}(L) \phi \cdot e^{-\psi i} ds \right\|_0 \leq \\ \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{-|\eta|} e^{-s(\cos \psi) t} \|R_{-s \exp(-\psi i)}(L) \phi\|_0 ds \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{-|\eta|} e^{-s(\cos \psi) t} c_{12} \|\phi\|_0 ds = \\ = \frac{c_{12}}{2\pi} \frac{(-1)}{\cos \psi \cdot t} e^{|\eta|(\cos \psi) t} \|\phi\|_0 \leq \frac{c_{12}}{2\pi} \frac{(-1)}{\cos \psi} e^{|\eta|(\cos \psi) t} \|\phi\|_0.$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re} \eta = |\eta|(\cos \psi) = -\delta_1$ , we have

$$(9) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} e^{\lambda t} P' R_\lambda(L) \phi \, d\lambda \right\|_0 \leq c_{13} e^{-\delta_1 t} \|\phi\|_0$$

where  $c_{13} = -c_{12}/(2\pi \cdot \cos \psi)$ . We can also derive the same estimate for the integral over  $\Gamma_3$ . Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_2} e^{\lambda t} y'_\lambda(\phi) \, d\lambda \right\|_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\| \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} e^{-\delta_1 t + is} y'_{-\delta_1 + is}(\phi) i \, ds \right\|_0 \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\delta_1 t} \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} \|y'_{-\delta_1 + is}(\phi)\|_0 \, ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\delta_1 t} \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \|y'_\lambda(\phi)\|_0 \, d\lambda \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$  be the Banach space of mappings  $f_\lambda$  which are defined a.e. in  $\Gamma_2$  and their values belong to  $H_C$ . The norm of  $f_\lambda$  in  $L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$  is  $\left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \|f_\lambda\|_0^2 \, d\lambda \right|^{1/2}$ . The mapping  $\mathfrak{C}: \phi \rightarrow y_\lambda(\phi)$  can be regarded as a linear mapping of  $H'$  to  $L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$ . Let us show that this mapping is closed:

Assume that  $\{\phi_n\}$  is a sequence in  $H'$  such that  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  in  $H'$  and  $\mathfrak{C}\phi_n \rightarrow \Phi$  in  $L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$ . The behaviour of the functions  $\sin[k\pi(\lambda - \bar{\eta})/(2\xi i)]$  for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_2$  is the same as the behaviour of the functions  $\sin k\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in [0, \pi]$ . Hence the set  $M$  of all functions of the type

$$(10) \quad \Psi(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_k \cdot \sin[k\pi(\lambda - \bar{\eta})/(2\xi i)]$$

(where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in H_C$ ) is dense in  $L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$ . This can be proved by a contradiction: If  $M$  is not dense in  $L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$  then there exists  $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$ ,  $\varphi \neq 0$ , which is orthogonal to  $\bar{M}$ . In particular, this means that if  $\psi \in H_C$  and  $\Psi$  has the form (10) with  $\psi_k = \alpha_k \psi$  ( $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ) then

$$(\varphi, \Psi)_{L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)} = \int_{\Gamma_2} (\varphi(\lambda), \psi)_0 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \sin[k\pi(\lambda - \bar{\eta})/(2\xi i)] \, d\lambda = 0.$$

Hence  $(\varphi(\lambda), \psi)_0 = 0$  for a.a.  $\lambda \in \Gamma_2$ . Since  $\psi$  was chosen arbitrarily in  $H_C$ ,  $\varphi$  is equal to the zero element of  $L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$ . But this is a contradiction. Suppose now that  $\Psi$  is an arbitrary function of type (10). Then  $\Psi$  is ana-

lytic (in dependence on  $\lambda$ ) in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Using Cauchy's theorem and the convergence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma_4} \|y'_\lambda(\phi_n) - y'_\lambda(\phi)\|_0^2 d\lambda \right| &= \left| \int_{\Gamma_4} \|P' R_\lambda(L) \phi_n - P' R_\lambda(L) \phi\|_0^2 d\lambda \right| \leq \\ &\leq \text{const.} \left| \int_{\Gamma_4} \|\phi_n - \phi\|_0^2 d\lambda \right| \leq \text{const.} \|\phi_n - \phi\|_0^2 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_2} (y'_\lambda(\phi_n), \Psi(\lambda))_0 d\lambda &= \int_{\Gamma_4} (y'_\lambda(\phi_n), \Psi(\lambda))_0 d\lambda \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\Gamma_4} (y'_\lambda(\phi), \Psi(\lambda))_0 d\lambda = \int_{\Gamma_2} (y'_\lambda(\phi), \Psi(\lambda))_0 d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

So  $y'_\lambda(\phi_n) \rightarrow y'_\lambda(\phi)$  weakly in  $L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{T}\phi_n \equiv y'_\lambda(\phi_n) \rightarrow \Phi$  in  $L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)$ , we have  $\Phi = y'_\lambda(\phi)$ . Thus, the operator  $\mathfrak{T}$  is closed.

The domain of definition of  $\mathfrak{T}$  is the whole space  $H'$  and hence, due to the closed graph theorem,  $\mathfrak{T}$  is bounded. There exists  $c_{14} > 0$  (which does not depend on  $\phi$ ) so that

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \|y'_\lambda(\phi)\|_0 d\lambda \right| \leq \sqrt{2\xi} \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} \|y'_\lambda(\phi)\|_0^2 d\lambda \right|^{1/2} = \sqrt{2\xi} \|\mathfrak{T}\phi\|_{L^2(\Gamma_2; H_C)} \leq c_{14} \|\phi\|_0.$$

So we obtain the estimate

$$(11) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_2} e^{\lambda t} y'_\lambda(\phi) d\lambda \right\|_0 \leq \frac{c_{14}}{2\pi} e^{-\delta_1 t} \|\phi\|_0.$$

It follows from (8)-(11) that

$$\|P' e^{Lt} \phi\|_0 \leq \left( 2c_{13} + \frac{c_{14}}{2\pi} \right) e^{-\delta_1 t} \|\phi\|_0.$$

We have derived this estimate for  $t \geq 1$  and  $\phi \in H'$ . However, if we also use inequality (6) for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we can easily obtain the desired estimate  $\|P' e^{Lt} \phi\|_0 \leq c_{11} e^{-\delta_1 t} \|\phi\|_0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\phi \in H'$ .

We shall use the following assumption about nonlinear operator  $N$ :

$$(v) \quad \exists \beta \in [0, 1] \quad \exists \gamma \geq 2 - \beta \quad \exists c_{15} > 0 : \|N(t, \phi)\|_0 \leq c_{15} \|\phi\|^\gamma [\|\phi\|_2 + \|\phi\|_1]^\beta \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } \phi \in D(A).$$

Under solutions of equation (1) or another analogous equation in a time interval  $[0, T)$  (where  $T \in (0, +\infty]$ ), we understand functions  $u$  such that:

a) if  $J$  is a compact interval in  $[0, T)$  then  $u \in L^2(J; H_2) \cap L^2(J; H)$  and  $du/dt \in L^2(J; H)$ ,

b)  $u$  satisfies a given equation a.e. in  $(0, T)$ .

It follows from the theory of interpolation spaces (see J. L. Lions - E. Magenes (1972)) that if a solution  $u$  has the regularity which is required in condition a) then it is (after a possible change on a subset of  $[0, T)$  whose measure is zero) a continuous mapping from  $[0, T)$  to  $H_1$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $\tau > 0$  and  $f \in L^2(0, \tau; H)$ . Then there exists a unique solution  $v$  of the equation

$$(12) \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = Av + f(t)$$

with the initial condition  $v(0) = 0$  in the time interval  $[0, \tau)$  and

$$(13) \quad \|v\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H_2)} + \left\| \frac{dv}{dt} \right\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H)} \leq \sqrt{2} \|f\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H)} + \sqrt{2} \|v\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H)}.$$

PROOF. The idea of the proof is the same as that used in the proof of Theorem IV.1 in O. A. Ladyzhenskaya (1970). Let us define

$$D(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{ u; u(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds, \varphi \in L^2(0, \tau; H_2) \right\}, \quad \mathcal{L}u = \frac{du}{dt} - Au.$$

$D(\mathcal{L})$  is dense in  $L^2(0, \tau; H)$ . The adjoint operator  $\mathcal{L}^*$  to  $\mathcal{L}$  is densely defined in  $L^2(0, \tau; H)$  and so  $\mathcal{L}$  is closable. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $u \in D(\mathcal{L})$  and  $t \in [0, \tau]$  now. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}u, \mathcal{L}u)_{L^2(0, \tau; H)} &= \int_0^t \left( \frac{du}{ds} - Au, \frac{du}{ds} - Au \right)_0 ds = \\ &= \int_0^t \left[ \left\| \frac{du}{ds} \right\|_0^2 + \|u\|_2^2 + 2 \left( \frac{du}{ds}, (-A)u \right)_0 \right] ds = \int_0^t \left[ \left\| \frac{du}{ds} \right\|_0^2 + \|u\|_2^2 \right] ds + \|u(t)\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is seen from these equalities that if  $u_n \in D(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2(0, \tau; H)$ ,

$\mathcal{L}u_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}u$  in  $L^2(0, \tau; H)$  then  $\{du_n/dt\}$  converges in  $L^2(0, \tau; H)$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  converges in  $L^2(0, \tau; H_2)$  and  $\{u_n(t)\}$  converges in  $H_1$  uniformly for  $t \in [0, \tau]$ . Thus, we have  $du/dt \in L^2(0, \tau; H)$  and  $u \in L^2(0, \tau; H_2) \cap C([0, \tau]; H_1)$  for  $u \in D(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$ .

Let us now show that  $R(\overline{\mathcal{L}}) = L^2(0, \tau; H)$ . ( $R(\mathcal{L})$  is the range of  $\mathcal{L}$ .) Suppose that this is not true. Then there exists  $g \in L^2(0, \tau; H)$ ,  $g \neq 0$ , which is orthogonal to  $R(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$  and consequently, also to  $R(\mathcal{L})$ . Since the

element  $\int_0^t A^{-1}g(s)ds$  belongs to  $D(\mathcal{L})$ , it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left( g, \mathcal{L} \int_0^t A^{-1}g ds \right)_{L^2(0, \tau; H)} = \int_0^\tau \left( g(t), A^{-1}g(t) - \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) dt = \\ &= - \int_0^\tau \left[ \|(-A)^{-1/2}g(t)\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \int_0^t g(s) ds \right\|_0^2 \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

So  $(-A)^{-1/2}g(t) = 0$  for a.a.  $t \in [0, \tau]$ , which means that  $g$  is the zero element in  $L^2(0, \tau; H)$ . This is the desired contradiction. So  $R(\overline{\mathcal{L}}) = L^2(0, \tau; H)$ . This implies the existence of the solution  $v$  of equation (12) with initial condition  $v(0) = 0$  in the interval  $[0, \tau]$ .

Suppose that  $v_1, v_2$  are two such solutions. Put  $w = v_1 - v_2$ . Then  $dw/dt = Aw$  and  $w(0) = 0$ . Hence  $w(t) = e^{at}w(0) = 0$ . This proves the uniqueness of the solution.

Inequality (13) can be obtained if we multiply equation (12) by  $v$  in  $L^2(0, \tau; H)$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *Let conditions (i), (ii) be fulfilled and let  $u$  be a solution of equation (1) in the interval  $[0, T)$ . Then the initial-value problem given by the equation*

$$(14) \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = Av + B_s v + P'' B_a v + P'' N(t, u)$$

*and the initial condition  $v(0) = P'' u(0)$  has a unique solution  $v$  in the interval  $[0, T)$  such that  $v(t) \in H''$  for a.a.  $t \in [0, T)$ .*

PROOF. Let us denote  $u' = P' u$  and  $u'' = P'' u$ . Applying projection  $P''$  to equation (1), we obtain

$$(15) \quad \frac{du''}{dt} = Au'' + B_s u'' + P'' B_a u'' + P'' B_a u' + P'' N(t, u).$$

So if we prove that there exists a solution  $v_1$  of the equation

$$(16) \quad \frac{dv_1}{dt} = Av_1 + B_s v_1 + P'' B_a v_1 - P'' B_a u'$$

with the initial condition  $v_1(0) = 0$  in the interval  $[0, T)$ , we can put  $v = u'' + v_1$  and if we add equations (15), (16) and the initial values of functions  $u''$  and  $v_1$ , we can see that  $v$  is the desired solution of equation (14) which satisfies the initial condition  $v(0) = P'' u(0)$ .

Let  $\tau < T$ . It follows from inequalities (3), (4), condition (ii) and the fact that  $u \in L^2(0, \tau; H_2) \cap L^2(0, \tau; H)$  that  $P'' B_a u' \in L^2(0, \tau; H'')$ . Using operator  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  from the proof of Lemma 4, we can write equation (16) with the initial condition  $v_1(0) = 0$  in the equivalent form

$$(17) \quad v_1 = (\bar{\mathcal{L}})^{-1}(B_s v_1 + P'' B_a v_1) - (\bar{\mathcal{L}})^{-1} P'' B_a u'.$$

Applying inequality (13) and standard but rather laborious estimates, we can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\bar{\mathcal{L}})^{-1}(B_s v_1 + P'' B_a v_1)\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H_2)} + \left\| \frac{d}{dt} (\bar{\mathcal{L}})^{-1}(B_s v_1 + P'' B_a v_1) \right\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H)} \leq \\ & \leq \sqrt{2}\varepsilon \|v_1\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H_2)} + K(\varepsilon) \tau^{1/2} \left\| \frac{dv_1}{dt} \right\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H)} + \\ & + \sqrt{2}\tau^{1/2} \left\| \frac{d}{dt} (\bar{\mathcal{L}})^{-1}(B_s v_1 + P'' B_a v_1) \right\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H)} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\tau_0 > 0$  be chosen so small that

$$\sqrt{2}\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad K(\varepsilon) \tau_0^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \sqrt{2}\tau_0^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Assume that  $\tau \leq \tau_0$  at first. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\bar{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}(B_s v_1 + P'' B_a v_1)\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H_2)} + \left\| \frac{d}{dt} (\bar{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}(B_s v_1 + P'' B_a v_1) \right\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H)} &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \|v_1\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H_2)} + \left\| \frac{dv_1}{dt} \right\|_{L^2(0, \tau; H)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the operator  $I - (\bar{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}(B_s + P'' B_a)$  is invertible and equation (17) is uniquely solvable in  $[0, \tau]$ .

Now let  $\tau > \tau_0$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $\tau_0$  was chosen so that  $\tau = 2^k \tau_0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have proved the existence of solution  $v_1$  of equation (16) with the initial condition  $v_1(0) = 0$  in the time interval  $[0, \tau_0]$ . Put

$$v_2(t) = \begin{cases} v_1(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, \tau_0], \\ v_2(2\tau_0 - t) & \text{for } t \in [\tau_0, 2\tau_0]. \end{cases}$$

Let  $v_3$  be the solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv_3}{dt} = & A v_3 + B_s v_3 + P'' B_a v_3 - P'' B_a u'(t + \tau_0) + \\ & + P'' B_a u'(\tau_0 - t) + 2 \frac{dv_1}{dt}(\tau_0 - t) \end{aligned}$$

with the initial condition  $v_3(0) = 0$  in the interval  $[0, \tau_0]$ . (Its existence can be proved in the same way as the existence of solution  $v_1$  in  $[0, \tau_0]$ .) Put  $v_4(t) = 0$  for  $t \in [0, \tau_0]$ ,  $v_4(t) = v_3(t - \tau_0)$  for  $t \in [\tau_0, 2\tau_0]$ . It can easily be verified that  $v_1 = v_2 + v_4$  is the solution of equation (16) with the initial condition  $v_1(0) = 0$  in the interval  $[0, 2\tau_0]$ . This solution can be extended in the same way to the time interval  $[0, \tau]$ . Since  $\tau$  can be chosen arbitrarily near to  $T$  (if  $T < +\infty$ ) or arbitrarily large (if  $T = +\infty$ ), the solution exists on the interval  $[0, T)$ .

Uniqueness of the solution can be proved by the standard procedure: we suppose that we have two solutions, we subtract them and we prove that their difference is equal to the zero element of  $H$  identically in  $[0, T)$ . To do that, we can use the fact that the operator  $A + B_s + P'' B_a$  generates an analytic semigroup in  $H$ , which can be shown in the same way as in the case of operator  $L$ . Since equation (14) and

the initial condition  $v(0) = P''u(0)$  represent the problem in  $H''$ , the values of solution  $v$  remain in  $H''$ .

**LEMMA 6.** *Let conditions (i), (ii) be fulfilled. Then  $u$  is a solution of equation (1) in the interval  $[0, T)$  if and only if  $u = v + w$  where the functions  $v, w$  are solutions of the equations*

$$(18) \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = Av + B_s v + P'' B_a v + P' N(t, v + w),$$

$$(19) \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = Aw + B_s w + B_a w + P' B_a v + P' N(t, v + w)$$

*in the interval  $[0, T)$ , satisfying the initial conditions  $v(0) = P''u(0)$ ,  $w(0) = P'u(0)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $u$  be a solution of equation (1) in  $[0, T)$ . It follows from Lemma 5 that there exists a solution  $v$  of equation (14) in  $[0, T)$ , satisfying the condition  $v(0) = P''u(0)$ . If we put  $w = u - v$ , we can see that equation (14) is identical with equation (18) and subtracting equations (1), (18), we can see that  $w$  is a solution of equation (19) in  $[0, T)$ . Subtracting also the initial conditions which are satisfied by functions  $u$  and  $v$ , we get:  $w(0) = P'u(0)$ .

On the other hand, if  $v$  and  $w$  are solutions of equations (18) and (19) on the interval  $[0, T)$ , satisfying the initial conditions  $v(0) = P''u(0)$  and  $w(0) = P'u(0)$ , then we can add equations (18), (19) and we can see that  $u = v + w$  is a solution of equation (1) on  $[0, T)$ .

### 3. Main theorem about stability.

We shall not treat the question of the existence of solutions of equation (1) in this section. We are going to derive estimates of each solution  $u$  whose value at time  $t = 0$  is «small enough» and these estimates will be valid as long as the solution exists, i.e. in a time interval where solution  $u$  is defined. Thus,  $u$  cannot finish with a «blow up» in the neighbourhood of the right end point of its domain of definition. It is natural to expect that  $u$  can be defined in the time interval  $[0, +\infty)$ . In fact, to prove this, it would be necessary to use some additional assumptions about the non-linear operator  $N$  (see e.g. D. Henry (1981)) and in order not to complicate this paper, we do not want to do this here.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let conditions (i), (ii), (iii) [or (iii)'], (iv) and (v) be satisfied. Then to any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\kappa > 0$  so that if  $u$  is a solution of equation (1) in the interval  $[0, T)$ ,  $\|u(0)\|_0 + \|u(0)\|_1 \leq \kappa$  then  $\|u(t)\|_0 + \|u(t)\|_1 \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . Moreover, if  $T = +\infty$  then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (\|u(t)\|_1 + \|P' u(t)\|_0) = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $u$  be a solution of equation (1) in a time interval  $[0, T)$ . It follows from Lemma 6 that  $u = v + w$ , where  $v$  and  $w$  are solutions of equations (18) and (19) in  $[0, T)$ , satisfying the initial conditions  $v(0) = P'' u(0)$  and  $w(0) = P' u(0)$ . We are first going to derive estimates which will be valid a.e. in the interval  $(0, T)$ .

If we multiply equation (18) by  $v$ , use condition (iii) and the fact that  $v(t) \in H''$  for a.a.  $t \in [0, T)$ , we obtain

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_0^2 = ((A + B_s) v, v)_0 + (P'' B_a v, v)_0 + (P'' N(t, v + w), v)_0 \leq \\ \leq -c_8 \|v\|_1^2 + \|N(t, v + w)\|_0 \|v\|_0.$$

Multiplying the equation (18) by  $(-Av)$  and using conditions (i), (ii), we get

$$\left( \frac{dv}{dt}, -Av \right)_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (v, -Av)_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_1^2 = \\ = -\|v\|_2^2 + (B_s v, -Av)_0 + (P'' B_a v, -Av)_0 + (P'' N(t, v + w), -Av)_0 \leq \\ \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|B_s v\|_0^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|B_a v\| + \frac{3}{2} \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 \leq \\ \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \frac{3}{2} c_1^2 \|v\|_1^2 + \frac{3}{2} \mu_1 \|v\|_2^2 + \frac{3}{2} k(\mu_1) \|v\|_1^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2.$$

If we choose  $\mu_1 = 1/6$  and denote  $c_{16} = 3(c_1^2 + k(1/6))$ , we obtain

$$(21) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_1^2 \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + c_{16} \|v\|_1^2 + 3\|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2.$$

The solution  $w$  of equation (19) can be expressed in the form

$$w(t) = e^{Lt} w(0) + \int_0^t e^{L(t-s)} F(s) ds,$$

where  $F(s) = P' B_a v(s) + P' N(s, v(s) + w(s))$ . Thus, we have

$$P' w(t) = P' e^{Lt} w(0) + \int_0^t P' e^{L(t-s)} F(s) ds.$$

Using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\|P' w(t)\|_0 \leq c_{11} e^{-\delta_1 t} \|w(0)\|_0 + \int_0^t c_{11} e^{-\delta_1(t-s)} \|F(s)\|_0 ds.$$

Denote by  $h(t)$  the right hand side of this inequality. Then

$$(22) \quad \|P' w(t)\|_0 \leq h(t)$$

and moreover, it can be verified that  $h$  satisfies the equation

$$(23) \quad \frac{dh}{dt} + \delta_1 h = c_{11} \|F(t)\|_0$$

and the initial condition  $h(0) = c_{11} \|w(0)\|_0$ . Multiplying equation (23) by  $h$ , one gets

$$(24) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} h^2 \leq -\delta_1 h^2 + c_{11} \|F\|_0 h, \\ \frac{d}{dt} h^2 \leq -\delta_1 h^2 + \frac{c_{11}^2}{\delta_1} \|F\|_0^2 \leq -\delta_1 h^2 + \\ \quad + \frac{2c_{11}^2}{\delta_1} \|B_a v\|_0^2 + \frac{2c_{11}^2}{\delta_1} \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 \leq \\ \leq -\delta_1 h^2 + c_{17} \mu_2 \|v\|_2^2 + c_{17} k(\mu_2) \|v\|_1^2 + c_{17} \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 \end{array} \right.$$

where  $c_{17} = 2c_{11}^2/\delta_1$ . The number  $\mu_2$  in (24) can be chosen arbitrarily in the interval  $(0, 1)$ .

Let us use the notation  $w' = P' w$ ,  $w'' = P'' w$  for a while. If we multiply the equation (19) by  $w$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_0^2 &= ((A + B_s) w, w)_0 + (B_a w, w)_0 + (P' B_a v, w)_0 + (P' N(t, v + w), w)_0 = \\ &= ((A + B_s) w', w')_0 + ((A + B_s) w'', w'')_0 + (P' B_a v, w')_0 + \\ &+ (P' N(t, v + w), w')_0 \leq (A w', w')_0 + (B_s w', w')_0 - c_8 \|w''\|_1^2 + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|w'\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|P' B_a v\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|P' N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 \leq \\
& \leq -c_8 (\|w'\|_1^2 + \|w''\|_1^2) + (c_8 - 1) \|w'\|_1^2 + \mu_3 \|B_s w'\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4\mu_3} \|w'\|_0^2 + \|w'\|_0^2 + \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \mu_4 \|v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} k(\mu_4) \|v\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 \leq \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{2} c_8 \|w\|_1^2 + (c_8 - 1) \|w'\|_1^2 + \mu_3 c_1^2 \|w'\|_1^2 + [1/(4\mu_3) + 1] \|w'\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_4 \|v\|_2^2 + \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} k(\mu_4) \|v\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $\mu_3 = (1 - c_8)/c_1^2$  and use (22), we obtain:

$$(25) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_0^2 \leq -c_8 \|w\|_1^2 + c_{18} h^2 + \mu_4 \|v\|_2^2 + k(\mu_4) \|v\|_1^2 + \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2$$

where  $c_{18} = c_1^2/(2 - 2c_8) + 2$ .  $\mu_4$  can be an arbitrary number in the interval  $(0, 1)$ .

If we multiply equation (19) by  $(-Aw)$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \frac{dw}{dt}, -Aw \right)_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (w, -Aw)_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_1^2 = \\
&= -\|w\|_2^2 + (B_s w, -Aw)_0 + (B_a w, -Aw)_0 + (P' B_a v, -Aw)_0 + \\
&+ (P' N(t, v + w), -Aw)_0 \leq \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + 2\|B_s w\|_0^2 + 2\|B_a w\|_0^2 + 2\|B_a v\|_0^2 + 2\|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 \leq \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + 2\mu_5 \|w\|_2^2 + 2(c_1^2 + k(\mu_5)) \|w\|_1^2 + 2\mu_6 \|v\|_2^2 + 2k(\mu_6) \|v\|_1^2 + \\
&\quad + 2\|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $\mu_5 = \mu_6 = 1/8$  and denote  $c_{19} = 4(c_1^2 + k(1/8))$ , we get:

$$(26) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_1^2 \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + c_{19} \|w\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + 4k\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \|v\|_1^2 + 4\|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2.$$

Let  $\xi$ ,  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\omega$  be positive numbers. (Their concrete values will be specified later.) Put

$$V(v, h, w) = \|v\|_0^2 + \xi \|v\|_1^2 + \zeta h^2 + \eta \|w\|_0^2 + w \|w\|_1^2.$$

It follows from (20), (21), (24), (25), (26) that

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad \frac{d}{dt} V(v, h, w) \leq & \left[ -2c_8 + c_{16}\xi + c_{17}k(\mu_2)\zeta + k(\mu_4)\eta + 4k\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)\omega \right] \|v\|_1^2 + \\ & + \left[ -\frac{1}{2}\xi + c_{17}\mu_2\zeta + \mu_4\eta + \frac{1}{2}\omega \right] \|v\|_2^2 + [-\delta_1\zeta + c_{18}\eta] h^2 + \\ & + [-c_8\eta + c_{19}\omega] \|w\|_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega \|w\|_2^2 + \\ & + [3\xi + c_{17}\zeta + \eta + 4\omega] \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 + 2\|N(t, v + w)\|_0 \|v\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose  $\xi$ ,  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\omega$  so that

$$c_{16}\xi + c_{17}k(\mu_2)\zeta + k(\mu_4)\eta + 4k\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)\omega = c_8,$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}\xi + c_{17}\mu_2\zeta + \mu_4\eta + \frac{1}{2}\omega = 0,$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\delta_1\zeta + c_{18}\eta = 0,$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}c_8\eta + c_{19}\omega = 0.$$

This system has a positive solution:

$$\xi = \frac{c_8}{\Delta} \left[ c_{17}\mu_2 + \frac{\delta_1\mu_4}{2c_{18}} + \frac{c_8\delta_1}{8c_{18}c_{19}} \right], \quad \zeta = \frac{c_8}{4\Delta}, \quad \eta = \frac{c_8\delta_1}{8\Delta c_{18}}, \quad \omega = \frac{c_8^2\delta_1}{16\Delta c_{18}c_{19}}$$

where

$$\Delta = c_{16} \left[ c_{17}\mu_2 + \frac{\delta_1\mu_4}{2c_{18}} + \frac{c_8\delta_1}{8c_{18}c_{19}} \right] + \frac{1}{4} \left[ c_{17}k(\mu_2) + \frac{\delta_1k(\mu_4)}{2c_{18}} + \frac{c_8\delta_1k(1/8)}{c_{18}c_{19}} \right].$$

If we substitute these values of  $\xi$ ,  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\omega$  to (27), we obtain

$$(28) \quad \frac{d}{dt} V(v, h, w) \leq -c_8 \|v\|_1^2 - \frac{1}{4} \xi \|v\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_1 \zeta h^2 - \frac{1}{2} c_8 \eta \|w\|_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \omega \|w\|_2^2 + \\ + c_{20} \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 + \|N(t, v + w)\|_0 \|v\|_0,$$

where  $c_{20} = 3\xi + c_{17}\zeta + \eta + 4\omega$ . Let us denote

$$|||(v, w)||| = \left[ c_8 \|v\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} \xi \|v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \delta_1 \zeta h^2 + \frac{1}{2} \eta c_8 \|w\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega \|w\|_2^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Using condition (v), we can derive that there exist  $c_{21} > 0$  and  $c_{22} > 0$  so that

$$\|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 \leq c_{21} V(v, h, w)^{2(\beta + \gamma - 1)} |||(v, w)|||^2,$$

$$\|N(t, v + w)\|_0 \|v\|_0 \leq c_{22} V(v, h, w)^{\beta + \gamma - 1} |||(v, w)|||^2.$$

Substituting this into (28), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} V(v, h, w) \leq \\ \leq |||(v, w)|||^2 [-1 + c_{20} c_{21} V(v, h, w)^{2(\beta + \gamma - 1)} + c_{20} c_{22} V(v, h, w)^{\beta + \gamma - 1}].$$

Thus, if

$$c_{20} c_{21} V(v(0), h(0), w(0))^{2(\beta + \gamma - 1)} + c_{20} c_{22} V(v(0), h(0), w(0))^{\beta + \gamma - 1} \leq 1$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dt} V(v, h, w) \leq 0$$

for a.a.  $t \in (0, T)$ . This means that

$$(29) \quad V(v(t), h(t), w(t)) \leq V(v(0), h(0), w(0))$$

for a.a.  $t \in [0, T)$ . Hence we have

$$(30) \quad \|u(t)\|_0^2 + \|u(t)\|_1^2 = \|v(t) + w(t)\|_0^2 + \|v(t) + w(t)\|_1^2 \leq \\ \leq c_{23} [\|v(t)\|_0^2 + \xi \|v(t)\|_1^2 + \eta \|w(t)\|_0^2 + \omega \|w(t)\|_1^2] \leq \\ \leq c_{23} V(v(t), h(t), w(t)) \leq c_{23} V(v(0), h(0), w(0)) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= c_{23}[\|v(0)\|_0^2 + \xi\|v(0)\|_1^2 + (\xi c_{11}^2 + \eta)\|w(0)\|_0^2 + \omega\|w(0)\|_1^2] = \\
&= c_{23}[\|P''u(0)\|_0^2 + \xi\|P''u(0)\|_1^2 + (\xi c_{11}^2 + \eta)\|P'u(0)\|_0^2 + \omega\|P'u(0)\|_1^2] \leq \\
&\leq c_{24}[\|P''u(0)\|_0^2 + \|P'u(0)\|_0^2] + c_{25}[\|P''u(0)\|_1^2 + \|P'u(0)\|_1^2] \leq \\
&\leq c_{24}\|u(0)\|_0^2 + c_{25}[c_4\|u(0)\|_1^2 + c_5\|u(0)\|_0^2] \leq c_{26}[\|u(0)\|_0^2 + \|u(0)\|_1^2].
\end{aligned}$$

These estimates complete the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Suppose that  $T = +\infty$  and the initial values of  $v$  and  $w$  are so small that

$$c_{20} c_{21} V(v(0), h(0), w(0))^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} + c_{20} c_{22} V(v(0), h(0), w(0))^{\beta+\gamma-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

now. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} V(v, h, w) \leq -\frac{1}{2} |||(v, w)|||^2$$

for a.a.  $t \in (0, +\infty)$  and hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} V(v(t), h(t), w(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} |||(v(s), w(s))|||^2 ds \leq V(v(0), h(0), w(0)).$$

Put  $W(v, h, w) = \|v\|_1^2 + a h^2 + b \|w\|_1^2$  where  $a, b$  are such positive constants that  $-1/2 + a c_{17} \mu_2 + (1/2) b < -1/4$ . Since  $W(v, h, w) \leq \leq \text{const} \cdot |||(v, w)|||^2$ ,  $W(v, h, w)$  is integrable on  $(0, +\infty)$ . It follows from (21), (26) and the boundedness of  $V(v, h, w)$  (see (29)) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} W(v, h, w) &\leq \left[ -\frac{1}{2} + a c_{17} \mu_2 + \frac{1}{2} b \right] \|v\|_2^2 - a \delta_1 h^2 - \frac{1}{2} b \|w\|_2^2 + \\
&+ \left[ c_{16} + a c_{17} k(\mu_2) + 4 b k \left( \frac{1}{8} \right) \right] \|v\|_1^2 + b c_{19} \|w\|_1^2 + [3 + a c_{17} + 4 b] \|N(t, v + w)\|_0^2 \leq \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{4} \|v\|_2^2 - a \delta_1 h^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + c_{27} + c_{28} V(v, h, w)^{2(\beta+\gamma-1)} |||(v, w)|||^2 \leq \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{4} \|v\|_2^2 - a \delta_1 h^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c_{29} V(v(0), h(0), w(0))^{2(\beta + \gamma - 1)} \left( \frac{1}{4} \|v\|_2^2 + a\delta_1 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \right) + c_{30} = \\
& = [c_{29} V(v(0), h(0), w(0))^{2(\beta + \gamma - 1)} - 1] \left( \frac{1}{4} \|v\|_2^2 + a\delta_1 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \right) + c_{30}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if the initial data are so small that  $c_{29} V(v(0), h(0), w(0))^{2(\beta + \gamma - 1)} \leq 1$  then the time derivative of  $W(v, h, w)$  is bounded above a.e. in  $(0, +\infty)$ . This, together with the continuity and integrability of  $W(v, h, w)$  on the interval  $(0, +\infty)$ , implies:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W(v(t), h(t), w(t)) = 0.$$

Thus, we have:  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (\|u(t)\|_1 + \|P' u(t)\|_0) = 0$ .

#### 4. An example in one space dimension.

This section contains an illustrative example of the operator  $L \equiv A + B_s + B_a$  which is not dissipative, and conditions (i)-(iv) are satisfied. Hilbert space  $H$  is  $L^2((0, +\infty))$  here.  $D(A)$  is  $W^{2,2}((0, +\infty)) \cap W_0^{1,2}((0, +\infty))$  and

$$Lu = u'' + [U(x)u]',$$

where  $U(x) = 2\pi^2 x$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $U(x) = 2\pi^2$  for  $x \in [1, +\infty)$ . Operators  $A$ ,  $B_s$  and  $B_a$  are:

$$Au = u'',$$

$$B_s u = \frac{1}{2} U'(x) u = \begin{cases} \pi^2 u & \text{for } x \in [0, 1), \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in (1, +\infty), \end{cases}$$

$$B_a u = U(x) u' + \frac{1}{2} U'(x) u = \begin{cases} 2\pi^2 x u' + \pi^2 u & \text{for } x \in [0, 1), \\ 2\pi^2 u' & \text{for } x \in (1, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

The validity of conditions (i), (ii) is obvious. We are going to show that operator  $L$  is not dissipative and conditions (iii) and (iv) are fulfilled, too.

The spectrum of the operator  $L_s = A + B_s$  is a subset of the real axis. It is not difficult to verify that the interval  $(-\infty, 0]$  represents a continuous part of  $\sigma(L_s)$  and it contains no eigenvalues of  $L_s$ . By standard calculation, we can find out that  $L_s$  has the unique eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 = 4.516239$

(exactly,  $\lambda_0 = \pi^2 - s^2$ , where  $s$  is the positive solution of the equation  $s \cdot \cot s = -\sqrt{\pi^2 - s^2}$ ). The algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_0$  is equal to one and the corresponding eigenfunction is

$$u_0(x) = \begin{cases} c_{31} \sin(\sqrt{\pi^2 - \lambda_0} x) & \text{for } x \in [0, 1], \\ c_{32} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_0} x} & \text{for } x \in (1, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

where  $c_{31} = 1.166203$  and  $c_{32} = 7.192440$ . (Exactly, the constants  $c_{31}$  and  $c_{32}$  are chosen so that  $c_{31} \sin \sqrt{\pi^2 - \lambda_0} = c_{32} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_0}}$  and  $\int_0^{+\infty} u_0^2(x) dx = 1$ .)

Hence subspace  $H'$  is one-dimensional and it is generated by function  $u_0$ .  $H''$  is the orthogonal complement to  $H'$  in  $H$ .

Let us now turn our attention to condition (iii). Due to Lemma 2, we can investigate the spectrum of the operator  $A + B_s + \varepsilon P'' B_s$  in space  $H''$ . Since  $\sigma((A + B_s)|_{H''}) = (-\infty, 0]$  and  $\varepsilon P'' B_s$  is  $(A + B_s)$ -compact,  $\sigma((A + B_s)|_{H''})$  and  $\sigma((A + B_s + \varepsilon P'' B_s)|_{H''})$  can differ at most in a countable number of isolated eigenvalues. Hence, if the intersection  $\sigma((A + B_s + \varepsilon P'' B_s)|_{H''}) \cap (0, +\infty)$  is nonempty, then it contains only some eigenvalues of  $(A + B_s + \varepsilon P'' B_s)|_{H''}$ . Thus, to verify (iii), it is sufficient to show that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough no such eigenvalues exist. Suppose the opposite, i.e. that there exist sequences  $\varepsilon_n$ ,  $\zeta_n$  and  $u_n$  such that  $\varepsilon_n$  is monotonically decreasing and tends to zero,  $\zeta_n$  is a positive eigenvalue of the operator  $A + B_s + \varepsilon_n P'' B_s$  and  $u_n \in H''$  is a corresponding eigenfunction. The functions  $u_n$  can be chosen so that  $\|u_n\|_0 = 1$ .

The boundedness of  $\zeta_n$  is obvious. Multiplying the equation  $Au_n + B_s u_n + \varepsilon_n P'' B_s u_n = \zeta_n u_n$  by  $u_n$  and using condition (i), we obtain:  $\zeta_n \leq (1 + \varepsilon_n) c_1 \|u_n\|_1 - \|u_n\|_1^2$ , which implies:  $\zeta_n \leq (1/4)(1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 c_1^2$ .

Let  $\zeta_0$  be a cluster point of the sequence  $\zeta_n$ . Then  $\zeta_0 \geq 0$ . In order not to complicate the notation, a subsequence of  $\zeta_n$  which tends to  $\zeta_0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  will also be denoted by  $\zeta_n$  in the following. The equation  $Au_n + B_s u_n + \varepsilon_n P'' B_s u_n = \zeta_n u_n$  can be rewritten as  $(A + B_s - \zeta_0 I) u_n = (\zeta_n - \zeta_0) u_n + \varepsilon_n P'' B_s u_n$ . As the right hand side tends to the zero element of  $H''$  if  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\zeta_0$  belongs to  $\sigma((A + B_s)|_{H''})$ . However, this spectrum coincides with the interval  $(-\infty, 0]$  and so  $\zeta_0 = 0$ .

Because  $A + B_s + \varepsilon P'' B_s = A + (1 + \varepsilon) B_s - \varepsilon P' B_s$  and  $P' B_s u_n = (B_s u_n, u_0)_0 u_0$ , the equation  $(A + B_s + \varepsilon P'' B_s) u_n = \zeta_n u_n$  can be rewritten as

$$(31) \quad Au_n + (1 + \varepsilon_n) B_s u_n - \zeta_n u_n = \varepsilon_n (B_s u_n, u_0)_0 u_0.$$

Since  $u_n$  is orthogonal to  $u_0$ , the integral  $\int_0^{+\infty} u_n(s) u_0(s) ds$  is equal to zero.

Suppose that  $(B_s u_n, u_0)_0 = \pi^2 \int_0^1 u_n(s) u_0(s) ds = 0$  at first. Then the integral  $\int_0^{+\infty} u_n(s) u_0(s) ds$  also equals zero. Equation (31) implies that  $u_n(x) = c_{33} e^{-\sqrt{\xi_n} x}$  for  $x \in (1, +\infty)$  and it can easily be verified that  $c_{33} \neq 0$ . Therefore

$$\int_1^{+\infty} u_n(s) u_0(s) ds = \frac{c_{33} c_{32}}{\sqrt{\xi_n} + \sqrt{\lambda_0}} e^{-\sqrt{\xi_n} - \sqrt{\lambda_0}}$$

and this is obviously different from zero. Hence the equality  $(B_s u_n, u_0)_0 = 0$  can be excluded.

Put  $v_n = u_n / (B_s u_n, u_0)_0$ . Then  $(B_s v_n, u_0)_0 = 1$ . Substituting this to (31) and using also the concrete forms of  $u_0$  on the intervals  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, +\infty)$ , we can rewrite (31) in the form

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} v_n'' + (1 + \varepsilon_n) \pi^2 v_n - \xi_n v_n = \varepsilon_n c_{31} \sin(\sqrt{\pi^2 - \lambda_0} x) & \text{on } (0, 1), \\ v_n'' - \xi_n v_n = \varepsilon_n c_{32} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_0} x} & \text{on } (1, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

The solution  $v_n$  of this problem can be explicitly expressed. However, the integration of  $v_n(x) \cdot u_0(x)$  shows that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} v_n(x) u_0(x) dx = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\lambda_0} \int_0^{+\infty} u_0^2(x) dx + o(\varepsilon_n + \xi_n) \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which means that the integral on the left hand side is positive for  $n$  large enough and so  $v_n \notin H''$  and  $u_n \notin H''$ . Thus, condition (iii) is fulfilled.

We shall now verify condition (iv). Elementary estimates show that  $\sigma(L)$  is a subset of the parabolic region  $\{z \equiv \zeta + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}; \zeta \leq a/2 - \eta^2/a^2\}$ . If  $\lambda \equiv \zeta + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\zeta < -\eta^2/a^2$  then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of operator  $L$ .

Suppose that  $\delta \in (0, \lambda_0)$ . Let us work with  $\lambda \in G \cap \partial(L)$  where  $G = \{z \equiv \zeta + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}; \zeta \in (-\delta, a/2 + 1), |\eta| < a \sqrt{(1/2)a + \delta + 1}\}$  firstly. The function  $y_\lambda \equiv R_\lambda(L) u_0$  is the solution of the equation  $(L - \lambda I) y_\lambda = u_0$ , i.e.

$$(33) \quad (y_\lambda)_{xx} + U(x)(y_\lambda)_x + [U_x(x) - \lambda] y_\lambda = u_0(x)$$

and  $y_\lambda(0) = 0$ . Denote by  $y_\lambda^I, y_\lambda^{II}$  a fundamental system of solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equation. Then  $y_\lambda$  can be expressed in the form

$$y_\lambda(x) = y_\lambda^I(x) \left[ C^I - \int_0^x \frac{y_\lambda^{II}(s) u_0(s)}{\Delta_\lambda(s)} ds \right] + y_\lambda^{II}(x) \left[ C^{II} + \int_0^x \frac{y_\lambda^I(s) u_0(s)}{\Delta_\lambda(s)} ds \right]$$

where  $\Delta_\lambda = y_\lambda^I \cdot (y_\lambda^{II})_x - y_\lambda^{II} \cdot (y_\lambda^I)_x$ . ( $\Delta_\lambda$  is the Wronski determinant of system  $y_\lambda^I, y_\lambda^{II}$ .) It can be derived that

$$\Delta_\lambda(s) = \Delta_\lambda(1) \cdot \exp \left[ - \int_1^s U(\tau) d\tau \right] = \begin{cases} \Delta_\lambda(1) e^{a/2(1-s^2)} & \text{for } x \in [0, 1], \\ \Delta_\lambda(1) e^{-a(s-1)} & \text{for } x \in (1, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

The homogeneous equation which corresponds to (33) is the equation with constant coefficients in the interval  $[1, +\infty)$ , so its fundamental system  $y_\lambda^I, y_\lambda^{II}$  can easily be expressed here. We can choose  $y_\lambda^I, y_\lambda^{II}$  so that

$$y_\lambda^I(x) = \exp \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{2}a + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}a^2 + \lambda} \right) x \right], \quad y_\lambda^{II}(x) = \exp \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{2}a - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}a^2 + \lambda} \right) x \right]$$

for  $x \in [1, +\infty)$ . (We use the symbol  $\sqrt{\phantom{x}}$  for the square root in the complex domain in such a sense that  $\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{|z|} \cdot \exp((1/2) i \arg z)$  if  $z \neq 0$ ,  $\sqrt{z} = 0$  if  $z = 0$ .) We can express  $\Delta_\lambda(1)$  now:  $\Delta_\lambda(1) = -2e^{-a} \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda}$ . Since  $\operatorname{Re} \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda} > \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 - \lambda_0} > \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 - (1/2)a + \sigma_0^2} > (1/2)\pi$  for all  $\lambda \in G$ ,  $1/\Delta_\lambda(1)$  is the analytic function of  $\lambda$  in  $G$ . Let us choose

$$\begin{aligned} C^I &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{y_\lambda^{II}(s) u_0(s)}{\Delta_\lambda(s)} ds = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-a/2(1-s^2)}}{\Delta_\lambda(1)} y_\lambda^{II}(s) \cdot c_{31} \sin \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a - \lambda_0} s \right) ds + \\ &\quad + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-a}}{\Delta_\lambda(1)} \exp \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2}a - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}a^2 + \lambda} - \sqrt{\lambda_0} \right) s \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re} \left[ (1/2)a - \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda} - \sqrt{\lambda_0} \right] < (1/2)a - \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 - \delta} - \sqrt{\lambda_0} < \sqrt{\delta} - \sqrt{\lambda_0} < 0$ , the last integral is finite and so  $|C^I| < +\infty$ .

Thus, we have

$$(34) \quad y_\lambda(x) = y_\lambda^I(x) \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{y_\lambda^{II}(s) u_0(s)}{\Delta_\lambda(s)} ds + y_\lambda^{II}(x) \left[ C^{II} + \int_0^x \frac{y_\lambda^I(s) u_0(s)}{\Delta_\lambda(s)} ds \right].$$

Let us choose  $C^{II}$  so that  $y_\lambda(0) = 0$ :

$$C^{II} = - \frac{y_\lambda^I(0)}{y_\lambda^{II}(0)} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{y_\lambda^{II}(s) u_0(s)}{\Delta_\lambda(s)} ds.$$

$y_\lambda^I(0)$ ,  $y_\lambda^{II}(0)$  and  $\int_0^{+\infty} y_\lambda^{II}(s) u_0(s) / \Delta_\lambda(s) ds$  are analytic functions of  $\lambda$  not only in  $G \cap \varrho(L)$ , but in the whole set  $G$ . It can be shown by standard numerical methods that

$$|y_\lambda^{II}(0)| \geq 11.791 \left| \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2}a - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}a^2 + \lambda} \right] \right|$$

for all  $a \in ((1/4)\pi^2, (9/4)\pi^2)$  and  $\lambda \in G$ . So  $C^{II}$  is an analytic function of  $\lambda$  in  $G$ . To emphasize its dependence on  $\lambda$ , we shall denote it by  $C_\lambda^{II}$  in the following.

It is not difficult to show that  $\int_0^1 u_\lambda(x) \cdot u_0(x) dx$  is the analytic function of  $\lambda$  in  $G$ .

If  $x > 1$  then we can decompose  $\int_0^x$  in (34) to  $\int_0^1 + \int_1^x$ , we can substitute the concrete forms of  $y_\lambda^I$ ,  $y_\lambda^{II}$ ,  $\Delta_\lambda$  and  $u_0$  to the integrals  $\int_x^{+\infty}$  and  $\int_1^x$ , we can evaluate these integrals and we obtain the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} y_\lambda(x) = & \\ = & \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_0}x}}{2\sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda}} \left[ \frac{1}{(1/2)a - \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda} - \sqrt{\lambda_0}} - \frac{1}{(1/2)a + \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda} - \sqrt{\lambda_0}} \right] + \\ & + (C_\lambda^{II} + M_\lambda) \exp \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{2}a - \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda} \right) x \right] + \\ & + \frac{\exp [(-1/2)a - \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda}](x-1) + \sqrt{\lambda_0}] }{2\sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda} [1/2a + \sqrt{(1/4)a^2 + \lambda} - \sqrt{\lambda_0}]} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_\lambda = - \frac{e^{a/2}}{2 \sqrt{(1/4) a^2 + \lambda_0}} \int_0^1 e^{-as^2/2} y_\lambda^I(s) c_{31} \sin \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} a - \lambda_0} s \right) ds.$$

It can be derived from the conditions  $0 < \delta < \lambda_0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > -\delta$  that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( -\frac{1}{2} a - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} a^2 + \lambda} \right) < -\frac{1}{2} \pi^2, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{2} a + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} a^2 + \lambda - \sqrt{\lambda_0}} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \pi(\pi - 1),$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{2} a - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} a^2 + \lambda - \sqrt{\lambda_0}} \right) < \sqrt{\delta} - \sqrt{\lambda_0} < 0.$$

Hence  $\int_1^{+\infty} y_\lambda(x) \cdot u_0(x) dx$  is the analytic function of  $\lambda$  in  $G$ . This means that  $(y_\lambda, u_0)_0$  also depends analytically on  $\lambda$  in  $G$  and  $(y_\lambda, u_0)_0 \cdot u_0$  is the analytic continuation of  $P' R_\lambda(L) u_0$  from  $\varrho(L)$  to  $G$ . Since  $C_+(-\delta) = \varrho(L) \cup G$ , condition (iv) is satisfied.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. I. BABENKO, *Spectrum of the Linearized Problem of Flow of a Viscous Incompressible Liquid round a Body*, Sov. Phys. Dikl., 27 (1) (1982), pp. 25-27.
- [2] W. BORCHERS - T. MIYAKAWA,  *$L^2$ -Decay for Navier-Stokes Flows in Unbounded Domains, with Application to Exterior Stationary Flows*, Arch. for Rat. Mech. and Anal., 118 (1992), pp. 273-295.
- [3] G. P. GALDI - M. PADULA, *A New Approach to Energy Theory in the Stability of Fluid Motion*, Arch. for Rat. Mech. and Anal., 110 (1990), pp. 187-286.
- [4] G. P. GALDI - S. RIONERO, *Weighted Energy Methods in Fluid Dynamics and Elasticity*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo (1985).
- [5] D. HENRY, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 840, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1981).
- [6] T. KATO, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1966).
- [7] H. KIELHÖFER, *Existenz und Regularität von Lösungen semilinearer parabolischer Anfangs-Randwertprobleme*, Math. Z., 142 (1975), pp. 131-160.

- [8] H. KIELHÖFER, *On the Lyapunov Stability of stationary Solutions of Semilinear Parabolic Differential Equations*, J. of Diff. Equations, **22** (1976), pp. 193-208.
- [9] H. KOZONO - M. YAMAZAKI, *Navier-Stokes Equations in Exterior Domains*, preprint 1995.
- [10] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA, *Mathematical Problems of Dynamics of Viscous Incompressible Fluid*, Nauka, Moscow 1970 (Russian).
- [11] J. L. LIONS - E. MAGENES, *Nonhomogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications I*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1972).
- [12] P. MAREMONTI, *Asymptotic Stability Theorems for Viscous Fluid Motions in Exterior Domains*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **71** (1984), pp. 35-72.
- [13] K. MASUDA, *On the Stability of Incompressible Viscous Fluid Motions past Objects*, J. of the Math. Soc. Japan, **27**, 2 (1975), pp. 294-327.
- [14] J. NEUSTUPA, *Stability of Solutions of Parabolic Equations by a Combination of the Semigroup Theory and the Energy Method*, Proceedings of the Conference *Navier-Stokes Equations and Related Nonlinear Problems* held in Funchal, Madeira in May (1994). Editor A. Sequeira, Plenum Press, New York 1995, pp. 11-22.
- [15] G. PRODI, *Teoremi di tipo locale per il sistema di Navier-Stokes e stabilità delle soluzioni stazionarie*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **32** (1962), pp. 374-397.
- [16] D. H. SATTINGER, *The Mathematical Problem of Hydrodynamic Stability*, J. of Math. and Mech., **19** (1970), pp. 797-817.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 21 settembre 1997.