

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

ULRICH ALBRECHT

GÜNTER TÖRNER

Valuations and group algebras

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 100 (1998), p. 67-79

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1998__100__67_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1998__100__67_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Valuations and Group Algebras.

ULRICH ALBRECHT(*) - GÜNTER TÖRNER

1. – Introduction.

In [5], Dubrovin constructed a chain domain which has a prime ideal which is not completely prime. This ring was obtained by considering a right ordered group Γ such that the group algebra $K[\Gamma]$ can be embedded in a skew field D . Thus a partial answer was given to the Malcev-Problem [7]: *Let F be a field and G be a left orderable group. Can the group ring $F[G]$ be enclosed in a skew field?* This question has a stronger version which can be found for instance in Passmann's book [10]: *Determine the right ordered groups Γ with positive cone Π for which the skew-group-algebra $R[\Gamma, \sigma]$ is an order in a division algebra D whenever R is an Ore domain.* In the following, the pair (Γ, Π) denotes a right ordered group Γ with its positive cone Π , while $\sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ is a group homomorphism. A perhaps more natural reformulation of Passmann's problem is to ask for which Γ the group algebra $R[\Gamma, \sigma]$ is a right Ore domain whenever R is one. This question has been discussed in detail in [1], and most constructions of chain orders in skew fields are based on the results of this paper, as one can see for instance in [2].

The primary goal of this paper is to investigate the structure of the group algebras and chain rings obtained via the localization techniques which were discussed in [1]. Our discussion focuses on a pair Γ_1 and Γ_2 of right ordered groups with positive cones Π_1 and Π_2 respectively. We

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Department of Mathematics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, U.S.A.

The author wants to thank Gerhard-Mercator Universität Duisburg for the financial support leading to the completion of this paper.

(**) Indirizzo dell'A.: Fachbereich Mathematik, Gerhard-Mercator Universität Duisburg, 47057 Duisburg, Germany.

consider a subsemigroup Δ of Γ_1 containing Π_1 and a cone preserving semigroup-morphism $\phi: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma_2$, and define the right and left ϕ -values of non-zero elements of $R[\Delta, \sigma]$. Theorem 2.2 shows that these ϕ -values give rise to a pair of generalized, conjugated valuations in the sense of [1]. The same result also shows that $R[\Delta, \sigma]$ has a zero Jacobson-radical and that its group of units $U(R[\Gamma_1, \sigma])$ is $U(R)U(\Delta)$. In particular, Theorem 2.2 permits to solve the isomorphism problem for right orderable groups: Two right orderable groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are isomorphic if and only if $R[\Gamma_1] \cong R[\Gamma_2]$ for all rings R (Corollary 2.3). This extends the well-known result that torsion-free abelian groups are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding group algebras are isomorphic. Since the ring structure of $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is independent of the chosen right order on Γ_1 , no statement can be made about Γ_1 as a right ordered group. The remaining part of Section 2 investigates the valuation ring S^ϕ associated with the ϕ -values.

Section 3 considers the chain rings S_T^ϕ arising as localizations of S^ϕ inside the classical right ring of quotients of $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ in the case that $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is a right Ore ring. Theorem 3.1 determines the Jacobson radical $J(S^\phi)$ of this ring and shows that $S^\phi/J(S^\phi)$ is the classical right ring of quotients of $R[H, \sigma|H]$ where $H = \ker \phi$. Furthermore, the pair of generalized, conjugated valuations on $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ induces a left valuation $|\cdot|_l$ on S_T^ϕ such that $|a|_l \leq |b|_l$ if and only if $bS_T^\phi \subseteq aS_T^\phi$ for all $a, b \in S_T^\phi$.

In the following, all rings have a multiplicative identity. The symbols $J(R)$ and $U(R)$ denote the Jacobson-radical and the group of units of R respectively. All groups are written multiplicatively.

2. – Group rings and cones.

Let Γ be a group. A subsemigroup $\Pi \subseteq \Gamma_1$ is called a *cone* if $\Pi \cap \Pi^{-1} = \{\varepsilon\}$ and $\Pi \cup \Pi^{-1} = \Gamma$ hold. Note that in the case where Π is invariant, Γ is an ordered group. In general, setting $\alpha \leq_r \beta$ iff $\beta\alpha^{-1} \in \Pi$ resp. $\alpha \leq_l \beta$ iff $\alpha^{-1}\beta \in \Pi$ allows to view the group Γ as a *right-ordered* resp. *left-ordered* group.

We consider the pair (Γ_1, Π_1) where Γ_1 is a group and Π_1 a cone. Further let R be a domain, i.e. a ring without zero divisors. For a group homomorphism $\sigma: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$, we define a ring multiplication on the free left R -module with basis Γ_1 by $\alpha r = r^{\sigma(\alpha)} \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ and $r \in R$. The resulting ring is denoted by $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$. Write a non-zero x in $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$

as $x = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} r_\alpha \alpha$, and let $\text{supp}(x) = \{\alpha \mid r_\alpha \neq 0\}$ denote the *support* of x .

If $T = \{x \in R[\Gamma_1, \sigma] \mid \varepsilon_1 \in \text{supp}(x) \subseteq \Pi_1\}$, then every $x \in R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ has unique factorizations $x = u\alpha = \beta v$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_1$ and $u, v \in T$. We refer to this decomposition as the $T - \Gamma_1$ -factorization of x and say that $|x|_l = \beta$ resp. $|x|_r = \alpha$ are the *left resp. right Γ_1 -values* of x . In a previous paper we had introduced the concept of generalized valuations, however this terminology can be omitted in this context. Naturally, the question arises at this point if the conditions on Π_1 can be weakened by assuming that the subsemigroup Π_1 is a *right cone* only, i.e.

(a) Π_1 generates Γ_1 .

(b) If $a, b \in \Pi_1$ with $a^{-1}b \notin \Pi_1$, then $b^{-1}a \in \Pi_1$.

This question is answered in a negative way by

PROPOSITION 2.1. *A right cone Π_1 of Γ_1 is a cone if and only if $x \in R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ has a unique $T - \Gamma_1$ -decomposition.*

PROOF. The uniqueness property guarantees immediately that Π_1 cannot contain any units but ε_1 . If $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$, then we can find $\delta \in \Gamma_1$ and $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \Pi_1$ with $\varepsilon_1 + \alpha = \delta(\pi_1 + \pi_2)$. Without loss of generality, $\varepsilon_1 = \delta\pi_1$ and $\alpha = \delta\pi_2$. Since Π_1 is a right cone, we may assume that $\pi_1^{-1}\pi_2 \in \Pi_1$, say $\pi_2 = \pi_1\pi$ for some $\pi \in \Pi_1$. Then, $\alpha = \delta\pi_2 = \delta\pi_1\pi = \pi \in \Pi_1$. In the same way, $\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1 \in \Pi_1$ yields $\alpha^{-1} \in \Pi_1$. ■

Let (Γ_2, Π_2) be a further right-ordered group. We consider a subsemigroup Δ of Γ_1 containing Π_1 . A semigroup map $\phi: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ is called a *cone preserving homomorphism*, provided ϕ maps Π_1 into Π_2 . It is natural to define the ϕ -values of an element $x \in R[\Delta, \sigma]$ to be the values under ϕ of $|x|_r$ resp. $|x|_l$. To be more precise, we set $|x|_r^\phi = \phi|x|_r$ resp. $|x|_l^\phi = \phi|x|_l$. We call $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta)$ a *cone-valuated triple with associated map ϕ* if Δ contains α^{-1} for every $\alpha \in \ker \phi$. In the case that $\Delta = \Gamma_1$, we omit any reference of Δ and speak of a *cone-valuated pair* instead.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta)$ be a cone-valuated triple with associated map ϕ . The following hold for any domain R and any group-homomorphism $\sigma: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$:*

(a) *For all non-zero $x, y, z \in R[\Delta, \sigma]$, the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $|x|_r^\phi \in \Pi_2$ if and only if $|x|_l^\phi \in \Pi_2$.

- (ii) $|x|_r^\phi = \varepsilon_2$ if and only if $|x|_l^\phi = \varepsilon_2$.
- (iii) If $x + y \neq 0$, then $|x + y|_l^\phi \geq \min\{|x|_l^\phi, |y|_l^\phi\}$ and $|x + y|_r^\phi \geq \min\{|x|_r^\phi, |y|_r^\phi\}$.
- (iv) If $|x|_r^\phi \geq_r |y|_r^\phi$, then $|xz|_r^\phi \geq_r |yz|_r^\phi$, while $|x|_l^\phi \geq_l |y|_l^\phi$ yields $|zx|_l^\phi \geq_l |zy|_l^\phi$.
- (b) $U(R[\Delta, \sigma]) = U(R)U(\Delta) = \{u\alpha \mid u \in U(R) \text{ and } \alpha \in U(\Delta)\}$.
- (c) $J(R[\Delta, \sigma]) = 0$ if $|\Gamma_1| > 1$.

PROOF. (a) Since $|x|_r^\phi = \min_r \{\phi(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \text{supp}(x)\} \in \Pi_2$, we have $\phi(\alpha) \in \Pi_2$ for all $\alpha \in \text{supp}(x)$. But then, $|x|_l^\phi = \min_l \{\phi(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \text{supp}(x)\}$ has to be in Π_2 too. Thus, (i) holds by symmetry. Furthermore, if $|x|_r^\phi = \varepsilon_2$, then $\phi(\alpha) \in \Pi_2$ for all $\alpha \in \text{supp}(x)$ by what has been shown so far. If $\alpha_0 = \min_r \text{supp}(x)$, then $\phi(\alpha_0) = \varepsilon_2$, and $\varepsilon_2 \leq_l |x|_l^\phi \leq_l \phi(\alpha_0) = \varepsilon_2$ from which (ii) follows by symmetry.

To show (iii), let $\alpha_0 = \min_r \text{supp}(x + y)$. Then $\alpha_0 \in \text{supp}(x)$ or $\alpha_0 \in \text{supp}(y)$. In the first case, $|x + y|_r^\phi = \phi(\alpha_0) \geq_r |x|_r^\phi \geq_r \min_r \{|x|_r^\phi, |y|_r^\phi\}$ as desired. The second case is treated similarly. By symmetry, (iii) is satisfied. For (iv), we suppose $|x|_r^\phi \leq_r |y|_r^\phi$. Choose $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in T$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \Delta$ such that $x = u_1 \alpha_1$, $y = u_2 \alpha_2$ and $z = u_3 \alpha_3$. For $j = 1, 2$ write $\alpha_j u_3 = v_j \beta_j$ with $v_j \in T$ and $\beta_j \in \Delta$. We obtain $xz = u_1 v_1 \beta_1 \alpha_3$ and $yz = u_2 v_2 \beta_2 \alpha_3$. But this gives $|xz|_r^\phi = \phi(\beta_1 \alpha_3)$ and $|yz|_r^\phi = \phi(\beta_2 \alpha_3)$. Since $\phi(\alpha_1) \leq_r \phi(\alpha_2)$, we have $\phi(\beta_1) = \min_r \phi(\text{supp}(\alpha_1 u_3)) \leq_r \leq_r \min_r \phi(\text{supp}(\alpha_2 u_3)) = \phi(\beta_2)$, from which the first part of (c) follows. In view of the symmetry of the problem, a) has been shown.

To prove (b) consider an element $x \in R[\Delta, \sigma]$ which has an inverse $y \in R[\Delta, \sigma]$. We write $x = v\beta$ with $v \in T$ and $\beta \in \Delta$. If $|\text{supp}(x)| > 1$, then $\text{supp}(v)$ contains an element of $\Pi_1 \setminus \{\varepsilon_1\}$. We write $y = u\alpha$ with $u \in T$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$ and select $w \in T$ and $\gamma \in \Delta$ with $\beta u = w\gamma$. Since $\varepsilon_1 = vw\gamma\alpha$, we have $\gamma\alpha = \varepsilon_1$ and $vw = \varepsilon_1$ by the uniqueness of $T - \Gamma_1$ -factorizations. In $\text{supp}(v)$, choose an element α which is maximal in the *left* order induced by Π_1 , while in $\text{supp}(w)$ choose β maximal in the *right* order. Since $|\text{supp}(v)| \geq 2$, we have $\alpha >_r \varepsilon_1$, from which we obtain $\alpha\beta >_r \beta \geq_r \varepsilon_1$. Because R is a domain, $\alpha\beta$ has a non-zero coefficient in the product vw , but is not an element of $\text{supp}(vw)$ since $vw = \varepsilon_1$. Hence, there are $\alpha' \in \text{supp}(v)$ and $\beta' \in \text{supp}(w)$ with $\alpha\beta = \alpha'\beta'$ and $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ or $\beta \neq \beta'$. A straightforward calculation shows that $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ and $\beta \neq \beta'$. Since $\beta >_r \beta'$

by the choice of β , we can find $\pi \in \Pi_1$ with $\beta = \pi\beta'$. Then $\alpha'\beta' = \alpha\beta = \alpha\pi\beta'$ yields $\alpha' = \alpha\pi$ from which $\alpha' \geqslant_l \alpha$ follows. However, we have $\alpha' < <_l \alpha$ by the choice of α . The resulting contradiction shows that x cannot have more than one element in its support, i.e. $v \in R$. Then, $|\text{supp}(y)| = |\text{supp}(xy)| = 1$, and $u \in R$. In particular, $\varepsilon_1 = xy = vu^{\sigma(\beta)}\beta\alpha = yx = uv^{\sigma(\alpha)}\alpha\beta$ yields that α is a unit of Δ . Moreover, v is a unit of R whose inverse is $u^{\sigma(\beta)}$. The converse is obvious. For the proof of (c), let x be a non-zero element of $J(R[\Delta, \sigma])$ and write $x = u\alpha$ where $u \in T$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$. If $\alpha \leqslant_r \varepsilon_1$, then $\alpha^{-1} \geqslant_r \varepsilon_1$. We choose any $\beta \in \Pi_1 \setminus \{\varepsilon_1\}$, and observe that $x\alpha^{-2}\beta$ is a non-zero element of $J(R[\Delta, \sigma])$ with $x\alpha^{-2}\beta = u\alpha^{-1}\beta$ and $\alpha^{-1}\beta >_r \varepsilon_1$. Hence, no generality is lost, if we assume that $\alpha >_r \varepsilon_1$. Since $\varepsilon_1 \notin \text{supp}(x)$ in this case, we have $\text{supp}(\varepsilon_1 - x) = \text{supp}(x) \cup \{\varepsilon_1\}$. Since $\text{supp}(x)$ is not empty, $\varepsilon_1 - x$ cannot have a right inverse in $R[\Delta, \sigma]$ by what has been shown previously. On the other hand, $J(R[\Delta, \sigma])$ is a quasi-regular ideal, which results in a contradiction. ■

Theorem 2.2 shows in particular that the maps $|\cdot|_r$ and $|\cdot|_l$ defined in part (a) form a pair of generalized, conjugated valuations in the sense of [1].

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be right orderable groups. Then, $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2$ as groups if and only if $R[\Gamma_1] \cong R[\Gamma_2]$ for all rings R ($\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_1] \cong \mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_2]$).*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.2 (b), we known that $U(\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_i]) = U(\mathbb{Q})\Gamma_i$. Observe that $N_i = U(\mathbb{Q})\varepsilon_i$ is a normal subgroup of $U(\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_i])$ since it is contained in the center of $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_i]$. Every ring isomorphism $\sigma: \mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_2]$ induces a group isomorphism $\tau: U(\mathbb{Q})\Gamma_1 \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Q})\Gamma_2$. Since τ was induced by the ring-map σ , we have $\tau(r\varepsilon_1) = r\varepsilon_2$ for all $r \in U(\mathbb{Q})$. Thus, $\tau|_{N_1}$ maps N_1 onto N_2 . Since $U(\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma_i])$ is the direct product of N_i and Γ_i , we obtain that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are isomorphic as groups. ■

We are particularly interested in the ring

$$S^\phi = \{x \in R[\Delta, \sigma] \mid |x|_r^\phi \geqslant \varepsilon_2\} = \{x \in R[\Delta, \sigma] \mid \text{supp}(x) \subseteq \Pi_1 \cup \ker \phi\}.$$

Observe that $0 \in S^\phi$ since $|0|_l = |0|_r = \infty > \varepsilon_2$ by convention.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Consider a cone-valuated triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta)$ with associated map ϕ whose kernel is denoted by H , a domain R , and a group homomorphism $\sigma: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$. Then $I^\phi = \{x \in$*

$\in S^\phi \mid |x|_r^\phi > \varepsilon_2\}$ is a two-sided ideal of S^ϕ such that $S^\phi/I^\phi \cong R[H, \tau]$ where $\tau = \sigma|_H$.

PROOF. If x is a non-zero element of I^ϕ , then $|x|_l^\phi >_l \varepsilon_2$. Observe that, for every non-zero $y \in S^\phi$, the inequality $|y|_l^\phi \geq_l \varepsilon_2 = |\varepsilon_1|_l^\phi$ yields $|xy|_l^\phi \geq_l |x|_l^\phi > \varepsilon_2$ from which $|xy|_r^\phi >_r \varepsilon_2$ follows. On the other hand, $|y|_r^\phi \geq_r \varepsilon_2 = |\varepsilon_1|_r^\phi$ implies $|yx|_r^\phi \geq_r |\varepsilon_1 x|_r^\phi = |x|_r^\phi >_r \varepsilon_2$. Thus, $xy, yx \in I^\phi$. Moreover, if $a \neq b$ are in I^ϕ , then $|a - b|_r^\phi \geq_r \min\{|a|_r^\phi, |b|_r^\phi\} >_r \varepsilon_2$, and $a - b \in I^\phi$, and I^ϕ is a two-sided ideal of S^ϕ . Let $x = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{supp}(x)} r_\alpha \alpha$ be a non-zero element of S^ϕ , and write $x' = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{supp}(x) \cap H} r_\alpha \alpha$. We define a map $\lambda: S^\phi/I^\phi \rightarrow R[H, \tau]$ by $\lambda(x) = x'$. If $x - y \in I^\phi$, then $0 = (x - y)' = x' - y'$, and λ is well-defined. Moreover, if $x, y \in S^\phi$, then $x - x', y - y' \in I^\phi$, and $xy - x'y' = (x - x')y + x'(y - y') \in I^\phi$ since I^ϕ is a two-sided ideal. Then, $\lambda(xy) = \lambda(x'y') = x'y' = \lambda(x)\lambda(y)$. It is now routine to show that λ is an isomorphism. ■

COROLLARY 2.5. Consider a cone-valuated triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta)$ with associated map ϕ , a domain R , and a group homomorphism $\sigma: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$.

(a) I^ϕ is maximal as a right ideal of S^ϕ if and only if ϕ is one-to-one and R is a division algebra.

(b) S^ϕ has the property that $|x|_r^\phi = \varepsilon_2$ yields that x is a unit of S^ϕ if and only if $|\Gamma_1| = 1$ and R is a division algebra.

PROOF. (a) Suppose that I^ϕ is a maximal right ideal of S^ϕ . Using the notation of Proposition 2.4, $R[H, \tau]$ is a division algebra. But this is only possible if $H = \{\varepsilon_1\}$ by part (b) of Theorem 2.2. Consequently, $R \cong S^\phi/I^\phi$ is a division algebra. The converse is obvious.

(b) Suppose that S^ϕ is regular. If Γ_1 contains two elements, we can find $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ such that $\alpha >_r \varepsilon_1$. Then, $\varepsilon_1 + \alpha$ is an element of S^ϕ with $|\varepsilon_1 + \alpha|_r^\phi = \varepsilon_2$. But then, $\varepsilon_1 + \alpha$ is a unit of S^ϕ which is impossible by Theorem 2.2. The rest of the proof is obvious. ■

3. – Localizations.

In this section, R always is a right Ore-ring and we assume that (Γ_1, Π_1) has the property that $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is a right Ore ring. Turning to the valuation rings which we considered in Proposition 2.4 and Corollary

2.5, we consider the set $X = \{x \in S^\phi \mid |x|_r^\phi = \varepsilon_2\}$. It is easy to see that the elements of X are precisely the elements $x \in R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ of the form $x = u\alpha$ for some $u \in T$ and $\alpha \in \ker \phi$. Since the elements of $\ker \phi$ are units in S^ϕ , it follows that X is an Ore set, and that $S_X^\phi \cong S_T^\phi$ is a chain-order in D in the sense of Dubrovin (for details see [1, Theorem 4.2]). In particular, D is the classical ring of quotients of S_ϕ .

THEOREM 3.1. *Consider a right Ore-domain R , a cone-valuated triple $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta)$ with associated map ϕ whose kernel is denoted by H , and a group-homomorphism $\sigma: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$. If $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is a right Ore-domain, then*

- (a) S_T^ϕ is a chain-domain with maximal ideal I_T^ϕ .
- (b) $R[H, \tau]$ is a right Ore-domain whose classical ring of quotients is S_T^ϕ/I_T^ϕ .
- (c) $|\cdot|_l$ induces a generalized left valuation $|\cdot|_l$ on S_T^ϕ .
- (d) For all $a, b \in S_T^\phi$ we have $|a|_l \leq |b|_l$ if and only if $aS_T^\phi \supseteq bS_T^\phi$.

PROOF. (a) To see that S_T^ϕ is a right and left chain domain, we consider non-zero elements a_1 and a_2 of S_T^ϕ , and write $a_i = \alpha_i u_i t_i^{-1}$ with $\alpha_i \in \Gamma_1$ and $u_i, t_i \in T$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then, $a_i S_T^\phi = \alpha_i S_T^\phi$. If $\alpha_2 \geq_l \alpha_1$, then $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 \pi$ for some $\pi \in \Pi_1 \subseteq S^\phi$. Therefore, $a_2 S_T^\phi \subseteq a_1 S_T^\phi$. Because of the symmetry of the problem, S_T^ϕ is a right chain domain.

Observe that I_T^ϕ is a right ideal of S_T^ϕ whose elements are of the form at^{-1} with $a \in I^\phi$ and $t \in T$. We show that I_T^ϕ consists of the non-units of S_T^ϕ . To see this, suppose that $1 \in I_T^\phi$. It implies $1 = at^{-1}$ for some $a \in I^\phi$ and $t \in T$. We obtain $a = t$ and $\varepsilon_2 <_r |a|_r = |t|_r = \varepsilon_2$, a contradiction. Thus, I_T^ϕ consists only of non-units. On the other hand, if $at^{-1} \in S_T^\phi$ is not a unit, then $|a|_r > \varepsilon_2$ since otherwise $a \in X$, and at^{-1} is a unit of S_T^ϕ . But $|a|_r > \varepsilon_2$ implies $a \in I^\phi$. Therefore, I_T^ϕ indeed is the collection of non-units of S_T^ϕ . This shows that I_T^ϕ is the Jacobson-radical of the chain-ring S_T^ϕ . In particular, $D' = S_T^\phi/I_T^\phi$ is a division algebra.

(b) In the first step, we compute $S^\phi \cap I_T^\phi$. Choose $a \in I^\phi$ and $t \in T$ such that $at^{-1} \in S^\phi$, say $at^{-1} = b$. If $b \notin I^\phi$, then $|b|_r = \varepsilon_2$, and $b \in X$. Consequently, I^ϕ contains an element of X , and $I_T^\phi = S_T^\phi$ which is not possible. Therefore, $S^\phi \cap I_T^\phi = I^\phi$. In the division algebra D' , we consider the ring $L = (S^\phi + I_T^\phi)/I_T^\phi$ and show that it is essential in D' as a L -submodule: If $at^{-1} \in S_T^\phi$ with $a \in S^\phi$ and $t \in T$, but $at^{-1} \notin I_T^\phi$, then

$|a|_r \geq_r \varepsilon_2$, and $a + I_T^\phi = (at^{-1} + I_T^\phi)(t + I_T^\phi)$ is a non-zero element of $(at^{-1} + I_T^\phi)L \cap L$. Therefore, D' is the maximal right ring of quotients of L by [6, Propositions A. 2.11 and Corollary C. 2.31]. Moreover, $L \cong S^\phi/S^\phi \cap I_T^\phi = S^\phi/I^\phi \cong R[H, \tau]$ yields that $R[H, \tau]$ is a right Ore-ring whose classical right ring of quotients is isomorphic to D' as desired.

To show (c) and (d), consider $a, b \in S_T^\phi$. We can find $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_1$ and $u, v, x, y \in T$ such that $a = aux^{-1}$ and $b = \beta vy^{-1}$, where the $T - \Gamma_1$ -factorizations have the property $au, \beta v \in S^\phi$. Then $|au|_l = \phi(\alpha)$, and $|\beta v|_l = \phi(\beta)$. We obtain that ux^{-1} and vy^{-1} are units in S_T^ϕ . Therefore, $aS_T^\phi = \alpha S_T^\phi$ and $bS^\phi = \beta S_T^\phi$.

Suppose $\alpha S_T^\phi \supseteq \beta S_T^\phi$. We can find $s \in S^\phi$ and $z \in T$ with $\beta = \alpha sz^{-1}$, and write $s = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \sigma_i$ such that $\phi(\sigma_i) \geq_l e_2$ for all i and $z = \sum_{j=1}^m t_j \delta_j$ where $\phi(\delta_j) \geq_l e_2$ and $\phi(\delta_1) = e_2$. We obtain $\beta z = \sum_{j=1}^m t_j^{\sigma(\beta)} \beta \delta_j$ and $\alpha s = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^{\sigma(\alpha)} \alpha \sigma_i$. There exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\beta \delta_1 = \alpha \sigma_{i_0}$. This shows $\phi(\beta) = \phi(\alpha \delta_1) = \phi(\alpha) \phi(\sigma_{i_0}) \geq_l \phi(\alpha)$ since $\phi(\sigma_{i_0}) \geq_l e_2$.

Define $|a|_l = \phi(\alpha)$ where $a = aux^{-1}$ is a factorization of a as before. To show that this map is well-defined, we consider a second factorization $a = \tilde{a}\tilde{u}\tilde{x}^{-1}$. Since $\alpha S_T^\phi = \tilde{\alpha} S_T^\phi$, the results verified up to this point yield $\phi(\alpha) \leq_l \phi(\tilde{\alpha}) \leq_l \phi(\alpha)$. This shows that the map $| \cdot |_l$ is indeed well-defined. Moreover, every $a \in S^\phi$ can be written as $a = au1^{-1}$ with $u \in T$. Then, $|a|_l = \phi(\alpha) = |a|_l^\phi$. Thus, $| \cdot |_l$ extends $| \cdot |_l^\phi$ as desired.

We consider $a, b \in S_T^\phi$ with $|a|_l \geq_l |b|_l$, and choose a decomposition of a and b as before. Observe $\phi(\alpha) \geq_l \phi(\beta)$. If $\alpha \geq_l \beta$ in Γ_1 , then $\beta^{-1}\alpha \in \Pi_1 \subseteq S^\phi$, and there is $s \in S^\phi$ with $\alpha = \beta s$. Thus, $\alpha S_T^\phi = \beta S_T^\phi \subseteq \beta S_T^\phi = bS_T^\phi$. On the other hand, if $\alpha \leq_l \beta$, then $\phi(\alpha) \leq_l \phi(\beta)$; and hence $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(\beta)$. Since $\alpha^{-1}\beta \in \Pi_1 \subseteq \Delta$, we have $\phi(\beta) = \phi(\alpha)\phi(\alpha^{-1}\beta) = \phi(\beta)\phi(\alpha^{-1}\beta)$. Hence, $\alpha^{-1}\beta \in \ker \phi$, and hence $\beta^{-1}\alpha \in \Delta$. But then, $\beta^{-1}\alpha \in \ker(\phi) \subseteq X$ yields that $\beta^{-1}\alpha$ is a unit of S_T^ϕ . In this case $\alpha S_T^\phi = \beta S_T^\phi$. In either case, we have shown $|a|_l \geq_l |b|_l$ if and only if $\alpha S_T^\phi \subseteq \beta S_T^\phi$.

Using the last result and the fact that S_T^ϕ is a chain ring, it is now possible to show that the map $| \cdot |_l$ defines a generalized left valuation on S_T^ϕ using standard arguments. ■

In the last result, we assumed that $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is a right Ore ring in order to embed S^ϕ as an essential submodule into a ring Q in which the elements of T are units. We now show that the Ore condition on $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is necessary and sufficient for the existence of such a ring Q .

COROLLARY 3.2. *Consider a right Ore-domain R , a cone-valuated pair (Γ_1, Γ_2) with associated map ϕ , and a group-homomorphism $\sigma: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is a right Ore ring.

(b) S^ϕ can be embedded as an essential S^ϕ -submodule of a ring Q in which the elements of T are units.

PROOF. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is an immediate consequence of the Theorem 3.1.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) Let $t \in T$ and $s \in S^\phi$. No generality is lost if we assume that $s \neq 0$. Because of (b), we can find elements $t_1, t_2 \in S^\phi$ with $t^{-1}st_1 = t_2$. Choose $u_1, u_2 \in T$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Gamma_1$ with $t_i = u_i \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Write $su_1 \alpha_1 = tu_2 \alpha_2$ to obtain $su_1 = tu_2(\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1})$ in $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$. Then, $su_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta_i$ where $\text{supp}(su_1) = \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\} \subseteq \Gamma_1$ and $\phi(\delta_i) \geq_r \varepsilon_2$. Similarly, $tu_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \varrho_j$ where $\text{supp}(tu_2) = \{\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_m\}$ with $\phi(\varrho_j) \geq_r \varepsilon_2$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m b_j \varrho_j \right) \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j (\varrho_j \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1})$. This shows

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_2 \leq_r |su_1|_r &= \min_{i=1}^n \phi(\delta_i) = \min_{j=1}^m \phi(\varrho_j \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1}) = \\ &= \left(\min_{j=1}^m \phi(\varrho_j) \right) \phi(\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1}) = \varepsilon_2 \phi(\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1}) = \phi(\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $tu_2 \in T$, and hence $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \in S^\phi$. Furthermore, $u_2 \in T$ yields $u_2 \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \in S^\phi$. Therefore, $su_1 = t(u_2 \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1})$ with $u_1 \in T$ and $u_2 \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \in S^\phi$; and T is right Ore in S^ϕ .

To show (a), let r_1 and r_2 be two non-zero elements of $R^\sigma[\Gamma_1]$. Choose $u_1, u_2 \in T$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Gamma_1$ with $r_i = u_i \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. By (b) there are $v_1, v_2 \in T$ with $u_2 v_2 = u_1 v_1$. Then $r_2 \alpha_2^{-1} v_2 = u_2 v_2 = u_1 v_1 = r_1 \alpha_1^{-1} v_1$ is non-zero, and $\alpha_1^{-1} v_1 \in R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$. Hence $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is a right Ore ring. ■

The last result of this section shows that, in the setting of Mathiak's work ([8]), the pair of conjugated generalized valuations can be extended to the localization S_T^ϕ just like standard valuations.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let R be a right and left Ore-domain, $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta)$ a cone-valuated triple with associated map ϕ , and $\sigma: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ be a group homomorphism such that $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is a right and left Ore-domain.*

(a) *The pair $(| \cdot |_l, | \cdot |_r)$ of generalized, conjugated valuations on S^ϕ extends to a pair $(| \cdot |_l, | \cdot |_r)$ of conjugated, generalized valuations on S_T^ϕ .*

(b) *The induced valuations in a) are order-anti-isomorphic to the generalized valuations on S_T^ϕ which are induced by the linear ordering of the one-sided ideals of S_T^ϕ .*

PROOF. By Theorem 3.1, there exist extensions $| \cdot |_l$ and $| \cdot |_r$ of $| \cdot |_l$ and $| \cdot |_r$ which are one-sided generalized valuations and satisfy condition (b). Since $|a|_l \geq_l \varepsilon_2$ and $|a|_r \geq_r \varepsilon_2$ for all $a \in S_T^\phi$, it remains to show $|a|_l = \varepsilon_2$ if and only if $|a|_r = \varepsilon_2$. If $|a|_l = \varepsilon_2$ then $aS^\phi T = S_T^\phi$ and a is a unit of S_T^ϕ . In this case, $S_T^\phi a = S_T^\phi$ and $|a|_r = \varepsilon_2$. The converse is verified in exactly the same way. ■

4. – Examples.

Dubrovin showed in [5] that the property that $R[\Gamma_1, \sigma]$ is a right Ore-domain whenever R is a right Ore-domain, is inherited by subgroups. Using this, we can easily establish the following

PROPOSITION 4.1 (a) *The following conditions are equivalent for a group Γ , a right Ore-domain R , and a group-homomorphism $\sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$:*

(i) *$R[\Gamma, \sigma]$ is a right Ore-domain.*

(ii) *For every finitely generated subgroup U of Γ , the ring $R[U, \sigma|_U]$ is a right Ore-domain.*

(iii) *Γ is the union of a smooth ascending chain $\{\Gamma_\nu \mid \nu < \kappa\}$ of subgroups Γ_ν such that $R[\Gamma_\nu, \sigma|_{\Gamma_\nu}]$ is a right Ore-domain.*

(b) *The following conditions are equivalent for a group Γ which is the semi-direct product of a normal subgroup N by a subgroup U :*

(i) *$R[\Gamma, \sigma]$ is a right Ore domain for all right Ore domains R and all $\sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$.*

(ii) *$\alpha) R[N, \sigma]$ is a right Ore domain for all right Ore domains R and all $\sigma: N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$.*

$\beta) R[U, \tau]$ is a right Ore domain for all right Ore domains R and all $\tau: U \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$.

PROOF. (a) The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): If U is a finitely generated subgroup of Γ , then $U \subseteq \Gamma_\nu$ for some $\nu < \kappa$. Since $(\sigma|_{\Gamma_\nu})|_U = \sigma|_U$, we have that $R[U, \sigma|_U]$ is a right Ore domain by Dubrovin's result. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Whenever a and b are non-zero elements of $R[\Gamma, \sigma]$, then there is a finitely generated subgroup U of Γ with $a, b \in R[U, \sigma|_U]$. But the latter ring is a right Ore-domain. (b) (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Condition (i) holds by (a). To show the second condition, we observe that every homomorphism $\sigma: U \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ can be extended to a homomorphism $\tilde{\sigma}: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ by setting $\tilde{\sigma}(nu) = \sigma(u)$ for all $n \in N$ and $u \in U$. Now, we apply Dubrovin's result again.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By condition (ii), $R[N, \sigma|_N]$ is a right Ore domain, and $(R[N, \sigma|_N])[U, \tau]$ is a right Ore domain for all homomorphisms $\tau: U \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R[N, \sigma|_N])$. In particular consider the map τ_U which is defined by $(\tau_U(u))\left(\sum_{\alpha \in N} r_\alpha \alpha\right) = \sum_{\alpha \in N} r^{\sigma(u)} \alpha$ for all $u \in U$. By [1, Lemma 3.1], $R[\Gamma, \sigma]$ is isomorphic to the right Ore domain $(R[N, \sigma|_N])[U, \tau_U]$. ■

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let G and H be infinite groups such that $R[G]$ and $R[H]$ are right Ore domains for all right Ore domains R . Then, $\Gamma = G \wr H$ has the property that $R[\Gamma]$ is a right Ore domain for all right Ore domains R , but Γ has a trivial center. Here, $\wr$ denotes the restricted wreath-product of G by H .

PROOF. By Proposition 4.1, it is enough to show that $\bigoplus_I G$ is Ore for all index sets I . Because of Proposition 4.1, it suffices to consider the case that I is finite. However, a finite direct sum of Ore groups is an Ore group by Proposition 4.1. ■

For instance, consider the following family $\{G_n \mid n < \omega\}$ of groups: Set $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_{n+1} = G_n \wr \mathbb{Z}$. We observe that each G_n is a solvable finitely generated Ore group with trivial center whose $(n-1)^{\text{st}}$ commutator subgroup is non-trivial. By Proposition 4, the group $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{\omega} G_n$ is an Ore group which is not solvable.

We conclude with some examples relating our results to previous work by Brungs' and Törner.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Since every skewpolynomial ring $R[x, \sigma]$ can be viewed as a subring of $R[\mathbb{Z}, \sigma]$ Theorem 2.2 shows that the chain rings constructed in this paper include those from [2].

In [1], we investigate groups Γ which are the union of a smooth ascending chain $\{\Gamma_\nu\}_{\nu < \kappa}$ of normal subgroups such that $\Gamma_{\nu+1}/\Gamma_\nu$ is torsion-free abelian.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let R be a right Ore-ring and Γ a group which is the union of a smooth chain $\{\Gamma_\nu\}_{\nu < \kappa}$ of normal subgroups of Γ with $\Gamma_0 = \{\varepsilon\}$. Then, Γ can be right ordered in such a way that for all $\alpha < \kappa$*

a) Γ/Γ_α carries a natural right order induced by the Γ_ν 's such that the canonical projection $\pi_\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_\alpha$ is an order preserving map.

b) $S_T^{\pi_\alpha}/J(S_T^{\pi_\alpha})$ is the classical right ring of quotients of $R[\Gamma_\alpha]$.

PROOF. Using [4, Lemma 3.7], we can right order Γ in such a way that an element $x \in \Gamma_{\nu+1} \setminus \Gamma_\nu$ is positive in Γ exactly if $x\Gamma_\nu$ is positive in $\Gamma_{\nu+1}/\Gamma_\nu$. We fix $\alpha < \kappa$, and observe that the group $[\Gamma_{\sigma+1}/\Gamma_\alpha]/[\Gamma_\sigma/\Gamma_\nu] \cong \Gamma_{\sigma+1}/\Gamma_\sigma$ is torsion-free abelian. We right order the group on the left in such a way that the natural isomorphism becomes order-preserving. Once Γ and Γ/Γ_α are right ordered as has been detailed in the first paragraph, the canonical projection $\pi_\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_\alpha$ is order preserving. To see this let $x \in \Gamma$ be positive and choose $\sigma < \kappa$ minimal with $x \in \Gamma_\sigma$. Then, $\sigma = \nu + 1$, and $x\Gamma_\nu$ is positive in Γ_σ/Γ_ν . Only the case $\sigma > \alpha$ needs further consideration. In this case, $x\Gamma_\alpha \in [\Gamma_\sigma/\Gamma_\alpha] \setminus [\Gamma_\nu/\Gamma_\alpha]$, and hence $x\Gamma_\alpha$ is positive since the isomorphism $[\Gamma_{\sigma+1}/\Gamma_\alpha]/[\Gamma_\sigma/\Gamma_\nu] \cong \Gamma_{\sigma+1}/\Gamma_\sigma$ is order preserving and $x\Gamma_\nu$ is positive in Γ_σ/Γ_ν . The theorem is now an immediate consequence of the results of Section 3. ■

EXAMPLE 4.5. *Suppose that Γ is a right Ore-group which contains a normal subgroup N such that N and Γ/N are both right ordered groups, e.g. Γ is the semi-direct product of N and a suitable subgroup U . Then, Γ can be right ordered in such a way that the projection-map $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/N$ is order preserving. We obtain that $S_T^\phi/J(S_T^\phi)$ is the classical ring of quotients of the group algebra $R[N]$, while the chain-ring $S_T^{1_\Gamma}$ which is obtained by using $1_\Gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ to define the generalized valuations satisfies $S_T^{1_\Gamma}/J(S_T^{1_\Gamma}) \cong Q(R)$ where $Q(R)$ is the classical ring of quotients of R . In the case of [1, Example 2], the first ring is the classical ring of quotients of $R[\mathbb{Z}]$ and is not associated with the cone Π_1 .*

The last example also applies in the following case. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \wr (\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})$ in which $\Pi_{\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$ is the kernel of the induced map $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$, and consider the group-algebra $K[\Gamma]$ over a field K . Since this kernel is an abelian group, the induced valuation ring S^ϕ has the property that $S_T^\phi / J(S_T^\phi)$ is a commutative ring not isomorphic to K although $K[\Gamma]$ is non-commutative.

REFERENCES

- [1] U. ALBRECHT - G. TÖRNER, *Group rings and generalized valuations*, Comm. Algebra, **18** (1984), pp. 2243-2272.
- [2] C. BESSENRODT - H. BRUNGS - G. TÖRNER, *Right chain rings*, Part 1, 2a, 2b, Preprint, Duisburg.
- [3] G. BASTUS - T. VISWANATHAN, *Torsion-free abelian groups, valuations and twisted group-rings*, Can. Math. Bull., **2** (1988), pp. 139-146.
- [4] P. CONRAD, *Right ordered-groups*, Mich. Math. J., **6** (1959), pp. 267-275.
- [5] N. I. DUBROVNIK, *The rational closure of group rings of left orderable groups*, Mat. USSR Sbornik, **184** (7) (1993), pp. 3-48.
- [6] K. GOODEARL, *Ring Theory*, Marcel Dekker, Basel, New York (1976).
- [7] A. I. MALCEV, *The embedding of group algebras into division algebras*, Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR, **60** (1948) (9), pp. 1499-1501.
- [8] K. MATHIAK, *Bewertungen nicht kommutativer Körper*, J. Algebra, **48** (1977), pp. 217-235.
- [9] B. NEUMANN, *On ordered division rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **66**, 2 (1949), pp. 202-252.
- [10] PASSMANN, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, Robert Krieger, Malabar (1985).

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 16 aprile 1996
 e, in forma definitiva, il 17 giugno 1997.