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Strong Solutions of the Steady Nonlinear Navier-Stokes System in Domains with Exits to Infinity.

K. Pileckas (*)

ABSTRACT - The stationary Navier-Stokes equations of a viscous incompressible fluid are considered in domains $\Omega$ with $m > 1$ exits to infinity, which have in some coordinate system the following form

$$\Omega_i = \{ x : |x'| < g_i(x_n), x_n > 0 \},$$

where $g_i$ are functions satisfying the global Lipschitz condition and $g_i'(x_n) \to 0$ as $x_n \to \infty$. In the paper we prove the solvability of the Navier-Stokes system with prescribed fluxes in weighted Sobolev and Hölder spaces and we show the pointwise decay of the solutions. For three-dimensional domains $\Omega$ the obtained results are true for arbitrary large data, while in the case of two-dimensions the results are proved only for small data.

Introduction.

The solvability of the boundary value problems for stationary Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations has been studied in many papers and monographs (e.g. [10], [35], [8]). The existence theory which is developed there concerns mainly the domains with compact boundaries (bounded or exterior). Although some of these results do not depend on the shape of the boundary, many problems of scientific interest, concerning the flow of a viscous incompressible fluid in domains with non-compact boundaries, were unsolved and, therefore, it is not surprising that during the last 17 years the special attention was given to problems in such domains.

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Universität GH Paderborn, Fachbereich Mathematik-Informatik, Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany.
In this paper we consider the class of domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$, having $m > 1$ exits to infinity $\Omega_i$ of the form

\begin{equation}
\Omega_i = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x'| < g_i(x_n), x_n > 1 \}
\end{equation}

and we study in such domains the nonlinear stationary Navier-Stokes system

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
-\nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\text{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

supplemented by the additional flux conditions

\begin{equation}
\int_{\sigma_i} u \cdot n \, ds = F_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_i = 0,
\end{equation}

where $\sigma_i(t) = \{ x : x \in \Omega_i, x_n = t, t = \text{const} \}$.

The weak solvability of problem (0.2), (0.3) was studied in [11], [12], [29], [30], [32], [9]). In [29] was found that for solutions with the finite Dirichlet integral the nonzero fluxes can be prescribed only in exits to infinity $\Omega_i$ blowing up not to slowly. This means that the corresponding functions $g_i$ satisfy the following condition

\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty g_i(t)^{-n-1} \, dt < \infty.
\end{equation}

Prescribing arbitrary fluxes $F_i$ in exits to infinity, satisfying (0.4), and zero fluxes if

\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty g_i(t)^{-n-1} \, dt = \infty,
\end{equation}

the weak solvability of (0.2), (0.3) was proved in [29] (for arbitrary large data) in a class of functions having the finite Dirichlet integral

\begin{equation}
\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx < \infty.
\end{equation}

The physically natural problem with prescribed fluxes also in «narrow» (subjected to (0.5)) exits to infinity (for example, in pipes) can not be solved in a class of divergence-free vectors with a finite Dirichlet integral. This is related to the fact that in such exits to infinity each divergence free vector field $u$, having zero trace on $\partial \Omega$ and the finite
Dirichlet norm, admits only zero fluxes. In the basic paper of O. A. Ladyzhenskaya and V. A. Solonnikov (1980) [13] the weak solvability of the Navier-Stokes equations with prescribed fluxes through all exits to infinity is proved for arbitrary data in a class of functions with an infinite Dirichlet integral. The estimates of the growth of the Dirichlet integral are given there in terms of the functions $g_i$. These estimates are proved by mean of differential inequalities techniques (so called «techniques of the Saint-Venant’s principle»).

The similar results for domains with layer-like exits to infinity are obtained by K. Pileckas (1981) [20].

In [31], [32], [33] V. A. Solonnikov developed the existence theory of weak solutions for stationary and nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations in a very general class of domains with exits to infinity. He avoided to make the assumptions on the shape of exits to infinity and only impose certain general restrictions. Roughly speaking, in [31], [32], [33] the axiomatic approach is developed. The methods used in [31], [32], [33] are closed to that of [13].

In this work we develop the existence theory of strong solutions of the stationary nonlinear Navier-Stokes problem (0.2), (0.3) in domains with $m > 1$ exits to infinity of form (0.1). As we have mentioned above, remaining in a class of solutions with the bounded Dirichlet integral, we can prescribe the fluxes only in «wide» exits to infinity, satisfying (0.4). On the other hand, in K. Pileckas (1980, 1983) [18], [22] (see also V. N. Maslennikova, M. E. Bogovskii (1981) [15]) it is shown that solenoidal vector fields, possessing the finite «$L^q$-Dirichlet norm»

$$
\left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q},
$$
can have nonzero fluxes, even if condition (0.4) is violated. In this case (0.4) is changed to

\begin{equation}
(0.6) \quad \int_0^\infty g_i(t)^{-(n-1)(q-1)-q} \, dt < \infty.
\end{equation}

Therefore, it is natural to suppose that, if the functions $g_i(t)$ grow as $t \to \infty$ and if we can find the numbers $q_i > 1$ such that (0.6) is valid, the Navier-Stokes system has solutions $u$ with prescribed fluxes and $\nabla u \in L^q(\Omega_i)$.

In [27], [28] the analogous questions were studied for the linear
Stokes problem

\[
\begin{align*}
&-\nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega , \\
&\text{div } u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega , \\
&u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega ,
\end{align*}
\]

(0.7) \quad \left( u \cdot n \right) ds = F_i , \quad i = 1 , \ldots , m , \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_i = 0 .

(0.8)

In the case of zero fluxes $F_i , i = 1 , \ldots , m , \text{the unique solvability of problem (0.7), (0.8) was proved in [27], [28] in weighted Sobolev and H"older spaces } V_{R,0}^{1,0}(\Omega) \text{ and } C_{R,0}^{1,0}(\Omega) \text{ with } \beta = (\beta_1 , \ldots , \beta_m) \neq 0 \text{ and } R = (R_1 , \ldots , R_m) . \text{ Notice that elements of } V_{R,0}^{1,0}(\Omega) \text{ and } C_{R,0}^{1,0}(\Omega) \text{ exponentially vanish as } |x| \rightarrow \infty , x \in \Omega_i , \text{ if } \beta_i > 0 , \text{ and they can exponentially grow if } \beta_i < 0 . \text{ In particular, from [27], [28] it follows that if the right-hand side } f \text{ has compact support, then the solution } (u, \nabla p) \text{ of (0.7), (0.8) with } F_i = 0 , i = 1 , \ldots , m , \text{ exponentially vanishes as } |x| \rightarrow \infty . \text{ In the case of nonzero fluxes } (F_i \neq 0 , i = 1 , \ldots , m) \text{ the solvability of the linear Stokes problem (0.7), (0.8) was proved in [27], [28] in the spaces } V_{R,0}^{1,0}(\Omega) \text{ and } C_{R,0}^{1,0}(\Omega) .

In this paper we prove the analogous results for the nonlinear Navier-Stokes problem (0.2), (0.3). The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we present the main notations, definitions of function spaces and certain weighted imbedding theorems in domains with exits to infinity.

In Sections 2 and 3 we formulate the results from [27], [28], concerning the solvability of the linear Stokes problem (0.7), (0.8) in weighted function spaces and the results from [29], [13] about the weak solutions to the nonlinear Navier-Stokes problem (0.2), (0.3).

In Section 4 we consider the nonlinear Navier-Stokes problem (0.2), (0.3). First, in Subsection 4.1 the problem (0.2), (0.3) is studied in the case of zero fluxes (i.e. $F_i = 0 , i = 1 , \ldots , m$). For sufficiently small data we prove the unique solvability of it in spaces of exponentially vanishing functions $V_{R,0}^{1,q}(\Omega) , q_0 = q_1 = \ldots = q_m , \text{ and } C_{R,0}^{1,q}(\Omega) , \beta_i > 0 . \text{ These results are true for the space dimensions } n = 2, 3 \text{ and are based on the Banach contraction principle.}

For arbitrary fluxes $F_i$ problem (0.2), (0.3) is studied in Subsection 4.2. Using the weighted imbedding theorems and results concerning the linear Stokes problem (0.7), (0.8), we prove by bootstrap arguments that in three dimensional domains $\Omega$ the weak solution $u$ with the un-

(1) The definitions of function spaces are given in Section 1.
bounded Dirichlet integral (such solution exists due to O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov (1980) [13]) is regular and belongs to \( V^{l+\frac{n}{2}}_\Omega \) or \( C^{l+\frac{n}{2}}_\Omega \) with appropriate \( q_i > 1 \) and \( \varphi_i \). The gradient of the corresponding pressure function \( p \) belongs to \( V^{l,q}_\Omega \) or \( C^{l,q}_\Omega \). Moreover, we derive the pointwise estimates of the solution. These results hold true for arbitrary data \( F \). For simplicity, we present the proof only in the case when the right-hand side \( f \) is equal to zero. However, the results remain valid also for nonzero right-hand sides \( f \) which vanish at infinity sufficiently rapidly. Notice that the decay estimates for the solutions of the nonlinear Navier-Stokes problem (0.2), (0.3) have the same character as those of the linear Stokes problem (0.7), (0.8).

The case of two dimensional domains \( \Omega \) is more complicated than the three dimensional one. For such domains we can prove the solvability of (0.2), (0.3) with nonzero fluxes only for small data (Subsection 4.3), applying the Banach contraction principle, and we do not know, if the results are true for arbitrary large data.

Finally, in Section 5 we specify the obtained results for domains with cylindrical exits to infinity and we show that for small data the solution \( (u, p) \) of (0.2), (0.3) approaches exponentially (in the norms of weighted Sobolev or Hölder spaces) the corresponding exact Poiseuille solution. Notice that the results concerning the existence of the solutions, approaching for small data the Poiseuille flow, are well known (see e.g. [13], [3], [4] for the Dirichlet boundary conditions and [19], [21], [23], [24], [25], [26], [17], [1] for the stress free boundary conditions). The analogous results for the aperture domain were obtained in [6], [7].

1. Main notations and preliminary results.

1.1. Function spaces.

We indicate by \( C^\infty_0 (\Omega) \) the set of all infinitely differentiable real vector functions with compact supports in \( \Omega \) and by \( J^\infty_0 (\Omega) \) the subset of all solenoidal (i.e., satisfying the condition \( \text{div} \, u(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial u_k(x)}{\partial x_k} = 0 \)) vector functions from \( C^\infty_0 (\Omega) \); \( W^{l,q}_\Omega \) is the usual Sobolev space with the norm

\[
\|u; W^{l,q}_\Omega\| = \left( \sum_{\alpha = 0}^l \int_\Omega |D^\alpha \, u|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q},
\]

where \( D^\alpha = \partial^{\alpha_1} / \partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n} \), \( |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \ldots \alpha_n \); \( C^{l,q}_\Omega \), \( l \) being an integer, \( 0 < \delta < 1 \), is a Hölder space of continuous in \( \Omega \) functions \( u \) which
have continuous derivatives $D^\alpha u = \partial^{\alpha_1} u / \partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ up to the order $l$ and the finite norm

$$\| u; C^{l, \delta}(\Omega) \| = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \{|D^\alpha u(x)|\} + \sum_{|\alpha| = l} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \{|D^\alpha u|_\delta(x)|\},$$

where the supremum is taken over $x \in \Omega$ and

$$[u]_\delta(x) = \sup_{0 < |x - y| < |x|/2} \left| \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^\delta} \right|.$$

$W^{l, q}_0(\Omega)$ and $C^{l, \delta}_0(\Omega)$ are the spaces of functions which belong $W^{l, q}(\Omega')$ and $C^{l, \delta}(\Omega')$ for every strictly interior subdomain $\Omega'$ of $\Omega$.

Denote by $D_0^q(\Omega)$ the completions of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$\|\nabla u\|_{q, \Omega} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q}.$$  

For simplicity in notations we put $L^q(\Omega) = W^{0, q}(\Omega)$, $\| ; L^q(\Omega) \| = \| ; q, \Omega \|$, $D_0^q(\Omega) = D_0^q(\Omega)$.

Let $D^{-1}(\Omega)$ be the dual space to $D_0^1(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$\| f \|_{-1, 2, \Omega} = \sup_{f \in D_0^1(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f \cdot \eta| \, dx}{\| \nabla \eta \|_{2, \Omega}} \right).$$

Let us consider now a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$, having $m$ exits to infinity. We suppose that outside the sphere $|x| = R_0$ the domain $\Omega$ splits into $m$ connected components $\Omega_i$ (exits to infinity) which in some coordinate systems $x^{(i)}$ are given by the relations

$$\Omega_i = \{ x^{(i)} : |x^{(i)}'| < g_i(x^{(i)}), x_n^{(i)} > 0 \},$$

where $|x^{(i)}| = |x_1^{(i)}|$ if $n = 2$ and $|x^{(i)}| = \sqrt{x_1^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}}$ if $n = 3$, and $g_i(t)$ are functions satisfying the conditions

$$|g_i(t) - g_i(t')| \leq M_i |t - t'|, \quad \forall t, t' > 0; \quad g_i(t) \geq g_0 > 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} g_i'(t) = 0, \quad |g_i'(t)| \leq M_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.$$
Below we omit the index $i$ in the notations for local coordinates. In what follows we use the notations:

$$\sigma_i(t) = \{ x \in \Omega_i ; x_n = t = \text{const} \};$$

$$R_{i0} = 0, \quad R_{ik} + 1 = R_{ik} + (2M_i)^{-1} g_{ik}, \quad g_{ik} = g_i(R_{ik}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, m;$$

$$\Omega_{ik} = \{ x \in \Omega_i ; x_n < R_{ik} \}, \quad \omega_{ik} = \Omega_{ik+1} \setminus \Omega_{ik}, \quad \Omega_{k} = \Omega_0 \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_{ik} \right);$$

$$N_i(x_n) = g_i(x_n) g_{ik_0}^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m;$$

$$N(x)^{\varphi} = \begin{cases} 
N_i(x)^{\varphi_i}, & x = \Omega_i \setminus \Omega_{(k_0)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \\
1, & x \in \Omega_{(k_0)},
\end{cases}$$

$$\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m);$$

$$\alpha_i(t) = \int_0^t g_i(\tau)^{-1} d\tau, \quad \alpha_{ik} = \alpha_i(R_{ik}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.$$

**Lemma 1.1 [27].** There hold the following relations

(1.4) \[ \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} \leq g_i(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} g_{ik}, \quad t \in [R_{ik}, R_{ik+1}], \]

(1.5) \[ \int_0^\infty g_i(\tau)^{-1} d\tau = \infty, \]

(1.6) \[ \mu_* |l - k| \leq |\alpha_{il} - \alpha_{ik}| \leq \mu^* |l - k|, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \]

where $\mu_*$ and $\mu^*$ are positive constants independent of $k$ and $l$.

Let us introduce the weighted function spaces in the domain $\Omega$ with $m > 1$ exits to infinity. $L_{(q_0, p)}^{q_i}(\Omega), q = (q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_m), \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m)$, is the space of functions with the finite norm

$$\|f; L_{(q_0, p)}^{q_i}(\Omega)\| = \left( \int_{\bigcup_{k_0+1}} |f|^{q_0} \, dx \right)^{1/q_0} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \int_{\Omega_i \setminus \Omega_{(k_0)}} N_i^{q_i \varphi_i} \exp(q_i \beta_i \alpha_i(x_n)) |f|^{q_i} \, dx \right)^{1/q_i}.$$
and $\widetilde{L}^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)$ is the space of functions with the norm

$$\|f; \widetilde{L}^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)\| = \left( \int_{\Omega} |f|^{q_0} \, dx \right)^{1/q_0} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{(m-1)}} N_i^{q_i |\omega_i|} \exp \left( q_i \beta_i \alpha_i(x_n) \right) |f|^{q_i} \, dx \right)^{1/q_i}.$$

Notice that for $q_0 = q_1 = \ldots = q_m = q$ the spaces $L^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)$ and $L^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)$ are equivalent.

Let $V^l_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)$, $l \geq 1$, be the completion of $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$\|f; V^l_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)\| = \sum_{|\alpha| = 0}^l \|D^\alpha f; L^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)\|$$

and $V^{-1, q}_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)$ be the space of functions $f$ which can be represented in the form

$$(1.7) \quad f = f^{(0)} + (\text{div} f^{(1)}, \ldots, \text{div} f^{(n)})$$

with $f^{(0)} \in L^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega), f^{(j)} \in L^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega), j = 1, \ldots, n$, and

$$\|f; V^{-1, q}_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)\| = \|f^{(0)}; L^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)\| + \sum_{j=1}^n \|f^{(j)}; L^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)\|.$$

Here $\omega + b = (\omega_1 + b, \ldots, \omega_m + b).

The spaces $V^l_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)$, $l \geq -1$, are defined by the same formulas with the only difference that $L^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)$ in the definitions of the norms are replaced by $\widetilde{L}^q_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)$.

The weighted Hölder space $C^l_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)$, $l \geq 0$, $1 > \delta > 0$, consists of functions $u$, continuously differentiable up to the order $l$ in $\Omega$, for which the norm

$$\|u; C^l_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega)\| = \|u; C^l_{(\omega, \rho)}(\Omega_{(k)})\| +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{|\alpha| = l} \sup_{x_n \in \Omega_i} \{g_i(x_n)^{x_i - l - |\alpha|} \exp (\beta_i \alpha_i(x_n)) |D^\alpha u(x)| \} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{|\alpha| = l} \sup_{x_n \in \Omega_i} \{g_i(x_n)^{x_i} \exp (\beta_i \alpha_i(x_n)) [D^\alpha u]_b (x) \}$$

is finite.

The weighted function spaces in subdomains $\Omega'$ of $\Omega$ are defined by the same formulas with the only difference that either integrals or
supremum are taken over $\Omega'$ instead of $\Omega$. For example,

$$\|f; L_{(\omega, \beta, \sigma)}^{q_i}(\omega_{ik})\| = \left( \int g_i(x_n)^{q_i, \sigma_i} \exp(q_i \beta_i \alpha_i(x_n)) |f|^{q_i} \, dx \right)^{1/q_i},$$

$i = 1, \ldots, m$.

1.2. Spaces of divergence-free vector fields.

Let $\tilde{H}_\infty^q(\Omega)$ be the space of all solenoidal vector functions, having zero traces on $\partial \Omega$ and the finite norm $\| \nabla u; L_\infty^q(\Omega) \|$ and $H_\infty^q(\Omega)$ is the closure of $J_0^\omega(\Omega)$ in the norm $\| \cdot; \tilde{H}_\infty^q(\Omega) \|$. For simplicity in notations we put $H_\infty^q(\Omega) = H^q(\Omega)$, $\tilde{H}_\infty^q(\Omega) = H^q(\Omega)$, $H_\infty^q(\Omega) = = H^q(\Omega)$ for $q_0 = q_1 = \ldots = q_m = q$ and $H_\infty^2(\Omega) = H(\Omega)$, $\tilde{H}_\infty^2(\Omega) = = H(\Omega)$. It is obvious that

$$\tilde{H}_\infty^q(\Omega) \supset H_\infty^q(\Omega).$$

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$, is the domain with $m$ exits to infinity $\Omega_i$, possessing the properties described above, and let for all $k > k_0$ the domain $\Omega_{(k)}$ has the Lipschitz boundary. If among the integrals

$$\int_0^\infty g_i(t)^{-(n-1)(q_i - 1) - q_i + q_i, \sigma_i} \, dt, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m,$$

there are exactly $r$ which converge, then

$$\dim \tilde{H}_\infty^q(\Omega)/H_\infty^q(\Omega) = r - 1.$$  

The space $H_\infty^q(\Omega)$ consists of those and only those $u \in \tilde{H}_\infty^q(\Omega)$ which satisfy the condition

$$\int_{\sigma_i} u \cdot n \, ds = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.$$  

The proof of this theorem is completely analogous to that for the case $\varepsilon = 0$, $q_0 = q_1 = \ldots = q_m = q$ (see [18], [22]) and is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.2 [29].** Let $\Omega$ be a domain with $m > 1$ exits to infinity $\Omega_i$
of the form (1.1). Then there exists a vector field $\mathbf{A}$ satisfying the following conditions

$$\text{div} \mathbf{A} = 0 \quad \text{in} \; \Omega,$$

$$\mathbf{A} = 0 \quad \text{on} \; \partial \Omega,$$

$$\int_{\sigma_i(t)} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = F_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m,$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is a unit vector of the normal to $\sigma_i$ and $F_i$ are given numbers

Moreover, there holds the estimates

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} F_i = 0.$$

In (1.8) $|\mathbf{F}| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_i^2 \right)^{1/2}$.

1.3. Weighted imbedding theorems.

Below we use the following weighted imbedding theorems.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $u \in V^{l_i, q_i}_{(\omega_i, 0)}(\omega_{ik})$.

(i) If

$$q_i l \leq n, \quad q_i \leq s_i \leq nq_i / (n - q_i l), \quad n = 2, 3,$$

then $u \in L_{(\omega_i, -l + n/q_i - n/s_i, 0)}^{s_i}(\omega_{ik})$ and

$$\|u; L_{(\omega_i, -l + n/q_i - n/s_i, 0)}^{s_i}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c \|u; V^{l_i, q_i}_{(\omega_i, 0)}(\omega_{ik})\|.$$

(ii) If

$$q_i l > n, \quad m + \delta \leq (q_i l - n)/q_i, \quad \delta \in (0, 1),$$

then $u \in C^{m, \delta}_{(m + \delta - l + \omega_i + n/q_i, 0)}(\omega_{ik})$ and

$$\|u; C^{m, \delta}_{(m + \delta - l + \omega_i + n/q_i, 0)}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c \|u; V^{l_i, q_i}_{(\omega_i, 0)}(\omega_{ik})\|.$$

The constants in (1.10), (1.12) are independent of $k$ and $u$. 
PROOF. After the transform of coordinates

\[ y_j = 2M_i g^{-1}_{ik} x_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \quad y_n = 2M_i g^{-1}_{ik} (x_n - R_{ik} - 1), \]

the domain \( \omega_{ik} \) goes over to the standard domain \( \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \):

\[
\tilde{\omega}_{il} = \{ y : |y'| < G_{il}(y_n), \gamma^{(1)}_{il} < y_n < 1 + \gamma^{(1)}_{il} \},
\]

\[
\tilde{\omega}_{il}^* = \{ y : |y'| < G_{il}(y_n), 0 < y_n < 1 + \gamma^{(1)}_{il} + \gamma^{(2)}_{il} \},
\]

where

\[
G_{il}(y_n) = g^{-1}_{il} 2M_i g_{il} (R_{il} - 1 + 2M_i^{-1} g_{il} y_n),
\]

\[
\gamma^{(1)}_{il} = g_{il}^{-1} 2M_i g_{il}^{-1}, \quad \gamma^{(2)}_{il} = g_{il} + 2M_i g_{il}^{-1}.
\]

The classical imbedding theorems in the domain \( \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \) yield either

(1.13) \[ \| \hat{u}; L^{s_i}(\tilde{\omega}_{ik}) \|^{s_i} \leq c \| \hat{u}; W^{l, q_i}(\tilde{\omega}_{ik}) \|^{s_i/q_i} \]

in the case (1.9), or

(1.14) \[ \| \hat{u}; C^{m, \delta}(\tilde{\omega}_{ik}) \| \leq c \| \hat{u}; W^{l, q_i}(\tilde{\omega}_{ik}) \| \]

in the case (1.11) (see e.g. R. A. Adams [2]). In (1.13), (1.14) \( \hat{u}(y) = u(x(y)) \) and the constants are independent of \( k \) and \( u \). Returning in (1.13), (1.14) to coordinates \( \{ x \} \) and multiplying the obtained inequalities by \( g_{ik}^{s_i/k_i} \), we derive

(1.15) \[ \| u; L^{s_i}_{(\omega_{ik} - l + n/q_i - n/s_i, 0)}(\omega_{ik}) \|^{s_i} \leq c \| u; V^{l, q_i}_{(\omega_{ik}, 0)}(\omega_{ik}) \|^{s_i/q_i}, \]

(1.16) \[ \| u; C^{m, \delta}_{(m + \delta - l + n/q_i, 0)}(\omega_{ik}) \| \leq c \| u; V^{l, q_i}_{(\omega_{ik}, 0)}(\omega_{ik}) \|. \]

The theorem is proved.

THEOREM 1.3. Let \( u \in V^{l, q_i}_{(\omega_{ik}, \beta_i)}(\Omega_i), \beta \in \mathbb{R}^1 \).

(i) If \( s_i \) satisfies the conditions (1.9), then \( u \in L^{s_i}_{(\omega_{ik} - l + n/q_i - n/s_i, \beta_i)}(\Omega_i) \) and

(1.17) \[ \| u; L^{s_i}_{(\omega_{ik} - l + n/q_i - n/s_i, \beta_i)}(\Omega_i) \| \leq c \| u; V^{l, q_i}_{(\omega_{ik}, \beta_i)}(\Omega_i) \|. \]

(ii) If the conditions (1.11) are fulfilled, then \( u \in C^{m, \delta}_{(m + \delta - l + n/q_i, \beta_i)}(\Omega_i) \) and

(1.18) \[ \| u; C^{m, \delta}_{(m + \delta - l + n/q_i, \beta_i)}(\Omega_i) \| \leq c \| u; V^{l, q_i}_{(\omega_{ik}, \beta_i)}(\Omega_i) \|. \]
PROOF. Multiplying the estimates (1.15) by \( \exp(s_i \beta_i \alpha_{ik}) \) and taking the sum over all \( k > k_0 \), we derive

\[
\left\| \mathbf{u}; \frac{L^{q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} - l + n/q_i - n/s_i, \beta_i \ni (\Omega_i \setminus \Omega_{(k_0)})}{L^{q_i}_{(\omega_{i})}} \right\|_{s_i/q_i} \leq c \sum_{k \geq k_0} \left\| \mathbf{u}; V^{q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\Omega_{ik}) \right\|_{s_i/q_i}.
\]

Since \( s_i/q_i > 1 \), the right-hand side of the last inequality can be estimated by \( c \| \mathbf{u}; V^{q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\Omega_{ik}) \|_{s_i/q_i} \) and hence (1.17) is proved. Estimate (1.18) follows from (1.12) by multiplying it by \( \exp(s_i \beta_i \alpha_{ik}) \) and taking the supremum over all \( k > k_0 \). The theorem is proved.

2. – Strong solvability of the Stokes problem.

Let us consider in the domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n = 2, 3 \), with \( m > 1 \) exits to infinity the Stokes problem (0.7), (0.8). We denote the problem (0.7)-(0.8) with zero fluxes, i.e. \( F_i = 0 \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), by (0.7)-(0.8)_0. Below we present (without proofs) the results from [27], [28].

2.1. Weighted local estimates.

**THEOREM 2.1.** (i) [27] Let the function \( f \) has the representation (1.7) and \( f^{(j)} \in L^{q_i}_{(\omega_{i})}, \ j = 1, \ldots, n, q_i \geq 2, i = 0, 1, \ldots, m \). Then the weak solution \( \mathbf{u} \) of the Stokes problem (0.7) satisfies the local estimate

\[
\| \nabla \mathbf{u}; L^{q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\omega_{is}) \| \leq c \left( \| f^{(0)}; L^{q_i}_{(\omega_{i}) + 1, \beta_i} (\omega_{is}) \| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \| f^{(j)}; L^{q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\omega_{is}) \| + \| \nabla \mathbf{u}; L^{2}_{(\omega_{i})} (\omega_{is}) \| \right),
\]

where \( \tilde{\omega}_i = n(2 - q_i)/2q_i \), \( \omega_{is} = \omega_{is-1} \cup \omega_{is} \cup \omega_{is+1} \).

(ii) [27] Assume that \( \partial \Omega \in C^{l+2}, f \in W^{l, q_i}_{loc} (\Omega_{i}), q_i > 1, l \geq 0 \). Then the solution \( (\mathbf{u}, p) \) of problem (0.7) satisfies the local estimates

\[
\| \mathbf{u}; V^{l+2, q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\omega_{is}) \| + \| \nabla p; V^{l, q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\omega_{is}) \| \leq c \| f; V^{l, q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\omega_{is}) \| + \| \nabla \mathbf{u}; L^{q_i}_{(\omega_{i}) - l - 1, \beta_i} (\omega_{is}) \|,
\]

\[
\| \mathbf{u}; V^{l+2, q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\omega_{is}) \| + \| \nabla p; V^{l, q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\omega_{is}) \| \leq c \| f; V^{l, q_i}_{(\omega_{i})} (\omega_{is}) \| + \| \nabla \mathbf{u}; L^{2}_{(\omega_{i}) - l - n/2 - 1 + n/q_i, \beta_i} (\omega_{is}) \|.\]
(iii) [28] Assume that $\partial \Omega \in C^{1+2,\delta}$ and let $f \in C_{\text{loc}}^{l,\delta}(\Omega)$, $l \geq 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Then the solution $(u, p)$ of (0.7) satisfies the local estimate
\begin{equation}
\|u; C_{(w_i, \beta_i)}^{l+2, \delta}(\omega_{i_0})\| + \|\nabla p; C_{(w_i, \beta_i)}^{l, \delta}(\omega_{i_0})\| \leq c\|f; C_{(w_i, \beta_i)}^{l, \delta}(\omega_{i_0})\| + \|\nabla u; L_{(x_i, -1-n/2-\delta, \beta_i)}^{2}(\omega_{i_0})\|.
\end{equation}
The constants in (2.1)-(2.4) are independent of $s$ and $f$.

2.2. The Stokes problem with zero fluxes.

**Theorem 2.2.** (i) [27] Assume that $\partial \Omega \in C^{l+2}$, $f \in \bar{V}_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, q}(\Omega)$, $l - 1$, $q_i > 1$, $|\beta_i| < \beta_*$, $\omega$ is arbitrary. Then there exists a unique solution $(u, p)$ of problem (0.7)-(0.8) with $u \in V_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l+2, q}(\Omega)$ and
\begin{equation}
\|u; V_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l+2, q}(\Omega)\| \leq c\|f; \bar{V}_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, q}(\Omega)\|.
\end{equation}
Moreover, if $l \geq 0$, then $\nabla p \in V_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, q}(\Omega)$ and there holds the estimate
\begin{equation}
\|u; V_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l+2, q}(\Omega)\| + \|\nabla p; V_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, q}(\Omega)\| \leq c\|f; \bar{V}_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, q}(\Omega)\|.
\end{equation}

(ii) [28] Assume that $\partial \Omega \in C^{l+2, \delta}$ and $f \in C_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)$, where $l \geq 0$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $|\beta_i| < \beta_*$ and $\omega$ is arbitrary. Then problem (0.7)-(0.8) has a unique solution $(u, p)$ with $u \in C_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)$, $\nabla p \in C_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)$. Moreover, there holds the estimate
\begin{equation}
\|u; C_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\| + \|\nabla p; C_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)\| \leq c\|f; C_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\|.
\end{equation}

**Remark 2.1.** In particular, if $f \in C_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)$ with $\beta_i > 0$ (for example, $f$ has a compact support), then from Theorem 2.2 follows the exponential decay estimates for the solution $(u, p)$ of problem (0.7)-(0.8), i.e. there hold the estimates

$$|D^a u(x)| \leq c\|f; C_{(x_i, \beta_i)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)\| \exp \left(-\beta_i \int_0^{x_i^{(n)}} g_i(t)^{-1} dt\right) g_i(x_i^{(n)})^{l+2+\delta - |a| - x_i},$$

(2) Speaking about the uniqueness of the solution $(u, p)$, we have in mind that the pressure $p$ is unique up to an additive constant.
2.3. The Stokes problem with nonzero fluxes.

Let us consider the Stokes problem with nonzero fluxes $F_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, i.e. the problem (0.7)-(0.8). We assume that for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ there exists a number $q_i^*$ such that

\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty g_i^{-(n-1)(q_i^* - 1) - q_i^*}(t) \, dt < \infty .
\end{equation}

There hold the following results.

**Theorem 2.3.** (i) [27] Let condition (2.8) holds. Then for arbitrary $f \in \tilde{V}_{(0,0)}^{l-1,q^*} (\Omega)$ and $F_i \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, problem (0.7)-(0.8) has a unique solution $u \in \tilde{H}^{l,q^*}(\Omega)$ satisfying the estimate

\begin{equation}
\|u; \tilde{H}^{l,q^*}(\Omega)\| \leq c \left( \|f; \tilde{V}_{(0,0)}^{l-1,q^*} (\Omega)\| + \sum_{i=1}^m |F_i| \right).
\end{equation}

(ii) [27] Let $\partial \Omega \in C^{l+2}$, $F_i \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, $f \in \tilde{V}_{(\alpha^*,0)}^{l,q} (\Omega)$, where $l \geq -1$, $q_i > 1$ and $\alpha^*$ are defined by the formula

\begin{equation}
\alpha^* = (\alpha_1^*, \ldots, \alpha_m^*), \quad \alpha_i^* = n + 1 + l - nq_i^*/q_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m ,
\end{equation}

Then there exists a unique solution $(u, p)$ of problem (0.7)-(0.8) with $u \in V_{(\alpha^*,0)}^{l+2,q} (\Omega)$, $\nabla p \in V_{(\alpha^*,0)}^{l+1,q} (\Omega)$ and

\begin{equation}
\|u; V_{(\alpha^*,0)}^{l+2,q} (\Omega)\| + \|\nabla p; V_{(\alpha^*,0)}^{l+1,q} (\Omega)\| \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^m |F_i| + \|f; \tilde{V}_{(\alpha^*,0)}^{l,q} (\Omega)\| \right).
\end{equation}

In particular, if $f \in V_{(\alpha^*,0)}^{-1,q} (\Omega)$, then $u \in \tilde{H}_{(\alpha^*,0)}^{l,q} (\Omega)$.

(iii) [28] Let $\partial \Omega \in C^{l+2,\delta}$, $f \in C_{(\alpha^*,0)}^{l,\delta} (\Omega)$, $l \geq 0$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, where $\alpha^*$ is defined by the formula

\begin{equation}
\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m), \quad \alpha_i = n + 1 + l + \delta, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m .
\end{equation}

Then there exists a unique solution $(u, p)$ of problem (0.7)-(0.8) such
that \( u \in C_{(0, \infty)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega), \nabla p \in C_{(0, \infty)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega) \) and there holds the estimate

\[
(2.13) \quad \|u; C_{(0, \infty)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\| + \|\nabla p; C_{(0, \infty)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)\| \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_i| + \|f; C_{(0, \infty)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)\| \right).
\]

In particular, from (2.13) follows that

\[
(2.14) \quad |D^\alpha u(x)| \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_i| + \|f; C_{(0, \infty)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)\| \right) g_i(x_n)^{-n+1-|\alpha|},
\]

\( x \in \Omega_i, \quad |\alpha| \geq 0, \)

\[
(2.15) \quad |D^\alpha \nabla p(x)| \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_i| + \|f; C_{(0, \infty)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)\| \right) g_i(x_n)^{-n+1-|\alpha|},
\]

\( x \in \Omega_i, \quad |\alpha| \geq 0. \)

Moreover,

\[
(2.16) \quad |p(x)| \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_i| + \|f; C_{(0, \infty)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)\| \right) \left( \int_0^{x_n} g_i(t)^{-n-1} dt \right) + c_1,
\]

\( x \in \Omega_i. \)

3. - Weak solvability of the Navier-Stokes problem.

By a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes problem (0.2)-(0.3) we understand the vector function \( u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega) \) with

\[
\text{div } u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\]

satisfying the flux conditions (0.3) and the integral identity

\[
(3.1) \quad \nu \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx + \int_\Omega (u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \eta \, dx = \int_\Omega f \cdot \eta \, dx
\]

for every test function \( \eta \in J_0^\infty(\Omega). \)

3.1. Solutions with the finite Dirichlet integral.

The theorem below has been proved by V. A. Solonnikov, K. Pileckas (1977) [29].
THEOREM 3.1. [29] Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$, be a domain with $m$ exits to infinity of the form (1.1). Assume that the integrals

\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty g_i(t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \, dt
\end{equation}

are finite for $i = 1, \ldots, r_1$, and infinite for $i = r_1 + 1, \ldots, m$, and let $F_i = 0$ for $i = r_1 + 1, \ldots, m$. Then for arbitrary $f \in D^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $F_i \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $i = 1, \ldots, r_1$, problem (0.2)-(0.3) has at least one weak solution $u$ such that $u \in H(\Omega)$ and

\begin{equation}
\|\nabla u\|_{2, \Omega} \leq c \left( \|f\|_{-1, 2, \Omega} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} |F_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right).
\end{equation}

3.2. Solutions with the infinite Dirichlet integral.

If the conditions (3.2) are violated, i.e. the integrals (3.2) are infinite for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$, one can not expect any more the existence of solutions with the finite Dirichlet integral. This is related to the fact that in such case there are no divergence free vector fields with the finite Dirichlet norm. However, as it were found by O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov (1980) [13], in this case there exist solutions, having an infinite dissipation of energy (i.e. infinite Dirichlet integral). In particular, there holds

THEOREM 3.2. [13]. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a domain with $m$ exits to infinity $\Omega_i$. Suppose that the functions $g_i$ satisfy conditions (1.2), (1.3) and, in addition,

\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty g_i(t)^{-4/3} \, dt = \infty, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
|g_i'(t)g_i(t)^{1/3}| \leq \gamma << 1 \quad \text{for } t > k_0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.
\end{equation}

Let $f = 0$. Then for arbitrary fluxes $F_i \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, there exists at least one weak solution $u$ to (0.2)-(0.3) with an infinite Dirichlet integral. This solution admits the representation as a sum

\begin{equation}
 u = A + v,
\end{equation}

where $A$ is a divergence free vector field satisfying the flux condition...
and the estimates (1.8). The following estimates hold true

\[(3.7) \quad \int_{\Omega_{(k)}} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx \leq c(|F|) \sum_{k=1}^{R_{k+1}} g_i(t)^{-4} \, dt,\]

\[(3.8) \quad \int_{\Omega_{(k)}} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx \leq c(|F|) g_i^{-8/3}, \quad k > k_0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m,\]

where \(|F| = (\sum_{k=1}^{m} |F_i|^2)^{1/2} \). Moreover, if the fluxes \(F_i, i = 1, \ldots, m\), are sufficiently small, then any other (different from \(u\)) solution \(u'\) of problem (0.2)-(0.3) satisfies the relation

\[(3.9) \quad \liminf_{k \to \infty} k^{-3} \int_{\Omega_{(k)}} |\nabla (u' - u)|^2 \, dx > 0.\]

4. - Strong solvability of the Navier-Stokes problem.

In this section we consider the Navier-Stokes problem (0.2)-(0.3) in weighted Sobolev and Hölder spaces. Problem (0.2)-(0.3) with zero fluxes \(F_i = 0, i = 1, \ldots, m\), we denote by (0.2)-(0.3)\(_0\).

4.1. Solvability of the problem (0.2)-(0.3)\(_0\).

Let us consider the problem (0.2)-(0.3)\(_0\) in spaces \(V_l^{l,q}(\Omega)\) and \(C^l_{l,p}(\Omega)\), \(\beta_i > 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, m\), of exponentially vanishing at infinity functions.

**Theorem 4.1.** (i) Let \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \ n = 2, 3\), be a domain with \(m \geq 1\) eixts to infinity, \(\partial \Omega \in C^{l+2}\) and \(f \in V_l^{l,q,\mu}(\Omega)\) with

\[(4.1) \quad l \geq 0, \quad q_0 = q_1 = \ldots = q_m > 1, \quad \beta_* > \beta_i 0, \quad \omega_i \text{ are arbitrary} .\]

Then for sufficiently small \(||f; V_l^{l,q,\mu}(\Omega)||\) problem (0.2)-(0.3)\(_0\) has a unique solution \((u, p)\) with \(u \in V_l^{l+2,q}(\Omega), \ \nabla p \in V_l^{l,q,\mu}(\Omega)\) and the following estimate holds true

\[(4.2) \quad ||u; V_l^{l+2,q}(\Omega)|| + ||\nabla p; V_l^{l,q,\mu}(\Omega)|| \leq c ||f; V_l^{l,q,\mu}(\Omega)|| .\]

(ii) Let \(\partial \Omega \in C^{l+2,\delta}\) and \(f \in C^l_{l,p}(\Omega)\),

\[(4.3) \quad l \geq 0, \quad 1 > \delta > 0, \quad \beta_* > \beta_i 0, \quad \omega_i \text{ are arbitrary} .\]
If the norm \( \| f; C^{l+2,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \| \) is sufficiently small, then (0.2)-(0.3)\(_0\) has a unique solution \((u, p)\) with \( u \in C^{l+2,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega)\), \( \nabla p \in C^{l,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \) and
\[
(4.4) \quad \| u; C^{l+2,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \| + \| \nabla p; C^{l,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \| \leq c \| f; C^{l,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \|.
\]
In particular, there hold the estimates from Remark 2.1.

**Proof.** Let either \( u \in V^{l+2,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \) or \( u \in C^{l+2,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \). We define
\[
Mu := (u \cdot \nabla)u.
\]
The direct computations, using the weighted imbedding Theorem 1.3 and the condition \( \beta_i > 0 \), imply \( Mu \in V^{l+q,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \) (or \( Mu \in C^{l,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \)) and
\[
(4.5) \quad \| Mu; V^{l+2,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \| \leq c_* \| u; V^{l+2,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \|^2,
\]
\[
(4.6) \quad \| Mu; C^{l,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \| \leq c_* \| u; C^{l+2,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \|^2.
\]
Moreover,
\[
(4.7) \quad \| Mu - Mv; V^{l+q,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \| \leq \| u - v; V^{l+q,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \|,
\]
\[
(4.8) \quad \| Mu - Mv; C^{l,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \| \leq \| u - v; C^{l+2,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \|.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be the operator of the linear Stokes problem (0.7)-(0.8)\(_0\). According to Theorem 2.2 there exist bounded inverse operators \( \mathcal{L}^{-1}_V \) and \( \mathcal{L}^{-1}_C \):
\[
\mathcal{L}^{-1}_V : V^{l+2,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \rightarrow V^{l+2,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega),
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}^{-1}_C : C^{l+2,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \rightarrow C^{l+2,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega).
\]
(We mention that because of the condition \( q_0 = \ldots = q_m \), the spaces \( V^{l+2,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \) and \( V^{l+2,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \) coincide.) Hence, problem (0.2)-(0.3)\(_0\) is equivalent to an operator equations either in the space \( V^{l+2,q}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \) or in the space \( C^{l+2,\delta}_{(x,\beta)}(\Omega) \):
\[
u = \mathcal{L}^{-1}_V Mu + \mathcal{L}^{-1}_V f \equiv \mathcal{A}_V u,
\]
\[
u = \mathcal{L}^{-1}_C Mu + \mathcal{L}^{-1}_C f \equiv \mathcal{A}_C u.
\]
Let
\[ B_v(r_0) = \{ u \in V_{(x, \beta)}^{l+2, q}(\Omega) : \| u \| _{V_{(x, \beta)}^{l+2, q}(\Omega)} \leq r_0 \} \]
and
\[ B_C(r_0) = \{ u \in C_{(x, \beta)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega) : \| u \| _{C_{(x, \beta)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)} \leq r_0 \} \]
be the balls in \( V_{(x, \beta)}^{l+2, q}(\Omega) \) and \( C_{(x, \beta)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega) \). If
\[
\| \mathcal{L}_v^{-1} \| (r_0 c_* + r_0^{-1} \| f \| _{V_{(x, \beta)}^{l, q}(\Omega)}) < 1 ,
\]
\[
\| \mathcal{L}_C^{-1} \| (r_0 c_* + r_0^{-1} \| f \| _{C_{(x, \beta)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)}) < 1 ,
\]
estimates (4.5)-(4.6) imply
\[
\mathcal{C}_V \mathcal{B}_V(r_0) \subset \mathcal{B}_V(r_0) , \quad \mathcal{C}_C \mathcal{B}_C(r_0) \subset \mathcal{B}_C(r_0) .
\]
Moreover, from (4.7)-(4.8) follows that \( \mathcal{C}_V \) and \( \mathcal{C}_C \) define the contraction mappings in \( \mathcal{B}_V(r_0) \) and \( \mathcal{B}_C(r_0) \), provided that
\[
\| \mathcal{L}_V^{-1} \| r_0 c_* < 1/2 , \quad \| \mathcal{L}_C^{-1} \| r_0 c_* < 1/2 .
\]
Thus, the existens of a unique fixed point of the above operator equations follows from the Banach contraction principle. The theorem is proved.

4.2. Solvability of the problem (0.2)-(0.3) with nonzero fluxes, \( n = 3 \).

In this subsection we prove the main result of the paper. Let \( \Omega \) be a three-dimensional domain with exits to infinity. We consider the problem (0.2)-(0.3) with nonzero fluxes. We look for the solutions \( u \) of (0.2)-(0.3) in weighted Sobolev and Hölder spaces \( V_{(x, \beta)}^{l+2, q}(\Omega) \) and \( C_{(x, \beta)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega) \). Each element \( u \) of these spaces satisfies in certain sense the decay estimate
\[
|D^\alpha u(x)| \leq cg_i (x_3)^{\nu - |\alpha|} , \quad x \in \Omega_i , \quad |\alpha| \geq 0 .
\]
If \( u \) is the weak solution of (0.2)-(0.3), by Theorem 3.2 we have for \( u \) the estimate (3.8). It easy to compute that (4.9) together with (3.8) imply, minimally, the decay rate
\[
u \sim g_i (x_3)^{-11/6 - |\alpha|} ,
\]
i.e. \( \gamma = -11/6 \). Then
\[
\Delta u \sim g_i^{-23/6} (x_3) , \quad (u \cdot \nabla) u \sim g_i^{-28/6} (x_3) .
\]
Thus, the nonlinear term \((u \cdot \nabla)u\) decays at infinity faster than the linear one \(\Delta u\) and by bootstrap argument we can improve the estimates for \(u\). Having this simple idea as background, by repeated application of weighted imbedding Theorem 1.2 and results on the linear Stokes problem (0.7)-(0.8) (see Theorem 2.1, 2.2), we prove that the weak solution \(u\) of the problem (0.2)-(0.3) belongs for arbitrary data to certain weighted Sobolev or Hölder space.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) be a domain with \(m > 1\) exits to infinity \(\Omega_i\) of the form (1.1) and let \(\partial \Omega \in C^{l+2, \delta}\), \(0 < \delta < 1\). Assume that the functions \(g_i\) satisfy conditions (1.2), (1.3), (3.4), (3.5) and that for each \(i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}\) there exists a number \(q_i^*\) with

\[(4.10)\quad q_i^* \geq 3/2, \quad \int_0^\infty g_i(t)^{-3q_i^*+2} \, dt < \infty.\]

Let \(f = 0\).

(i) Then for arbitrary fluxes \(F_i \in \mathbb{R}^1\), \(i = 1, \ldots, m\), the weak solution \(u\) of (0.2)-(0.3) with the infinite Dirichlet integral (see Theorem 3.2) belongs to the space \(V_{(q_i^*, 0)}^{l+2, q}(\Omega)\) with

\[(4.11)\quad x_i^* = 4 + l - 3q_i^* / q_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.\]

Moreover, there exists the pressure function \(\nabla p \in V_{(q_i^*, 0)}^{l, q}(\Omega)\) and the following estimate holds true

\[(4.12)\quad \|u; V_{(q_i^*, 0)}^{l+2, q}(\Omega)\| + \|\nabla p; V_{(q_i^*, 0)}^{l, q}(\Omega)\| \leq C(|F|).\]

In particular, \(u \in \tilde{H}_{(q_i^*, l)}^q(\Omega)\) (\(u \in \tilde{H}^{q, l}(\Omega)\)).

(ii) The solution \((u, p)\) of problem (0.2)-(0.3) admits the following pointwise estimates

\[(4.13)\quad |D^\alpha u(x)| \leq C(|F|) g_i(x_3)^{-2-|\alpha|}, \quad x \in \Omega_i, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq l,\]

\[(4.14)\quad |D^\alpha \nabla p(x)| \leq C(|F|) g_i(x_3)^{-3-|\alpha|}, \quad x \in \Omega_i, \quad 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq l,\]

\[(4.15)\quad |p(x)| \leq C(|F|) \int_0^{x_3} g_i(t)^{-4} \, dt + c_1.\]

**Proof.** First of all, we mention that the solution \((u, p)\) is locally smooth up to the boundary \(\partial \Omega\) (see V. A. Solonnikov, V. E. Shchadilov
We represent the velocity field \( u \) as a sum
\[
u = v + A,
\]
where \( A \) is the divergence free vector field, satisfying the flux conditions (0.3) and the estimates (1.8) (see Lemma 1.2). Then for \((v, p)\) we get the following problem
\[
\begin{cases}
-\nu \Delta v + \nabla p = -(v \cdot \nabla) v - (A \cdot \nabla) v - (v \cdot \nabla) A + \nu \Delta A - (A \cdot \nabla) A & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\text{div } v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\int_{\sigma_i} v \cdot n \, ds = 0, & i = 1, \ldots, m.
\end{cases}
\]
(4.16)

We consider now \((v, p)\) as a solution of the linear Stokes problem (0.7)-(0.8) with the right-hand side
\[
f_i = -(v \cdot \nabla) v - (A \cdot \nabla) v - (v \cdot \nabla) A + \nu \Delta A - (A \cdot \nabla) A
\]
and we prove the statements of the theorem by the bootstrap argument.

From Theorem 1.2 (i) with \( l = 1, q_i = 2, \alpha_i = 0, s_i = 6 \) it follows that
\[
v \in L^{6}(\omega_{ik}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|v; L^{6}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c\|\nabla v\|_{2, \omega_{ik}}.
\]

Therefore, the Hölder inequality delivers
\[
\|(v \cdot \nabla) v; L^{3/2}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c\|\nabla v\|^{2}_{2, \omega_{ik}}.
\]

Moreover, estimates (1.8) for \( A \) and the Hölder inequality furnish
\[
\|(A \cdot \nabla) v + (v \cdot \nabla) A; L^{3/2}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c(|F|)g_{ik}^{-3/2}\|\nabla v\|_{2, \omega_{ik}},
\]
\[
\nu \Delta A - (A \cdot \nabla) A; L^{3/2}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c(|F|)g_{ik}^{-2}.
\]

Thus, \( f_i \in L^{3/2}(\omega_{ik}) \) and
\[
\|f_i; L^{3/2}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c(|F|)(\|\nabla v\|_{2, \omega_{ik}} + g_{ik}^{-3/2}\|\nabla v\|_{2, \omega_{ik}}) + c(|F|)g_{ik}^{-2}.
\]

In virtue of (3.8) the last inequality yields
\[
(4.17) \quad \|f_i; L^{3/2}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c(|F|)(g_{ik}^{-8/3} + g_{ik}^{-3/2 - 8/6} + g_{ik}^{-2}) \leq c(|F|)g_{ik}^{-2}.
\]

Applying to the solution \( v \) the local estimate (2.3) with \( q_i = 3/2, \alpha_i = 1, \beta_i = 0, \) following by (3.8), (4.17), we derive
\[
(4.18) \quad \|v; V^{3/2}_{(1, 0)}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c(g_{ik}\|f_i; L^{3/2}(\omega_{ik}^*)\| + g_{ik}^{-3/2 + 6/3}\|\nabla v\|_{2, \omega_{ik}}) \leq c(|F|)(g_{ik}^{-1} + g_{ik}^{-5/6}) \leq c(|F|)g_{ik}^{-5/6}.
\]
In virtue of Theorem 1.2 (i) from the condition \( \mathbf{v} \in V^{2,3/2}_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik}) \) it follows
\( \mathbf{v} \in L^t_{(1-3/s,0)}(\omega_{ik}) \) with \( \forall t \geq 3/2 \) and \( \nabla \mathbf{v} \in L^3_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik}) \). Let \( 3/2 \leq t < 3 \). Then

\[
\int_{\omega_{ik}} |\mathbf{v}|^t |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^t \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \left( \int_{\omega_{ik}} |\mathbf{v}|^{3t/(3-t)} \, d\mathbf{x} \right)^{(3-t)/3} \left( \int_{\omega_{ik}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^3 \, d\mathbf{x} \right)^{t/3} \leq cg_{ik}^3 g_{ik}^{3-3t} g_{ik}^{-10t/6} L^3_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik}) \left\| \mathbf{v} \right\| \leq \]

and

\[
\left\| (\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} ; L^t(\omega_{ik}) \right\|^t \leq cg_{ik}^3 g_{ik}^{3-3t} g_{ik}^{-10t/6} L^3_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik}) \left\| \mathbf{v} \right\| \leq \]

Thus,

\[
(4.19) \quad \left\| \mathbf{f}_1 ; L^t_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik}) \right\| \leq \]

\[
\leq c(\left| \mathbf{F} \right|^t) g_{ik}^3 g_{ik}^{3-3t} (g_{ik}^{-10t/6} + g_{ik}^{-11t/6} + g_{ik}^{-t}) \leq c(\left| \mathbf{F} \right|) g_{ik}^3 g_{ik}^{-3t} .
\]

Let \( 3/2 q^*_i < 3 \). Then we can take in (4.19) \( t = q^*_i \) and we get in view of

\[
(4.20) \quad \left\| \mathbf{f}_1 ; L^{q^*_i}_{(1,0)}(\Omega_i \setminus \Omega_{(k_0)}) \right\| \leq c \sum_{k = k_0}^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{f}_1 ; L^{q^*_i}_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik}) \right\| \leq \]

\[
\leq c(\left| \mathbf{F} \right|) \sum_{k = k_0}^{\infty} g_{ik}^{3-3q^*_i} \leq c(\left| \mathbf{F} \right|) \int_0^\infty g_i(t)^{-3q^*_i+2} \, dt < c(\left| \mathbf{F} \right|) .
\]

Hence, \( \mathbf{f}_1 \in \tilde{L}^{q^*_i}_{(1,0)}(\Omega) \) and by Theorem 2.2 (i) the solution \( \mathbf{v} \) of the

\[
(3) \quad \text{Stokes problem (0.7)-(0.8) belongs to the space } V^{2,3/2}_{(1,0)}(\Omega_i) . \quad \text{Moreover, } \nabla p \in L^3_{(1,0)}(\Omega_i) \text{ and }
\]

\[
(4.21) \quad \left\| \mathbf{v} ; V^{2,3/2}_{(1,0)}(\Omega_i) \right\| + \left\| \nabla p ; L^3_{(1,0)}(\Omega_i) \right\| \leq c \left\| \mathbf{f}_1 ; \tilde{L}^{q^*_i}_{(1,0)}(\Omega_i) \right\| \leq C(\left| \mathbf{F} \right|) .
\]

From (4.20) it follows that \( \mathbf{f}_1 \in L^{q^*_i}_{(1,0)}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{(k_0)}) \). However, we know that

the solution \( \mathbf{v} \) and the vector field \( \mathbf{A} \) are locally smooth up to the boundary. Hence, \( \mathbf{f}_1 \in L^{q} (G) \) for \( \forall q \geq 3/2 \) and for arbitrary bounded subdomain \( G \subset \Omega \).
Further, for \(3/2 < t < 3\) the local estimate (2.3) delivers
\[
\left\| f; V_{(1,0)}^{2,t}(\omega) \right\| \leq c \left( \left\| f; L_{(1,0)}^{2}(\omega) \right\| + g_{ik}^{3/2 - 3t/2} \right) \leq c \left( \left\| f \right\| \right) g_{ik}^{3/2 - 3t} + g_{ik}^{3 - 3t/2} \leq c \left( \left\| f \right\| \right) g_{ik}^{3 - 17t/6}.
\]
We fix \( t = 2 \). Due to Theorem 1.3 the condition \( v \in V_{(1,0)}^{2,2}(\omega) \) yields
\[
\begin{cases}
v \in C_{(\delta + 1/2,0)}^{0,0}(\omega), & 0 < \delta \leq 1/2, \\
\nabla v \in L^{2}(\omega), & 2 \leq s \leq 6.
\end{cases}
\]
By inclusion (4.23)
\[
\sup_{\omega} \left( \left\| v \right\| g_{ik}^{1/2} \right) < \infty
\]
and, therefore, in virtue of (4.22) with \( t = 2 \), for arbitrary \( 2 \leq s \leq 6 \) we have
\[
g_{ik}^{s} \left\| v \right\| \left\| \nabla v \right\| d\omega \leq c g_{ik}^{s - 3 + 3} \left\| v; C_{(\delta + 1/2,0)}^{0,0}(\omega) \right\| \left\| \nabla v; L_{(\delta + 1/2,0)}^{s}(\omega) \right\| \leq c \left( \left\| f \right\| \right) g_{ik}^{s - 3 + 3} g_{ik}^{-8s/3} \leq c \left( \left\| f \right\| \right) g_{ik}^{3 - 3s} g_{ik}^{-2s/3},
\]
\[
g_{ik}^{s} \left( A \cdot \nabla \right)_v + (v \cdot \nabla) A; L^s(\omega) \leq c g_{ik}^{-5s/2} \left\| \nabla v; L_{(1,0)}^{s}(\omega) \right\| \leq c \left( \left\| f \right\| \right) g_{ik}^{3 - 3s} g_{ik}^{-5s/6},
\]
\[
g_{ik}^{s} \left\| \nabla v; V_{(1,0)}^{2,2}(\omega) \right\| \leq c \left( \left\| f \right\| \right) g_{ik}^{3 - 3s}.
\]
Thus,
\[
\left\| f; L_{(1,0)}^{2}(\omega) \right\| \leq c \left( \left\| f \right\| \right) g_{ik}^{3 - 3s} g_{ik}^{-2s/3} + g_{ik}^{3 - 3s} g_{ik}^{-5s/6} + g_{ik}^{3 - 3s} \leq c \left( \left\| f \right\| \right) g_{ik}^{3 - 3s}.
\]
If \( 3 \leq q_i \star \leq 6 \), we take \( s = q_i \star \) and as in (4.20) we derive
\[
\left\| f; L_{(1,0)}^{2}(\omega) \right\| \leq c \left( \left\| f \right\| \right) g_{ik}^{3 - 3s}.
\]
Then by Theorem 2.2 (i) \( v \in V_{(1,0)}^{2,2}(\omega) \), and we get the estimate (4.21).
Let us take now \( s = 6 \) and repeat the above bootstrap arguments. We have \( v \in V_{(1,0)}^{2,6}(\omega) \) and, in virtue of local estimate (2.3) followed by
(3.8), (4.24), we get

(4.25) \[ \|v; V^{2,\delta}_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik})\| \leq c\left(\|f_1; L^6_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*)\| + g_{ik}^{-3/2 + 1/2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2,\omega_{ik}^*}\right) \leq c\left(\|F\|g_{ik}^{-5/2} + g_{ik}^{-7/3}\right) \leq c\left(\|F\|\right)g_{ik}^{-7/3} .

By Theorem 1.2 (ii)

\[ V^{2,\delta}_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*) \subset C^{1,\delta}_{(\delta + 1/2,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*) , \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1/2 , \]

and it is easy to verify that \((v \cdot \nabla)v \in C^{0,\delta}_{(\delta,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*)\) and

(4.26) \[ \|(v \cdot \nabla)v; C^{0,\delta}_{(\delta,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*)\| \leq c\|v; C^{1,\delta}_{(\delta + 1/2,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*)\|^2 \leq c\|v; V^{2,\delta}_{(1,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*)\|^2 \leq c\left(\|F\|\right)g_{ik}^{-14/3} .

Moreover,

(4.27) \[ \|\left((A \cdot \nabla)v + (v \cdot \nabla)A; C^{0,\delta}_{(\delta,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*)\| \leq c(\|F\|)g_{ik}^{-5/2}\|v; C^{1,\delta}_{(\delta + 1/2,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*)\| \leq c(\|F\|)g_{ik}^{-14/3}g_{ik}^{-7/3} \leq c(\|F\|)g_{ik}^{-29/6} ,
 \]

Hence,

(4.28) \[ \|f_1; C^{0,\delta}_{(\delta,0)}(\omega_{ik}^*)\| \leq c(\|F\|)(g_{ik}^{-14/3} + g_{ik}^{-29/6} + g_{ik}^{-4}) \leq c(\|F\|)g_{ik}^{-4} .

Since the constant in (4.28) is independent of \(k\), we obtain \(f_1 \in C^{0,\delta}_{(\delta,0)}(\Omega_i)\) and by Theorem 2.2 (ii) \(v \in C_{(4 + \delta,0)}^{2,\delta}(\Omega_i)\), \(\nabla p \in C_{(4 + \delta,0)}^{0,\delta}(\Omega_i)\),

\[ \|v; C_{(4 + \delta,0)}^{2,\delta}(\Omega_i)\| + \|\nabla p; C_{(4 + \delta,0)}^{0,\delta}(\Omega_i)\| \leq c\|f_1; C_{(4 + \delta,0)}^{0,\delta}(\Omega_i)\| \leq c(\|F\|) .

By induction we easily get \(f_1 \in C_{(4 + l + \delta,0)}^{l,\delta}(\Omega_i)\) and again by Theorem 2.2 (ii)

(4.29) \[ \|v; C_{(4 + l + \delta,0)}^{l+2,\delta}(\Omega_i)\| + \|\nabla p; C_{(4 + l + \delta,0)}^{l+\delta,0}(\Omega_i)\| \leq c\|f_1; C_{(4 + l + \delta,0)}^{l,\delta}(\Omega_i)\| \leq c(\|F\|) .

Hence, \(v\) and \(\nabla p\) satisfy estimates (4.13), (4.14). By using (4.13), (4.14) it is easy to verify that \(v \in V^{l+2,\delta}_{(\omega^*,0)}(\Omega_i)\), \(\nabla p \in V^{l,\delta}_{(\omega^*,0)}(\Omega_i)\) with \(\omega^*\) defined by (4.11) and that the estimate (4.12) holds true. Sincce \(A\) satisfies the same estimates, we derive (4.12)-(4.14) for \(u\). Let us prove the last esti-
mate (4.15) for $p(x)$. Let $x$ be an arbitrary point in $\Omega_i$ and $x_0$ be a fixed point in $\omega_{i_k_0}$. Denote by $\gamma$ a smooth counter connecting $x_0$ and $x$ and lying in $\Omega_i$. Assume that $\gamma$ is given by the equations $x_j = \gamma_j(x_n)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, and that $(1 + \gamma'_1(x_n)^2 + \ldots + \gamma'_{n-1}(x_n)^2)^{1/2} \leq \text{const}$. We represent the function $p(x)$ in the form

$$p(x) = p(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \gamma} \, dy.$$  

Then,

$$|p(x)| \leq |p(x_0)| + \left| \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \gamma} \, dy \right| \leq |p(x_0)| +$$

$$+ \left( \sup_{x \in \Omega_i} |\nabla p(x)| g_i(x_n)^{n+1} \right) \int_{x_0}^{x_n} g_i(t)^{-n-1} \sqrt{1 + \gamma'_1(t)^2 + \ldots + \gamma'_{n-1}(t)^2} \, dt \leq$$

$$\leq |p(x_0)| + c \|\nabla p; C^{l, \delta}(\omega_{i_k_0}(\Omega))\| \int_{0}^{x_n} g_i(t)^{-n-1} \, dt \leq$$

$$\leq c \|p; C^{l, \delta}(\omega_{i_k_0})\| + c \|\nabla p; C^{l, \delta}(\omega_{i_k_0})(\Omega)\| \int_{0}^{x_n} g_i(t)^{-n-1} \, dt.$$  

By local regularity results the norm $\|p; C^{l, \delta}(\omega_{i_k_0})\|$ can be estimated by $c(\|F\|) \int_{0}^{x_n} g_i(t)^{-n-1} \, dt + c_1$. Therefore, inequalities (4.29), (4.30) imply (4.15). The theorem is proved.

**Remark 4.1.** The results of Theorem 4.2 remain valid also for nonzero right-hand sides $f$ vanishing at infinity sufficiently rapidly.

**Remark 4.2.** If the conditions (3.4), (3.5) are not valid, the results of Theorem 4.2 can be proved for sufficiently small data by using the Banach contraction principle and repeating the arguments of Theorem 4.1.

4.2. **Solvability of the problem (0.2)-(0.3) with nonzero fluxes, $n = 2$.**

For two dimensional domains $\Omega$ with exits to infinity the analogous result can not be proved by the same method. This is related to the fact
that in this case the solenoidal vector field $A$, satisfying the flux conditions (0.3), has decay rate as $g_i(x_2)^{-1}$ and hence,

$$\Delta A \sim g_i(x_2)^{-3}, \quad (A \cdot \nabla) A \sim g_i(x_2)^{-3} \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty, \quad x \in \Omega_i.$$ 

If the solution $u$ to (0.2), (0.3) also have such decay properties, then $-\Delta u$ and the nonlinear term $(u \cdot \nabla) u$ have the same order $O(g_i(x_2)^{-3})$ as $|x| \to \infty$, $x \in \Omega_i$, and therefore, the bootstraps give no improvement. However, for small data we can reduce the problem (0.2)-(0.3) to a contraction operator equation and apply the Banach contraction principle. For example, we have the following

**THEOREM 4.3.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a domain with $m > 1$ exits to infinity $\Omega_1$ and let $\partial \Omega = C^{l+2, \delta}$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Assume that $f = 0$. Then for sufficiently small $|F|$ problem (0.2)-(0.3) has a unique solution $(u, p)$ with $u \in C^{l+2, \delta}_{(x_2, 0)}(\Omega)$, $\nabla p \in C^{l, \delta}_{(x_2, 0)}(\Omega)$, where

$$x_i = 3 + l + \delta, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.$$ 

Moreover, there holds the estimate

$$\|u; C^{l+2, \delta}_{(x_2, 0)}(\Omega)\| + \|\nabla p; C^{l, \delta}_{(x_2, 0)}(\Omega)\| \leq C(|F|).$$ 

In particular, the solution $(u, p)$ of problem (0.2)-(0.3) admits the following pointwise estimates

$$\left\{
\begin{array}{ll}
|D^\alpha u(x)| \leq C(|F|)g_i(x_2)^{-1-|\alpha|}, & x \in \Omega_i, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq l, \\
|D^\alpha \nabla p(x)| \leq C(|F|)g_i(x_2)^{-2-|\alpha|}, & x \in \Omega_i, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq l, \\
|p(x)| \leq C(|F|) \int_0^{x_3} g_i(t)^{-3} dt + c_1.
\end{array}
\right.$$ 

**PROOF.** We look for the velocity field $u$ in the form

$$u = v + A,$$

where the divergence free vector field $A$ satisfies the flux condition (0.3) and the estimates (1.8). For $(v, p)$ we obtain the problem (4.16). Let

$$Mv := -(v \cdot \nabla)v - (A \cdot \nabla)v - (v \cdot \nabla)A + vA - (A \cdot \nabla)A.$$ 

If $v \in C^{l+2, \delta}_{(x_2, 0)}(\Omega)$ with $\xi$ given by (4.31), we get in virtue of (1.8), that
\[ Mv \in C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega) \] and

\begin{equation}
(4.34) \quad \|Mv; C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)\| \leq c_* \|v; C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\|^2 + C(|F|)(\|v; C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\| + 1).
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(4.35) \quad \|Mv - Mw; C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega)\| \leq c_{**} \|v; C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\| +
\|w; C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\| \|v - w; C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\|
+ C(|F|)\|v - w; C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\|
\end{equation}

with

\[ C(|F|) \to 0, \quad \text{as } |F| \to 0. \]

Problem (4.16) is equivalent to an operator equations in the space \( C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega) \):

\begin{equation}
(4.36) \quad u = \xi_C^{-1} Mv = \alpha_C v.
\end{equation}

\( \xi_C^{-1} \) is the inverse operators to the linear Stokes problem (0.7)-(0.8), \( \xi_C^{-1}: C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega) \to C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega) \). From (4.34), (4.35) it follows that for sufficiently small \(|F|\) the operator \( \alpha_C \) is a contraction in a small ball \( B_\epsilon(r_0) = \{v \in C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega): \|v; C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega)\| \leq r_0 \} \) of the space \( C_{(\alpha, 0)}^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega) \). Thus, the exists of a unique fixed point of (4.36) and the estimate (4.32) follow from the Banach contraction principle. Finally, the estimate (4.33) can be proved just in the same way as (4.15) (see (4.30)). The theorem is proved.

5. – The Navier-Stokes problem in domains with cylindrical exits to infinity.

Let for some exit to infinity \( \Omega_i \) we have \( g_i(t) = g_0 \), i.e. \( \Omega_i \) coincides with a semicylinder \( \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |x'| < g_0, x_n > 1\} \). Then the weights \( g_i(x_n)^{\alpha_i} \) do not contribute in the norms of function spaces. Moreover,

\[ \alpha_i(t) = \int_0^t g_i(\tau)^{-1} d\tau = g_0 t \]

and the norms of the spaces \( V_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{l, q}(\Omega) \) and \( C_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega) \) can be simplified. For primality we suppose that all exits are cylindrical and, without any loss of generality, we take \( g_0 = 1 \). The function spaces \( V_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{l, q}(\Omega) \) and \( C_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega) \) in this case we mention by \( W_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{l, q}(\Omega) \) and \( A_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{l, \delta}(\Omega) \). The corre-
sponding norms are given by
\[\| u; W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega) \| = \]
\[= \| u; W^{l,q_0}(\Omega_{(k_0 + 1)}) \| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \| u \exp(\beta_i x_n); W^{l,q_i}(\Omega_i \setminus \Omega_{(k_0)}) \| ;\]
and
\[\| u; \Lambda_{\beta}^{l,\delta}(\Omega) \| = \| u; C^{l,\delta}(\Omega_{(k_0)}) \| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \| u \exp(\beta_i x_n); C^{l,\delta}(\Omega_i) \| .\]

Analogously, from \( \tilde{V}^{l,q}_{\omega,\rho}(\Omega) \) we get the space \( \tilde{W}^{l,q}_{\beta}(\Omega) \).

The Theorem 2.2 for domains with cylindrical exits to infinity specifies

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be a domain with \( m > 1 \) cylindrical exits to infinity.

(i) Assume that \( \partial \Omega \in C^{l+2}, f \in W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega), l \geq 0, q_0 = \ldots = q_m > 1, \beta_* > \beta_i > 0 \), and the norm \( \| f; W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega) \| \) is sufficiently small. Then there exists a unique solution \( (u, p) \) to problem (0.2)-(0.3)_0 with \( u \in W^{l+2,q}_\beta(\Omega), \nabla p \in W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega) \) and

(5.1) \[\| u; W^{l+2,q}_\beta(\Omega) \| + \| \nabla p; W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega) \| \leq c \| f; W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega) \|.\]

(ii) Let \( \partial \Omega \in C^{l+2,\delta}, f \in \Lambda_{\beta}^{l,\delta}(\Omega), l \geq 0, \delta \in (0, 1), 0 < \beta_j < \beta_* \). Then for sufficiently small \( \| f; \Lambda_{\beta}^{l,\delta}(\Omega) \| \) problem (0.2)-(0.3)_0 has a unique solution \( (u, p) \) with \( u \in \Lambda_{\beta}^{l+2,\delta}(\Omega), \nabla p \in \Lambda_{\beta}^{l,\delta}(\Omega) \) and

(5.2) \[\| u; \Lambda_{\beta}^{l+2,\delta}(\Omega) \| + \| \nabla p; \Lambda_{\beta}^{l,\delta}(\Omega) \| \leq c \| f; \Lambda_{\beta}^{l,\delta}(\Omega) \|.\]

Let us consider problem (0.2)-(0.3) with nonzero fluxes. First, let \( \Omega \) coincides with an infinite cylinder \( Z = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x'| < 1 \} \). It is well known that (0.2)-(0.3) has in \( Z \) an exact solution \( (u^0, p^0) \) with non-zero flux. This solution has been constructed by Poiseuille (e.g. [14]) and it has the form

(5.3) \[u^0(x) = (0, \ldots, 0, u_n^0(x')), \quad p^0(x) = vx_n + c,\]

where

\[-\Delta u_n^0(x') = 1 \quad \text{in } |x'| < 1, \]
\[u_n^0(x') = 0 \quad \text{on } |x'| = 1.\]
Moreover,
\[ \kappa_0 \equiv \int_{|x'| < 1} u_0^0(x') \, dx = \int_{|x'| < 1} \left| \nabla_x u_0^0(x') \right|^2 \, dx' > 0. \]

For the domain \( \Omega \) with \( m > 1 \) cylindrical exits to infinity \( \Omega_i \), we take in each exit \( \Omega_i \) a corresponding exact solution \((u_i^0, p_i^0)\), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), and we define
\[ U^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i \frac{F_i}{K_{i0}} u_i^0 + w, \quad P^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i \frac{F_i}{K_{i0}} p_i^0, \]

where \( \xi_i \) are smooth cut-off functions with \( \xi_i = 1 \) in \( \Omega_i \setminus \Omega_{i0} \), \( \xi_i = 0 \) in \( \Omega_{i0} \) and \( w \in W^{l+2, q_0}(\Omega_{i0}) \) (or \( w \in C^{l+2, \delta}(\Omega_{i0}) \)) is the solution of the problem
\[ \text{div } w = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{F_i}{K_{i0}} \nabla \xi_i \cdot u_i^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{i0}, \quad \text{supp } w \subset \overline{\Omega_{i0}}, \quad w_i = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \]
(see [9]). Then
\[ \text{div } U^0 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad U^0 = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \]
and
\[ \int_{\partial_i} U^0 \cdot n \, ds = F_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m. \]

For small data we prove the existence of a unique solution \((u, p)\) of (0.2)-(0.3) which tends exponentially in each exit to infinity to the corresponding Poiseuille solution.

**Theorem 5.2.** Assume that \( \partial \Omega \) satisfies the condition of Theorem 5.1.

(i) Let \( f \in W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega) \), \( l \geq 0 \), \( q_0 = \ldots = q_m = 1 \), \( 0 < \beta_i < \beta_* \) and \( \|f; W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega)\|, \|F\| \) be sufficiently small. Then there exists a unique solution \((u, p)\) to problem (0.2)-(0.3) having the representation
\[ u = U^0 + v, \quad p = P^0 + q, \]
where \( U^0 \), \( P^0 \) are functions (5.4). Moreover,
\[ \|v; W^{l+2,q}_\beta(\Omega)\| + \|\nabla q; W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega)\| \leq c \left( \|f; W^{l,q}_\beta(\Omega)\| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_i| \right). \]
(ii) If \( f \in A^{l, \delta}_\beta (\Omega), \ l \geq 0, \ \delta \in (0, 1), \ 0 < \beta_i < \beta_* \), and 
\[ \| f; A^{l, \delta}_\beta (\Omega) \|, \ |F_i|, \ i = 1, \ldots, m, \] 
are sufficiently small, there exists a unique solution \((u, p)\) to (0.2)-(0.3) having representation (5.6) 
with \( v \in A^{l+2, \delta}_\beta (\Omega), \ \nabla q \in A^{l, \delta}_\beta (\Omega) \) and 

\[
\| v; L^{l+2, \delta}_\beta (\Omega) \| + \| \nabla q; L^{l, \delta}_\beta (\Omega) \| \leq c \left( \| f; A^{l, \delta}_\beta (\Omega) \| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_i| \right).
\]

**Proof.** For \((v, q)\) we get the following problem 

\[-\nu \Delta v + \nabla q = -(v \cdot \nabla)v - (U^0 \cdot -v - (v \cdot \nabla)U^0 + \tilde{f} \text{ in } \Omega, \]

\[ \text{div } v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \]

\[ v = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega, \int_{\partial_i} v \cdot n \, ds = 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, m \]

with the right-hand side 

\[ \tilde{f} = f + f_1, \quad f_1 = \nu \Delta U^0 - (U^0 \cdot \nabla)U^0 - \nabla P^0. \]

Since \((U^0 \cdot \nabla)U^0\) is equal to zero for large \(|x|\) and \((u^0_i, p^0_i)\) are the exact solutions to the Navier-Stokes system, \(f_1\) has a compact support. Therefore, for small \(|F_i|\) and \(\| f; W^{l,q}_\beta (\Omega) \| (or \| f; A^{l, \delta}_\beta (\Omega) \|)\) the last problem is equivalent to an operator equation in the space \(W^{l+2,q}_\beta (\Omega)\) (or \(A^{l+2, \delta}_\beta (\Omega)\)):

\[ v = \mathcal{A} v \]

with the contraction operator \(\mathcal{A}\) and the statement of the theorem follows from Banach contraction principle.

**Remark 5.1.** For domains with cylindrical exits to infinity the Navier-Stokes problem with prescribed fluxes was considered by C. J. Amick (1977, 1978) [3], [4], O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov (1980) [13], where a solution, approaching exponentially the Poiseuille flow, was constructed. We also mention the paper of S. A. Nazarov, K. Pileckas (1983) [16], where certain existence theorems for regular solutions are proved in domains with periodical exits to infinity.
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