

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

SONIA DAL PIO

ADALBERTO ORSATTI

Representable equivalences for closed categories of modules

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 92 (1994), p. 239-260

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1994__92__239_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1994__92__239_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Representable Equivalences for Closed Categories of Modules.

SONIA DAL PIO - ADALBERTO ORSATTI (*)

0. Introduction.

0.1. All rings considered in this paper have a nonzero identity and all modules are unital. For every ring R , $\text{Mod-}R$ ($R\text{-Mod}$) denotes the category of all right (left) R -modules. The symbol M_R (${}_R M$) is used to emphasize that M is a right (left) R -module.

Categories and functors are understood to be additive. Any subcategory of a given category is full and closed under isomorphic objects. N denotes the set of positive integers.

0.2. Recall that a non empty subcategory $\mathcal{G}_R$ of $\text{Mod-}R$ is *closed* if $\mathcal{G}_R$ is closed under taking submodels, homomorphic images and arbitrary direct sums. Clearly $\mathcal{G}_R$ is a Grothendieck category.

It is easy to show that a closed subcategory $\mathcal{G}_R$ of $\text{Mod-}R$ has a generator and for every generator P_R of $\mathcal{G}_R$ we have:

$$\mathcal{G}_R = \text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}(P_R)}$$

where $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ is the subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ generated by P_R and $\overline{\text{Gen}(P_R)}$ is the smallest closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ containing $\text{Gen}(P_R)$.

0.3. Let $\mathcal{G}_R$ be a closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$, P_R a generator of $\mathcal{G}_R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. In the search for subcategories of $\text{Mod-}A$ which are equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_R$, the functors:

$$H = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, -): \text{Mod-}R \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A,$$

$$T = - \otimes_A P: \text{Mod-}A \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R,$$

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, Università di Padova, via Belzoni 7, I-35100 Padova.

play a crucial role. Indeed we have the following representation theorem:

Let A and R be two rings, $\mathcal{O}_A$ a subcategory of $\text{Mod-}A$ such that $A_A \in \mathcal{O}_A$, $\mathcal{S}_R$ a closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$. Assume that an equivalence (F, G) between $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{S}_R$ is given:

$$\mathcal{O}_A \underset{G}{\overset{F}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{S}_R.$$

Then there exists a bimodule ${}_AP_R$ such that

- 1) $P_R \in \mathcal{S}_R$, $A \cong \text{End}(P_R)$ canonically.
- 2) The functors F and G are naturally equivalent to the functors $T|_{\mathcal{O}_A}$ and $H|_{\mathcal{S}_R}$ respectively.
- 3) $\mathcal{S}_R = \text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$, $\mathcal{O}_A = \text{Im}(H)$.

On the other hand a remarkable result of Zimmermann-Huisgen [ZH] and Fuller [F] states that, if $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$ and $A = \text{End}(P_R)$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$.
- (b) The functor $H: \text{Gen}(P_R) \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A$ is full and faithful and ${}_AP$ is flat.

Therefore H induces an equivalence between $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ and $\text{Im}(H)$. We say that a module P_R of $\text{Mod-}R$ is a *W-module* if $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ is a closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ or, equivalently, if $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$.

0.4. Let P_R be a *W-module*, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. The main purpose of this paper is to find a satisfactory description of $\text{Im}(H)$. Instead of using the Popescu-Gabriel Theorem (cf. [St] Theorem 4.1. Chap. X) we prefer to proceed in a more concrete manner using always the role of the functors H and T that lead to an interesting torsion theory on $\text{Mod-}A$.

Set

$$\text{Ker}(T) = \{L \in \text{Mod-}A: L \otimes_A P = 0\}.$$

Since ${}_AP$ is flat, $\text{Ker}(T)$ is a localizing subcategory of $\text{Mod-}A$, i.e. $\text{Ker}(T)$ is the torsion class of a hereditary torsion theory in $\text{Mod-}A$. The corresponding torsion-free class is obtained in the following manner: let Q_R be a fixed, but arbitrary, injective cogenerator of $\text{Mod-}R$, $K_A = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, Q_R)$, $\mathcal{O}(K_A)$ the subcategory of $\text{Mod-}A$ cogenerated by

K_A . Then $\mathcal{O}(K_A)$ is the requested torsion-free class and K_A is injective in $\text{Mod-}A$.

The Gabriel filter Γ —consisting of right ideals of A —associated to the torsion theory $(\text{Ker}(T), \mathcal{O}(K_A))$ is given by

$$\Gamma = \left\{ I \leq A_A : \frac{A}{I} \in \text{Ker}(T) \right\}.$$

Equivalently

$$\Gamma = \{ I \leq A_A : IP = P \}.$$

For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ denote by L_Γ the module of quotients of L with respect to Γ . Set

$$\text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma) = \{ L \in \text{Mod-}A : L = L_\Gamma \}$$

The main result on the torsion theory $(\text{Ker}(T), \mathcal{O}(K_A))$ is the following: for every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$

$$L_\Gamma = HT(L).$$

Then it is easy to show that $\text{Im}(H) = \text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma)$.

0.5. Various properties of W -modules are investigated, in particular their connection with Fuller's Theorem on Equivalences.

The work ends with an example concerning the closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ consisting of semisimple modules.

0.6. REMARK. The class $\text{Ker}(T)$ was also investigated by [WW].

1. Representable equivalences.

1.1. Through this paper we use the following standing notations. Let A, R be two rings and ${}_A P_R$ a bimodule (left on A and right on R). Consider the adjoint functors:

$$T = - \otimes_A P : \text{Mod-}A \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R,$$

$$H = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, -) : \text{Mod-}R \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A.$$

For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ and $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ there exist the natural morphisms:

$$\sigma_L : L \rightarrow HT(L) = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, L \otimes_A P)$$

$$\sigma_L(l) : p \mapsto l \otimes p \quad (p \in P, l \in L)$$

and

$$\rho_m: TH(M) = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, M) \otimes_A P \rightarrow M,$$

$$\rho_M(f \otimes p) = f(p) \quad (f \in \text{Hom}_A(P, M), p \in P).$$

In the sequel the functors T and H will be suitably restricted and corestricted.

1.2. Let A, R be two rings, $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{S}_R$ subcategories of $\text{Mod-}A$ and $\text{Mod-}R$ respectively. Assume that a category equivalence (F, G) between $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{S}_R$ is given:

$$\mathcal{O}_A \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{matrix} \mathcal{S}_R, \quad G \circ F \approx 1_{\mathcal{O}_A}, \quad F \circ G \approx 1_{\mathcal{S}_R}.$$

In this situation we always assume that $A_A \in \mathcal{O}_A$.

Set $P_R = F(A)$. Then we have the bimodule ${}_A P_R$, with $A = \text{End}(P_R)$ canonically.

1.3. LEMMA. *in the situation (1.2) the functor G is naturally equivalent to the functor $\text{Hom}_R(P_R, -)|_{\mathcal{S}_R}$.*

PROOF. Let $M \in \mathcal{S}_R$ and consider the following natural isomorphisms:

$$G(M) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A, G(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(F(A), FG(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(P_R, M).$$

Thus $G \approx H|_{\mathcal{S}_R}$.

1.4. DEFINITION. We say that the equivalence (F, G) is *representable* by the bimodule ${}_A P_R (P_R = F(A))$ if $F \approx T|_{\mathcal{O}_A}$ and $G \approx H|_{\mathcal{S}_R}$. In this case we say that the bimodule ${}_A P_R$ *represents* the equivalence (F, G) .

1.5. Let $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$ and let $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ be the subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ generated by P_R . Recall that a module $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ is in $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ if there exists an exact sequence $P_R^{(X)} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where X is a suitable set. $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ is closed under taking epimorphic images and arbitrary direct sums. Denote by $\overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$ the smallest closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ containing $\text{Gen}(P_R)$. $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$ if and only if $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ is closed under taking submodules. Let ${}_A P_R$ be a bimodule and let Q_R be a fixed, but arbitrary, cogenerator of $\text{Mod-}R$. Set $K_A = \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ and denote by $\mathcal{O}(K_A)$ the subcategory of $\text{Mod-}A$ cogenerated by K_A .

1.6. LEMMA. Let ${}_AP_R$ be a bimodule. Then $\text{Im}(T) \subseteq \text{Gen}(P_R)$ and $\text{Im}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(K_A)$.

PROOF. See [MO₂] Prop. 2.2.

For every $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ set $t_p(M) = \sum \{\text{Im}(f): f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, M)\}$. Then $t_p(M) \in \text{Gen}(P_R)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(P_R, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(P_R, t_p(M))$ in a natural way.

1.7. LEMMA. Let ${}_AP_R$ be a bimodule. Then

- a) $\text{Im}(H) = H(\text{Gen}(P_R))$;
- b) $M \in \text{Gen}(P_R)$ if and only if ρ_M is surjective;
- c) $L \in \mathcal{O}(K_A)$ if and only if σ_L is injective.

PROOF. See [MO₂] page 207.

1.8. PROPOSITION. The equivalence (F, G) is representable by the bimodule ${}_AP_R$ ($P_R = F(A)$) if and only if for every $L \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and for every $M \in \mathcal{S}_R$ the canonical morphisms σ_L and ρ_M are both isomorphisms.

2. W -modules.

2.1. Let $\mathcal{S}_R$ be a closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$. Then $\mathcal{S}_R$ has a generator P_R and

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{S}_R = \text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}(P_R)}.$$

Indeed let $\wp$ the filter of all right ideals I of R such that $R/I \in \mathcal{S}_R$. Then $P_R = \bigoplus_{I \in \wp} R/I$ is a generator of $\mathcal{S}_R$ and it is easy to check that (1) holds.

2.2. DEFINITION. Let $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Consider the functors $H = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, -)$ and $T = - \otimes_A P$. We say that P_R is a W_0 -module if

(*) the functor $H: \text{Gen}(P_R) \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A$ subordinates an equivalence between $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ and $\text{Im}(H)$

(whose inverse is given by $T|_{\text{Im}(H)}$).

2.3. REPRESENTATION THEOREM. Let $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{S}_R$ be subcategories of $\text{Mod-}A$ and $\text{Mod-}R$ respectively. Assume that $A_A \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and that $\mathcal{S}_R$ is closed under taking arbitrary direct sums and homomorphic images.

Suppose that a category equivalence (F, G) between $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{S}_R$ is given:

$$\mathcal{O}_A \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{matrix} \mathcal{S}_R.$$

Then (F, G) is representable by the bimodule ${}_A P_R$ ($P_R = F(A)$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$) and $\mathcal{S}_R = \text{Gen}(P_R)$, $\mathcal{O}_A = \text{Im}(H)$. Therefore P_R is a W_0 -module.

PROOF. By Lemma (1.2), $G \approx H|_{\mathcal{S}_R}$. Since $\text{Gen}(P_R) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_R$ and by Lemma (1.6), the functor $T|_{\mathcal{O}_A}$ is a left adjoint of the functor G . Since (F, G) is an equivalence F is a left adjoint of G . Therefore $F \approx T|_{\mathcal{O}_A}$. Thus by Lemma (1.6) $\mathcal{S}_R = \text{Gen}(P_R)$. Finally, by Lemma (1.7), $\mathcal{O}_A = \text{Im}(H)$.

2.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem (2.3) suppose that $\mathcal{S}_R$ is a closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$. Then P_R is a W_0 -module such that $\mathcal{S}_R = \text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$.

2.5. Let $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$ and assume that $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$. Then the condition (*) of 2.2. holds by the following important

2.6. THEOREM. Let $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) For every positive integer n , P_R generates all submodules of P_R^n .
- (b) $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$.
- (c) ${}_A P$ is flat and the functor $H: \text{Gen}(P_R) \rightarrow \text{Mod-}A$ is full and faithful.

Moreover if the above conditions are fulfilled, then

- 1) H subordinates an equivalence between $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ and $\text{Im}(H)$.
- 2) The canonical image of R into $\text{End}({}_A P)$ is dense if $\text{End}({}_A P)$ is endowed with its finite topology.

PROOF. The equivalences $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c)$ are due to Zimmermann-Huisgen (cf. [ZH], Lemma 2.2). The statement (2) is due to Fuller ([F], Lemma 1.3).

2.7. DEFINITION. Let $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$. We say that P_R is a W -module

if $\text{Gen}(P_R)$ is a closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ or equivalently $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$.

3. Some properties of W -modules.

3.1. PROPOSITION. *Let P_R be a W -module, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$, $B = \text{End}({}_A P)$. Then the bimodule ${}_A P_B$ is faithfully balanced and $\text{Gen}(P_B)$ is naturally equivalent to $\text{Gen}(P_R)$.*

PROOF. By Proposition (4.12) of [AF], ${}_A P_B$ is faithfully balanced. Endow R with the P -topology τ . τ is a right linear topology on R and has as a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 the right ideals of the form $\text{Ann}_R(F)$ where F is a finite subset of P . Let $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ be the filter of all right ideals of R which are open in (R, τ) . Set $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{M \in \text{Mod-}R: \forall x \in M, \text{Ann}_R(x) \in \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \text{Gen}(P_R)$. Indeed it is obvious that $\text{Gen}(P_R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\tau$. On the other hand let $M \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ and $x \in M$. Then $\text{Ann}_R(x) \supseteq \text{Ann}_R(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ where $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is a finite subset of P . We have

$$\frac{R}{\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}_R(p_i)} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \frac{R}{\text{Ann}_R(p_i)} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n p_i R \in \text{Gen}(P_R).$$

Since $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$ it is $R / \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}_R(p_i) \in \text{Gen}(P_R)$. It follows that $xR \in \text{Gen}(P_R)$ since xR is an homomorphic image of $R / \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}_R(p_i)$. By Theorem 2.2, B is the Hausdorff completion of (R, τ) , since τ is the relative topology on $R / \text{Ann}_R(P)$ of the finite topology of $\text{End}({}_A P)$. Let $\hat{\tau}$ the topology of B . It is clear that $\hat{\tau}$ is the P -topology of B . For every $I \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ let $\bar{I}$ be the closure of $I / \text{Ann}_R(P)$ in B . Then $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\tau} = \{\bar{I}: I \in \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$ is a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 in $(B, \hat{\tau})$ and $R/I \cong B/\bar{I}$ both in $\text{Mod-}R$ and in $\text{Mod-}B$. Therefore $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \text{Gen}(P_B)$.

3.2. REMARK. Let P_R be a W -module, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$, $H = - \oplus_A P$. Then, in general, $\text{Im}(H) \neq \mathcal{O}(K_A)$ (cf. Lemma 1.6), as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE. Let P_R a generator of $\text{Mod-}R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Clearly P_R is a W -module. Assume that $\text{Im}(H) = \mathcal{O}(K_A)$. Then by Proposition 3.2 of [MO₂], $\text{Im}(H) = \text{Mod-}A$. (This is a generalization of Fuller's Theorem on Equivalences [F]). It follows that the functors T and H give an

equivalence between $\text{Mod-}A$ and $\text{Mod-}R$. By a well known result of Morita [M], P_R is a progenerator of $\text{Mod-}R$. If P_R is a generator non progenerator in $\text{Mod-}R$ then $\text{Im}(H) \neq \mathcal{O}(K_A)$

3.3. The Remark 3.2 shows that the theory of W -modules is not trivial even if P_R is a generator of $\text{Mod-}R$ so that $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \text{Mod-}R$. (See [WW]).

3.4. We conclude this section giving another generalization of Fuller's Theorem on Equivalences. Namely, if P_R is a W -module and if $\text{Im}(H)$ is closed under taking homomorphic images, then $\text{Im}(H) = \text{Mod-}A$. For this purpose we need some preliminar results.

3.5. Let $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$, $M \in \text{Gen}(P_R)$. Consider an epimorphism $h: P_R^{(X)} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where X is a suitable set. Clearly $h = (h_x)_{x \in X}$ where $h_x \in \text{Hom}_R(P_R, M)$. Therefore there exists a natural injection

$$i: \sum_{x \in X} h_x A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_R, M).$$

An Azumaya's Lemma (cf. [A], Lemma 1) guarantees that, if ρ_M is injective, then the canonical morphism

$$T(i): \left(\sum_{x \in X} h_x A \right) \otimes_A P \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_R, M) \otimes_A P$$

is surjective.

3.6. LEMMA. *Let P_R be a W -module, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$ and assume that $\text{Im}(H)$ is closed under taking homomorphic images. Let $M \in \text{Gen}(P_R)$ and let $h = (h_x)_{x \in X}$ an epimorphism of $P_R^{(X)}$ onto M . Then*

$$\sum_{x \in X} h_x A = \text{Hom}_R(P, M).$$

PROOF. We have in $\text{Mod-}A$ the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \sum_{x \in X} h_x A \xrightarrow{i} \text{Hom}_R(P, M) \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0.$$

By assumption $V \in \text{Im}(H)$. Applying the exact functor $- \otimes_A P$ we get the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\sum_{x \in X} h_x A \right) \otimes_A P \xrightarrow{T(i)} \text{Hom}_R(P, M) \otimes_A P \rightarrow V \otimes_A P \rightarrow 0.$$

Since P_R is a W -module, ρ_M is an isomorphism (cf. Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 1.8). Therefore, by Azumaya's Lemma, $T(i)$ is surjective so that $V \otimes_A P = 0$. It follows $V = 0$ since the bimodule ${}_A P_R$ represents a category equivalence between $\text{Im}(H)$ and $\text{Gen}(P_R)$.

3.7. DEFINITION. Recall that a module $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$ is Σ -quasi-projective if for every diagram with exact row

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & P_R & & \\ & & \downarrow f & & \\ P_R^{(X)} & \xrightarrow{h} & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

there exists $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(P_R, P_R^{(X)})$ such that $f = h \circ \alpha$.

3.8. DEFINITION. Let $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Recall that P_R is *self-small* if for every set $X \neq \emptyset$ we have

$$\text{Hom}_R(P_R, P_R^{(X)}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(P_R, P_R)^{(X)} = A^{(X)}$$

canonically.

3.9. PROPOSITION. Let $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) For every $M \in \text{Gen}(P_R)$ and for every epimorphism $h = (h_x)_{x \in X}: P_R^{(X)} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ we have:

$$\sum_{x \in X} h_x A = \text{Hom}_R(P, M)$$

- (b) P_R is Σ -quasi-projective and self-small.

PROOF. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Consider the diagram (1) of 3.7. By assumption we have $f = \sum_{x \in X} h_x a_x$, with $a_x \in A$ and almost all a_x 's vanish. Consider the morphism $g: P \rightarrow P_R^{(X)}$ given by $g = (a_x)_{x \in X}$. Then $f = h \circ g$. Therefore P_R is Σ -quasi-projective. Let us show that P_R is self-small. Let $i_x: P_R \rightarrow P_R^{(X)}$ the x -th inclusion and consider the diagram with exact row

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & P_R & & \\ & & & & \downarrow f & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P_R^{(X)} & \xrightarrow{i = (i_x)_{x \in X}} & P_R^{(X)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We have $f = \sum_{x \in X} i_x a_x$ with $a_x \in A$ and almost all a_x 's vanish. Let $g = (a_x)_{x \in X}$. Then $g \in A^{(X)}$ and $f = i \circ g$, hence $\text{Hom}_R(P_R, P_R^{(X)}) \cong A^{(X)}$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ and let $h: P_R^{(X)} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an epimorphism. Then there exists a morphism $g: P_R \rightarrow P_R^{(X)}$ such that $f = h \circ g$. On the other hand $g = (a_x)_{x \in X}$ with $a_x \in A$ and almost all a_x 's vanish, hence $f \in \sum_{z \in X} h_z A$.

3.10. THEOREM. *Let P_R be a W -module, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$ and assume that $\text{Im}(H)$ is closed under taking homomorphic images. Then $\text{Im}(H) = \text{Mod-}A$.*

PROOF. We have $T(A^{(X)}) = A^{(X)} \otimes_A P \cong P_R^{(X)}$ in a natural way. By Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.9 P_R is self-small, hence $H(P_R^{(X)}) = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, P_R^{(X)}) \cong A^{(X)}$. Thus $A^{(X)} \in \text{Im}(H)$. Let $L \in \text{Mod-}A$. There exists an exact sequence $A^{(X)} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$, so that $L \in \text{Im}(H)$.

4. The torsion theory $(\text{Im}(T), \mathcal{O}(K_A))$.

From now on we assume the reader familiar with some elementary facts on torsion theories. See [St] or [N].

4.1. In all this section P_R is a W -module with $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Set, as usual, $T = - \otimes_A P$ and $H = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, -)$. The bimodule ${}_A P_R$ represents an equivalence between $\text{Im}(H)$ and $\text{Gen}(P_R) = \overline{\text{Gen}}(P_R)$.

4.2. Consider the following subcategory of $\text{Mod-}A$

$$\text{Ker}(T) = \{L \in \text{Mod-}A : L \otimes_A P = 0\}.$$

Clearly $\text{Im}(H) \cap \text{Ker}(T) = 0$.

4.3. LEMMA. *$\text{Ker}(T)$ is a localizing subcategory of $\text{Mod-}A$, i.e. $\text{Ker}(T)$ is the torsion class for a hereditary torsion theory on $\text{Mod-}A$.*

PROOF. It is obvious that $\text{Ker}(T)$ is closed under taking homomorphic images, direct sums and extensions. On the other hand, since ${}_A P$ is flat, $\text{Ker}(T)$ is closed under taking submodules.

The Gabriel filter Γ canonically associated to the localizing subcate-

gory $\text{Ker}(T)$ is given by setting

$$\Gamma = \left\{ I \leq A_A : \frac{A}{I} \in \text{Ker}(T) \right\}.$$

Clearly

$$\Gamma = \{ I \leq A_A : IP = P \}.$$

Let $L \in \text{Mod-}A$. The torsion submodule $t_\Gamma(L)$ of L is defined by setting

$$t_\Gamma(L) = \{ x \in L : \text{Ann}_A(x) \in \Gamma \}.$$

Then the category of torsion-free modules is

$$\mathcal{F}_\Gamma = \{ L \in \text{Mod-}A : t_\Gamma(L) = 0 \}.$$

For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$, $L/t_\Gamma(L)$ is torsion free. If no confusion arises, we write $t(L)$ instead of $t_{\Gamma(L)}$.

Let Q_R be a fixed, but arbitrary, injective cogenerator of $\text{Mod-}R$, $K_A = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, Q_R)$, $\mathcal{O}(K_A)$ the subcategory of $\text{Mod-}A$, cogenerated by K_A . Since ${}_A P$ is flat, K_A is injective in $\text{Mod-}A$.

4.4. LEMMA.

$$\text{Ker}(T) = \{ L \in \text{Mod-}A : \text{Hom}_A(L, K_A) = 0 \}.$$

PROOF. For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ we have the canonical isomorphisms:

$$\text{Hom}_A(L, K_A) = \text{Hom}_A(L, \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(L \otimes_A P, Q_R).$$

Since Q_R is a cogenerator in $\text{Mod-}R$ we have

$$\text{Hom}_A(L, K_A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow L \otimes_A P = 0 \Leftrightarrow L \in \text{Ker}(T).$$

4.5. PROPOSITION.

$$\mathcal{F}_\Gamma = \mathcal{O}(K_A).$$

PROOF. Let $L \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$. Then $t_\Gamma(L) = 0$. Let $l \in L$, $l \neq 0$. Then $lA \notin \text{Ker}(T)$ hence, by Lemma 4.4, $\text{Hom}_A(lA, K_A) \neq 0$. Let $f: lA \rightarrow K_A$ a non zero morphism. Since K_A is injective in $\text{Mod-}A$, f extends to a morphism $\bar{f}: L \rightarrow K_A$ and $\bar{f}(l) \neq 0$. It follows $L \in \mathcal{O}(K_A)$.

Conversely let $L \in \mathcal{O}(K_A)$ and let $L' \leq L$ such that $L' \in \text{Ker}(T)$. By

Lemma 4.4 we have $\text{Hom}_A(L', K_A) = 0$. On the other hand there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow K_A^X$ where X is a suitable set. Then $L' = 0$, so that $L \in \mathcal{F}_r$.

4.6. COROLLARY. (a) *The torsion theory $(\text{Ker}(T), \mathcal{O}(K_A))$ is cogenerated by the injective module K_A .*

(b) *Since $\text{Im}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(K_A)$, the modules in $\text{Im}(H)$ are torsion-free.*

4.7. PROPOSITION. *For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ consider the canonical morphism $\sigma_L: L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_R, L \otimes_A P)$. Then:*

$$t_r(L) = \text{Ker}(\sigma_L).$$

PROOF. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\sigma_L) &= \{l \in L: l \otimes p = 0, \forall p \in P\} = \{l \in L: lA \otimes_A P = 0\} = \\ &= \{l \in L: lA \in \text{Ker}(T)\} = t_r(L). \end{aligned}$$

4.8. Let $L \in \text{Mod-}A$, $I, J \in \Gamma$, $I \geq J$. Consider the natural morphism

$$\text{Hom}_A(I, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(J, L)$$

given by restrictions. For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ set:

$$L_\Gamma = \varinjlim_{I \in \Gamma} \text{Hom}_A\left(I, \frac{L}{t_\Gamma(L)}\right)$$

and, since A is torsion-free

$$A_\Gamma = \varinjlim_{I \in \Gamma} \text{Hom}_A(I, A).$$

It is well known that L_Γ is a right A -module, A_Γ is a ring and moreover L_Γ is a right A_Γ -module.

A_Γ is called the *ring of quotients* of A and L_Γ the *module of quotients* of L with respect to the Gabriel filter Γ . For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$, L_Γ is also called the *localization* of L at Γ .

For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ there exists a canonical morphism $\varphi_L: L \rightarrow L_\Gamma$

such that

$$\text{Ker}(\varphi_L) = t_\Gamma(L), \quad \frac{L_\Gamma}{\varphi_L(L)} \in \text{Ker}(T), \quad \varphi_L(L) \in \mathcal{O}(K_A)$$

$\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A_\Gamma$ is a ring morphism.

4.9. LEMMA. *Let $I \in \Gamma$.*

Then $\text{Hom}_A(A/I, A) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_A^1(A/I, A) = 0$.

PROOF. See [WW] Proposition 1.2.

4.10. COROLLARY. *The canonical morphism $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A_\Gamma$ is a ring isomorphism.*

PROOF. By Corollary 4.6 A is torsion-free. Let $I \in \Gamma$ and let $\alpha_I: I \rightarrow A$ be the canonical inclusion. By Lemma 4.9 the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{\alpha_I} A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to the exact sequence:

$$0 = \text{Hom}_A(A/I, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(A, A) \xrightarrow{\alpha_I^*} \text{Hom}_A(I, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(A/I, A) = 0.$$

Therefore $\alpha_I^*: \text{Hom}_A(A, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(I, A)$ is an isomorphism i.e. any morphism $I \rightarrow A$ extends uniquely to an element of A . Then, if $I, J \in \Gamma$ and $I \geq J$, the restriction map $\text{Hom}_A(I, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(J, A)$ is an isomorphism.

4.11. DEFINITIONS. Recall that a module $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ is Γ -injective if for every $I \in \Gamma$ the restriction morphism

$$(1) \quad \text{Hom}_A(A, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(I, L)$$

is surjective.

L is Γ -injective if and only if $\text{Ext}_A^1(N, L) = 0$ for every $N \in \text{Ker}(T)$.

A module $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ is called Γ -closed if for every $I \in \Gamma$ the above morphism (1) is an isomorphism.

The following results are classical in torsion theories.

4.12. THEOREM. *Let $L \in \text{Mod-}A$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) L is Γ -closed;

- (b) $L \in \mathcal{O}(K_A)$ and L is Γ -injective;
- (c) for every morphism $\alpha: U \rightarrow V$ in $\text{Mod-}A$ such that $\text{Ker}(\alpha) \in \text{Ker}(T)$ and $\text{Coker}(\alpha) \in \text{Ker}(T)$, the transposed morphism $\text{Hom}_A(V, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(U, L)$ is an isomorphism;
- (d) the canonical morphism $\varphi_L: L \rightarrow L_\Gamma$ is an isomorphism.

4.13. COROLLARY. For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$, L_Γ is Γ -closed.

5. A characterization of $\text{Im}(H)$.

5.1. In all this section we work in situation 4.1.

Denote by $\text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma)$ the subcategory of $\text{Mod-}A$ whose objects are all the Γ -closed modules in $\text{Mod-}A$. By Theorem 4.12 we can write

$$\text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma) = \{L \in \text{Mod-}A: L = L_\Gamma\}.$$

Our main result is the following theorem which, together with Theorem 2.6, gives easily the Popescu-Gabriel Theorem in our setting.

5.2. THEOREM. Let $P_R \in \text{Mod-}R$ be a W -module, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$, $H = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, -)$, $T = - \otimes_A P$. Then for every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ we have

$$L_\Gamma = HT(L).$$

PROOF. For every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$, we have

$$(1) \quad T(L_\Gamma) = T(L).$$

Indeed, consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow t_\Gamma(L) \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\varphi_L} L_\Gamma \rightarrow L_\Gamma/\varphi_L(L) \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring by ${}_A P$ and since $t_\Gamma(L)$ and $L_\Gamma/\varphi_L(L)$ are in $\text{Ker}(T)$, we get (1).

We now prove that, for every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$, $L_\Gamma \in \text{Im}(H)$ from which it will follow

$$L_\Gamma \cong HT(L)$$

by Theorem 2.3.

Indeed assume $L = L_\Gamma$. Since $L \in \mathcal{O}(K_A)$ (cf. Theorem 4.12), σ_L is injective. Consider the exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\sigma_L} HT(L) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\sigma_L) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since T and H are adjoint functors there exists the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(L) & \xrightarrow{T(\sigma_L)} & THT(L) \\ & \searrow 1_{T(L)} & \downarrow \hat{\sigma}_{T(L)} \\ & & T(L) \end{array}$$

Since $T(L) \in \text{Gen}(P_R)$, $\hat{\sigma}_{T(L)}$ is an isomorphism hence $T(\sigma_L)$ is an isomorphism too. Applying T in (2) we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T(L) \xrightarrow{T(\sigma_L)} THT(L) \rightarrow T(\text{Coker}(\sigma_L)) = 0.$$

It follows $\text{Coker}(\sigma_L) \in \text{Ker}(T)$. Since L is Γ -injective, the exact sequence (2) splits hence:

$$HT(L) \cong L \oplus \text{Coker}(\sigma_L);$$

therefore $\text{Coker}(\sigma_L) = 0$ because $HT(L)$ is torsion-free. Thus σ_L is an isomorphism and $L \in \text{Im}(H)$.

5.3. COROLLARY. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.2*

$$\text{Im}(H) = \text{Mod} - (A, \Gamma).$$

PROOF. Let $L \in \text{Im}(H)$. Then $L \cong HT(L)$, hence $L = L_\Gamma$. If $L = L_\Gamma$ then $L = HT(L)$, hence $L \in \text{Im}(H)$.

6. The trace ideal of ${}_A P$ in A .

6.1. Let P_R be a W -module, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Define the trace ideal τ of ${}_A P$ in A by setting

$$\tau = \sum \{ \text{Im}(f) : f \in \text{Hom}_A(P, A) \};$$

τ is a two-sided ideal of A .

6.2 LEMMA ([WW], Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.6). *Let P_R be a W -module, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Then $\tau \subseteq \bigcap_{I \in \Gamma} I$.*

If moreover P_R is a generator of $\text{Mod-}R$, then:

- a) $\tau P = P$ so that $I \in \Gamma$ if and only if $I \supseteq \tau$;
- b) $\tau^2 = \tau$;

- c) the left annihilator of τ is 0;
- d) τ is finitely generated as a two-sided ideal;
- e) τ is essential as a right ideal.

6.3 COROLLARY. *Let P_R be a generator of $\text{Mod-}R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Then for every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$*

$$L_\Gamma = \text{Hom}\left(\tau, \frac{L}{t_\Gamma(L)}\right).$$

7. An example: closed spectral subcategories of $\text{Mod-}R$.

7.1. Let $\mathcal{G}_R$ be a closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$, P_R a generator of $\mathcal{G}_R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Set, as usual, $T = - \otimes_A P$, $H = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, -)$. Let Γ be the Gabriel filter associated to the hereditary torsion theory $(\text{Ker}(T), \mathcal{O}(K_A))$. Then $\mathcal{G}_R$ is naturally equivalent to the subcategory $\text{Im}(H) = \text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma)$ of $\text{Mod-}A$.

Recall that the subcategory $\text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma)$ is closed under taking injective envelopes and direct products in $\text{Mod-}A$.

7.2. We are interested in finding conditions in order that every module $L \in \text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma)$ is injective in $\text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma)$ or, equivalently, in $\text{Mod-}A$.

7.3 LEMMA. *The sequence in $\text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma)$*

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma)$ if and only if

- 1) f is injective;
- 2) $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Ker}(g)$;
- 3) $N/\text{Im}(g) \in \text{Ker}(T)$.

PROOF. Assume that (1) is exact in $\text{Mod-}(A, \Gamma)$. Then we have the exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow T(L) \xrightarrow{T(f)} T(M) \xrightarrow{T(g)} T(N) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{G}_R.$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_R$ is closed, (2) is exact in $\text{Mod-}R$. Therefore the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow HT(L) \xrightarrow{HT(f)} HT(M) \xrightarrow{HT(g)} HT(N)$$

is exact in $\text{Mod-}A$; thus f is injective and $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Ker}(g)$.

Assume that $N/\text{Im}(g) \notin \text{Ker}(T)$. Then we have the exact sequence in $\text{Mod-}A$:

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow N/\text{Im}(g) \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying T we get $T(N/\text{Im}(g)) \neq 0$, in contrast with (2).

Conversely, if conditions 1), 2) and 3) hold for the sequence (1), then the sequence (2) is exact in $\mathcal{G}_R$ and (1) is exact in $\text{Mod-}(A, I)$.

7.4. Assume that every module in $\text{Mod-}(A, I)$ is injective. Let

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod-}(A, I)$. Since L is injective, we have

$$M = L \oplus L' \quad \text{in } \text{Mod-}A,$$

where $L' \cong \text{Im}(g) \leq N$. Let us show that

$$L' \cong N \text{ cononically.}$$

Observe that $L' \in \text{Mod-}(A, I)$. In fact L' is torsion free and, being injective, it is I -injective. We have $N \cong L' \oplus L''$, with $L'' \cong N/\text{Im}(g)$. Since $L' \in \mathcal{O}(K_A)$ and $L'' \in \text{Ker}(T)$ we get $N \cong L'$.

7.5 PROPOSITION. *Assume that every module in $\text{Mod-}(A, I)$ is injective. The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$$

with $L, M, N \in \text{Mod-}(A, I)$ is exact in $\text{Mod-}A$ if and only if it is exact in $\text{Mod-}A$.

In this case (1) splits.

7.6 LEMMA. *Let $M \in \mathcal{G}_R = \text{Gen}(P_R)$, $N \in \text{Mod-}R$ and let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism. Then $\text{Im}(f) \leq t_P(N)$.*

PROOF. Assume that $M = P_R^{(X)}$, where $X \neq \emptyset$ is a set. Let $h: P^{(X)} \rightarrow N$ be a morphism. Then $h = (h_x)_{x \in X}$, with $h_x \in \text{Hom}_R(P, N)$. Let $p \in P^{(X)}$. Then $p = (p_x)_{x \in X}$, with $p_x \in P$ and $p_x = 0$ for almost all $x \in X$.

We have

$$h(p) = \sum_{x \in X} h_x(p_x) \in t_p(N).$$

Let $M \in \text{Gen}(P_R)$, $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$. There exists a diagram

$$P^{(X)} \xrightarrow{h} M \xrightarrow{f} N$$

with h a surjective morphism. It is $f \circ h \in \text{Hom}_R(P^{(X)}, N)$, hence $\text{Im}(f \circ h) \leq t_p(N)$ and $\text{Im}(f \circ h) = \text{Im}(f)$.

7.7 PROPOSITION. *Let $\mathcal{S}_R$ be a closed subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$, P_R a generator of $\mathcal{S}_R$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *every module in $\text{Mod-}(A, I')$ is injective;*
- (b) *$\mathcal{S}_R$ is a spectral category.*

In this case every module in $\mathcal{S}_R$ is semisimple.

PROOF. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) By Proposition 7.5 every short exact sequence in $\mathcal{S}_R$ splits. Therefore such a sequence splits in $\text{Mod-}R$. Then every module in $\mathcal{S}_R$ is semisimple so that $\mathcal{S}_R$ is spectral.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) Let $L \in \text{Mod-}(A, I') = \text{Im}(H)$. Then $L = H(M)$, with $M \in \mathcal{S}_R$. Since Q_R is a cogenerator in $\text{Mod-}R$, there exists an exact sequence in $\text{Mod-}R$

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q^X$$

where X is a suitable set. By Lemma 7.6, $\text{Im}(f) \leq t_p(Q_R^X) \in \text{Gen}(P_R) = \mathcal{S}_R$. Since $\mathcal{S}_R$ is spectral, M is a direct summand of $t_p(Q_R^X)$. Therefore $L = H(M)$ is a direct summand of $H(t_p(Q_R^X))$. On the other hand, $H(t_p(Q_R^X)) \cong H(Q_R^X) = K_A^X$ which is injective.

7.8 PROPOSITION *Let $\mathcal{S}_R$ be a closed spectral subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$, P_R a generator of $\mathcal{S}_R$ and $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Then:*

- a) *for every $L \in \text{Mod-}A$ the following conditions are equivalent:*
 - (i) $L \in \text{Mod-}(A, I')$;
 - (ii) L is a direct summand of a module of the form A^X , where X is a non empty set;
- b) *the ring A is von Neumann regular and right self-injective.*

PROOF. *a)* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let X be a non empty set. We show that $H(P_R^{(X)})$ is a direct summand of A^X . In fact:

$$H(P_R^{(X)}) \leq H(P_R^X) \cong H(t_P(P_R^X)) \cong A^X \in \text{Mod}-(A, \Gamma).$$

Since $H(P_R^{(X)})$ is injective, $H(P_R^{(X)})$ is a direct summand of A^X . Let $L \in \text{Mod}-(A, \Gamma)$ be an injective module. Then $L = H(M)$, for some $M \in \mathcal{G}_R$. Then $H(M)$ is a direct summand of a module of the form $H(P_R^{(X)})$, hence L is a direct summand of A^X .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) If L is a direct summand of A^X , L is torsion free and it is Γ -injective, being injective. Therefore $L \in \text{Mod}-(A, \Gamma)$.

b) Since P_R is semisimple, A is von Neumann regular (cf. [St], Chap. I, Prop. 12.4). Clearly A is right self-injective.

7.9. Let $\mathcal{G}_R$ be a closed spectral subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$, P_R a generator of $\mathcal{G}_R$ and $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. In this case the filter Γ has a nice description using the trace ideal of ${}_A P$ in ${}_A A$.

7.10. Fix a simple module $S \in \text{Mod-}R$ and denote by $\Sigma(S)$ the spectral subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ consisting of all semisimple modules which are a direct sum of copies of S .

Fix a positive cardinal number α . Then

$$P_R = S^{(\alpha)}$$

is a projective generator and an injective cogenerator of $\Sigma(S)$. Let $D = \text{End}(S_R)$, $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Then D is a division ring and A is the ring of all $\alpha \times \alpha$ matrices, with entries in D , whose columns have only a finite number of non zero elements. It follows that $A \cong \text{End}(D^{(\alpha)})$, where $D^{(\alpha)}$ is considered as a right vector space over the division ring D .

Let Γ be the usual Gabriel filter on A . Let τ be the trace ideal of ${}_A P$ in ${}_A A$:

$$\tau = \sum \{ \text{Im}(g) : g \in \text{Hom}_A({}_A P, A) \}.$$

a) ${}_A P$ is a semisimple module in $A\text{-Mod}$.

PROOF. Since P_R is an injective cogenerator of $\Sigma(S)$, then P_R is strongly quasi-injective in the sense of [MO₁]. Applying Proposition 6.10 of [MO₁] we have

$$\text{Soc}({}_A P) = \text{Soc}(P_R) = P$$

and thus ${}_A P$ is semisimple.

Let L_ω be the minimal two-sided non zero ideal of A . As it is well known, L_ω consists of all the endomorphism of $D^{(\alpha)}$ whose image is finite dimensional. L_ω has the following properties:

- i) $L_\omega = \text{Soc}({}_A A) = \text{Soc}(A_A)$;
- ii) the right ideals of A containing L_ω are exactly the essential right ideals of A .

Therefore we have, by i),

$$\tau = \sum \{ \text{Im}(g) : g \in \text{Hom}_A({}_A P, L_\omega) \}.$$

Thus $\tau \leq L_\omega$.

b) *The trace ideal $\tau = L_\omega$.*

PROOF. Let us show that $\tau \neq 0$; it will follow that $\tau = L_\omega$, since τ is two-sided and L_ω is the minimal two-sided non zero ideal of A .

Let J be a maximal right ideal of R such that $R/J \cong S_R$. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise, by applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, P_R)$, to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/J, P_R) = \text{Ann}_P(J) \rightarrow {}_A P.$$

Thus

$$\text{Ann}_P(J) \cong \text{Hom}_R(S, P_R) \cong D^{(\alpha)} \hookrightarrow {}_A P,$$

since S_R is finitely generated. Therefore ${}_A P$ contains a direct summand of the form $\text{Ann}_P(J) \cong \text{Hom}_R(S, P_R) \cong D^{(\alpha)}$ and it is well known that $\text{Hom}_A(D^{(\alpha)}, A) \neq 0$.

c) *Let f be an endomorphism of P_R such that $\text{Im}(f)$ is finitely generated. Then $f \in L_\omega$.*

PROOF. In fact f may be represented by an $\alpha \times \alpha$ matrix having only a finite number of non zero rows. Then this matrix represents an endomorphism of $D^{(\alpha)}$ whose image is finite dimensional. Therefore $f \in L_\omega$.

d) $L_\omega P = P$; hence $L_\omega \in \Gamma$ and thus

$$\Gamma = \{ I \leq A_A : I \geq \tau \}.$$

PROOF. Let $x \in P_R$, $x \neq 0$, and let f be the projection of P_R onto the

submodule F generated by x , such that $f(x) = x$. Since F is finitely generated, $f \in L_\omega$. Thus $L_\omega P = P$.

The last statement follows from Lemma 6.2.

We now consider closed spectral subcategories of $\text{Mod-}R$ in the general case.

7.11 PROPOSITION. *Let $\mathcal{S}_R$ be a closed spectral subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$, P_R a generator of $\mathcal{S}_R$ and $A = \text{End}(P_R)$. Let Γ be the usual Gabriel filter on A and τ be the trace of ${}_A P$ in ${}_A A$. Then:*

- a) $\text{Soc}({}_A A) = \text{Soc}({}_A A) = \tau \neq 0$;
- b) $\tau \in \Gamma$ and $\Gamma = \{I \leqslant A_A : I \supseteq \tau\}$.

Consequently Γ consists of all essential right ideals of A .

PROOF. Let $(S_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta}$ be a system of representatives of all non isomorphic simple modules in $\mathcal{S}_R$. Set $D_\delta = \text{End}_R(S_\delta)$. We have

$$P_R = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} S_\delta^{(\alpha_\delta)},$$

where the α_δ 's are non zero cardinal numbers. P_R is a projective generator and an injective cogenerator of $\mathcal{S}_R$. Next we have:

$$\begin{aligned} A = \text{Hom}_R(P_R, P_R) &\cong \text{Hom}_R\left(\bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} S_\delta^{(\alpha_\delta)}, \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} S_\delta^{(\alpha_\delta)}\right) \cong \\ &\cong \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \text{Hom}_R(S_\delta^{(\alpha_\delta)}, S_\delta^{(\alpha_\delta)}) \cong \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} A_\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_\delta = \text{End}_R(S_\delta^{(\alpha_\delta)})$.

Let τ be the trace ideal of ${}_A P$ in A ; note that $\bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} A_\delta$ is essential in $A = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} A_\delta$. Therefore:

$$\text{Soc}({}_A A) = \text{Soc}\left(\bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} A_\delta\right) = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} \text{Soc}(A_\delta) = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} L_\omega(\delta),$$

where $L_\omega(\delta)$ is the smallest two-sided ideal of the ring A_δ . Hence

$$\text{Soc}({}_A A) = \text{Soc}({}_A A).$$

Then

$$\tau = \sum \left\{ \text{Im}(g) : g \in \text{Hom}_A\left({}_A P, \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} L_\omega(\delta)\right) \right\}.$$

Hence

$$\tau = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} L_\omega(\delta) = \text{Soc}({}_A A).$$

As we know, $\tau \subseteq \bigcap \{I: I \in \Gamma\}$. Let us show that $\tau \in \Gamma$. In fact

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\delta' \in \Delta} L_{\omega}(\delta') \right) \left(\bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} S_{\delta}^{(\alpha_{\delta})} \right) = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} L_{\omega}(\delta) S_{\delta}^{(\alpha_{\delta})} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} S_{\delta}^{(\alpha_{\delta})}.$$

7.12 REMARK. We think that a number of more interesting examples may be constructed from the recent paper of Albu and Wisbauer [AW].

REFERENCES

- [A] G. AZUMAYA, *Some aspects of Fuller's theorem*, in *Module Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **700**, Springer, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin (1979), pp. 34-45.
- [AF] F. W. ANDERSON - K. R. FULLER, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin (1974).
- [AW] T. ALBU - R. WISBAUER, *Generators in Grothendieck categories with right perfect endomorphism rings*, Osaka J. Math, **28**, (1991), pp. 295-304.
- [F] K. R. FULLER, *Density and equivalence*, J. Alg., **29**, (1974), pp. 528-550.
- [M] K. MORITA, *Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sec. A, **6**, (1959), pp. 83-143.
- [MO₁] C. MENINI - A. ORSATTI, *Good dualities and strongly quasi-injective modules*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **127**, (1981), pp. 182-230.
- [MO₂] C. MENINI - A. ORSATTI, *Representable equivalences between categories of modules and applications*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **82**, (1989), pp. 203-231.
- [N] C. NĂSTĂSESCU, *Teorie della torsione*, Quaderni dei Gruppi di Ricerca Matematica del CNR, Univ. di Ferrara (1974).
- [St] B. STENSTRÖM, *Ring of Quotients*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1975).
- [WW] C. L. WALKER - E. A. WALKER, *Quotient categories of modules*, in *Conference on Categ. Alg.*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1966), pp. 404-420.
- [ZH] B. ZIMMERMANN-HUISGEN, *Endomorphism rings of self-generators*, Pacific J. Math, **61**, (1975), pp. 587-602.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione l'11 febbraio 1993
e, in forma revisionata, il 23 settembre 1993.