

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

M. KUZUCUOĞLU

**A note on barely transitive permutation
groups satisfying $\min - 2$**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 90 (1993), p. 9-15

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1993__90__9_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A Note on Barely Transitive Permutation Groups Satisfying min-2.

M. KUZUCUOĞLU(*)

We recall that a group of permutations G of an infinite set Ω is called a barely transitive group if G acts transitively on Ω and every orbit of every proper subgroup is finite. An abstract group is called barely transitive, if it is isomorphic to some barely transitive permutation group. Recall also that [2] an infinite group G can be represented faithfully as a barely transitive permutation group if and only if G possesses a subgroup H such that $\bigcap_{x \in G} H^x = 1$ and $|K: K \cap H| < \infty$ for every proper subgroup $K < G$. The subgroup H is a point stabilizer of a barely transitive permutation group. Locally finite barely transitive groups are studied and the following theorem is proved in [5].

THEOREM [5] (1.2). *A locally finite barely transitive permutation group containing an element of order p and satisfying min- p is isomorphic to C_p^∞ .*

In the proof of the above theorem we invoke the classification of finite simple groups. In this paper we will prove the same result for the prime 2 without using the classification of finite simple groups and extend the above theorem by reducing the min- p condition on H .

By assuming some restrictions on point stabilizer H one might expect to obtain some results about the structure of a locally finite barely transitive group. On the lines of this idea we have three propositions which might be of interest. Proposition 4 might have independent interest.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let G be a locally finite barely transitive group and H be a point stabilizer of G . If H satisfies min- p , then G satisfies min- p .*

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Department of Mathematics, Middle East Technical University, 06531 Ankara, Turkey.

PROOF. Let Q be a Sylow p -subgroup of H . Then by [6] Q is a Černikov group. Since H is a proper subgroup of G the group Q is a proper subgroup hence residually finite. But a residually finite Černikov group is finite. Hence Q is finite. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If G is a p -group, then finiteness of $|K:K \cap H|$ for each proper subgroup $K < G$ implies that each proper subgroup of G is finite hence G satisfies $\text{min-}p$.

Assume that P is a proper subgroup of G . Since $P \cap H$ is a p -subgroup of H it is contained in a Sylow p -subgroup of H which is finite. Barely transitivity implies $|P:P \cap H| < \infty$ hence P is finite i.e. G satisfies $\text{min-}p$.

COROLLARY. *Let G be a locally finite barely transitive group and H be a point stabilizer of G . If G contains an element of order p and H satisfies $\text{min-}p$, then $G \cong C_{p^\infty}$.*

PROOF. Use Proposition 1 and the above Theorem.

THEOREM. *Let G be a locally finite barely transitive group and H be a point stabilizer of G . If G contains an element of order 2 and H satisfies $\text{min-}2$, then $G \cong C_{2^\infty}$.*

PROOF. By Proposition 1 G satisfies $\text{min-}2$. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . Then S is Černikov [6] and so S has a divisible abelian normal subgroup of finite index. Residual finiteness of each proper subgroup of G [5] Lemma (2.13) and non residual finiteness of C_{2^∞} implies that either S is isomorphic to C_{2^∞} and so $G = S$ or S is proper and hence finite. In the first case we are done. We show that the second case is impossible.

Assume that G is a locally finite barely transitive group with finite Sylow 2-subgroups.

a) Each proper subgroups K of G satisfies $|K:O_2(K)| < \infty$.

We prove this by induction on the order of Sylow 2-subgroups of proper subgroups of G .

Let $K < G$. If Sylow 2-subgroup of K is a trivial group, then $K = O_2(K)$. By the Feit-Thompson theorem K is locally solvable.

Assume that $|L:O_2(L)| < \infty$ if the order of Sylow 2-subgroup of L is less than the order of a Sylow 2-subgroup of K . Let x be an involution in K . Since K is residually finite then there exist a normal subgroup N_x of K such that $x \notin N_x$ and $|K:N_x|$ is finite. So the order of Sylow 2-subgroup of N_x is less than the order of Sylow 2-subgroup of K . By

induction assumption $|N_x: O_{2'}(N_x)| < \infty$ and so $|K: O_{2'}(N_x)| < \infty$. As $O_{2'}(N_x) \triangleleft N_x \triangleleft K$, we have $O_{2'}(N_x) \triangleleft K$ hence $O_{2'}(K) \geq O_{2'}(N_x)$ and so $|K: O_{2'}(K)| < \infty$.

b) G is not simple.

Assume that G is simple with finite Sylow 2-subgroup S . For each involution x in G , the subgroup $C_G(x)$ is a proper subgroup and by the previous paragraph, $C_G(x)$ is almost locally solvable. The group G contains an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of order four. Otherwise there is a unique involution i in the centre of the Sylow 2-subgroup S of G . Since Sylow 2-subgroups are conjugate every Sylow 2-subgroup contains at most one conjugate of i , then by [4] Theorem (1.1.4) G is not simple. Hence we may assume that G contains an elementary abelian 2-subgroup V of order four. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 be the nontrivial involutions in V . Then

$$|C_G(x_i): O_{2'}(C_G(x_i))| < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since S is finite, the 2-rank of G is finite. Then by [1] Theorem 9

$$|G: \langle O_{2'}(C_G(x_i)): i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle| < \infty.$$

Since our group does not have a subgroup of finite index

$$G = \langle C_G(x_i): i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle.$$

But again $C_G(x_i)$ is proper subgroup of G for all $i = 1, 2, 3$. But by [5] Lemma 2.10 G cannot be generated by two proper subgroups. Hence $G = C_G(x_i)$ for some $i = 1, 2, 3$ which is impossible since $x_i \notin Z(G) = 1$. So G is not simple.

Since we have non-trivial normal subgroups either G has a maximal normal subgroup or G is a union of an ascending series of proper normal subgroups N_i . In the latter case there exists i such that $S \subseteq N_i \triangleleft G$ and by a Frattini argument

$$G = N_i N_G(S).$$

But G cannot be generated by two proper subgroups, and N_i is a proper subgroup so $G = N_G(S)$. Hence S is a normal subgroup of G . The group S is finite and normal whence [5] Lemma 2.2 implies $S \leq Z(G)$. Since S is finite abelian and a maximal 2-subgroup G/S is a 2'-group. Let Σ be a local system consisting of finite subgroups and containing S . We can find such a local system since G is countable by [5] Lemma 2.14 and S is finite. Any element K_i in the local system is a finite subgroup of G containing S and $(|K_i/S|, |S|) = 1$. Then by the Schur-Zassenhaus theo-

rem $K_i = S \times L_i$ as $S \leq Z(G)$. The group L_i is a $2'$ -group. But this is true for all $K_i \in \Sigma$. Since the complements L_i of S are unique by embedding for each i , $L_i < L_{i+1}$ we get

$$G = S \times O_{2'}(G).$$

Since S is finite and G does not have a subgroup of finite index $G = O_{2'}(G)$ which is impossible since there exists nontrivial $x \in G$ such that $2 \mid o(x)$.

It remains to show the first possibility, that G contains a maximal normal subgroup is impossible. If there exists a maximal normal subgroup N , then G/N is a simple group satisfying min-2. By [5] Lemma 2.4 G/N is barely transitive and by the previous paragraph a barely transitive locally finite group satisfying min-2 cannot be simple.

This proof also says that in a locally finite barely transitive group $G \neq C_{2^\infty}$ all maximal 2-subgroups are infinite and indeed not Černikov.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let G be a locally finite barely transitive group and H be a point stabilizer of G . If for a fixed prime p every p -subgroup of H is solvable, then G is a union of proper normal subgroups. In particular G is not simple.*

PROOF. Assume if possible that, G is a locally finite barely transitive simple group. Let P be a maximal p -subgroup of G . The subgroup $P \cap H$ is a p -subgroup of H so it is solvable. By bare transitivity we have $|P:P \cap H| < \infty$ which implies that P is solvable. Therefore every p -subgroup of G is solvable. Every locally finite simple group is either linear or non-linear. But a non-linear locally finite simple group contains isomorphic copies of alternating groups A_n for all natural number n and hence contains finite p -subgroups of arbitrary derived length. Hence G cannot be a non-linear group. Then G is a linear group, but we show in [5] Lemma 2.11 that a locally finite barely transitive group cannot be a group of Lie type.

Let N be a proper normal subgroup of G . If N is a maximal normal subgroup of G , then G/N is a simple barely transitive group with HN/N its point stabilizer moreover every p -subgroup of HN/N is solvable. Hence there exists no maximal normal subgroup and G is a union of its proper normal subgroups.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let G be a locally finite barely transitive group and H be a point stabilizer of G . If the order of every simple section of H is bounded, then*

- 1) G is not simple,
- 2) G can be written as a union of proper normal subgroups.

PROOF. Assume if possible that such a simple barely transitive group exists. By [3] a non-linear locally finite simple group contains subgroups $C \triangleright D$ such that C/D is a direct product of finite alternating groups of unbounded orders. So C has normal subgroups D_i such that $C/D_i \cong \text{Alt}(n_i)$ where $n_i \rightarrow \infty$. As G is barely transitive and C is a proper subgroup of G we have $|C : C \cap H| < \infty$. Let $K = \bigcap_{x \in C} (C \cap H)^x$. Then K is a normal subgroup of C and $|C : K|$ is finite.

Consider $KD_i/D_i \triangleleft C/D_i$. Since C/D_i is simple either a) $KD_i = C$ for infinitely many i or b) $KD_i = D_i$ for infinitely many i .

If $KD_i = C$ for some i where $|\text{Alt}(n_i)|$ is greater than the order of the simple sections of H , then $K/(K \cap D_i) \cong KD_i/D_i = C/D_i \cong \text{Alt}(n_i)$. Since $K \leq H$ then H involves a simple subgroup isomorphic to $\text{Alt}(n_i)$ and this is impossible.

Assume that (b) holds. Then $KD_i = D_i$ for infinitely many i , i.e. $K \leq D_i$. But then $|C/D_i| \leq |C/K|$. Since K is fixed and D_i 's are variable there exists j such that $|\text{Alt}(n_j)| = |C/D_j| \not\leq |C/K|$. Hence this case is also impossible. Hence if such a simple group exists, then it must be linear. But by [5] Lemma 2.11 a locally finite barely transitive group can not be isomorphic to a simple linear group. So G cannot be simple.

One can show easily as in Proposition 2 that there exists no maximal normal subgroup of G . Hence G can be written as a union of its proper normal subgroups.

In particular if H is locally soluble, then G satisfies (1) and (2) of the theorem.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let G be a locally finite barely transitive group and H be a point stabilizer of G . If a proper subgroup X of G involves an infinite simple group, such that $Y \triangleleft X$ and X/Y isomorphic to an infinite simple group, then*

- a) Y cannot be locally solvable.
- b) Y cannot be finite.
- c) H involves an infinite simple group isomorphic to X/Y .

PROOF. a) Assume if possible that Y is locally solvable and X/Y is infinite simple. Since each proper subgroup of G is residually finite X is residually finite. Then for all $1 \neq x \in X$ we have $N_x \triangleleft X$ such that $x \notin N_x$ and $|X : N_x| < \infty$. But then $N_x Y/Y \trianglelefteq X/Y$. Since X/Y is infinite simple we have either $N_x Y = Y$ or $N_x Y = X$. Assume if possible that there

exists $1 \neq x \in X$ such that $N_x Y = Y$. Then $N_x \leq Y$. But then $|X: Y| < < |X: N_x| < \infty$ which is impossible. Hence we have $N_x Y = X$ for all $1 \neq x \in X$. Then $Y/(Y \cap N_x) \cong (YN_x)/N_x = X/N_x$. Finiteness of $|X/N_x|$ and locally solvableness of Y implies that, there exist $n_x \in N$ satisfying $X^{(n_x)} \leq N_x$ for all $x \in X$. If there exists an upper bound m for the set $I = \{n_x \mid 1 \neq x \in X\}$, then $X^{(m)} \leq N_x$ for all $1 \neq x \in X$. Hence $X^{(m)} \leq \bigcap_{x \in X} N_x = 1$ i.e. X is solvable which is not the case. Hence we may assume that there exists no upper bound for the set I . But then $X^{(n_x)} \leq N_x$ hence $\bigcap_{n_x \in I} X^{(n_x)} \subset \bigcap_{x \in X} N_x = 1$. But this implies X is locally solvable which is impossible. Indeed let $A = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t \rangle$ be a finite subgroup of X . Then consider $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots$. If A is not solvable, then there exists $k \in N$ such that $1 \neq A^{(k)} = A^{(k+1)} = \dots$. But then $A^{(k)} \leq \bigcap_{x \in I} X^{(n_x)} = 1$. Hence A is solvable. This proves (a).

b) If Y is finite, then by residual finiteness of X , there exists a normal subgroup N_Y of X such that $N_Y \cap Y = 1$ and X/N_Y has finite order. Then

$$N_Y Y/Y \trianglelefteq X/Y.$$

But X/Y is infinite simple. Hence $N_Y Y = X$, so $N_Y \cong N_Y/N_Y \cap Y \cong N_Y Y/Y = X/Y$. The group N_Y is residually finite hence finiteness of Y is impossible.

c) By bare transitivity for each proper subgroup X of G we have $|X: X \cap H| < \infty$, so there exists $K \leq X \cap H$ such that $K \triangleleft X$ and $|X: K| < \infty$. Then $KY/Y \triangleleft X/Y$. Since X/K is finite and X/Y infinite simple, then $KY = X$. But $K/K \cap Y \cong KY/Y = X/Y$. Hence $K \leq H \cap X$ and involves the infinite simple group $K/(K \cap Y)$.

So in case of H is locally solvable, G does not have a proper subgroup X which involves an infinite simple group.

Acknowledgment. – I am indebted to Prof. B. Hartley for valuable suggestions. I would also like to thank the TUBITAK for enabling us to have Prof. Hartley at METU.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. V. BELYAEV, *Locally finite groups with Černikov Sylow p -subgroups*, Alg. Logic, 20 (1981), pp. 393-402.
- [2] B. HARTLEY, *On the normalizer condition and barely transitive permutation groups*, Alg. Logic, 13 (1974), pp. 334-340.

- [3] B. HARTLEY, *Centralizing properties in simple locally finite groups and large finite classical groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **49** (1990), pp. 502-513.
- [4] O. H. KEGEL - B. WEHRFRITZ, *Locally Finite Groups*, North Holland, Amsterdam (1973).
- [5] M. KUZUCUOĞLU, *Barely transitive permutation groups*, Arch. Math., **55** (1990), pp. 521-532.
- [6] V. P. ŠHUNKOV, *On the minimality problem for locally finite groups*, Alg. Logic, **9** (1970), pp. 137-151.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione l'8 ottobre 1991.