

RENDICONTI  
*del*  
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO  
*della*  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

LUIS M. EZQUERRO

**A contribution to the theory of finite  
supersoluble groups**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,*  
tome 89 (1993), p. 161-170

<[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1993\\_\\_89\\_\\_161\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1993__89__161_0)>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>*

## A Contribution to the Theory of Finite Supersoluble Groups.

LUIS M. EZQUERRO(\*)

*In memory of my father.*

### 1. Introduction.

Throughout this paper the term group always means a finite group. It is well-known that a *supersoluble group* is a group whose chief factors are all cyclic. The class of supersoluble groups lies between nilpotent and soluble groups. In these last years a number of papers have investigated the influence of the embedding properties of some subgroups of a group on its supersolvability (cf. [1], [4] and [6]). Our aim is to continue these investigations analyzing the cover and avoidance property.

DEFINITIONS. Let  $G$  be a group,  $H/K$  a chief factor of  $G$  and  $M$  a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . We say that

- i)  $M$  covers  $H/K$  if  $H \leq KM$ ;
- ii)  $M$  avoids  $H/K$  if  $H \cap M \leq K$ ;
- iii)  $M$  has the *cover and avoidance property* in  $G$ ,  $M$  is a *CAP-subgroup* of  $G$  for short, if it either covers or avoids every chief factor of  $G$ .

Normal subgroups are clearly *CAP*-subgroups. Copious examples of *CAP*-subgroups in the universe of soluble groups are well-known; amongst them the most remarkable are perhaps the Hall subgroups. By an obvious consequence of the definitions, in a supersoluble group every subgroup is a *CAP*-subgroup.

(\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dpto. de Matemática e Informática, Universidad Pública de Navarra, Campus de Arrosadía, 31006 Pamplona (Navarra), Spain.

In Section 3 some characterizations of  $p$ -supersoluble groups involving *CAP*-subgroups are presented. If  $p$  is a prime, a  *$p$ -supersoluble group* is a group whose  $p$ -chief factors are all cyclic. A  $p$ -solubility condition must be imposed. Some examples illustrate the discussion.

In Section 4 we deduce some characterizations of supersoluble groups involving *CAP*-subgroups; we prove here that a group  $G$  is supersoluble if and only if all subgroups of  $G$  are *CAP*-subgroups of  $G$ . As a matter of fact, what we really prove is that is enough to impose the cover and avoidance property only on certain subgroups to characterize the supersolubility.

Finally in Section 5 we expose an example to distinguish our contribution from some others.

## 2. Three preparatory known lemmas.

The following three lemmas are known; we include them here for the sake of completeness.

**LEMMA 1 ([5], § 1, Lemma 1.4).** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $M$  a *CAP*-subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $MN$  is a *CAP*-subgroup of  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $H/K$  be a chief factor of  $G$ . If  $N$  covers  $H/K$ , so does  $NM$ . Suppose  $H \cap N \leq K$ . Then  $HN/KN$  is a chief factor of  $G$ ,  $G$ -isomorphic to  $H/K$ ; if  $M$  covers  $HN/KN$  then  $H \leq HN \leq KNM$  and  $NM$  covers  $H/K$ ; if  $M$  avoids  $HN/KN$ :  $KN \cap M = HN \cap M$ ; then  $HN \cap MN = (HN \cap M)N = (KN \cap M)N \leq KN$  and  $MN \cap H \leq KN \cap H = K(N \cap H) = K$  and  $MN$  avoids  $H/K$ .

**LEMMA 2 ([2], Proposition 2.3).** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G = QN$  for some subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$ . Take a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  with  $N \leq M$ . Then  $M \cap Q$  is a maximal subgroup of  $Q$ .*

**PROOF.** It is clear from the isomorphism between  $G/N$  and  $Q/(Q \cap N)$  that  $(M \cap Q)/(Q \cap N)$  is maximal in  $Q/(Q \cap N)$  and therefore  $Q \cap M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $Q$ .

**LEMMA 3 ([1], Lemma 3.1).** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $p$  a prime,  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$  and  $P$  a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $N_G(H)$ . Then  $F(HP) = F(H)P$ .*

PROOF. Let  $F = F(HP)$ ; then  $F \cap H = F(H)$  and  $F = F \cap HP = P(F \cap H) = PF(H)$ .

### 3. Characterizations of $p$ -supersoluble groups.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $G$  be a  $p$ -soluble group and  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is  $p$ -supersoluble. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $H$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is  $p$ -supersoluble.*

PROOF. We prove the theorem by induction on the order of  $G$ .

a) If  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  then  $G/N$  is  $p$ -supersoluble.

If  $N \leq H$  we check that all hypotheses hold for  $G/N$  and  $H/N$ . Notice that if  $Q$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  and  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $QN$  with  $N \leq M$  then  $M = N(Q \cap M)$ . By Lemma 2,  $Q \cap M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $Q$ . By hypothesis,  $Q \cap M$  is a CAP-subgroup of  $G$  and by Lemma 1 so is  $M$ . Thus,  $M/N$  is a CAP-subgroup of  $G/N$ . By induction,  $G/N$  is  $p$ -supersoluble.

Otherwise  $N \cap H = 1$ . Take  $Q$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $HN$ . If  $(|Q|, |N|) = 1$  then there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $Q^*$  of  $H$  such that  $Q^* = Q^x$  for some  $x \in N$ ; so,  $QN = Q^*N$ . If  $(|Q|, |N|) = p \neq 1$  then  $Q = Q^*N$  for some  $Q^* \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ . Therefore, in any case,  $QN = Q^*N$  for some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $Q^*$  of  $H$ . Applying again Lemmas 1 and 2 it is easy to check the hypotheses hold for  $G/N$  and  $HN/N$ . By induction we have again that  $G/N$  is  $p$ -supersoluble.

b) We can suppose that  $G$  is a primitive group.

If  $G$  has two different minimal normal subgroups, say  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , then  $G/N_i$  is  $p$ -supersoluble for  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $G = G/(N_1 \cap N_2)$  is  $p$ -supersoluble. So we can assume that  $G$  is monolithic.

Denote by  $N$  the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . If  $N \leq \Phi(G)$  then  $G/\Phi(G)$  is supersoluble and so is  $G$ . The remaining case is  $\Phi(G) = 1$  and  $G$  is a primitive group.

c) Conclusion.

If  $G$  is not  $p$ -supersoluble then  $N$  is a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ , and  $p^2$  divides  $|N|$ . Let  $T$  be a complement of  $N$  in  $G$  and let  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ . Then  $T \cap P$  is a complement to  $N$  in  $P$ . Let  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of  $P$  containing  $T \cap P$ . Then  $|N: N \cap M| = |P: M| = p$  contrary to the hypothesis that  $M$  either covers or avoids  $N$ . Thus,  $N$  is cyclic and  $G$  is  $p$ -supersoluble.

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $G$  be a  $p$ -soluble group and  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is  $p$ -supersolvable. Assume  $O_p(G) = \Phi(G) = 1$ . Suppose that all maximal subgroups of  $O_p(H)$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is supersolvable.*

**PROOF.** Since  $G$  is  $p$ -soluble and  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$  we have  $C_G(O_p(G)) \leq O_p(G)$ . Now  $\Phi(G) = 1$  implies that  $F(G) = O_p(G) = \text{Soc}(G)$  is an elementary abelian group, by Satz III.4.5 of [3]. Thus  $C_G(F(G)) = F(G)$ .

Now we claim that all minimal normal subgroups of  $G$  are cyclic.

Take  $N$  a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ ; if  $N \cap H = 1$  then  $NH/H$  is  $p$ -chief factor of  $G/H$  and therefore is cyclic; since  $N \cong NH/H$ ,  $N$  is cyclic. Otherwise  $N \leq H$  and indeed  $N \leq O_p(H)$ . Since  $\Phi(O_p(H)) = 1$  there exists a maximal subgroup of  $O_p(H)$ , say  $S$ , such that  $N$  is not contained in  $S$ :  $O_p(H) = NS$ . By hypothesis,  $S$  is a CAP-subgroup of  $G$  and then  $N \cap S = 1$  and therefore we have  $p = |O_p(H): S| = |N|$ . (Notice that this argument holds even in  $N = O_p(H)$ ; then  $S = 1$ ). So, our claim is true: every minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  is cyclic.

Recall that  $F(G) = \text{Soc}(G) = N_1 \times \dots \times N_r$ , where each  $N_i$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . For each minimal normal subgroup  $N_i$  of  $G$  the quotient group  $G/C_G(N_i)$  is a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of a cyclic group and therefore is an abelian group and is indeed a supersolvable group. Therefore  $G/\left(\prod_{i=1}^r C_G(N_i)\right)$  is supersolvable. In fact, what we really have is that  $G/F(G)$  is supersolvable inasmuch as  $\prod_{i=1}^r C_G(N_i) = C_G(F(G)) = F(G)$ . But all chief factors of  $G$  below  $F(G)$  are cyclic and hence the whole of  $G$  is supersolvable.

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $G$  a  $p$ -soluble group and  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is  $p$ -supersolvable. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of  $O_{p'p}(H)$  containing  $O_{p'}(H)$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is  $p$ -supersolvable.*

**PROOF.** We prove the theorem by induction on  $|G|$ .

Denote  $R = O_{p'}(G)$  and suppose  $R \neq 1$ . We check the hypotheses on  $G/R$  and  $HR/R$ . Denote  $T = O_{p'}(H)$  and notice that  $HR/R$  is isomorphic to  $H/T$ . Given a subgroup  $M/R \leq HR/R$ ,  $M = R(H \cap M)$  and under the isomorphism the image of  $M/R$  is  $(H \cap M)/T$ . If  $M/R$  is a maximal subgroup of  $O_{p'p}(HR/R)$  then  $H \cap M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $O_{p'p}(H)$  containing  $T$  and by hypothesis is a CAP-subgroup of  $G$ . Hence  $M$  is a CAP-subgroup of  $G$  and so is  $M/R$  in  $G/R$ . By induction

$G/R$  is  $p$ -supersoluble and this implies obviously that  $G$  is  $p$ -supersoluble.

Therefore we assume henceforth that  $R = 1$ . So,  $T = 1$  and  $O_{p'p}(H) = O_p(H) = F(H)$ .

Suppose  $P = O_p(\Phi(G)) = \Phi(G) \neq 1$ . By Satz III.3.5 of [3],  $F(HP/P) = F(HP)/P$  and by Lemma 3,  $F(HP) = F(H)P$ ; therefore,  $O_p(H)P/P = F(H)P/P = F(HP/P)$ ; hence  $O_p(H)P/P = O_p(HP/P)$ . On the other hand if we denote  $K/P = O_{p'}(HP/P)$  and  $S$  is a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $K$  we have  $K = SP$  and by the Frattini argument  $G = KN_G(S) = PN_G(S) = N_G(S)$  and  $S$  is normal in  $G$ . Therefore  $S = 1$  and  $O_{p'}(HP/P) = 1$ . This implies  $O_{p'p}(HP/P) = O_p(HP/P) = O_p(H)P/P$ . If  $M/P$  is a maximal subgroup of  $O_p(H)P/P$  then  $M \cap O_p(H)$  is a maximal subgroup of  $O_p(H)$  and, by hypothesis, is a CAP-subgroup of  $G$ . Now usual arguments and the induction hypothesis give  $G/P$  is  $p$ -supersoluble and then so is  $G$ .

Hence, we can assume  $O_{p'}(G) = \Phi(G) = 1$ . Clearly  $O_{p'}(H) = 1$  and  $O_{p'p}(H) = O_p(H)$  and therefore we are in the hypothesis of Lemma 4 and we are done.

These two theorems give characterizations of  $p$ -supersolubility:

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $G$  a  $p$ -soluble group. Then the following are equivalent:*

- i)  $G$  is  $p$ -supersoluble;
- ii) all  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ ;
- iii) all maximal subgroups of the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ ;
- iv) all maximal subgroups of  $O_{p'p}(G)$  containing  $O_{p'}(G)$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ ;
- v) there exists a normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is  $p$ -supersoluble and all maximal subgroups of any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ ;
- vi) there exists a normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is  $p$ -supersoluble and all maximal subgroups of  $O_{p'p}(H)$  containing  $O_{p'}(H)$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ .

In Theorems A and B we have restricted ourselves to  $p$ -soluble groups. Theorem A does not hold in general.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the group  $G = \text{Alt}(5)$ . Clearly  $G$  is not 5-supersoluble and 1 is the maximal subgroup of any Sylow 5-subgroup of  $G$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.** Take  $C = C_3$ .  $C$  has an irreducible and faithful module  $V$  over  $GF(2)$ . Construct  $A = VC \cong \text{Alt}(4)$ .  $A$  has an irreducible and faithful module  $W$  over  $GF(3)$ . Construct  $B = WA$ . If  $D = C_2$  consider  $G = D \times B$  and  $H = D \times WV$ .

$G$  is soluble and  $G/H \cong C_3$  is 2-supersoluble;  $O_{2'}(H) = W$ ,  $O_2(H) = D \neq 1$  and 1 is the maximal subgroup of  $D$ : all maximal subgroups of  $O_2(H) \neq 1$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ ; however  $G$  is a non-2-supersoluble group.

A  $\pi$ -soluble group is  $\pi$ -supersoluble if its  $\pi$ -chief factors are all cyclic, i.e. if it is  $p$ -supersoluble for all primes  $p \in \pi$ . Obviously, results for  $\pi$ -supersolubility can be obtained just by taking the «intersection» of the corresponding results for  $p$ -supersolubility for all primes  $p \in \pi$ . One might ask whether the results of this section can be generalized by changing  $p$  by  $\pi$  to obtain results about  $\pi$ -supersolubility, where  $\pi$  is a set of prime numbers with  $|\pi| > 1$ . The answer is negative.

**EXAMPLE 3.** Take  $\pi = \{2, 3\}$ . Consider the soluble group  $G = \text{Sym}(4)$  and  $H = \text{Alt}(4)$ .  $G/H$  is  $\pi$ -supersoluble; the maximal subgroups of the Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $H = O_\pi(H)$  are the Sylow subgroups of  $H$  and they are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ .  $O_{\pi'}(G) = \Phi(G) = 1$ . But  $G$  is not  $\pi$ -supersoluble.

#### 4. Characterizations of supersoluble groups.

A particular case of  $\pi$ -supersolubility, when  $\pi$  is the set of all primes dividing the order of  $G$ , is the usual supersolubility. In this section we deduce some characterizations of supersolubility.

Theorem C is clearly inspired in Theorem A. However no hypothesis on the solubility is needed here. In fact the solubility is deduced from the other hypothesis.

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is supersoluble. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of  $H$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

**PROOF.** We prove first that, under these conditions,  $G$  is soluble. Suppose there exists a nonabelian chief factor of  $G$ , say  $N/K$ . If  $H$  avoids  $N/K$ ,  $H \cap N \leq K$ , then  $NH/KH$  is a chief factor of the supersoluble group  $G/H$  and is  $G$ -isomorphic to  $H/K$ ; this cannot happen and therefore  $N \leq KH$ . So,  $H/K$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to  $(N \cap H)/(N \cap K)$  and we can suppose without loss of generality that the non-abelian chief factor  $N/K$  of  $G$  is below  $H$ .

Take  $P$  a Sylow subgroup of  $H$  and  $M$  a maximal subgroup of  $P$ . By hypothesis  $M$  is a CAP-subgroup of  $G$ . If  $M$  covered  $N/K$ , then the chief factor  $N/K \cong (N \cap M)/(K \cap M)$  would be nilpotent; therefore  $N/K$  must be avoided by every maximal subgroup of every Sylow subgroup of  $H$ .

On the other hand  $|N/K|$  is not square-free; so, there exists a prime  $q$  such that  $q^2$  divides  $|N/K|$ ; if  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(H)$  then  $q^2$  divides the index  $|Q \cap N : Q \cap K|$ . Suppose  $Q \cap N$  is a strict subgroup of  $Q$  and consider a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $Q$  with  $Q \cap N \leq M$ .  $M$  avoids  $N/K$  and therefore we have  $Q \cap N \leq M \cap N = M \cap K \leq Q \cap K$ , a contradiction. Then  $Q = Q \cap N$  and  $Q \leq N$  and for any maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $Q$ , we have that  $M = M \cap N = M \cap K \leq Q \cap K < Q \cap N = Q$  and  $q^2$  divides  $|Q : M| = q$ , a contradiction.

So, we conclude that  $G$  has no nonabelian chief factors and consequently  $G$  is soluble.

Now we are in the hypothesis of Theorem A for all primes  $p$ . Consequently  $G$  is  $p$ -supersoluble for all primes  $p$ . That is to say that  $G$  is supersoluble.

Notice that Theorem 1 of [6] is a special case of Theorem C.

To obtain an analogue of Theorem B for supersolubility we notice that the condition  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$  in Lemma 4 is used basically to obtain  $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$ . If we restrict ourselves to the soluble universe this condition is satisfied and we can obtain the following.

**THEOREM D.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $H$  is soluble and  $G/H$  is supersoluble. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of  $F(H)$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

**PROOF.** We prove the theorem by induction on the order of  $G$ .

Suppose  $\Phi(G) \neq 1$  and consider a prime  $p$  such that  $p$  divides  $|\Phi(G)|$ . Denote  $P = O_p(\Phi(G)) \neq 1$ . By Satz III.3.5 of [3],  $F(HP/P) = F(HP)/P$  and by Lemma 3,  $F(HP) = F(H)P$ ; therefore,  $F(HP/P) = F(H)P/P$ ; it is easy to check the hypothesis and by induction  $G/P$  is supersoluble and then so is  $G$ .

Hence, we can assume  $\Phi(G) = 1$ .

The remainder of the proof repeats the arguments of Lemma 4. First we prove that all minimal normal subgroups of  $G$  are cyclic. After that, since  $G$  is soluble,  $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$  and by Satz III.4.5 of [3],  $F(G) = \text{Soc}(G) = N_1 \times \dots \times N_r$ , where each  $N_i$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore  $\bigcap_{i=1}^r C_G(N_i) = C_G(F(G)) = F(G)$  and again

$G/F(G)$  is supersoluble. But all chief factors of  $G$  below  $F(G)$  are cyclic and hence the whole  $G$  is supersoluble.

It is clear again that Theorems C and D give indeed characterizations of supersolubility. As a corollary we easily obtain

**COROLLARY 2.** *Given a group  $G$  the following are equivalent:*

- i)  $G$  is supersoluble;
- ii) all subgroups of  $G$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ ;
- iii) all maximal subgroups of the Sylow subgroups of  $G$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ ;
- iv) there exists a normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is supersoluble and all maximal subgroups of any Sylow subgroup of  $H$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ ;
- v) there exists a normal soluble subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is supersoluble and all maximal subgroups of  $F(H)$  are CAP-subgroups of  $G$ .

Removing the hypothesis of the solubility of  $H$  in v), the characterization does not hold.

**EXAMPLE 4.** Take  $G = H = SL(2, 5)$ ; the trivial subgroup is the maximal subgroup of  $F(G)$  and  $G$  is not supersoluble.

Weakening the hypothesis of Theorem C we obtain a more general result.

**THEOREM E.** *Let  $G$  be a group and let  $p$  denote the largest prime dividing  $|G|$ . Assume that for all prime  $q \neq p$ , every maximal subgroup of the Sylow  $q$ -subgroups of  $G$  is a CAP-subgroup of  $G$ . Then,*

- i)  $G$  possesses a Sylow tower,
- ii)  $G/O_p(G)$  is supersoluble.

**PROOF.** i) Consider a minimal counterexample  $G$  to the theorem. Repeating some of the arguments of the above proofs, it is not difficult to prove that if  $N$  is nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$  then the hypothesis hold in  $G/N$  and minimality of  $G$  implies that  $G/N$  possesses a Sylow tower. Therefore  $G$  is a monolithic primitive group such that  $G/\text{Soc}(G)$  possesses a Sylow tower (and then is soluble). Denote  $S = \text{Soc}(G)$ .

Suppose that  $S$  is not soluble. If  $q$  is the smallest prime dividing  $|S|$  then  $q \neq p$  and  $q^2$  divides  $|S|$  by Satz IV.2.8 of [3]. Take  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(S)$

and  $P \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$  with  $Q \leq P$ . Assume that  $Q = P$ ; for any maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $P$ ,  $M$  is a CAP-subgroup of  $G$  and therefore  $M$  avoids  $S$ ; however this means that  $M = 1$  and hence  $|P| = q$ , a contradiction. So,  $Q$  is a proper subgroup of  $P$  and we can consider a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $P$  with  $Q \leq M$ ; again  $M$  must avoid  $S$  and then  $Q = 1$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $S$  is soluble and so is  $G$ .

Let  $|S| = q^n$ ,  $q$  prime. Of course  $q \neq p$ . If  $n = 1$  then  $G$  would be supersoluble and would possess a Sylow tower; so,  $n > 1$ . If  $q$  does not divide  $|G/S|$  then  $S \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$  and any maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $S$  must avoid  $S$ , i.e.  $S$  is cyclic, a contradiction. Consequently  $q$  divides  $|G/S|$ . Now if  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$  and  $M$  is maximal subgroup of  $Q$  avoiding  $S$  then  $|S| = |Q : M| = q$  and  $S$  would be cyclic, a contradiction. Therefore every maximal subgroup of  $Q$  covers  $S$  and  $S \leq \Phi(Q)$ .

If  $K$  is complement of  $S$  in  $G$ ,  $Q = (Q \cap K)S = (Q \cap K)\Phi(Q) = Q \cap K$  and  $S \leq Q \leq K$ . This is the final contradiction.

Hence, the minimal counterexample does not exist and the theorem is true.

ii) Apply the equivalence between i) and iii) in Corollary 2 to the group  $G/O_p(G)$ .

Notice that Theorem 3.6 of [4] is a special case of Theorem E.

## 5. Final remark.

In [6] a  $\pi$ -quasinormal subgroup of a group  $G$  is defined to be a subgroup which permutes with any Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . A number of results involving  $\pi$ -quasinormal subgroups are proved in [1] and [6]. The statements of the Theorems 3.2, 4.1 and 4.2 of [1] are analogous to the theorems presented here replacing the cover and avoidance property by  $\pi$ -quasinormality.

However it is easy to find soluble groups with CAP-subgroups which are not  $\pi$ -quasinormal. Conversely, there are also soluble groups with  $\pi$ -quasinormal subgroups which are not CAP-subgroups.

**EXAMPLE 5.** Consider  $C = \langle a \rangle \cong C_3$  and  $A = \text{Alt}(4)$  and construct the wreath product  $G = C \wr A$  with the natural action. Denote  $C^*$  the base group of  $G$ ;  $C^*$  is an elementary abelian 3-group of order  $3^4$  generated by  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$  where the indices are the obvious ones according to the natural action of  $A$ . Consider the subgroup  $K = \langle a_1 a_2, a_3 a_4 \rangle$ . If  $V$  is Klein 4-group of  $A$  then  $N_G(K) = C^*V$  and therefore if  $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ ,  $PK = KP$  and hence  $K$  is a  $\pi$ -quasinormal subgroup of  $G$ .

But  $Z = \langle a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 \rangle < K < C^*$  and then  $K$  neither covers nor avoids the chief factor  $C^*/Z$ .

*Acknowledgement.* The author wishes to express his gratitude to Prof. M. Ramadan who provided him with a manuscript of [4] prior to its publication in Acta Mathematica Hungarica.

This research has been supported by Proyecto PB 90-0414-C03-03 of DGICYT, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. ASAAD - M. RAMADAN - A. SHAALAN, *Influence of  $\pi$ -quasinormality on maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of Fitting subgroup of a finite group*, Arch. Math., 56 (1991), pp. 521-527.
- [2] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES - L. M. EZQUERRO, *On maximal subgroups of finite groups*, Comm. Algebra, 19 (8), (1991), pp. 2373-2394.
- [3] B. HUPPER, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag (1983).
- [4] M. RAMADAN, *Influence of normality on maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of a finite group*, to appear in Acta Math. Hungar.
- [5] K.-U. SCHALLER, *Über Deck-Meide-Untergruppen in endlichen auflösbarren Gruppen*, Ph.D. University of Kiel (1971).
- [6] S. SRINIVASAN, *Two sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups*, Israel J. Math., 35 (1980), pp. 210-214.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 28 febbraio 1992.