

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

CARLO PETRONIO

Direct sums of Cartan factors

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 88 (1992), p. 111-125

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1992__88__111_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1992, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Direct Sums of Cartan Factors.

CARLO PETRONIO(*)

In [Pe2] we envisaged the orbit of the origin in the unit ball of a direct sum of two complex Banach spaces (endowed with a suitable norm), with respect to the group of holomorphic automorphisms, and we obtained some general results. As a special case we considered the class of p -norms, and we proved that the most interesting case is when p equals 2. For $p = 2$ we succeeded in giving some information about the orbit of the origin when one of the spaces is either a Hilbert space or a commutative C^* -algebra with identity. In this paper we consider the case when one of the spaces is a Cartan factor. The reason for considering Cartan factors is that, as we proved in [Pe2], only spaces in which the orbit of the origin in the unit ball is non-trivial can give rise to a direct sum in which such an orbit is non-trivial: and the unit ball of a Cartan factor is homogeneous.

Our main result can be expressed in the following way: if F is a Cartan factor of type I, II, III or IV and F is not isometric to a Hilbert space, then, given a non-trivial complex Banach space G , no point in the orbit of the origin in the unit ball of the 2-sum of G and F can have non-zero F -coordinate.

In the last section we shall prove some results concerning duality theory for Cartan factors.

1. Preliminaries and notations.

First of all we recall the definition of Cartan factors (see e.g. [Ha]).

If H and K are complex Hilbert spaces, we shall denote by $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ the Banach space of continuous linear operators from H to K , endowed with the usual «sup» norm; $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ will be called a *Cartan factor of type I*.

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italia.

An anti-linear involutive isometry τ of H will be called a conjugation on H (remark that such a τ enjoys $(\tau x | \tau y) = (y | x) \forall x, y \in H$); given τ we define the associated transposition on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ by ${}^t A = \tau A^* \tau$.

LEMMA 1. Given a conjugation τ on H there exists an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ of H such that $(\tau\phi | \phi_\alpha) = \overline{(\phi | \phi_\alpha)} \forall \phi \in H, \forall \alpha$.

With respect to this basis $({}^t A \phi_\alpha | \phi_\beta) = (A \phi_\beta | \phi_\alpha) \forall A \in \mathcal{L}(H), \forall \alpha, \beta$.

PROOF. For the first assertion it suffices to show that $\exists \{\phi_\alpha\}$ such that $\tau\phi_\alpha = \phi_\alpha \forall \alpha$. Given $\phi \in H \setminus \{0\}$ set

$$\phi_1 = \begin{cases} i\phi & \text{if } \tau\phi + \phi = 0, \\ \tau\phi + \phi & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

we have $\tau\phi_1 = \phi_1, \phi_1 \neq 0$; since τ preserves orthogonality the conclusion follows at once by a maximality argument.

The second assertion is a direct consequence of the first one. ■

Given τ , the space $\{A \in \mathcal{L}(H): {}^t A = A\}$ will be called a *Cartan factor of type II*, and the space $\{A \in \mathcal{L}(H): {}^t A + A = 0\}$ will be called a *Cartan factor of type III*; it is easily checked that they are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{L}(H)$, and hence they are naturally endowed with a Banach space structure.

According to Lemma 1, a Cartan factor of type II (resp. III) is the space $\mathcal{L}_{\{\phi_\alpha\}}^{(s)}(H)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_{\{\phi_\alpha\}}^{(a)}(H)$) of symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric) operators with respect to some fixed orthonormal basis $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ of H . Since different choices of the basis give rise to isomorphic Banach spaces, the subscript will be omitted.

A closed subspace \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ will be called a *Cartan factor of type IV* if for any A in \mathcal{U} the square of A is a scalar multiple of the identity operator and A^* belongs to \mathcal{U} . As well-known (see [Ha]), a Cartan factor of type IV is linearly and topologically isomorphic to a Hilbert space K , and there exists a conjugation τ on K such that the norm of $\psi \in K$ as a point of the Cartan factor is given by

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{U}}^2 = (\psi | \psi) + ((\psi | \psi)^2 - |(\psi | \tau\psi)|^2)^{1/2}.$$

As above, let H and K be complex Hilbert spaces. We shall denote by $\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$ the closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ consisting of compact operators; for $\phi \in H$ and $\psi \in K$, an element $\psi \otimes \bar{\phi}$ of $\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$ is defined by

$$(\psi \otimes \bar{\phi})(\phi_1) = (\phi_1 | \phi) \cdot \psi, \quad \phi_1 \in H.$$

In [Pe1] (extending Schatten's works [Sc1] and [Sc2] from the case of operators on one Hilbert space to the case of operators between two possibly different Hilbert spaces) we defined a subspaces $\mathcal{L}_1(H, K)$ of $\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$ as the set of those operators A such that $\|A\|_1 \equiv \text{tr}((A^*A)^{1/2})$ is finite, and we proved that $\mathcal{L}_1(H, K)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. Moreover, we checked that for $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_1(K, H)$ the trace $\text{tr}(AB)$ of AB can be defined, and we established the following.

THEOREM 1. The following isometrical isomorphisms hold:

$$\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)^* \cong \mathcal{L}_1(K, H) \quad \mathcal{L}_1(H, K)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(K, H)$$

the value of A on B being defined in any case by $\text{tr}(AB)$.

If F and G are complex Banach spaces, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we shall denote by $F \oplus_p G$ the direct sum of F and G endowed with the so-called p -norm

$$\|(f, g)\|_p = \begin{cases} (\|f\|^p + \|g\|^p)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \max\{\|f\|, \|g\|\} & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

2. A few technical lemmas.

According to a theorem proved by Stachó in [St], for any complex Banach space F , the orbit of the origin with respect to the group of holomorphic automorphisms of the open unit ball B of F is given by $B \cap \cap F_0$, where F_0 is a closed linear subspace of F . Moreover the elements of F_0 can be characterized as those points c of F for which there exists a continuous homogeneous polynomial $Q_c: F \rightarrow F$ of degree 2 such that

$$\phi(Q_c(a)) = \|a\|^2 \cdot \overline{\phi(c)}$$

whenever $a \in F$, $\phi \in F^*$ and $\phi(a) = \|a\| \cdot \|\phi\|$; Q_c is uniquely determined by this condition, and it will be referred to as «the polynomial relative to c ».

As in [Pe2], all our results will deal with the subspace F_0 and not with the orbit of the origin itself.

LEMMA 2. Let E and F be non-trivial complex Banach spaces and assume there exist a linear isometry $i: E \rightarrow F$ and a surjective linear projection $p: F \rightarrow i(E)$ such that $\|p\| = 1$. Then $p(F_0) \subseteq i(E_0)$.

PROOF. Let $c \in F_0$ and let $Q_c: F \rightarrow F$ be the polynomial relative to c . Set $c_1 = (i^{-1} \circ p)(c)$ and $Q_1 = i^{-1} \circ p \circ Q_c \circ i: E \rightarrow E$. We will prove that

$$\phi(Q_1(a)) = \|a\|^2 \cdot \overline{\phi(c_1)}$$

whenever $a \in E$, $\phi \in E^*$ and $\phi(a) = \|a\| \cdot \|\phi\|$, which implies $c_1 \in E_0$ and then the conclusion.

Let us define a linear mapping $j: E^* \rightarrow F^*$ by the formula

$$j(\phi)(b) = \phi((i^{-1} \circ p)(b)) \quad \forall \phi \in E^*, b \in F.$$

It follows from $\|p\| = 1$ that j is an isometry. Now, let $a \in E$, $\phi \in E^*$ be such that $\phi(a) = \|a\| \cdot \|\phi\|$; using the definition of j and the fact that both i and j are isometries, we obtain

$$j(\phi)(i(a)) = \|i(a)\| \cdot \|j(\phi)\|$$

and hence, by the definition of Q_c ,

$$\begin{aligned} j(\phi)(Q_c(i(a))) &= \|i(a)\|^2 \cdot \overline{j(\phi)(c)} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \phi((i^{-1} \circ p \circ Q_c \circ i)(a)) = \|a\|^2 \cdot \overline{\phi((i^{-1} \circ p)(c))} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\phi(Q_1(a)) = \|a\|^2 \cdot \overline{\phi(c_1)}$$

and the lemma is proved. ■

We recall a result we proved in [Pe2], which is the basis for all our further investigations.

LEMMA 3. If E is the Banach space $\mathbb{C} \oplus_2(\mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C})$, then $E_0 = \mathbb{C} \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$.

Combining Lemmas 2 and 3 we obtain the following.

LEMMA 4. Let L be a non-trivial complex Banach space and assume that there exist a linear isometry $i: \mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L$ and a linear projection p of L onto $i(\mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C})$ such that $\|p\| = 1$.

For any non-trivial complex Banach space G , if $(g_0, l_0) \in (G \oplus_2 L)_0$, then $p(l_0) = 0$.

PROOF. Choose $g_1 \in G$, $g_1^* \in G^*$ such that $g_1^*(g_1) = \|g_1\| = \|g_1^*\| = 1$.

Set $F = G \oplus_2 L$ and $E = \mathbb{C} \oplus_2 (\mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C})$ and define

$$i_1: E \rightarrow F \quad p_1: F \rightarrow i_1(E)$$

by

$$i_1(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_1 \cdot g_1, i(z_2, z_3)), \quad p_1(g, l) = (g_1^*(g) \cdot g_1, p(l)).$$

i_1 and p_1 fulfill the hypothesis of Lemma 2, and hence

$$(i_1^{-1} \circ p_1)(g_0, l_0) \in E_0.$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$(g_1^*(g_0), (i^{-1} \circ p)(l_0)) \in \mathbb{C} \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$$

and hence $(i^{-1} \circ p)(l_0) = 0$, i.e. $p(l_0) = 0$. ■

COROLLARY 1. If in Lemma 4 we assume that, for any $l \in L$, i and p can be chosen in such a way that $l \in i(\mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C})$, then for any non-trivial complex Banach space G we have

$$(G \oplus_2 L)_0 \subseteq G \times \{0\}.$$

PROOF. If $(g_0, l_0) \in (G \oplus_2 L)_0$ and $l_0 \in i(\mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C})$ then $l_0 = p(l_0) = 0$. ■

LEMMA 5. In order to prove that $(G \oplus_2 L)_0 \subseteq G_0 \times \{0\}$ it suffices to show that $(G \oplus_2 L)_0 \subseteq G \times \{0\}$.

PROOF. Assume $(G \oplus_2 L)_0 \subseteq G \times \{0\}$ and let $(g_0, 0) \in (G \oplus_2 L)_0$; since the projection

$$p: G \oplus_2 L \rightarrow G \quad (g, l) \mapsto g$$

has norm 1 then Lemma 2 implies that

$$g_0 = p(g_0, 0) \in G_0$$

and then $(g_0, 0) \in G_0 \times \{0\}$ so that $(G \oplus_2 L)_0 \subseteq G_0 \times \{0\}$. ■

3. Direct sums.

Let H and K be complex Hilbert spaces. If either H or K is 1-dimensional $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ is Hilbertian, and hence, by Theorem 4 of [Pe2], when a direct sum with 2-norm is performed, homogeneity along $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ is

preserved, *i.e.* for any complex Banach space G

$$(G \oplus_2 \mathcal{L}(H, K))_0 \supseteq \{0\} \times \mathcal{L}(H, K);$$

if this is not the case, the situation is radically modified.

THEOREM 2. If H and K are at least 2-dimensional then for any non-trivial complex Banach space G we have

$$(G \oplus_2 \mathcal{L}(H, K))_0 \subseteq G_0 \times \{0\}.$$

PROOF. Let $F = G \oplus_2 \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, and suppose $(g_0, A) \in F_0$; according to Lemma 5 it is enough to show that $A = 0$. In order to check this, it suffices to prove that for every arbitrary pair of unit vectors $\phi_1 \in H$ and $\psi_1 \in K$, we have $(A\phi_1 | \psi_1) = 0$.

Fix such ϕ_1 and ψ_1 and find $\phi_2 \in H$ and $\psi_2 \in K$ in such a way that $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ and $\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$ are orthonormal pairs.

Now, we define the mappings:

$$i: \mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, K)$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot \psi_1 \otimes \overline{\phi_1} + y \cdot \psi_2 \otimes \overline{\phi_2},$$

$$p: \mathcal{L}(H, K) \rightarrow i(\mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C})$$

$$B \mapsto (B\phi_1 | \psi_1) \cdot \psi_1 \otimes \overline{\phi_1} + (B\phi_2 | \psi_2) \cdot \psi_2 \otimes \overline{\phi_2}.$$

It is easily checked that i and p fulfill the hypothesis of Lemma 4, and hence $p(A) = 0$; then $(A\phi_1 | \psi_1) = 0$ and the theorem is proved. ■

COROLLARY 2. If H and K are at least 2-dimensional then for any non-trivial complex Banach space G we have

$$(G \oplus_2 \mathcal{L}_0(H, K))_0 \subseteq G_0 \times \{0\}.$$

PROOF. Since the only property used for $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ in the above proof is the fact that it contains finite-rank operators, the very same method works for $\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$. ■

Let H be a complex Hilbert space. If H has dimension 1, $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H)$ has dimension 1 too, and hence it is a Hilbert space. It is easily verified that if $\dim(H) \geq 2$ then $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H)$ is not a Hilbert space. Our aim is to prove an analogue of Theorem 2 with $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H)$ replacing $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

Since for $\dim(H) = 2$ $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H)$ is isometrically isomorphic to a three-dimensional type IV Cartan factor (see [Ca-Ve]) we shall not consider

this case now. Anyway it will follow from Theorem 5 that the next theorem holds for $\dim(H) = 2$ too.

THEOREM 3. If H is at least 3-dimensional then for any non-trivial complex Banach space G we have

$$(G \oplus_2 \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H))_0 \subseteq G_0 \times \{0\}.$$

PROOF. As in Theorem 2, we set $F = G \oplus_2 \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H)$, we suppose $(g_0, A) \in F_0$ and we use Lemma 4 to prove that $A = 0$.

Let $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ be the basis with respect to which symmetry is considered. If, by absurd, $A \neq 0$, we can find two indices α_1, α_2 such that $(A\phi_{\alpha_1} | \phi_{\alpha_2}) \neq 0$. We distinguish the cases $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$.

For $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ we choose α_3 different from α_1 and we set

$$i: \mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H)$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot \phi_{\alpha_1} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_1}} + y \cdot \phi_{\alpha_3} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_3}},$$

$$p: \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H) \rightarrow i(\mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C})$$

$$B \mapsto (B\phi_{\alpha_1} | \phi_{\alpha_1}) \overline{\phi_{\alpha_1}} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_1}} + (B\phi_{\alpha_3} | \phi_{\alpha_3}) \phi_{\alpha_3} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_3}}.$$

Lemma 4 applies; we obtain $p(A) = 0 \Rightarrow (A\phi_{\alpha_1} | \phi_{\alpha_1}) = 0$.

For $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ we choose α_3 different from both of them (we recall that H is at least 3-dimensional) and we set

$$i: \mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H)$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot (\phi_{\alpha_1} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_2}} + \phi_{\alpha_2} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_1}}) + y \cdot \phi_{\alpha_3} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_3}},$$

$$p: \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H) \rightarrow i(\mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C})$$

$$B \mapsto (B\phi_{\alpha_1} | \phi_{\alpha_2})(\phi_{\alpha_1} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_2}} + \phi_{\alpha_2} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_1}}) + (B\phi_{\alpha_3} | \phi_{\alpha_3}) \phi_{\alpha_3} \otimes \overline{\phi_{\alpha_3}}$$

and as above we obtain $(B\phi_{\alpha_1} | \phi_{\alpha_2}) = 0$.

In both cases we got a contradiction and hence the theorem is proved. ■

Let H be a complex Hilbert space. If $\dim(H) = 1$ then $\dim(\mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H)) = 0$, and if $\dim(H) = 2$ then $\dim(\mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H)) = 1$; in both cases $\mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H)$ is a Hilbert space; since for $\dim(H) = 2$ $\mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H) \cong \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^3) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$ (see [Ca-Ve]), $\mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H)$ is a Hilbert space in this case too. It follows that an analogue of Theorems 2 and 3 can hold for $\mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H)$ only if $\dim(H)$ is bigger than 3.

THEOREM 4. If H is at least 4-dimensional then for any non-trivial complex Banach space G we have

$$(G \oplus_2 \mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H))_0 \subseteq G_0 \times \{0\}.$$

PROOF. Let $\{\phi_x\}$ be the orthonormal basis of H with respect to which skew-symmetry in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is defined, set $F = G \oplus_2 \mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H)$ and suppose $(g_0, A) \in F_0$. As we remarked above, it is enough to show that $A = 0$.

In order to prove this it suffices to check that for an arbitrary fixed pair of indices $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, it happens that $(B\phi_{\alpha_1} | \phi_{\alpha_2}) = 0$.

Since H is at least 4-dimensional, we can find α_3 and α_4 in such a way that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4$ are different from each other. For the sake of simplicity, we set $\phi_i = \phi_{\alpha_i}$.

As above, we define two linear mappings

$$i: \mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H)$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto x(\phi_2 \otimes \bar{\phi}_1 - \phi_1 \otimes \bar{\phi}_2) + y(\phi_4 \otimes \bar{\phi}_3 - \phi_3 \otimes \bar{\phi}_4),$$

$$p: \mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H) \rightarrow i(\mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C})$$

$$B \mapsto (B\phi_1 | \phi_2)(\phi_2 \otimes \bar{\phi}_1 - \phi_1 \otimes \bar{\phi}_2) + (B\phi_3 | \phi_4)(\phi_4 \otimes \bar{\phi}_3 - \phi_3 \otimes \bar{\phi}_4).$$

It is readily verified that the hypothesis of Lemma 4 are fulfilled. It follows that $p(A) = 0$, hence $(B\phi_{\alpha_1} | \phi_{\alpha_2}) = 0$ and the proof is complete. ■

THEOREM 5. Let \mathcal{U} be a Cartan factor of type IV, and assume that \mathcal{U} is at least 2-dimensional. Then for any non-trivial complex Banach space G we have

$$(G \oplus_2 \mathcal{U})_0 \subseteq G_0 \times \{0\}.$$

PROOF. Since if $\dim(\mathcal{U}) = 2$ then $\mathcal{U} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C}$ (see [Ca-Ve]), the theorem is certainly true in this case (once again Lemma 4 is used).

Using Corollary 1, the general case will be deduced from the following fact: given $x \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a subspace \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} with the property that:

- a) $x \in \mathcal{V}$;
- b) \mathcal{V} is 2-dimensional;

c) \mathfrak{V} is a type IV Cartan factor with respect to the induced norm;

d) there exists a surjective linear projection $p: \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}$ with $\|p\| \leq 1$.

To see this, we represent \mathfrak{U} as a Hilbert space K with conjugation τ , as we mentioned in Section 1. We define a 2-subspace $M \subseteq K$ containing x in the following way: if $\tau x \notin \mathbb{C}x$ then M is generated by x and τx ; otherwise we remark that x^\perp is τ -invariant, so that we can find $x' \in x^\perp \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\tau x' = x'$, and then M is generated by x and x' . Since M is τ -invariant, it is a type IV Cartan factor with respect to the induced norm. We are left to check property d).

We define $p: K \rightarrow M$ as the orthogonal projection; our aim is to prove that for $y \in M$ and $z \in M^\perp$ the continuous real function

$$f(t) = \|y + tz\|_{\mathfrak{U}}^2$$

has minimum in 0. We can assume that y and z are both non-zero; since M and M^\perp are τ -invariant, $(y|z) = (\tau y|z) = (y|\tau z) = 0$, and then f can be re-written as

$$f(t) = |y|^2 + |z|^2 t^2 + ((|y|^2 + |z|^2 t^2)^2 - |(y|\tau y) + (z|\tau z)t^2|^2)^{1/2}$$

($|w|$ denotes the norm of w in K). If for some point $t_0 \neq 0$ the argument of the square root vanishes in t_0 , it is easily verified that f is expressed by

$$f(t) = |y|^2 + |z|^2 t^2$$

and hence it does have minimum in 0. Conversely, suppose the argument of the square root does not vanish in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$; then f is differentiable in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$; by direct calculation we obtain that $f'(t) = t \cdot q(t)$, where q is a strictly positive continuous function. It follows that f has minimum in 0 in this case too, and hence the proof is complete. ■

Theorems 2, 3, 4 and 5 of the present paper (together with the accompanying remarks) are summarized by the following.

THEOREM 6. Let G be a non-trivial complex Banach space and let F be a Cartan factor of type I, II, III or IV. The following mutually exclusive possibilities are given:

a) F is a Hilbert space, and

$$(G \oplus_2 F)_0 \supseteq \{0\} \times F;$$

b) F is not a Hilbert space, and

$$(G \oplus_2 F)_0 \subseteq G_0 \times \{0\}.$$

The above result provides the machinery for the proof of an analogue of Theorem 8 in [Pe2], with the spaces $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ replaced by Cartan factors.

We shall denote by \mathcal{C} the category of all non-zero Cartan factors of type I, II, III or IV (the morphisms being the linear isometries) and by \mathcal{S} the category whose objects are the Banach spaces obtained from the objects of \mathcal{C} by a finite number of operations of direct sum of the type \oplus_r (with $r \in [1, \infty]$), and whose morphisms are the linear isometries again. (If the collection \mathcal{B} of all Banach spaces were a set, and not only a category, we would have defined \mathcal{S} as the closure of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{B} with respect to the operations \oplus_r .)

If E is an object of \mathcal{S} , E is linearly and topologically isomorphic to a product $F_1 \times \dots \times F_k$ where F_1, \dots, F_k are objects of \mathcal{C} ; for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we can think of E as the space built up starting from F_i and adding to it other objects G_1, \dots, G_h of \mathcal{S} ; that is, we can represent E by

$$(\dots((F_i \oplus_{r_1} G_1) \oplus_{r_2} G_2) \oplus_{r_3} \dots) \oplus_{r_h} G_h$$

(or by a similar formula where the sums are not all performed at the right side). In such a case we will say that « $\oplus_{r_1}, \dots, \oplus_{r_h}$ are, in the order, the direct sums which appear in E after F_i ».

THEOREM 7. Let E be an object of \mathcal{S} , topologically and linearly isomorphic to a product of objects of \mathcal{C} , $F_1 \times \dots \times F_k$. Then

$$E_0 = R_1 \times \dots \times R_k,$$

where $R_i \subseteq F_i$ is either $\{0\}$ or the whole F_i .

Precisely, R_i is equal to F_i if, and only if, one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

- (a) F_i is a Hilbert space and after F_i there are first some \oplus_2 (possibly none) and then some \oplus_∞ (possibly none);
- (b) after F_i there are only \oplus_∞ (possibly none).

PROOF. We confine ourselves to a sketch since the argument imitates closely the one presented for Theorem 8 in [Pe2].

Let us consider by simplicity the first coordinate F_1 and prove that if (a) or (b) are satisfied homogeneity along F_1 is preserved, while if neither (a) nor (b) are satisfied homogeneity along F_1 is lost.

In case (a) the conclusion follows from Theorems 3 and 4 of [Pe2], while case (b) is immediately settled.

If neither (a) nor (b) are fulfilled, one of the following cases occurs:

- (c) after F_1 there is some \oplus_p with $p \neq 2, \infty$;
- (d) after F_1 there is a \oplus_∞ followed by a \oplus_2 ;
- (e) F_1 is not a Hilbert space and after F_1 there is a \oplus_2 .

In all these cases homogeneity along F_1 is lost: case (c) follows from Theorem 2 of [Pe2], case (d) from Theorem 6 of [Pe2] and case (e) from Theorem 6 above. ■

4. Duality theory for Cartan factors.

Theorem 1 establishes a duality theory for Cartan factors of type I; we will prove that a completely analogous result holds for Cartan factors of type II and III. Afterwards we will consider the case of type IV Cartan factors.

Let H be a non-trivial Hilbert space, let τ be a conjugation on H and let $A \mapsto {}^tA$ be the transposition associated to τ .

We set $\mathcal{L}_i^{(s)}(H) = \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H) \cap \mathcal{L}_i(H)$ and $\mathcal{L}_i^{(a)}(H) = \mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H) \cap \mathcal{L}_i(H)$ for $i = 0, 1$. We want to prove the following.

THEOREM 8. $\mathcal{L}_i^{(s)}(H)$ and $\mathcal{L}_i^{(a)}(H)$ (for $i = 0, 1$) are complex Banach spaces with respect to the induced norms, and the following isometrical isomorphisms hold:

- (1) $\mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H)^* \cong \mathcal{L}_1^{(s)}(H)$,
- (2) $\mathcal{L}_1^{(s)}(H)^* \cong \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H)$,
- (3) $\mathcal{L}_0^{(a)}(H)^* \cong \mathcal{L}_1^{(a)}(H)$,
- (4) $\mathcal{L}_1^{(a)}(H)^* \cong \mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H)$,

the value of A on B being given in any case by $\text{tr}(AB)$.

For the proof of this theorem we need a few technical preliminaries.

We recall that for $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $[A] \equiv (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

LEMMA 6. $\forall A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $[{}^tA] = \tau[A^*]\tau$.

PROOF. Since $(\tau x | \tau y) = (y | x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (({}^tA)^* x | y) &= ((\tau A^* \tau)^* x | y) = (x | \tau A^* \tau y) = (A^* \tau y | \tau x) = (\tau y | A \tau x) = \\ &= (\tau A \tau x | y) \Rightarrow ({}^tA)^* = \tau A \tau \Rightarrow [{}^tA]^2 = \tau A \tau A^* \tau = (\tau[A^*] \tau)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and conclusion follows from the fact that $\tau[A^*]\tau \geq 0$. ■

LEMMA 7. (a) $A \in \mathcal{L}_1(H) \Rightarrow {}^tA \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$, $\|{}^tA\|_1 = \|A\|_1$, $\text{tr}({}^tA) = \text{tr}(A)$;

(b) $A \in \mathcal{L}_0(H) \Rightarrow {}^tA \in \mathcal{L}_0(H)$, $\|{}^tA\| = \|A\|$.

PROOF. (a) Let $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal basis of H ; then if $\psi_\alpha = \tau\phi_\alpha$, $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal basis too, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^tA\|_1 &= \text{tr}({}^t[A]) = \sum_\alpha (\tau[A^*] \tau\phi_\alpha | \phi_\alpha) = \sum_\alpha (\psi_\alpha | [A^*] \psi_\alpha) = \\ &= \sum_\alpha ([A^*] \psi_\alpha | \psi_\alpha) = \text{tr}([A^*]) = \|A^*\|_1 = \|A\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The first two assertions are proved; as for the third one

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}({}^tA) &= \sum_\alpha ({}^tA\phi_\alpha | \phi_\alpha) = \sum_\alpha (\tau A^* \tau\phi_\alpha | \phi_\alpha) = \\ &= \sum_\alpha (\tau\phi_\alpha | A^* \tau\phi_\alpha) = \sum_\alpha (A\psi_\alpha | \psi_\alpha) = \text{tr}(A). \end{aligned}$$

(b) is obvious. ■

Now, for $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ we set $A^s = 1/2 \cdot (A + {}^tA)$, $A^a = 1/2 \cdot (A - {}^tA)$. It follows from Lemma 7 that if $A \in \mathcal{L}_i(H)$ then $A^s, A^a \in \mathcal{L}_i(H)$ (for $i = 0, 1$).

LEMMA 8. (a) Given $A \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$ we have

$$\text{tr}(AB) = 0 \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H) \Leftrightarrow {}^tA = -A.$$

(b) Given $A \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$ we have $\text{tr}(AB) = 0 \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{(a)}(H) \Leftrightarrow {}^tA = A$.

(c) Given $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ we have $\text{tr}(AB) = 0 \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{L}_1^{(s)}(H) \Leftrightarrow {}^tA = -A$.

(d) Given $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ we have $\text{tr}(AB) = 0 \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{L}_1^{(a)}(H) \Leftrightarrow {}^tA = A$.

PROOF. (a) \Leftarrow .

$$\text{tr}(AB) = -\text{tr}({}^tA {}^tB) = -\text{tr}({}^t(BA)) = -\text{tr}(BA) = -\text{tr}(AB).$$

\Rightarrow . Suppose $A^s \neq 0$; since $A^s \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$ and $\mathcal{L}_1(H) \cong \mathcal{L}_0(H)^*$ we can find $B \in \mathcal{L}_0(H)$ with $\text{tr}(A^s B) \neq 0$; but by the hypothesis and by the first implication

$$\text{tr}(A^s B) = \text{tr}(A^s B^s) = \text{tr}(AB^s) = 0$$

and this is absurd.

The proof of (b), (c), and (d) is completely analogous. ■

LEMMA 9. (a) $A \in \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H), B \in \mathcal{L}^{(a)}(H) \Rightarrow \|A + B\| \geq \max \{\|A\|, \|B\|\}$.

(b) $A \in \mathcal{L}_1^{(s)}(H), B \in \mathcal{L}_1^{(a)}(H) \Rightarrow \|A + B\|_1 \geq \max \{\|A\|_1, \|B\|_1\}$.

PROOF. (a) Since the transposition is an isometry

$$\|A + B\| = \|^t A - {}^t B\| = \|^t(A - B)\| = \|A - B\| \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A\| = \frac{1}{2} \|A + B + A - B\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|A + B\| + \|A - B\|) = \|A + B\|.$$

The same holds for $\|B\|$.

(b) As the transposition is an isometry for $\|\cdot\|_1$ too, the proof works as above. ■

PROOF OF THEOREM 8. Lemma 7 implies that $\mathcal{L}_i^{(s)}(H)$ and $\mathcal{L}_i^{(a)}(H)$ are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{L}_i(H)$ for $i = 0, 1$, and hence the first assertion is obvious.

(1) By Theorem 1, Lemma 8 and the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists a one-to-one mapping α from $\mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H)^*$ onto $\mathcal{L}_1^{(s)}(H)$ such that

$$\phi(B) = \text{tr}(\alpha(\phi)B) \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H)^*, B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H).$$

α is obviously a linear isomorphism. We are left to prove that α is an isometry, i.e.

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H)} \frac{|\text{tr}(AB)|}{\|B\|} \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{L}_1^{(s)}(H).$$

By Theorem 1, since $\mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0(H)$, inequality \geq is obvious.

As for the converse, using part (a) of Lemma 9,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_1 &= \sup_{B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H)} \frac{|\text{tr}(A(B^s + B^a))|}{\|B^s + B^a\|} \leq \sup_{B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H)} \frac{|\text{tr}(AB^s)|}{\|B^s\|} = \\ &= \sup_{B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{(s)}(H)} \frac{|\text{tr}(AB)|}{\|B\|} \end{aligned}$$

(2) As above, it suffices to prove that

$$\|A\| \leq \sup_{B \in \mathcal{L}_1^{(s)}(H)} \frac{|\text{tr}(AB)|}{\|B\|_1} \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H).$$

The proof works as above, using part (b) of Lemma 9.

(3) and (4) are completely analogous. ■

Now we turn to the case of type IV Cartan factors.

As we mentioned in Section 1, if \mathcal{U} is a type IV Cartan factor then \mathcal{U} is linearly and topologically isomorphic to a Hilbert space K ; for a suitable conjugation τ on K the \mathcal{U} -norm is given by

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{U}} = ((x|x) + ((x|x)^2 - |(x|\tau x)|^2)^{1/2})^{1/2} \quad (x \in K).$$

Since K is a reflexive space \mathcal{U} is reflexive too; moreover the dual space \mathcal{U}^* of \mathcal{U} is linearly and topologically isomorphic to the dual space \overline{K} of K ; we recall that \overline{K} is the Hilbert space which coincides with K as a real Hilbert space, in which multiplication by complex number and inner product are given by

$$\lambda \cdot_{\overline{K}} x = \overline{\lambda} \cdot_K x \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, x \in K),$$

$$(x|y)_{\overline{K}} = (y|x)_K \quad (x, y \in K).$$

We remark that τ is a conjugation on \overline{K} too.

The \mathcal{U}^* -norm on \overline{K} is given by

$$\|y\|_{\mathcal{U}^*} = \sup_{x \in K \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|(x|y)|}{\|x\|_{\mathcal{U}}} \quad (y \in \overline{K}).$$

In order to determine completely the dual space of \mathcal{U} we only have to compute explicitly this norm.

THEOREM 9. $\|y\|_{\mathcal{U}^*}^2 = 1/2 \cdot ((y|y) + |(y|\tau y)|).$

PROOF. The theorem is certainly true if $\dim \mathcal{U} = 1$.

Now, assume $\dim \mathcal{U} = 2$ and let $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis of K such that $\tau\phi_i = \phi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. An isometrical isomorphism

$$j: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus_{\infty} \mathbb{C}$$

is explicitly given by

$$j(z_1\phi_1 + z_2\phi_2) = (z_1 + iz_2, z_1 - iz_2).$$

Since $(\mathbb{C} \oplus_{\infty} \mathbb{C})^* = \mathbb{C} \oplus_1 \mathbb{C}$,

$$j^*: \mathbb{C} \oplus_1 \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^*$$

is an isometrical isomorphism. By direct computation we deduce from this that the \mathcal{U}^* -norm on \overline{K} has the required expression.

Now, assume that $\dim \mathcal{U} \geq 3$; for $y \in \overline{K} \setminus \{0\}$ we define M as the subspace of K generated by y and τy and we consider the orthogonal projection p of K onto M . During the proof of Theorem 5 it was checked

