

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

SAMUEL ZAIDMAN

Existence of almost-periodic ultra-weak solutions to the equation $u'(t) = a(t)Au(t) + f(t)$ in Hilbert spaces

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 81 (1989), p. 201-208

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1989__81__201_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1989, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

**Existence of Almost-Periodic Ultra-Weak Solutions
to the Equation $u'(t) = a(t)Au(t) + f(t)$
in Hilbert Spaces.**

SAMUEL ZAIDMAN (*)

ABSTRACT - In this work we consider the non-homogeneous first order differential equation: $du/dt - a(t)Au(t) = f(t)$ in a separable Hilbert space H , under a few assumptions about the complex-valued almost-periodic function $a(t)$ and the linear operator A in H . We establish a sufficient condition, ensuring, for almost-periodic $f(t)$, $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$, the existence (and uniqueness) of an almost-periodic ultra-weak solution of the above equation.

Introduction.

This paper is intended as a continuation to [3], [4]. As in [3], let H be a Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} and then A be a linear hermitian operator with dense domain, $D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$. We assume also the existence of a complete sequence $(e_k)_1^\infty$ in H , the e_k 's being orthonormal eigen-vectors of A corresponding to (real) nonzero eigen-values λ_k .

Next, let $a(t)$ be a complex-valued continuous almost-periodic

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dept. de Mathématiques et de Statistique, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. A, Montréal, QUE H3C 3J7, Canada.

This paper was written while the author was supported by a grant of N.S.E.R.C. of Canada and was visiting the « Centre de Recherches Mathématiques » at the Université de Montréal.

It has been first published in « La Gazette des Sciences Mathématiques du Québec », vol. XII, 4, January 1989.

(Bohr) function such that

$$(0.1) \quad a^* = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \operatorname{Re} a(\sigma) d\sigma \right)$$

belongs to $\mathbf{R}/\{0\}$.

Finally, let $f(t)$ be a H -valued (Bochner) almost-periodic function. We shall indicate a sufficient condition involving the above considered entities in order that an almost-periodic solution of the equation in the title exists, at least in the ultra-weak sense. (The unicity would then follow from [3]).

The « simplest » case when $H = \mathbf{C}$ and A is the identity operator, that is the scalar ordinary differential equation $u'(t) = a(t)u(t) + f(t)$ is explained as Theorem 6.6 in [1]. We had to extend the arguments used there so as to apply them in the general case of operator differential equations.

1. Let us define the complex-valued almost-periodic functions $f_j(t)$ given by the scalar product $(f(t), e_j)_H, \forall j = 1, 2, \dots$

Then let us consider (as in [1]) the following functions:

$$(1.1) \quad u_j(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \exp \left(\int_s^t \lambda_j a(u) du \right) f_j(s) ds, \quad \text{for } \lambda_j a^* < 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad u_j(t) = - \int_t^{\infty} \exp \left(\int_s^t \lambda_j a(u) du \right) f_j(s) ds, \quad \text{for } \lambda_j a^* > 0.$$

These functions are solutions on the real line of the ordinary differential equations

$$(1.3) \quad u_j'(t) = \lambda_j a(t) u_j(t) + f_j(t)$$

and they are almost-periodic (this follows from Favard's theorem, see [1]). For our purposes some very careful estimates of (1.1) and (1.2) are quite essential; we shall give them in the following lines.

i) Assume $\lambda_j > 0$ and $a^* < 0$; then

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \exp \left(\int_s^t \lambda_j a(u) du \right) \right| = \exp \left(\int_s^t \lambda_j (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) du \right) = \exp \left(\lambda_j \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) du \right)$$

where we denote: $\sigma = t - s$.

On the other hand we know that:

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du = a^*,$$

uniformly with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, given $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} |a^*|$, there is a positive number T_0 such that

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du < \frac{1}{2} a^*, \quad \text{for } \sigma > T_0 \text{ and } \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(Note that T_0 is independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$).

Consequently, we see that

$$(1.6) \quad \exp \left(\lambda_j \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du \right) < \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_j a^* \sigma \right), \quad \sigma > T_0, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Consider now the integral:

$$\int_0^\infty \exp \left(\lambda_j \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du \right) f_j(t - \sigma) \, d\sigma = I_j,$$

and write: $I_j = I_{j,1} + I_{j,2}$ where

$$(1.7) \quad I_{j,1} = \int_0^{T_0} \exp \left(\lambda_j \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du \right) f_j(t - \sigma) \, d\sigma,$$

$$(1.8) \quad I_{j,2} = \int_{T_0}^\infty \exp \left(\lambda_j \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du \right) f_j(t - \sigma) \, d\sigma.$$

We see the estimate (for $\lambda_j > 0$, $\sigma > 0$, $0 < \sigma < T_0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$).

$$(1.9) \quad \lambda_j \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du < \lambda_j \|\operatorname{Re} a\|_\infty \cdot \sigma < \lambda_j \|a\|_\infty T_0$$

hence

$$(1.10) \quad I_{j,1} \leq T_0 \exp(\lambda_j \|a\|_\infty T_0) \|f_j\|_\infty$$

where $\|\varphi\|_\infty = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}} |\varphi(\xi)|$ in (1.9) and (1.10) above.

Next, we have, using (1.6)

$$(1.11) \quad I_{j,2} \leq \left(\int_{T_0}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_j a^* \sigma\right) d\sigma \right) \|f_j\|_\infty = \frac{2}{|a^* \lambda_j|} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_j a^* T_0\right) \|f_j\|_\infty.$$

Thus, altogether, we obtain

$$(1.12) \quad \left| \int_0^{\infty} \exp\left(\lambda_j \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) du\right) f_j(t-\sigma) d\sigma \right| = |I_{j,1} + I_{j,2}| \leq \\ \leq \|f_j\|_\infty \left(T_0 \exp(\lambda_j \|a\|_\infty T_0) + \frac{2}{|a^* \lambda_j|} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_j a^* T_0\right) \right)$$

which is the desired estimate for $u_j(t)$ in (1.1) in the case $\lambda_j > 0$, $a^* < 0$.

ii) Consider now the case where $a^* < 0$ and $\lambda_j < 0$, and estimate the expression (1.2) which becomes (with the substitution $s = \sigma + t$)

$$(1.13) \quad - \int_0^{\infty} \exp\left(- \int_t^{t+\sigma} \lambda_j a(u) du\right) f_j(\sigma + t) d\sigma.$$

Again we see that $\left| \exp\left(- \int_t^{t+\sigma} \lambda_j a(u) du\right) \right| = \exp\left(|\lambda_j| \int_t^{t+\sigma} (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) du\right)$ and

$$(1.14) \quad \int_t^{t+\sigma} (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) du < \frac{1}{2} a^* \sigma, \quad \text{for } \sigma \geq T_0, t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

It follows that the function $u_j(t)$ given by (1.2) is estimated by

$$(1.15) \quad \|f_j\|_\infty \left(T_0 \exp(|\lambda_j| \|a\|_\infty T_0) + \frac{2}{|a^* \lambda_j|} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} |\lambda_j| a^* T_0\right) \right).$$

iii) Take now $\alpha^* > 0$ and $\lambda_j > 0$; again we estimate the integral (1.13). We see that

$$(1.16) \quad \frac{\alpha^*}{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{t-\sigma}^{t+\sigma} (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du \quad \text{and} \quad -\lambda_j \int_t^{t+\sigma} (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du \leq -\frac{1}{2} \sigma \alpha^* \lambda_j,$$

for $\sigma \geq T_0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Decomposing (1.13) as in i) above, we get the integrals from 0 to T_0 and from T_0 to $+\infty$. The first is estimated by

$$\|f_j\|_\infty \cdot T_0 \exp(\lambda_j \|a\|_\infty T_0)$$

while the second by

$$\|f_j\|_\infty \frac{2}{\alpha^* \lambda_j} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^* \lambda_j T_0\right),$$

so that we get an estimate by

$$(1.17) \quad \|f_j\|_\infty \left(T_0 \exp(\lambda_j \|a\|_\infty T_0) + \frac{2}{\alpha^* \lambda_j} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^* \lambda_j T_0\right) \right).$$

iv) In the last case where $\alpha^* > 0$ and $\lambda_j < 0$ we must estimate the integral (1.1) which is also

$$\int_0^\infty \exp\left(\int_{t-\sigma}^t \lambda_j a(u) \, du\right) f_j(t-\sigma) \, d\sigma.$$

As above we see that $\frac{1}{2} \sigma \alpha^* \lambda_j \geq \lambda_j \int_{t-\sigma}^t (\operatorname{Re} a)(u) \, du$ for $\sigma \geq T_0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and we get for (1.1) an upper bound equal to

$$(1.18) \quad \|f_j\|_\infty \left(T_0 \exp(\lambda_j \|a\|_\infty T_0) + \frac{2}{\alpha^* |\lambda_j|} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha^* \lambda_j T_0\right) \right).$$

Let us define, for any $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the numbers

$$(1.19) \quad \omega_j = \left(T_0 \exp(|\lambda_j| \|a\|_\infty T_0) + \frac{2}{|\alpha^* \lambda_j|} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} T_0 |\alpha^* \lambda_j|\right) \right).$$

We can see then that

$$(1.20) \quad |u_j(t)| < \omega_j \|f_j\|_\infty, \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

2. Let us make now the

MAIN HYPOTHESIS. *The numerical series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_j^2 \|f_j\|_\infty^2$ is convergent.*
We state the following:

THEOREM. *Assuming the M-H to be true, the vector-series in H : $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j(t) e_j$ is uniformly convergent on the real line and is the unique almost-periodic ultra-weak solution of the abstract differential equation*

$$(2.1) \quad u'(t) = a(t) Au(t) + f(t)$$

over the whole real line.

Note that « ultra-weak » solution of the above equation means here, as in the paper [3], that the integral identity

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} (u(t), \varphi'(t) + \bar{a}(t) A^* \varphi(t))_H dt = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in K_{A^*}(\mathbf{R})$$

holds. Here

$$K_{A^*}(\mathbf{R}) = \{\varphi(t), \mathbf{R} \rightarrow D(A^*), \varphi \in C_0^1(\mathbf{R}; H), A^* \varphi \in C(\mathbf{R}; H)\}$$

where $A^* \supset A$ is the adjoint operator to A , and $\bar{a}(t)$ is the complex conjugate of $a(t)$, $\forall t \in \mathbf{R}$.

The uniqueness of all almost-periodic solutions follows from [3]. Hence, it remains to prove existence, which is done essentially as in [4].

The uniform (over \mathbf{R}) convergence of the series $\sum_1^{\infty} u_j(t) e_j$ in H -norm follows from the relation

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \sum_N^{N+p} u_j(t) e_j \right\|_H^2 = \sum_N^{N+p} |u_j(t)|^2$$

from the Main Hypothesis and the estimates in section 1. Hence its sum $u(t)$ is H -almost-periodic.

Now, let $v_j(t) = u_j(t) e_j$; we have

$$v_j'(t) = u_j'(t) e_j = \lambda_j a(t) u_j(t) e_j + f_j(t) e_j = \lambda_j a(t) v_j(t) + f_j(t) e_j,$$

hence

$$(2.4) \quad v_j'(t) = a(t) A v_j(t) + f_j(t) e_j$$

holds, $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots$, in H -sense. (Due to $A v_j(t) = A(u_j(t) e_j) = u_j(t) A e_j = u_j(t) \lambda_j e_j = \lambda_j v_j(t)$).

Next, put $w_N = v_1(t) + \dots + v_N(t)$. We see that the equality

$$(2.5) \quad w_N'(t) = a(t) A w_N(t) + g_N(t)$$

in H -sense, over \mathbb{R} holds, where

$$g_N(t) = \sum_1^N f_j(t) e_j.$$

Taking any $\varphi \in K_{A^*}(\mathbb{R})$ we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (w_N'(t) - a(t) A w_N(t), \varphi(t))_H dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (g_N(t), \varphi(t))_H dt.$$

By partial integration we derive

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (w_N'(t), \varphi(t)) dt = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (w_N(t), \varphi'(t)) dt.$$

Also

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a(t) A w_N(t), \varphi(t))_H dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (A w_N(t), \bar{a}(t) \varphi(t)) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (w_N(t) \bar{a} A^* \varphi)(t) dt$$

Accordingly we see that for any natural N the identity

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (w_N(t), \varphi'(t) + \bar{a}(t) A^* \varphi(t))_H dt = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (g_N(t), \varphi(t))_H dt$$

holds $\forall \varphi \in K_{A^*}(\mathbb{R})$.

Now, let us remember that $g_N(t) \rightarrow f(t)$ ($N \rightarrow \infty$), *uniformly* on \mathbf{R} , due to the relative compactness of the trajectory of $f(t)$. Also, as previously seen,

$$w_N(t) \rightarrow u(t) = \sum_1^{\infty} u_j(t) e_j,$$

uniformly on \mathbf{R} .

It follows that u is ultra-weak almost-periodic solution of $u'(t) = a(t)Au(t) + f(t)$. This proves the Theorem.

REFERENCES

- 1) A. M. FINK, *Almost-Periodic Differential Equations*, Lectures Notes in Math., vol. **377**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1974).
- [2] S. ZAIDMAN, *Almost-Periodic Functions in Abstract Spaces*, vol. **126**, Pitman Publishing, R.N.M., Boston-London-Melbourne (1985).
- [3] S. ZAIDMAN, *Uniqueness of bounded weak solutions to the equation $u'(t) = a(t)Au(t)$ in Hilbert spaces*, Libert. Math. (1988), vol. **8**, 65-67.
- [4] S. ZAIDMAN, *Almost-periodic solutions of differential equations with diagonal operators*, Ann. Sc. Math. Québec (1988), vol. **12**, no. 2, 287-291.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 19 maggio 1988.