

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

MICHAEL DRMOTA

ROBERT F. TICHY

C-uniform distribution of entire functions

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 79 (1988), p. 49-58

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1988__79__49_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

***C*-Uniform Distribution of Entire Functions.**

MICHAEL DERMOTA - ROBERT F. TICHY (*)

SUMMARY - It is proved that under certain conditions on the growth of the entire function $f(z)$ the curve $f(t)$ (for real t) is uniformly distributed modulo 1 in the complex plane. The same is valid for two-dimensional flows $f(s + it)$. Furthermore two uniformly distributed functions, the exponential function $f(t) = \exp[at]$ and the Weierstraß σ -function $f(t) = \sigma(t)$ (not satisfying the growth condition) are investigated.

1. Introduction.

A continuous function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to be uniformly distributed modulo 1 (for short: u.d.) if

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \chi_I(\{f(t)\}) dt = \lambda(I)$$

holds for all boxes $I = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_d, b_d] \subseteq [0, 1)^d$; χ_I is the characteristic function of I and $\lambda(I)$ its Lebesgue measure, $\{f(t)\} = f(t) - [f(t)]$ denotes the componentwise fractional part of $f(t)$. If $\{f(t)\}$ is interpreted as a particle's motion on the d -dimensional torus $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$, definition (1.1) means that the ratio of the particle's stay in any box to the whole time converges to the volume of the box. A quantitative

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Technical University of Vienna, Dept. of Mathematics, Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10, 1040 Vienna, Austria.

measure for the convergence in (1.1) is the discrepancy

$$(1.2) \quad D_T(f) = \sup_I \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \chi_I(\{f(t)\}) dt - \lambda(I) \right|.$$

It is well known that $f(t)$ is u.d. if and only if $D_T(f)$ tends to 0 (for $T \rightarrow \infty$); cf. [4]. By a famous criterion due to H. Weyl [10] (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \exp[2\pi i \langle h, f(t) \rangle] dt = 0$$

for all integral lattice points $h \neq 0$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

As general references for the theory of uniformly distributed functions we propose the monographs by E. Hlawka [5] and by L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter [6].

In this article we study the distribution behaviour of an (in general complex valued) entire function $f(t)$ considered as a mapping $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. If all coefficients f_n of the Taylor expansion of $f(z)$ are real we consider f as a function $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

In the special case $f_n \geq 0$ (for $n \geq n_0$) Satz 8 of E. Hlawka [4] immediately yields

$$(1.4) \quad D_T(f) = o\left(\frac{1}{T}\right),$$

since $f(t)$ is in this case an increasing and convex function (for $t \geq t_0$). In section 2 we are interested in entire functions f of very small growth; more precisely we assume

$$(1.5) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log \log r} < \frac{3}{2},$$

where $M(r) = \max_{|z| \leq r} |f(z)|$. We will prove: If f is of type (1.5) and has real Taylor coefficients, then $f(t)$ is u.d. in $\mathbb{R}$. An analogon for complex f_n is an immediate consequence of this result. We remark that similar theorems (with $4/3$ instead of $3/2$) for the uniform distribution of sequences are due to G. Rauzy [7], G. Rhin [8] and

R. C. Baker [1], [2]. The examples of G. Rauzy [7] and R. C. Baker [1] show also in the case of uniformly distributed functions that the constant $3/2$ cannot be replaced by a constant $c > 2$.

In section 3 we discuss the exponential function $\exp[at]$ ($a \in \mathbb{C}$) and the Weierstrass σ -function and give estimates for the discrepancy. In the final section 4 we extend the previous results to the case of two-dimensional flows $f(s + it)$.

2. Entire functions of very small growth.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(z)$ be a (non constant) entire function satisfying (1.5) such that all Taylor coefficients of f are real. Then $f(t)$ (considered as a function $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) is u.d.*

COROLLARY 1. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function satisfying (1.5) such that either the quotient $\operatorname{Re}(f_n)/\operatorname{Im}(f_n)$ is irrational for some Taylor coefficient f_n with $n \geq 1$ or there are two Taylor coefficients f_n, f_m ($1 \leq m < n$) with $\operatorname{Re}(f_m)/\operatorname{Im}(f_m) \neq \operatorname{Re}(f_n)/\operatorname{Im}(f_n)$. Then $f(t)$ (considered as a function $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$) is u.d.*

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. We apply Theorem 1 to the function

$$g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (h_1 \operatorname{Re}(f_n) + h_2 \operatorname{Im}(f_n)) \cdot t^n, \quad h = (h_1, h_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Then Weyl's criterion (1.3) immediately yields the result of the corollary.

REMARK 1. In the case of uniformly distributed sequences R. C. Baker [2] has shown that, in general, there is no estimate for the discrepancy of entire functions satisfying (1.5). Since we use similar techniques for the proof of Theorem 1 as have been used for sequences it is not possible to obtain a general estimate for the discrepancy by this method. Nevertheless estimates can be proved for special functions, compare (1.4).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We will apply Weyl's criterion and set

$$S(T) = \int_0^T \exp[2\pi i h f(t)] dt, \quad h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

As in [7] we make use of increasing sequences (n_k) , (P_k) , (Q_k) tending to infinity and satisfying $P_{k+1} < Q_k$ for sufficiently large k (for details see Lemma 1). In Lemma 3 we will prove that

$$(2.1) \quad |S(T + P_k) - S(T)| < \varepsilon P_k$$

holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, for all sufficiently large $k \geq k_0(\varepsilon)$ and all $T \leq Q_k - P_k$. Choosing ε , k such that (2.1) holds and $C = C(\varepsilon) = Q_{k_0(\varepsilon)}$ we obtain by induction

$$(2.2) \quad |S(T)| < \varepsilon T + C \quad \text{for all } T \in [0, Q_{k-1}].$$

Trivially (2.2) is valid for $k = k_0$. Assume that (2.2) holds for some $k \geq k_0$. If $T \in [0, Q_k]$ then $T = [T/P_k]P_k + R$ with $R < P_k < Q_{k-1}$ and (2.1) combined with the assumption yields

$$|S(T)| \leq |S(T) - S(R)| + |S(R)| < \varepsilon \left\lfloor \frac{T}{P_k} \right\rfloor P_k + \varepsilon R + C = \varepsilon T + C.$$

Thus (2.2) is proved for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $k \geq k_0(\varepsilon)$.

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q_k = \infty$, we derive from (2.2) for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{S(T)}{T} \right| \leq \varepsilon;$$

hence $f(t)$ is u.d.

In the following we give a detailed definition of the above sequence (n_k) , (P_k) , (Q_k) and establish some essential properties. From condition (1.5) we obtain by Cauchy's inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(1/|f_n|)}{n^c} = +\infty$$

for some $c > 3$ (f_n denote the Taylor coefficients of f). If f is a non constant polynomial estimate (1.4) can be applied; hence f is u.d. in this case. In the following we assume that $f_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many n . Set $n_0 = \min \{n: f_n \neq 0\}$. If n_k is defined set $l_k = \log(1/|f_{n_k}|)$ and

$$m_k = \sup \{m: \log(1/|f_n|) \geq l_k + m(n - n_k) \text{ for all } n \geq n_k\}$$

and define

$$(2.4) \quad n_{k+1} = \max \{n > n_k : \log (1/|f_n|) = l_k + m_k(n - n_k)\}.$$

(By (2.3) the sequences (m_k) and (n_k) are well-defined.) Furthermore we set

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} p_k = \frac{n_k l_k}{n_k^2 - 1}, & P_k = \exp [p_k], \\ M_k = \exp [m_k], & Q_k = \frac{4^{n_k+1}}{M_k}. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 1.

- (i) $\log (1/|f_n|) \geq l_k + m_k(n - n_k) \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_k$
- (ii) $l_{k+1} = l_k + m_k(n_{k+1} - n_k)$
- (iii) $n_k < n_{k+1}, \quad m_k < m_{k+1}$
- (iv) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k}{n_k^{c-1}} = \infty, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_k - p_k}{n_k^c} = \infty$
- (v) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k - p_{k+1}}{n_{k+1}^{c-2}} = \infty$
- (vi) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_k + l_k - (n_k + 1)p_k}{n_k^{c-2}} = \infty$
- (vii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_k - (l_k/n_k)}{n_k^{c-3}} = \infty$
- (viii) $P_{k+1} < Q_k \quad \text{for every } k \geq k_0$
- (ix) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n_k+2}(|f_{n_k}|P_k^{n_k+1})/M_k = 0$
- (x) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (|f_{n_k}|/2)^{-1/n_k} P_k^{-1} = 0.$

The proof of (i) to (vii) can be given by verbally the same arguments as in [7]. Properties (viii), (ix), (x) are immediate consequences of the former ones.

In order to show (2.1) we make use of the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let $p(t) = at^N + a_1t^{N-1} + \dots + a_{N-1}t + a_N$ be a polynomial of degree N with real coefficients. Then for all $A < B$

$$\left| \int_A^B \exp[2\pi i p(t)] dt \right| \leq \frac{26}{|a|^{1/N}}.$$

PROOF. Using the substitution $u = t(N|a|)^{1/N}$ for $N \geq 2$ we have to prove

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \exp[2\pi i q(u)] du \right| \leq 26$$

for any α, β and a polynomial $q(u) = (1/N)u^N + b_1u^{N-1} + \dots + b_N$. Applying Theorem 3.4.1 of R. P. Boas [3] we have $|q'(u)| \leq K$ for every $K > 0$ and all $u \in S$, where the set S is the union of at most $(N-1)$ intervals and its measure is $\leq 12K^{1/(N-1)}$.

Therefore there are at most N intervals (contained in $[\alpha, \beta]$) where q is monotone and $|q'| > K$. Hence the second mean value theorem yields on such an interval I

$$\left| \int_I \exp[2\pi i q(u)] du \right| \leq \frac{2}{K}.$$

Combining this with the trivial bound

$$\left| \int_S \exp[2\pi i q(u)] du \right| \leq 12K^{1/(N-1)}$$

and choosing $K = N$ yields (2.6). Thus the proof of Lemma 2 is finished, since the case $N = 1$ is trivial.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 it remains to show estimate (2.1). This is worked out in the following Lemma.

LEMMA 3. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large $k \geq k_0(\varepsilon)$ we have

$$|S(T + P_k) - S(T)| < \varepsilon P_k \quad \text{for } T \leq Q_k - P_k.$$

PROOF. Set

$$g(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \frac{(t-T)^j}{j!} f^{(j)}(T),$$

$$\alpha_k = \frac{f^{(n_k)}(T)}{n_k!}, \quad S' = \int_T^{T+P_k} \exp[2\pi i h g(t)] dt \quad (h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}).$$

By Lemma 1 (i) we obtain for $n \geq n_k$

$$|f_n| \leq |f_{n_k}| M_k^{n_k-n};$$

therefore

$$(2.7) \quad \left| \frac{f^{(n_k+1)}(t)}{(n_k+1)!} \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n=n_k+1}^n \binom{n}{n_k+1} f_n t^{n-(n_k+1)} \right| \leq \frac{|f_{n_k}|}{M_k} \left(\frac{1}{1-Q_k/M_k} \right)^{n_k+2}$$

for $t \in [0, Q_k]$. Hence by Taylor's formula and $Q_k \leq M_k/2$

$$(2.8) \quad |f(t) - g(t)| \leq 2^{n_k+2} \frac{f_{n_k}}{M_k} P_k^{n_k+1}$$

for $t \in [T, T+P_k]$. We have

$$|\alpha_k - f_{n_k}| = \frac{1}{n_k!} |f^{(n_k)}(T) - f^{(n_k)}(0)| \leq (n_k+1) 2^{n_k+1} \frac{|f_{n_k}| Q_k}{M_k} \leq \frac{|f_{n_k}|}{2}.$$

Therefore we get by Lemma 1 and (2.8)

$$|S(T+P_k) - S(T)| \leq |S(T+P_k) - S(T) - S'| + |S'| \leq$$

$$\leq \left(2^{n_k+2} \frac{|f_{n_k}|}{M_k} P_k^{n_k+1} + 26 \left(\frac{|f_{n_k}|}{2} \right)^{-1/n_k} P_k^{-1} \right) P_k.$$

Thus, applying Lemma 1 (ix) and (x), the proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

3. Some special entire functions.

As a first example (not satisfying (1.5)) we want to consider the entire function

$$(3.1) \quad f(t) = \exp[at] \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(a) > 0).$$

If $\text{Im}(a) = 0$ we can apply Satz 8 of [4] and obtain estimate (1.4) for the discrepancy $D_T(f)$. In the case $\text{Im}(a) \neq 0$ we will apply the inequality of Erdős-Turan (for complex functions) $f(t) = f_1(t) + if_2(t)$

$$(3.2) \quad D_T(f) \leq c \left(\frac{1}{H} + \sum_{0 < \|h\| \leq H} \left(\max(|h_1|, 1) \max(|h_2|, 1) \right)^{-1} \cdot \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \exp[2\pi i(h_1 f_1(t) + h_2 f_2(t))] dt \right| \right) \quad (H \text{ an arbitrary positive integer})$$

with an absolute constant $c > 0$; note that $\|h\| = \max(|h_1|, |h_2|)$. We set $a = \alpha + i\beta$ and obtain for some γ

$$(3.3) \quad h_1 \text{Re}(\exp[at]) + h_2 \text{Im}(\exp[at]) = \sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2} \exp[\alpha t] \sin(\beta t + \gamma) =: g(t).$$

In order to estimate the integrals in (3.2) we apply the second mean value theorem on at most $|\beta T|/(2\pi) + 2$ intervals I_j where $g(t)$ is strictly monotone and

$$|g'(t)| \geq \varepsilon \exp[j2\pi\alpha/|\beta|] \sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}$$

(for an $\varepsilon > 0$ which is chosen later). Observe that the length of the remaining intervals in $[0, T]$ is $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon T)$. Hence we have

$$\int_0^T \exp[2\pi i(h_1 f_1(t) + h_2 f_2(t))] dt = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon T + \frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}}\right).$$

Applying (3.2) and choosing $\varepsilon = T^{-\frac{1}{2}}(h_1^2 + h_2^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $H = [T^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ yields

$$(3.4) \quad D_T(f) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right).$$

In the following we consider the Weierstrass σ -function. Let $\Omega[\omega_1, i\omega_2]$ be a lattice in the complex plane generated by two positive real numbers ω_1, ω_2 . Then $\sigma(z)$ is an entire function and can be defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma(z) = z \prod_{\omega \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\omega}\right) \exp\left[\frac{z}{\omega} + \frac{z^2}{2\omega^2}\right],$$

which is real valued for real z . We also assume that the real constant

$$(3.6) \quad \delta_1 = \frac{\sigma'(z + \omega_1)}{\sigma(z + \omega_1)} - \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)}$$

(cf. [9]) is positive. In order to establish an estimate for the discrepancy of $\sigma(t)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ we use the functional equation

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma(z + \omega_1) = -\sigma(z) \exp \left[\delta_1 \left(z + \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) \right].$$

We consider intervals $J_k = [k\omega_1, (k+1)\omega_1]$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since the $\wp$ -function has at most two zeroes in J_k , the Weierstrass ζ -function (with $\zeta' = -\wp$) has at most 3 zeroes in J_k (note that all involved functions are real under the above assumptions). Since $\zeta(t) = \sigma'(t)/\sigma(t)$, $\sigma(t)$ consists of at most 4 strictly monotone pieces on J_k . Applying (3.7), the inequality of Erdős-Turan and the second mean value theorem, we obtain as in the previous example

$$(3.8) \quad D_T(\sigma) = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right).$$

4. Two dimensional flows.

It is also of some interest to consider the distribution behaviour of two dimensional flows $f(z)$, $z = s + it$. Generalizing definition (1.1) we call such a (complex valued) flow u.d. (mod 1) if

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{s, T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{ST} \int_0^s \int_0^T \chi_I(\{f(s + it)\}) dt ds = \lambda(I)$$

holds for all two dimensional intervals $I \subseteq [0, 1]^2$; note that S, T tend independently to infinity. By similar arguments as in section 2 the following result can be established.

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(z)$ be a non constant entire function satisfying (1.5) such that f_n is real or the quotient $\operatorname{Re}(f_n)/\operatorname{Im}(f_n)$ is irrational for almost all $n \geq 1$. Then the flow $f(s + it)$ is u.d. mod 1.*

REMARK 2. For some applications it might be useful to consider a two dimensional flow as u.d. mod 1 if

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{s, T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4ST} \int_{-s}^s \int_{-T}^T \chi_I(\{f(s+it)\}) dt ds = \lambda(I)$$

holds for all $I \subseteq [0, 1]^2$. Obviously, Theorem 2 is true for this notion of uniform distribution, too.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. BAKER, *Entire Functions and Uniform Distribution Modulo 1*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **49** (1984), pp. 87-110.
- [2] R. C. BAKER, *On the Values of Entire Functions at the Positive Integers*, Monatsh. Math., **102** (1986), pp. 179-182.
- [3] R. P. BOAS, *Entire Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
- [4] E. HLAWKA, *Über C-Gleichverteilung*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (IV) **49** (1960), pp. 311-326.
- [5] E. HLAWKA, *Theorie der Gleichverteilung*, B.I., Mannheim-Wien-Zürich, 1979.
- [6] L. KUIPERS - H. NIEDERREITER, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1974.
- [7] G. RAUZY, *Fonctions entières et répartition modulo un, II*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **101** (1973), pp. 185-192.
- [8] G. RHIN, *Répartition modulo 1 de $f(p_n)$ quand f est une série entière*, Répartition modulo 1, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, **475** (1975), pp. 176-244.
- [9] R. J. TASCHNER, *Funktionentheorie*, Manz-Verlag, Wien, 1983.
- [10] H. WEYL, *Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins*, Math. Ann., **77** (1916), pp. 313-352.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 13 gennaio 1987.