

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

BOGDAN RZEPECKI

**On the existence of solutions of the Darboux
problem for the hyperbolic partial differential
equations in Banach spaces**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 76 (1986), p. 201-206

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1986__76__201_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1986, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On the Existence of Solutions of the Darboux Problem for the Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations in Banach Spaces.

BOGDAN RZEPECKI (*)

SUMMARY - We are interested in the existence of solutions of the Darboux problem for the hyperbolic equation $z_{xy} = f(x, y, z, z_{xy})$ on the quarter-plane $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$. Here f is a function with values in a Banach space satisfying some regularity Ambrosetti type condition expressed in terms of the measure of noncompactness α and a Lipschitz condition in the last variable.

1. Let $J = [0, \infty)$ and $Q = J \times J$. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and let f be an E -valued function defined on $\Omega = Q \times E \times E$. We are interested in the existence of solutions of the Darboux problem for the hyperbolic partial differential equation with implicit derivative

$$(+) \quad z_{xy} = f(x, y, z, z_{xy})$$

via a fixed point theorem of Sadovskii [12].

Let σ, τ be functions from J to E such that $\sigma(0) = \tau(0)$. By (PD) we shall denote the problem of finding a solution (in the classical sense) of equation (+) satisfying the initial conditions

$$z(x, 0) = \sigma(x), \quad z(0, y) = \tau(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \geq 0.$$

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University, Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland.

We deal with (PD) using a method developed by Ambrosetti [2] and Goebel and Rzymowski [7] concerning Cauchy problem for ordinary differential equations with the independent variable in a compact interval of J .

2. Denote by \mathcal{S}_∞ the set of all nonnegative real sequences and \emptyset the zero sequence. For $\xi = (\xi_n), \eta = (\eta_n) \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$ we write $\xi < \eta$ if $\xi \neq \eta$ and $\xi_n \leq \eta_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let \mathfrak{X}_0 be a closed convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space. Let Φ be a function which maps each nonempty subset Z of \mathfrak{X}_0 to a sequence $\Phi(Z) \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$ such that (1) $\Phi(\{z\} \cup Z) = \Phi(Z)$ for $z \in \mathfrak{X}_0$, (2) $\Phi(\overline{\text{co}} Z) = \Phi(Z)$ (here $\overline{\text{co}} Z$ is the closed convex hull of Z), and (3) if $\Phi(Z) = \emptyset$ then \bar{Z} is compact.

For such Φ we have the following theorem of Sadovskii (cf. [12], Theorem 3.4.3):

If T is a continuous mapping of \mathfrak{X}_0 into itself and $\Phi(T[Z]) < \Phi(Z)$ for arbitrary nonempty subset Z of \mathfrak{X}_0 with $\Phi(Z) > \emptyset$, then T has a fixed point in \mathfrak{X}_0 :

3. Let α denote the Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness in E (see e.g. [6], [8]). Moreover if Z is a set of functions on Q

$$Z(x, y) = \{z(x, y) : z \in Z\}$$

and

$$\int_0^x \int_0^y Z(t, s) dt ds = \left\{ \int_0^x \int_0^y z(t, s) dt ds : z \in Z \right\}$$

for $x, y \in J$.

The Lemma below is an adaptation of the corresponding result of Goebel and Rzymowski ([3], [7]).

LEMMA. If W is a bounded equicontinuous subset of usual Banach space of continuous E -valued functions defined on a compact subset $P = [0, a] \times [0, a]$ of Q , then

$$\alpha \left(\int_0^x \int_0^y W(t, s) dt ds \right) \leq \int_0^x \int_0^y \alpha(W(t, s)) dt ds$$

for (x, y) in P .

Our result reads as follows.

THEOREM. Let σ, σ', τ and τ' be continuous on J . Let f be uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of Ω and

$$\|f(x, y, u, v)\| \leq G(x, y, \|u\|, \|v\|) \quad \text{for } (x, y, u, v) \in \Omega.$$

Suppose that for each bounded subset P of Q there exist nonnegative constants $k(P)$ and $L(P) < \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$\alpha(f[x, y, U, v]) \leq k(P) \alpha(U)$$

and

$$\|f(x, y, u, v_1) - f(x, y, u, v_2)\| \leq L(P) \|v_1 - v_2\|$$

for all $(x, y) \in P, u, v, v_1, v_2$ in E and for any nonempty bounded subset U of E . Assume in addition that the function $(x, y, r, s) \mapsto G(x, y, r, s)$ is monotonic nondecreasing for each $(x, y) \in Q$ (m.e. $0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2$ and $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2$ implies $G(x, y, r_1, s_1) \leq G(x, y, r_2, s_2)$) and the scalar inequality

$$G\left(x, y, \int_0^x \int_0^y g(t, s) dt ds, g(x, y)\right) \leq g(x, y)$$

has a locally bounded solution g_0 existing on Q .

Under the hypotheses, (PD) has at least one solution on Q .

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\sigma \equiv 0$ and $\tau \equiv 0$. Therefore, (PD) is equivalent to the functional-integral equation

$$w(x, y) = f\left(x, y, \int_0^x \int_0^y w(t, s) dt ds, w(x, y)\right).$$

Denote by $C(Q, E)$ the space of all continuous functions from Q to E ($C(Q, E)$ is a Fréchet space whose topology is introduced by seminorms of uniform convergence on compact subsets of Q), and by \mathfrak{X} the set of all $z \in C(Q, E)$ with

$$\|z(x, y)\| \leq g_0(x, y) \quad \text{on } Q.$$

Let P be a bounded subset of Q . From the uniform continuity

of f on bounded subsets of Ω follows the existence of a function $\delta_P: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|f(x', y', \int_0^{x'} \int_0^{y'} z(t, s) dt ds, z(x, y)) - f(x'', y'', \int_0^{x''} \int_0^{y''} z(t, s) dt ds, z(x, y))\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $z \in \mathfrak{X}$, $(x, y) \in P$ and (x', y') , $(x'', y'') \in P$ with $|x' - x''| < \delta_P(\varepsilon)$ and $|y' - y''| < \delta_P(\varepsilon)$.

Consider the set \mathfrak{X}_0 of $z \in \mathfrak{X}$ possessing the following property: for each bounded subset P of Q , $\varepsilon > 0$ and $|x' - x''| < \delta_P(\varepsilon)$, $|y' - y''| < \delta_P(\varepsilon)$ (here (x', y') , $(x'', y'') \in P$) there holds $\|z(x', y') - z(x'', y'')\| < (1 - L(P))^{-1} \varepsilon$. The set \mathfrak{X}_0 is a closed convex and almost equicontinuous subset of $C(Q, E)$. To apply our fixed point theorem we define a continuous mapping T of $C(Q, E)$ into itself by the formula

$$(Tw)(x, y) = f\left(x, y, \int_0^x \int_0^y w(t, s) dt ds, w(x, y)\right).$$

Let $z \in \mathfrak{X}_0$. Then

$$\|(Tz)(x, y)\| \leq G\left(x, y, \int_0^x \int_0^y \|z(t, s)\| dt ds, \|z(x, y)\|\right) \leq g_0(x, y)$$

for $(x, y) \in Q$. Further, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and (x', y') , $(x'', y'') \in P$ such that $|x' - x''| < \delta_P(\varepsilon)$, $|y' - y''| < \delta_P(\varepsilon)$ we have

$$\|(Tz)(x', y') - (Tz)(x'', y'')\| \leq \|f(x', y', \int_0^{x'} \int_0^{y'} z(t, s) dt ds, z(x', y')) - f(x', y', \int_0^{x'} \int_0^{y'} z(t, s) dt ds, z(x'', y''))\| + \|f(x', y', \int_0^{x'} \int_0^{y'} z(t, s) dt ds, z(x'', y'')) - f(x'', y'', \int_0^{x''} \int_0^{y''} z(t, s) dt ds, z(x'', y''))\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 -f\left(x'', y'', \int_0^{x''} \int_0^{y''} z(t, s) dt ds, z(x'', y'')\right) &\| \leq \\
 &\leq L(P) \|z(x', y') - z(x'', y'')\| + \varepsilon \leq (1 - L(P))^{-1} \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $Tz \in \mathfrak{X}_0$. Consequently, $T[\mathfrak{X}_0] \subset \mathfrak{X}_0$.

Let n be a positive integer and let Z be a nonempty subset of \mathfrak{X}_0 . Put $P_n = [0, n] \times [0, n]$, $k_n = k(P_n)$ and $L_n = L(P_n)$. Now we shall show the basic inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad \sup_{(x, y) \in P_n} \exp(-p_n(x + y)) \alpha(T[Z](x, y)) &\leq (p_n^{-2} k_n + 2L_n) \cdot \sup_{(x, y) \in P_n} \\
 &\exp(-p_n(x + y)) \alpha(Z(x, y)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $p_n \geq 0$.

To this end, fix (x, y) in P_n . By Lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha\left(\int_0^x \int_0^y Z(t, s) dt ds\right) &\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y \alpha(Z(t, s)) dt ds \leq \\
 &\leq \sup_{(t, s) \in P_n} \exp(-p_n(t + s)) \alpha(Z(t, s)) \cdot \int_0^x \int_0^y \exp(p_n(t + s)) dt ds < \\
 &< p_n^{-2} \cdot \exp(p_n(x + y)) \cdot \sup_{(t, s) \in P_n} \exp(-p_n(t + s)) \alpha(Z(t, s)).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify (see [11], p. 476) that

$$\alpha(T[Z](x, y)) \leq k_n \cdot \alpha\left(\int_0^x \int_0^y Z(t, s) dt ds\right) + 2L_n \cdot \alpha(Z(x, y)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exp(-p_n(x + y)) \alpha(T[Z](x, y)) &< \\
 &< (p_n^{-2} k_n + 2L_n) \cdot \sup_{(t, s) \in P_n} \exp(-p_n(t + s)) \alpha(Z(t, s))
 \end{aligned}$$

and our inequality is proved.

Let $p_n^2 > (1 - 2L_n)^{-1}k_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Define:

$$\Phi(Z) = \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in P_1} \exp(-p_1(x+y)) \alpha(Z(x,y)), \right. \\ \left. \sup_{(x,y) \in P_2} \exp(-p_2(x+y)) \alpha(Z(x,y)), \dots \right)$$

for any nonempty subset Z of \mathfrak{X}_0 . Evidently, $\Phi(Z) \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$. By Ascoli theorem and properties of α our function Φ satisfy conditions (1)-(3) listed in Section 2. From inequality (*) it follows that $\Phi(T[Z]) < \Phi(Z)$ whenever $\Phi(Z) > \emptyset$, and all assumptions of Sadovskii's fixed point theorem are satisfied. Consequently, T has a fixed point in \mathfrak{X}_0 which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. ABIAN - A. B. BROWN, *On the solution of simultaneous first order implicit differential equations*, Math. Annalen, **137** (1959), pp. 9-16.
- [2] A. AMBROSETTI, *Un teorema di esistenza per le equazioni differenziali negli spazi di Banach*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **39** (1967), pp. 349-360.
- [3] J. BANAS - K. GOEBEL, *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*, Lect. Notes Pure Applied Math., **60**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1980.
- [4] R. CONTI, *Sulla risoluzione dell'equazione $F(t, x, dx/dt) = 0$* , Annali di Mat. Pura ed Appl., **48** (1959), pp. 97-102.
- [5] G. DARBO, *Punti uniti in trasformazioni a codominio non compatto*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **24** (1955), pp. 84-92.
- [6] K. DEIMLING, *Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Lect. Notes in Math., **596**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [7] K. GOEBEL - W. RZYMOWSKI, *An existence theorem for the equations $x' = f(t, x)$ in Banach space*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., **18** (1970), pp. 367-370.
- [8] R. H. MARTIN, *Nonlinear Operators and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1976.
- [9] P. NEGRINI, *Sul problema di Darboux negli spazi di Banach*, Bollettino U.M.I., (5), **17-A** (1980), pp. 156-160.
- [10] G. PULVIRENTI, *Equazioni differenziali in forma implicita in uno spazio di Banach*, Annali di Mat. Pura ed Appl., **56** (1961), pp. 177-191.
- [11] B. RZEPECKI, *Remarks on Schauder's fixed point principle and its applications*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math., **27** (1979), pp. 473-479.
- [12] B. N. SADOVSKII, *Limit compact and condensing operators*, Russian Math. Surveys, **27** (1972), pp. 86-144.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 9 luglio 1985.