Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova

Jan Ambrosiewicz

On the squares of sets of linear groups

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 75 (1986), p. 253-256

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1986__75__253_0>

REND. SEM. MAT. UNIV. PADOVA, Vol. 75 (1986)

On the Squares of Sets of Linear Groups.

JAN AMBROSIEWICZ (*)

The covering problem ($CC \supseteq G$, C-conjugacy class) for the group $PSL(2, q)$ was described in the paper [1]. In this paper we investigate squares of sets $K_w = \{g \in G: |g| = w\}$ of elements of the same order of linear groups. We will say that the group G has the property W if for each w, the set $K_w K_w$ is a subgroup of G (see [2]). We have proved that the set K_2K_2 is not a subgroup in any groups $GL(n, K)$, $SL(n, K)$, $PSL(n, K)$ for $n \geqslant 3$. We have proved, too, that $K_2K_2 \leqslant GL(2, K)$, $K_2K_2 \leqslant SL(2, K)$ for char $K = 2$ and $K_2K_2 \not\leqslant GL(2, K)$ for char $K \neq 2$. The set K_2K_2 of the group $PSL(2, q)$ is described in Theorem 5.

The following Lemma will be useful in the sequel.

LEMMA 1. Let G be a group. An element $g \in K_2^m$ $(m \geqslant 2)$ if and only if there is an element $x \in K_2^{m-1}$, $x \neq g^{-1}$ such that $(gx)^2 = 1$.

PROOF. If $g = s_1 s_2 \ldots s_m$, $s_i \in K_2$ then $g^{-1} = xgx$ where $x = s_m s_{m-1} \ldots s_2$. Conversely, if if $(gx)^2 = 1$ and $x \in K_2^{m-1}$ then $g = x^{-1}(g^{-1}x^{-1})$ and $(g^{-1}x^{-1})^2 = g^{-1}x^{-1}g^{-1}x^{-1} = g^{-1}g = 1$. Since $g^{-1}x^{-1} \neq 1$ by assumption, hence $g \in K_2^m$.

THEOREM 1. The groups $GL(n, K)$, $SL(n, K)$ and $PSL(n, K)$ with $n \geqslant 3$ over any field K have not property W.

PROOF. Let us consider all possible cases: (i) $|K| > 3$, (ii) $|K| = 2$, (iii) $|K| = 3$.

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Institute of Mathematics ul. Dzierzynskriego 15 m 99, 15-099 Bialystok - Polonia.

Ad (i). In this case there is an element u such that $u^2 \neq 1$.

If $A = \operatorname{diag}[u^{-1}, u, 1]$, $B = \operatorname{diag}[1, u, u^{-1}]$ with $u^2 \neq 1$ then A, $B \in K_2K_2$, $AB \notin K_2K_2$ by Lemma 1 ($m = 2$). Using this fact we can see that quasidiagonal matrices $[A, 1, \dots, 1]$, $[B, 1, \dots, 1]$ belong to the set K_2K_2 but their product do not belong to the set K_2K_2. In the remain cases we act similarly, namely in thefase (ii) one can take

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and in the case (iii)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $A_8 \simeq PSL(4, 2)$, $G_{168} \simeq PSL(3, 2)$ (see [4]) then by Theorem 1 the simple group A_8 and G_{168} have not property W.

REMARK 1. If $X \in K_2 \subset GL(2, K)$ and char $K = 2$ then det $X = 1$.

THEOREM 2. If char $K = 2$ and $|K| > 2$ then $A \in K_2K_2 \subseteq GL(2, K)$ if and only if det $A = 1$ i.e. $K_2K_2 = SL(2, K)$.

PROOF. Taking into consideration Corollary 4.7 p. 360 of [3] it is sufficient enough to show our proposition for matrices $A = N_1 = [0, 1; -a_2, -a_1]$, $A = N_2 = [k_1, 0; 0, k_2]$.

By Lemma 1

$$(1) \quad N_i \in K_2K_2 \iff \underset{\substack{X \in K_2 \\ X \neq N_i}}{\exists} (XN_i = N_1^{-1}X,\ \det X \neq 0), \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

If $N_i \in K_2K_2$ then det $N_i = 1$ by Remark 1. If det $N_1 = 1$ then the equation $XN_1 = N_1^{-1}X$ is satisfied by $X = [0, 1;\ 1, 0]$ for $a_1 \neq 0$ and $X = [u, u+1;\ u+1, u]$ ($u \neq 0$, $u \neq 1$) for $a_1 = 0$. Therefore $N_1 \in K_2K_2$. The equation $XN_2 = N_2^{-1}X$ has a solution $X \in GL(2, K)$ if and only if $k_1k_2 = 1$ i.e. det $N_2 = 1$. We can take $X = [0, 1;\ 1, 0]$ which satisfies other condition of (1).

REMARK 2. If $|K| = 2$ then $K_2K_2 \leqslant GL(2, K) = SL(2, K) = PSL(2, K)$ what one can verify by calculations.

COROLLARY 2.1. If char $K = 2$ and $|K| > 2$ then $K_2K_2 = PSL(2, K)$.
The proof results from Theorem 2 and from the equality $SL(2, K) = PSL(2, K)$.

THEOREM 3. If char $K \neq 2$ then $K_2K_2 \not\leqslant GL(2, K)$.
Indeed, by Lemma 1 and by easy calculations we have

$$[-1, 0; 0, 1],\ [0, 1; -1, -a_1] \in K_2K_2 (a_1 \neq 0)$$

and

$$[-1, 0; 0, 1]\cdot[0, 1; -1, -a_1] = [0, -1; -1, -a_1] \notin K_2K_2 .$$

Theorem 4. The set K_2K_2 is a subgroup of the group $SL(2, K)$.

PROOF. If char $K = 2$ then Theorem 4 results from Remark 2 and from Theorem 2. If char $K \neq 2$ then only the matrix $[-1, 0; 0, -1]$ has the order 2 what one can verify by calculations.

THEOREM 5. (*a*) If in the field K (char $K \neq 2$) the element -1 is a square then $K_2K_2 = PSL(2, K)$.

(*b*) If in the field K (char $K \neq 2$, $|K| \neq 3$) the element -1 is not a square then $K_2K_2 \not\leqslant PSL(2, K)$.

PROOF. (*a*) One can verify that $K_2 = \{[a, b; c, -a], a^2 + bc = -1\}$ in the group $PSL(2, K)$. By Lemma 1 we have

$$A \in K_2K_2 \iff \exists_{\substack{T \in K_2 \\ T \neq A}} A^{-1} = T^{-1}AT . \tag{2}$$

Let $T = [x, y; z, -x]$, $x^2 + yz = -1$, $A = [a_{11}, a_{12}; a_{21}, a_{22}]$. The condition (2) is equivalent to the solvability of the system equations

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + yz = -1 \\ a_{21}y + a_{12}z = (a_{22} - a_{11})x \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

or to the solvability of the equation

$$[(a_{11} + a_{22})^2 - 4]x^2 + 4a_{12}a_{21} = u^2 \text{ if } a_{12} \neq 0 \text{ or } a_{21} \neq 0 . \tag{4}$$

One can verify that in (2) we can take $T = [0, y; y^{-1}, 0]$ if $a_{12} = a_{21} = 0$; $T = [x, (a_{22} - a_{11})a_{21}^{-1}x; 0, -x]$, $x^2 = -1$ if $a_{21} \neq 0$ and $T = [x, 0; (a_{22} - a_{11})a_{12}^{-1}x, -x]$, $x^2 = -1$ if $a_{12} \neq 0$. Therefore $K_2K_2 = PSL(2, K)$.

(*b*) The matrices $A = [-1, 1; 1, -2]$, $T = [0, 1; -1, 0]$ fulfil the condition (2). Therefore $A \in K_2K_2$ and $A \neq I$.

If $A = [0, 1; -1, \pm 2]$ then the equation (4) has no solution and $A \notin K_2K_2$. Since K_2K_2 is a normal subset and in this case $PSL(2, K)$ is a simple group then $K_2K_2 \nleqslant PSL(2, K)$.

REMARK 3. If $|K| = 3$ then K_2K_2 is a subgroup of $PSL(2, K)$ what can be verified by calculations.

COROLLARY 5.1. $K_2K_2 = A_6$ in the alternating group A_6.

The proof results from the fact $A_6 \simeq PSL(2, K)$ (see [4]) and from (*a*) of Theorem 5.

REFERENCES

[1] J. L. BRENNER - L. CARLITZ, *Covering theorems for finite nonabelian simple groups, III*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Uni. Padova, **55** (1976).

[2] E. AMBROSIEWICZ, *On groups having the property W*, Demonstratio Mathematica, **10** (1977), pag. 2.

[3] T. W. HUNGEERFORD, *Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1974.

[4] B. HUPPERT, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione l'8 dicembre 1984 ed in forma modificata il 26 marzo 1985.