

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

N. SANKARAN

RAM AVTAR YADAV

G-domains and pseudo-valuations

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 61 (1979), p. 33-38

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1979__61__33_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1979, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

G -Domains and Pseudo-Valuations.

N. SANKARAN - RAM AVTAR YADAV (*)

1. Introduction.

In this note we show that there is a one to one correspondence between the equivalence classes of pseudovaluations on a field K and the equivalence classes of G -domains contained in K and having K as their field of quotient (theorem 3). We also show that if a G -domain is completely integrally closed, then it gives rise to a homogeneous pseudo-valuation and conversely (theorem 5 and 6). We recall all the necessary definitions and basic results to make this note reasonably self contained.

2. Definitions.

Let R be an integral domain and K be its field of quotients. We say R is a G -domain if K is finitely generated as a ring over R . That is to say $R[a_1, \dots, a_n] = K$ where $a_i \in K$. It is easy to see that if R is a G -domain then $K = R[u^{-1}]$ where u belongs to R . See [2] for details. In case $K \neq R$, then we have

- (i) $u^{-1} \notin R$,
- (ii) $\dots u^2 \cdot R \subset u \cdot R \subset u^{-1} \cdot R \subset u^{-2} \cdot R \subset \dots$,
- (iii) $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} u^{-n} R$,
- (iv) $0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} u^n R$.

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Panjab University, Department of Mathematics Chandigarh - 14 India.

Only the last needs to be checked as the other three are evident. Suppose $0 \neq a \in \bigcap u^n \cdot R$. Then if we take a^{-1} it cannot belong to any $u^{-n}R$, in contradiction to (iii), as otherwise $a^{-1} \in u^{-n}R$, $a \in u^{n+1}R \Rightarrow \Rightarrow a^{-1}a = 1 \in uR$, in contradiction to the fact that u is not a unit.

We recall a *pseudo valuation* ω on a field K is a real valued function such that

- (i) $\omega(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in K$ with equality holding where $x = 0$;
- (ii) $\omega(x \cdot y) \leq \omega(x) + \omega(y)$ and
- (iii) $\omega(x - y) \leq \max\{\omega(x), \omega(y)\}$ for all x and y in K .

In case we have

$$(iii') \quad \omega(x - y) \leq \max\{\omega(x), \omega(y)\}$$

then ω is said to be a *non-archimedean*.

If R is a G -domain with $K = R[u^{-1}]$, then by setting

$$\omega(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{-v(x)} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $v(x) = n$ whenever $x \in u^n \cdot R \setminus u^{(n+1)} \cdot R$, we find that ω satisfies all the conditions of a pseudo valuation with

$$\omega(x - y) \leq \alpha \cdot \max\{\omega(x), \omega(y)\}.$$

We have the following:

3. Results.

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a G -domain with its quotient field $K = R[u^{-1}]$. Then there exists a pseudo valuation ω_u on K such that*

$$R = \{x \in K \mid \omega_u(x) \leq 1\}.$$

Moreover, if t is any other element in R such that $K = R[t^{-1}]$ and ω_t is the pseudo valuation arising out of t then ω_u and ω_t are equivalent in the sense that they define the same topology.

PROOF. Setting $\omega = \omega_u$ in the discussion in **2** and using theorem 4.1 of Cohn [1], we get that ω_u is a pseudo valuation.

Since $v(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in R$ and $\omega_u(x) = 2^{-v(x)} \leq 1$ for these x we get that

$$R = \{x \in K | \omega_u(x) \leq 1\}.$$

The topology arising out of ω_u has $\{u^n \cdot R\}$ as basis of neighbourhoods of 0. Similarly $\{t^n \cdot R\}$ is a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 with respect to the pseudovaluation ω_t and as these topologies are dependent on R and not on the gauge elements u and t we have the desired conclusion.

Thus to each G -domain R we have associated an equivalence class of pseudo valuations. Next we show that given a pseudovaluation ω on K , there exists a G -domain R_ω associated with ω such that the pseudovaluation arising out of R_ω is equivalent to ω .

THEOREM 2. *Let ω be a non-trivial pseudovaluation on a field K and $\mathcal{D} = \{x \in K | \omega(x) < 1\}$. If $R_\omega = \{x \in K | x \cdot \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}\}$ then R_ω is a G -domain having K as its field of quotients.*

PROOF. That R_ω is a subring of K can be verified easily. As ω is non-trivial there exist elements u in K such that $0 < \omega(u) < 1$. Then as $K = \bigcup_n u^{-n} \cdot R_\omega$ it is easily seen that $K = R[u^{-1}]$. Now $\{u^n \cdot R_\omega\}$ is a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 with respect to the topology of the pseudovaluation ω . If ω_u is the pseudo valuation on K arising out of R_ω with u as a gauge element, then the topology induced by ω_u and ω are equal. Thus ω and ω_u are equivalent pseudo valuations.

Next we define two G -domains R_1 and R_2 having the same quotients field K to be equivalent if there exist non-zero element a_1 and a_2 in K such that $a_1 \cdot R_1 \subset R_2$ and $a_2 \cdot R_2 \subset R_1$.

The following theorem establishes a one-one correspondence between the equivalence class of pseudo valuations and equivalent G -domains.

THEOREM 3. *Let R_i ($i = 1, 2$) be two G -domains having the same field of quotients K . Then R_1 and R_2 are equivalent if and only if both these give rise to the same equivalence class of pseudo valuations.*

PROOF. Suppose $K = R_i[u_i^{-1}]$ for $i = 1, 2$. If R_1 and R_2 are equivalent, then the topologies induced by the pseudovaluations are equivalent and hence the two pseudo valuations belong to the same class.

On the other hand, if ψ_1 and ψ_2 are two equivalent pseudo valuations and $R_i = R_{\psi_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) then R_i is a G -domain by theorem 2. If $u_1, u_2 \in K$ such that $K = R_i[u_i^{-1}]$, then a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 under the topology induced by ψ_i is given by $\{u_i^n \cdot R_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. As these topologies are equivalent we find that $R_2 \subset u_1^{-n_1} \cdot R_1$ and $R_1 \subset u_2^{-n_2} \cdot R_2$. Thus $u_1^{n_1} \cdot R_2 \subset R_1$ and $u_2^{n_2} \cdot R_1 \subset R_2$ so that R_1 and R_2 are equivalent.

We recall that a pseudovaluation is called *homogeneous* if $\omega(x^n) = (\omega(x))^n$ for all integers $n > 0$, and all x in K .

As examples of homogeneous pseudovaluations we cite the usual valuations and $\text{Min} \{v_i(x)\} = \omega(x)$ for any finite set of valuations on a given field.

We need the notion of complete integral closures. We begin with the definition of almost integral elements. Let $R \subset S$ be two commutative rings with the same identity. An element s in S is called *almost integral* over R if $\{s^n\}$, for all $n > 0$ belongs to a finite R -submodule of S .

If $R = R^* = \{x \in S \mid x \text{ is almost integral over } R\}$, then we say that R is *completely integrally closed* in S . If $R \subset R^*$ then R^* is called the complete integral closures of R in S . In case S is taken as the total quotient ring of R and R is completely integrally closed in S , then we say that R is *completely integrally closed*.

The complete integral closure R^* of a ring R with total quotient ring K is given by

$$R^* = \{x \in K \mid \text{there exists a regular element } r \text{ in } R \text{ such that } r \cdot x^n \text{ belongs to } R \text{ for all positive integers } n\}.$$

THEOREM 4. *If two G -domains R_1 and R_2 having the same field of quotients K are equivalent, then their complete integral closures are equal.*

PROOF. Let R_i^* be the complete integral closure of R_i for $i = 1, 2$. As R_1 is equivalent to R_2 , we have an element $a_1 \neq 0$ such that $a_1 R_1 \subset R_2$. If $x \in R_1^*$ then, from the definition of complete integral closure, we have a regular element r in R_1 such that $r \cdot x^n \in R_1$ for all n . Therefore, $(a_1 \cdot r) \cdot x^n \in R_2$ for all n . As R_1 and R_2 are both domains and $(r \cdot a_1)$ is also regular we find that $x \in R_2^*$. Thus $R_1^* \subset R_2^*$ and similarly $R_2^* \subset R_1^*$.

The next theorem connects the homogeneous pseudo valuation with completely integrally closed G -domains.

THEOREM 5. *Let ω be a homogeneous pseudo valuation on K . Then the set of ω -integers, namely*

$$R = \{x \in K \mid x \cdot \mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}\}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{x \in K \mid \omega(x) < 1\},$$

is a completely integrally closed G -domain in K having K as its field of quotients.

PROOF. From theorem 2, we find that R is a G -domain having K as its field of quotients. We need to show that R is completely integrally closed. For this, let $x \in K$ and $a, a \cdot x, a \cdot x^2, \dots$ belong to R for some non-zero element a in R . As ω is homogeneous

$$\omega(x)^n = \omega(x^n) = \omega(a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot x^n) \leq \omega(a^{-1}) \cdot \omega(a \cdot x^n) \leq \omega(a^{-1})$$

since $\omega(a \cdot x^n) \leq 1$ as $a \cdot x^n \in R$. Thus $\omega(x) \leq \sqrt[n]{\omega(a^{-1})}$ and this holds for every integer n . Therefore $\omega(x) \leq 1$ so that $x \in R$.

The following is converse to the above.

THEOREM 6. *Let R be a completely integrally closed G -domain with K as its quotient field. Then in the equivalence class of pseudo valuations arising out of R , there is a homogeneous pseudo valuation.*

PROOF. Let $u \in R$ be such that $K = R[u^{-1}]$. This u enables us to define the integer valued function ν on K . Now set $\mu(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n \cdot \nu(x^n)$. This limit exists since

$$\nu(x) \leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \nu(x^n) \leq \nu(x) + 1.$$

We can use μ to define a gauge function in the sense of Cohn [1] and use this gauge function to define a pseudovaluation by stipulating that

$$\omega(x) = 2^{-\mu(x)}.$$

This ω is homogeneous as $\mu(x^n) = n \cdot \mu(x)$.

This we see that there is a one-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of homogeneous pseudo valuations on a field K

and completely integrally closed G -domains having K as their field of quotients.

Surjit Singh, in his thesis, has shown that any pseudo valuation on an A -field (number or an algebraic function field in one variable over a finite field) can be expressed as supremum of a finite number of valuations. Now, given a valuation v on an A -field, its valuation ring is evidently a G -domain with any uniformizing parameter playing the role of u whose inverse generates the quotients field. If ω is any pseudovaluation on an A -field then the ω -integers form a G -domain which is moreover a completely integrally closed ring. On the other hand, every G -domain in an A -field gives rise to a pseudovaluation which can be realized as the supremum of a finite number of valuations. Thus we get a complete description of all completely integrally closed G -domains contained in an A -field.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. M. COHN, *An invariant characterization of pseudovaluations on a field*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **50** (1954).
- [2] I. KAPLANSKY, *Commutative Rings*, Allyn and Bacon.
- [3] S. SINGH, *Ph.D. thesis*, Panjab University (1975).

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 10 febbraio 1978.