

CLAES JOHNSON

An Elasto-Plastic Contact Problem

Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, 1977, fascicule S4

« Journées éléments finis », , p. 1-16

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1977__S4_A5_0

© Département de mathématiques et informatique, université de Rennes, 1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications mathématiques et informatiques de Rennes » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

An elasto-plastic contact problem

Claes Johnson

77.07 R

Introduction

Duvaut [1] has studied the problem of finding the stresses in an elastic-perfectly plastic body $\mathcal{E}$ in frictionsless contact with a rigid body $\mathcal{B}$ which is pressed against $\mathcal{E}$. In this note we extend the study of Duvaut and look also for the displacements of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Since the displacements in an elastic-perfectly plastic body may be discontinuous, we shall assume a suitable type of hardening of the elasto-plastic material in which case the displacements are more regular (cf. [4]). We shall consider a stationary case corresponding to Henky's law. The evolution case, corresponding to the Prandtl-Reuss' law, seems to be more difficult and is not treated here.

In Section 1 we prove existence and uniqueness of a solution of the elasto-plastic contact problem. Then, in Section 2 we study a finite element method for finding an approximate solution of the contact problem and we prove a convergence result. Finally, we give an algorithm for solving the discrete problem.

By C we shall denote a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

1. THE CONTACT PROBLEM

We shall assume that initially the elasto-plastic body $\mathcal{E}$ occupies the bounded region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with boundary Γ and that Γ contains an open set $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_1$ in the plane $\{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3: x_3 = 0\}$. Moreover, we shall assume that initially the rigid body $\mathcal{B}$ occupies the region $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3: -x_3 \geq \varphi(x_1, x_2), (x_1, x_2) \in \Gamma_0\}$, where Γ_0 is a compact set contained in $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_1$ with smooth boundary and $\varphi: \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, non-negative and $\varphi(\bar{x}) = 0$ for some $\bar{x} \in \Gamma_0$ (see Fig. 1.).




Fig. 1.

Let the boundary of $\mathcal{E}$ be fixed on the portion $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma \setminus \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_1$ and free on $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_1$, where the surface measure of Γ_2 is positive. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be acted upon by the vertical force F and assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is free to move vertically, whereas rotation and horizontal displacement are prevented. We want to find the vertical displacement U of $\mathcal{B}$ and the stress $\sigma = \{\sigma_{ij}\}$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, and displacement $u = \{u_i\}$ of $\mathcal{E}$, where u_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, is the displacement in the x_i -direction. The reference configuration is the one in fig. 1. We shall assume that the displacements are small, i.e., the nonlinearity due to change in geometry is negligible.

support of σ_{33} is contained in $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_2$. In this case it follows from (1.4 b) that also

$$(1.7) \quad - \int_{\Gamma_1}^0 \sigma_{33} ds = F,$$

$$(1.8) \quad \int_{\Gamma_1}^0 \sigma_{33} (u_3 + \varphi - U) ds = 0.$$

Since

$$(1.9) \quad u_3 + \varphi - U \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1^0,$$

the relation (1.8) implies that formally

$$(1.10) \quad \sigma_{33} = 0 \quad \text{if } u_3 + \varphi - U > 0.$$

We note that (1.7), (1.9) and (1.10) is the intuitive way of formulating the contact conditions. Conversely, if (1.5) - (1.9) hold then (1.4 b) follows. Finally, (1.4 a) is one way of formulating the constitutive law for the elasto-plastic material relation $\hat{\sigma}$ and $\varepsilon(u)$.

Remark 2. Let us observe that $(\hat{\sigma}, (u, U))$ can equivalently be characterized as a saddle point for the Lagrangian $L : P \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(1.11) \quad L(\hat{\tau}, (v, V)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{\tau}\|^2 - (\varepsilon(v), \tau) + FV.$$

We shall make the following assumption:

$$(1.12) \quad \text{There is a constant } C \text{ such that for all } \hat{\sigma} \in P \text{ and } \chi \in H \\ \text{there is } \xi \in [L^2(\Omega)]^m \text{ with } \|\xi\| \leq C \|\chi\| \text{ such that} \\ \hat{\sigma} + (\chi, \xi) \in P.$$

Lemma 1 If (1.12) holds and $(\hat{\sigma}, \bar{u}) = ((\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\xi}), \bar{u}) \in P \times W$ satisfies

$$(1.13) \quad [\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau} - \hat{\sigma}] - (\varepsilon(\bar{u}), \tau - \bar{\sigma}) \geq 0, \quad \forall \hat{\tau} \in P,$$

then $\bar{u}$ is uniquely determined by $\hat{\sigma}$ and

$$\|\varepsilon(\bar{u})\| \leq C \|\hat{\sigma}\|.$$

Proof For arbitrary $\chi \in H$ we choose $\zeta \in [L^2(\Omega)]^m$ according to the above assumption and we take $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\sigma} + (\chi, \zeta)$ in (1.13). It then follows that

$$(\varepsilon(\bar{u}), \chi) \leq (\hat{\sigma}, (\chi, \zeta)) \leq c \|\hat{\sigma}\| \|\chi\|,$$

which proves the lemma.

Example With $m = 1$ and

$$D = \{(\sigma, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{10} : |s| \leq 1 + \xi\},$$

where $s = \{s_{ij}\}$, $s_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} - (\sigma_{kk}/3)\delta_{ij}$, one can easily verify that (1.12) holds. This choice corresponds to von Mises's yield condition and isotropic hardening (c.f. [4]).

The result of this section is the following:

Theorem 1 If (1.12) holds, then there exists a unique element $(\hat{\sigma}, (u, U)) \in P \times K$ satisfying (1.4).

Proof We introduce the regularized Lagrangian $L_\mu: P \times (W \times \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu(\hat{\tau}, (v, V)) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{\tau}\|^2 - (\varepsilon(v), \tau) - \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\Gamma_0} [(v_3 + \varphi - V)^-]^2 ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu}{2} \|\varepsilon(v)\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} V^2 + FV, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w^- = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } w \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } w > 0, \end{cases}$$

and μ is a positive constant. By [3, Theorem] we obtain existence of a saddle point $(\hat{\sigma}_\mu, (u_\mu, U_\mu))$. The extremality relations are

$$(1.14) \quad [\hat{\sigma}_\mu, \hat{\tau} - \hat{\sigma}_\mu] - (\varepsilon(u_\mu), \tau - \sigma_\mu) \geq 0, \quad \forall \hat{\tau} \in P,$$

$$(1.15) \quad (\sigma_\mu, \varepsilon(w)) = - \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{1}{\mu} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu)^- w_3 ds - \mu (\varepsilon(u_\mu), \varepsilon(w)), \quad \forall w \in W,$$

$$(1.16) \quad - \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{1}{\mu} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu)^- = F - \mu U_\mu.$$

We shall now derive an priori estimate for $(\hat{\sigma}_\mu, (u_\mu, U_\mu))$ and then pass to the limit as μ tends to zero. Taking $\tau = 0$ in (1.14) and using (1.15) with $w = u_\mu$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\hat{\sigma}_\mu\|^2 &\leq (\varepsilon(u_\mu), \sigma_\mu) \\
 &\leq - \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{1}{\mu} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu)^- u_\mu \, ds \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_0} - \frac{1}{\mu} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu)^- (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu)^- \, ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Gamma_0} - \frac{1}{\mu} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu)^- U_\mu + \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{1}{\mu} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu)^- \varphi \, ds \\
 &\leq \int_{\Gamma_0} - \frac{1}{\mu} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu)^- U_\mu,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that φ is nonnegative. Thus using also (1.16), we have

$$(1.17) \quad \|\hat{\sigma}_\mu\|^2 \leq F U_\mu - \mu U_\mu^2 \leq F U_\mu.$$

To proceed we now need the following estimate:

Lemma 2 There is a constant C independent of μ , $0 < \mu \leq 1$, such that

$$0 \leq U_\mu \leq C(1 + \|\varepsilon(u_\mu)\|).$$

Proof The fact that U_μ is nonnegative follows immediately from (1.17), since $F > 0$. To prove the other inequality, we define

$$\Gamma_0^- = \{x \in \Gamma_0 : u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu \leq 0\},$$

$$\Gamma_0^+ = \{x \in \Gamma_0 : u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu > 0\},$$

where we suppress the dependence on μ . By (1.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Gamma_0^-} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu) \, ds &= \int_{\Gamma_0} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu)^- \, ds \\
 &= \mu^2 U_\mu - \mu F \geq -\mu F.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let now $\delta = m(\Gamma_0)/2$, where m denotes twodimensional Lebesgue measure. Then $\delta > 0$ and either $m(\Gamma_0^-) \geq \delta$ or $m(\Gamma_0^+) \geq \delta$. If $m(\Gamma_0^-) \geq \delta$, then we have by the above inequality

$$\delta U_\mu \leq \int_{\Gamma_0^-} U_\mu \, ds \leq \mu F + \int_{\Gamma_0^-} |u_{\mu 3}| \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_0^-} \varphi \, ds.$$

Thus, using the trace inequality (see [2]),

$$(1.18) \quad \int_{\Gamma_0^-} |v| \, ds \leq C \| \varepsilon(v) \|, \quad v \in \mathcal{W},$$

we have

$$U_\mu \leq C(F + \int_{\Gamma_0^-} \varphi + \| \varepsilon(u_\mu) \|),$$

if $m(\Gamma_0^-) \geq \delta$. On the other hand, if $m(\Gamma_0^+) \geq \delta$, then we rely on the following result: There exists a constant C such that if $(v, V) \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathbb{R}$, $E \subset \Gamma_0$ and $V \leq v_3 + \varphi$ on E , $m(E) \geq \delta$, then

$$V \leq C(1 + \| \varepsilon(v) \|).$$

To prove this we argue by contradiction. Thus, we assume that there are sequences $\{C_i\}$, $\{(v_i, V_i)\}$ and $\{E_i\}$ such that $(v_i, V_i) \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathbb{R}$, $E_i \subset \Gamma_0$ and

$$(1.19) \quad V_i \leq v_i + \varphi \text{ on } E_i,$$

$$(1.20) \quad m(E_i) \geq \delta$$

$$(1.21) \quad C_i \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(1.22) \quad V_i > C_i(1 + \| \varepsilon(v_i) \|).$$

Setting $\tilde{v}_i = v_i/V_i$, we see using (1.22) that

$$1 > C_i \| \varepsilon(\tilde{v}_i) \|,$$

so that by (1.21),

$$\| \varepsilon(\tilde{v}_i) \| \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows by the trace inequality (1.18) that

$$\int_{E_i} |\tilde{v}_i| ds \rightarrow 0.$$

But (1.21) and (1.22) imply that $V_i \rightarrow \infty$ and thus we obtain from (1.19) and (1.20),

$$\liminf_{E_i} \int \tilde{v}_i ds \geq \liminf_{E_i} \int \left(1 - \frac{\varphi}{V_i}\right) ds \geq \delta,$$

which leads to a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma.

End of proof of Theorem 1:

By Lemma 1, the hardening assumption (1.12) and (1.17), we now obtain the following a priori estimates:

$$\|\hat{\sigma}_\mu\| \leq C,$$

$$\|\varepsilon(u_\mu)\| \leq C,$$

$$0 \leq u_\mu \leq C.$$

We also have by (1.16),

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} \chi_\mu ds \leq F,$$

where

$$\chi_\mu = -\frac{1}{\mu} (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - u_\mu)^- \geq 0.$$

It follows from these estimates that there exists $(\hat{\sigma}, (u, U)) \in P \times K$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the set of positive measures on Γ_0 , such that for some sequence $\{\mu\}$ tending to zero,

$$(1.23) \quad \hat{\sigma}_\mu \rightarrow \hat{\sigma} \quad \text{weakly in } \hat{H},$$

$$(1.24) \quad u_\mu \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly in } W,$$

$$(1.25) \quad U_\mu \rightarrow U,$$

$$(1.26) \quad \chi_\mu \rightarrow \chi \quad \text{weak star in } \mathcal{M}.$$

We shall now prove that $(\hat{\sigma}, (u, U))$ satisfies (1.4) by passing to the limit in (1.14) - (1.16). Note that since we have only weak convergence, we cannot guarantee that $(\varepsilon(u_\mu), \sigma_\mu)$ tends to $(\varepsilon(u), \sigma)$. First, for any $\hat{\tau} \in P$, we have by (1.14), (1.23) and (1.24),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \limsup \{ [\hat{\sigma}_\mu, \hat{\tau} - \hat{\sigma}_\mu] - (\varepsilon(u_\mu), \tau - \sigma_\mu) \} \\ &\leq [\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau} - \hat{\sigma}] - (\varepsilon(u), \tau) + \limsup (\varepsilon(u_\mu), \sigma_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.15), (1.25) and (1.26),

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup (\varepsilon(u_\mu), \sigma_\mu) \\ &= \limsup \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_0} \chi_\mu u_{\mu 3} - \mu \|\varepsilon(u_\mu)\|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_0} \chi_\mu (u_{\mu 3} + \varphi - U_\mu) ds + \int_{\Gamma_0} \chi_\mu (U_\mu - \varphi) ds \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup \int_{\Gamma_0} \chi_\mu (U_\mu - \varphi) = \langle \chi, U - \varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the pairing between $C(\Gamma_0)$, the set of continuous functions on Γ_0 , and $\mathcal{M}$. Thus,

$$(1.27) \quad [\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau} - \hat{\sigma}] - (\varepsilon(u), \tau) + \langle \chi, U - \varphi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \hat{\tau} \in P.$$

Next, passing to the limit in (1.15), we get

$$(1.28) \quad (\sigma, \varepsilon(v)) = \langle \chi, v_3 \rangle, \quad \forall v \in W \text{ with } v_3 \in C(\Gamma_0).$$

Since $\sigma \in H$, this relation implies that we may identify the measure μ with an element in $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ also denoted by μ . Thus, it follows from (1.28) that

$$(1.29) \quad (\sigma, \varepsilon(v)) = \int_{\Gamma} \mu v_3 ds \quad \forall v \in W,$$

where the integral on the right hand side is to be interpreted as the duality pairing between $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ and $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$. Moreover, $\mu \geq 0$ and $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \Gamma_0$. (Note that in order to verify the above properties of μ , we rely heavily on the fact that Γ_0 is compactly contained in Γ_1^0).

Extending $U - \varphi$ to a function in $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ again denoted by $U - \varphi$, we also have

$$(1.30) \quad \langle \mu, U - \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Gamma} \mu (U - \varphi) ds.$$

Now, taking $v=u$ in (1.29), adding to (1.27) and using also (1.30), we find

$$(1.31) \quad [\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau} - \hat{\sigma}] - (\varepsilon(u), \tau - \sigma - \int_{\Gamma} \mu (u_3 + \varphi - U) ds) \geq 0, \quad \forall \hat{\tau} \in P.$$

Taking here $\hat{\tau} = 0$, we get

$$\int_{\Gamma} \mu (u_3 + \varphi - U) ds \leq 0.$$

But $u_3 + \varphi - U$ is nonnegative on $\text{supp}(\mu)$ and $\mu \geq 0$, so that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \mu (u_3 + \varphi - U) ds \geq 0,$$

and therefore

$$(1.32) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \mu (u_3 + \varphi - U) ds = 0.$$

Thus, it follows from (1.31) that $(\hat{\sigma}, u)$ satisfies (1.4 a).

It remains to prove that (1.4b) holds. Passing to the limit in (1.16), we see that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \chi \, ds = F.$$

Using also (1.29) and (1.32) it follows that if $(v, V) \in K$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sigma, \epsilon(v-u)) - F(V - U) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \chi (v_3 - u_3) \, ds - (V - U) \int_{\Gamma} \chi \, ds \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \chi (v_3 + \varphi - V) \, ds - \int_{\Gamma} \chi (u_3 + \varphi - U) \, ds \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \chi (v_3 + \varphi - U) \, ds \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $v_3 + \varphi - U \geq 0$ on $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_1$.

Finally, to prove uniqueness assume that $(\hat{\sigma}', (u', U')) \in P \times K$ also satisfies (1.4). Then it follows easily that

$$\|\hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}'\|^2 \leq 0,$$

so that $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}'$. Thus, by Lemma 1, we have $u = u'$ and therefore also $U = U'$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

2. A FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR THE CONTACT PROBLEM

We shall use the same finite element approximation as in [4] and [6], i.e. stresses and hardening parameters will be approximated by piecewise constant functions and the displacements by piecewise linear continuous functions.

Let us now assume for simplicity that Ω is a polyhedral domain so that Ω can be "triangulated", i.e., Ω can be written as the union of a family $\mathcal{T}_h$ of disjoint tetrahedrons T :

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} T.$$

Let h denote the maximum of the diameters of the triangles T .

We define

$$\hat{H}_h = \{\hat{\tau} \in \hat{H} : \hat{\tau} \text{ is constant on each } T \in \mathcal{T}_h\},$$

$$W_h = \{w \in W : w \text{ is linear on each } T \in \mathcal{T}_h\},$$

$$\mathcal{W}_h = \{v \in W : v_i \in W_h, i = 1, 2, 3\},$$

$$P_h = \hat{H}_h \cap P,$$

$$K_h = \mathcal{W}_h \cap K.$$

We can now formulate the discrete problem: Find $(\hat{\sigma}_h, (u_h, U_h)) \in P_h \cap K_h$ such that

$$(2.1 \text{ a}) \quad \begin{cases} [\hat{\sigma}_h, \hat{\tau} - \hat{\sigma}_h] - (\varepsilon(u_h), \tau - \sigma_h) \geq 0, & \forall \hat{\tau} \in P_h, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.1 \text{ b}) \quad \begin{cases} (\sigma_h, \varepsilon(v - u_h)) \geq F(v - u_h), & \forall (v, V) \in K_h \end{cases}$$

Existence and uniqueness of a solution of this problem can be demonstrated along the lines of the existence proof for the continuous problem above. In particular we then obtain the following a priori estimate:

$$(2.2) \quad \|\sigma_h\| \leq C.$$

Moreover, the passage to the limit is easier in this case, since P_h and K_h are finite dimensional.

We have the following error estimate:

Theorem 2 There is a constant C independent of h such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{W}} \leq C(\|\hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}_h\| + \|\varepsilon(u) - \varepsilon(v)\|), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{W},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \|\hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}_h\| \leq C(\|\varepsilon(u - v)\| + |u - v|)^{1/2}, \quad \forall (v, V) \in K_h.$$

Proof Let $\hat{\chi}_h$ be the L^2 - projection of $\hat{\sigma}$ onto $\hat{H}_h$, i.e.,

$$(2.5) \quad \hat{\chi}_h|_T = \frac{1}{\text{area}(T)} \int_T \hat{\sigma}(x) dx, \quad T \in \mathcal{T}_h.$$

Since $\hat{\sigma}(x) \in D$ a.e. in Ω and D is convex, it follows that $\hat{\chi}_h|_T \in D$, $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, so that $\hat{\chi}_h \in P_h$. Taking $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\chi}$ in (2.1 a) and $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\sigma}_h$ in (1.4 a), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}_h, \hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}_h] \\ &= [\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}_h] - [\hat{\sigma}_h, \hat{\chi}_h - \hat{\sigma}_h] - [\hat{\sigma}_h, \hat{\sigma} - \hat{\chi}_h] \\ &\leq (\varepsilon(u), \sigma - \sigma_h) - (\varepsilon(u_h), \chi_h - \sigma_h) - [\hat{\sigma}_h, \hat{\sigma} - \hat{\chi}_h] \\ &= (\varepsilon(u - u_h), \sigma - \sigma_h), \end{aligned}$$

since by (2.5), $[\hat{\sigma}_h, \hat{\sigma} - \hat{\chi}_h] = 0$ and $(\varepsilon(u_h), \sigma - \chi_h) = 0$ (recall that $\varepsilon(u)|_T$ is constant if $u_h \in \mathcal{W}_h$). But, taking $(v, V) = (u_h, u_h)$ in (1.4 b) and adding (2.1 b), we see that

$$[\hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}_h, \hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}_h] \leq (\sigma - \sigma_h, \varepsilon(u - u_h)) \leq F(u - v) + (\sigma_h, \varepsilon(v - u)),$$

which together with (2.2) proves (2.4). Finally, (2.3) follows easily from our assumption (1.12).

Corollary $\|\hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}_h\| + \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

We shall now give an algorithm (Uzawa's method) for solving the discrete problem (2.1). We note that the solution $(\hat{\sigma}_h, (u_h, U_h))$ can equivalently be characterized as a saddle point for the Lagrangian $L: P_h \times K_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where L is given by (1.11). It is then natural to consider the following iterative method (cf. [3], [5]): For $n = 0, 1, \dots$, do the following:

(i) given $u_h^n \in \mathcal{W}_h$, find $\hat{\sigma}_h^{n+1} \in P_h$ such that

$$[\hat{\sigma}_h^{n+1}, \hat{\tau} - \hat{\sigma}_h^{n+1}] - (\varepsilon u_h^n, \tau - \sigma_h^{n+1}) \geq 0, \quad \forall \tau \in P_h,$$

(ii) find $(u_h^{n+1}, U_h^{n+1}) \in K_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varepsilon(u_h^{n+1}), \varepsilon(v - u_h^{n+1})) + (U_h^{n+1}, v - U_h^{n+1}) \\ & \geq \rho(\sigma_h^{n+1}, \varepsilon(v - u_h^{n+1})) + \rho F(v - U_h^{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $(u_h^0, U_h^0) \in K_h$ is given and ρ is a positive constant. One can easily prove (see [3], [5]) that

$$\hat{\sigma}_h^n \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_h, \quad u_h^n \rightarrow u_h \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

if ρ is sufficiently small.

References:

- [1] *Duvaut, G.*, Problemes de contact entre corps solides deformables, Applications of Methods of Functional Analysis to Problems in Mechanics, Joint Symposium IUTAM/IMU, Marseille, 1975, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 503, Springer - Verlag Berlin - Heidelberg, 1976.
- [2] *Duvaut, G.*, and *Lions, J. L.*, Les inéquations en Mécanique et en Physique, Dunod, Paris 1972.
- [3] *Ekeland, I.* and *Temam, R.*, Analyse Convexe et Problems Variationelles, Dunod, Paris, 1974.
- [4] *Johnson, C.*, On plasticity with hardening, to appear in Mathematical Analysis and Applications.
- [5] *Johnson, C.*, A mixed finite element method for plasticity with hardening, to appear in SIAM J. of Numerical Analysis.
- [6] *Mercier, B.* and *Falk, R.*, Error estimates for elasto-plastic problems, C.R.A.S, 1976.