J. A. NITSCHE

Least Squares Approximations to First Order Elliptic Systems

Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, 1977, fascicule S4
« Journées éléments finis », , p. 1-14
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1977___S4_A11_0>

LEAST SQUARES APPROXIMATIONS TO

FIRST ORDER ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS

by

J. A. Nitsche

Summary: Linear boundary value problems for elliptic systems in the sense of Petrowski are considered. Using linear finite elements a least squares method is discussed. The concept of nearly zero boundary conditions - i.e. the boundary condition is imposed in the nodes on the boundary exactly - gives quasi-optimal error estimates in the L_2- and W_2^1-norms.

4th Symposium on

Finite Elements

Rennes, France

May 4 - 6, 1977

1. Notations, the Analytic Problem

In the following $u,v,\dots$ will denote pairs (u^1,u^2), $(v^1,v^2),\dots$ of functions defined in a bounded domain $\Omega \subseteq R^2$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$ sufficiently smooth. If both components are in $L_2(\Omega)$ resp. the Sobolev-spaces $W_2^k(\Omega)$ we will write $u \in H_o$ resp. $u \in H_k$. We will also use the notation

$$(1)\qquad \begin{aligned} (u,v) &= (u^1,v^1)_{L_2(\Omega)} + (u^2,v^2)_{L_2(\Omega)} \quad , \\ (u,v)_k &= (u^1,v^1)_{W_2^k(\Omega)} + (u^2,v^2)_{W_2^k(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2)\qquad \|u\| = (u,u)^{1/2} \quad , \quad \|u\|_k = (u,u)_k^{1/2} \quad .$$

As a model problem we will consider the elliptic system

$$(3)\qquad L\,u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega \quad ,$$

i.e.

$$(3)\qquad \left.\begin{aligned} L^1 u &= u_x^1 - u_y^2 + a^{11}u^1 + a^{12}u_y^2 = f^1 \\ L^2 u &= u_y^1 + u_x^2 + a^{21}u^1 + a^{22}u^2 = f^2 \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \text{in } \Omega \; .$$

We remark that any elliptic system in the sense of Petrovski is - up to a coordinate-transformation-equivalent to (3), see

HAACK-HELLWIG [1] . In addition to (3) we impose the boundary condition

$$(4) \qquad l^1(u) = u^1 \cos\sigma + u^2 \sin\sigma = 0 \qquad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \ .$$

Essential for the solvability of the boundary value problem is the index of $\sigma = \sigma(s)$ - s arc-length on $\partial\Omega$ - defined by

$$(5) \qquad \operatorname{ind}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial\Omega} \partial\sigma \ .$$

In case of $n = \operatorname{ind}(\sigma) \geq 0$ then (3), (4) is always solvable, the number of linear independent solutions of the homogeneous problem $(f = 0)$ is $2n + 1$. In case of $n < 0$ (3), (4) possesses a solution only if f fulfills $2|n|-1$ linear independent integral relations.

In order to characterize in case of $n \geq 0$ a special solution to following way is possible: Let $\mathfrak{P}_n(\partial\Omega)$ be a subspace of $L_2(\partial\Omega)$ of dimension $2n + 1$ similar to the space of trigonometric functions of order n . This means there is up to a factor exactly one element in $\mathfrak{P}_n(\partial\Omega)$ with zeros in $2n$ prescribed points on $\partial\Omega$, not necessarily distinct. Then there is one and only one solution of (3), (4) such that

$$(6) \qquad \oint_{\partial\Omega} p_i \, l^2(u) \, ds = r_i \qquad (i = 1,\ldots,2n+1) \ .$$

Here

$$(7) \qquad l^2(u) = -u^1 \sin\sigma + u^2 \cos\sigma$$

denotes the orthogonal complement of the boundary condition (4), the set $\{p_i\}$ forms a basis of $\mathfrak{P}_n(\partial\Omega)$ and $\{r_i\}$ are fixed real numbers.

In case of a non-negative index n there are especially $2n+1$ solutions u_j of (3), (4) with $f = 0$ according to

$$\oint_{\partial\Omega} p_i \, l^2(u_j) ds = \delta_{ij} \quad . \tag{8}$$

For negative indices $n = \operatorname{ind}(\sigma)$ on the other hand there exists one and only one solution of (3) such that

$$l^1(u) \in \mathfrak{P}_{2|n|-1} \quad . \tag{9}$$

In the following we will impose the conditions (6) for non-negative indices of σ and use the weakened form (9) for negative indices.

For functions $p,q \in L_2(\partial\Omega)$ we will use

$$\begin{aligned} < p,q > &= \oint p \, q \, ds \quad , \\ |p| &= < p,p >^{1/2} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The conditions (6) may be rewritten:

$$< p_i, \, l^2(u) > = r_i \qquad (i = 1,\dots,2n+1) \quad . \tag{6'}$$

For $n = \operatorname{ind}(\sigma) \geq 0$ - only this case will be considered here - let H_1^σ be the set of pairs $u = (u^1,u^2)$ with $u \in H_1$ and fulfilling (4) and $H_1^{\sigma \cdot r}$ the subspace fulfilling (6).

For the sake of simplicity the coefficients a^{ij} in (3) as well as σ in (4) are assumed sufficiently smooth. Without loss of generality the elements of $\mathfrak{P}_n(\partial\Omega)$ can be chosen sufficiently smooth and therefore $\mathfrak{P}_n(\partial\Omega)$ can be extended to a space $\mathfrak{P}_n(\overline{\Omega})$ of functions defined in $\overline{\Omega}$.

For the modified boundary vlaue problems (3), (4), (6) in case of a non-negative index resp. (3), (9) in case of a negative index shift-theorems of the type

$$(11) \qquad \|u\|_{k+1} \leq c \left\{ \|f\|_k + \Sigma \, |r_i| \right\}$$

are valid. c depends besides of σ , a^{ik} on $\partial\Omega$ and k .

The statements of these sections are consequences of the theory on elliptic systems developed by VEKUA [3] .

2. Finite Element Spaces

Let Γ_h be a subdivision of Ω into generalized triangles Δ, i.e. Δ is a triangle if $\overline{\Delta}$ and $\partial\Omega$ have in common at most one point and otherwise one of the sides of Δ may be curved. We will only consider regular subdivisions: For $\kappa > 1$ fixed there are to any $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ two circles with radii $\kappa^{-1}h$ and κh contained in Δ resp. containing Δ.

The finite element spaces $S_h = S_h(\Gamma_h)$ we will work with consist of pairs $\chi = (\chi^1, \chi^2)$ of functions having the properties

i) $\chi \in H_1$, i.e. $\chi^i \in W_2^1(\Omega)$,

ii) χ^i restricted to any $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ is linear.

Let $\{P_\nu\}$ be the set of nodes of Γ_h on $\partial\Omega$. The subspace $S_h^\sigma \subseteq S_h$ consists of those elements with

(12) $$l^1(\chi)_{|P_\nu} = 0 \quad .$$

Finally $S_h^{\sigma.r} \subseteq S_h^\sigma$ consists of elements with

(13) $$< p_i \, , \, l^2(\chi) > = r_i \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, 2n+1) \; .$$

Then the following approximation property holds:

<u>Lemma:</u> Let $n = \text{ind}(\sigma) \geq 0$. There is a linear projection-operator $Q_h = Q_h^{\sigma.r} : H_1^{\sigma.r} \to S_h^{\sigma.r}$

with

$$\|u-Q_h u\|_1 \leq c\ h\ \|u\|_2 \tag{14}$$

for $\quad u \in H_2^{\sigma.r} = H_1^{\sigma.r} \cap H_2 \quad .$

First let I_h denote the linear interpolation. For $u \in H_2^{\sigma}$ we have obviously $I_h\, u \in S_h^{\sigma}$ and

$$\|u-I_h u\|_l \leq c\ h^{2-l}\|u\|_2 \qquad (l = 0,1) \quad . \tag{15}$$

Further we get

$$|r_i - < p_i\ ,\ l^2(I_h u) > | \leq |p_i|\ |l^2(u-I_h u)| \tag{16}$$

and because of

$$|z|^2 \leq \|z\|_{L_2(\Omega)}\ \|z\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)} \tag{17}$$

for any $z \in W_2^1(\Omega)$ therefore

$$|r_i - < p_i\ ,\ l^2(I_h u) > | \leq c\ h^{3/2}\ \|u\|_2 \quad . \tag{18}$$

In order to get Q_h we add a proper combination of the interpolated homogeneous solutions of (8)

$$Q_h u = I_h u + \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \alpha_i\ I_h u_i \quad . \tag{19}$$

The conditions on $\{\alpha_i\}$ are

$$(20)\qquad \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} < p_j\ ,\ l^2(I_h u_i) > \alpha_i = < p_j,\ l^2(u-I_h u) >$$

$$(j = 1,\ldots,2n+1)\quad .$$

Because of

$$(21)\qquad |l^2(u_i - I_h u_i)| \leq c\ h^{3/2}$$

and (8) the inverse of the matrix of the linear equations (20) is bounded away from zero for h small enough. Using the bound (18) for the right hand side of (20) we get

$$(22)\qquad |\alpha_i| \leq c\ h^{3/2}\ \|u\|_2 \quad .$$

Therefore

$$(23)\qquad \|u-Q_h u\|_1 \leq \|u-I_h u\|_1 + \mathrm{Max}\left\{\|I_h u_i\|_1\right\} \sum_1^{2n+1} |\alpha_i|$$

which gives (14) .

3. Least Squares Method, Error Estimates

For $u \in H_1$ and $v \in H_1$ resp. $w \in H_o$ let us define the bilinear functionals

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(u,v) &= (Lu,\ Lv) \\
&= (L^1u,\ L^1v) + (L^2u,\ L^2v)
\end{aligned}
\tag{24}
$$

resp.

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(u,w) &= (Lu,\ w) \\
&= (L^1u,\ w^1) + (L^2u,\ w^2) \quad .
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

Obviously we have

$$
a(u,v) = b(u,\ Lv) \quad . \tag{26}
$$

We get by partial integration - for $w \in H_1$ -

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(u,w) = (u,\ {}^{*}Lw) &+ \\
&+ \oint l^1(u)\left\{w^1 \sin\,(\sigma+\gamma)\ -w^2 \cos(\sigma+\gamma)\right\}ds \\
&+ \oint l^2(u)\left\{w^1 \cos\,(\sigma+\gamma)\ +w^2 \sin(\sigma+\gamma)\right\}ds
\end{aligned}
$$

with γ denoting the angle between the tangent at a point of $\partial\Omega$ and the x-axis, and

$$(28)\qquad \begin{aligned} {}^{*}L^1 w &= -w^1_x - w^2_y + a^{11}w^1 + a^{21}w^2 \;, \\ {}^{*}L^2 w &= w^1_y - w^2_x + a^{12}w^1 + a^{22}w^2 \end{aligned}$$

being the adjoint of the differential operator L .

If

$$(29)\qquad n = \operatorname{ind}(\sigma) \geq 0$$

then the index of the boundary condition

$$(30)\qquad w^1 \cos(\sigma+\gamma) + w^2 \sin(\sigma+\gamma) = 0$$

with respect to the operator ${}^{*}L$ - w^1 and w^2 have to be interchanged in order to give the Cauchy-Riemann principle part - is

$$(31)\qquad \begin{aligned} n^* &= \operatorname{ind}(\sigma^*) \\ &= \operatorname{ind}\left(\tfrac{\pi}{2}-\sigma-\gamma\right) = -n - 1 \;. \end{aligned}$$

According to (9) then (30) has to be modified

$$(32)\qquad w^1 \cos(\sigma+\gamma) + w^2 \sin(\sigma+\gamma) \in P_{2n+1} \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

in order that

$$(33)\qquad {}^{*}Lw = g \qquad\qquad \text{in } \Omega$$

together with (32) has a unique solution.

For simplicity we will consider the boundary value problem (3), (4), (6) only with $r_i = 0$. Then we have the duality relation

$$(u,g) = b(u,w) \quad . \tag{34}$$

Further let v be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L\ v &= w && \text{in} \quad \Omega \ , \\ l^1(v) &= 0 && \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \ ; \\ < p,\ l^2(v) > &= 0 && \text{for} \quad p \in P_{2n+1} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Then we have

$$(u,g) = a(u,v) \quad . \tag{36}$$

Using the shift theorem (11) we get $v \in H_{k+2}$ for $g \in H_k$.

In order to approximate the solution u of (3), (4), (6) - with $r_i = 0$ - we use the least squares method: The approximation $u_h \in S_h^{\sigma.o}$ is defined by

$$a(u_h, \chi) = (f, L\chi) \qquad \text{for} \quad \chi \in S_h^{\sigma.o} \quad . \tag{37}$$

Though $a(.,.)$ is positive definite in $H_1^{\sigma.o}$ it might only be semi-definite in $S_h^{\sigma.o}$. With $e = u - u_h$ and - using an appropriate approximation U_h on u in $S_h^{\sigma.o}$ - $\varepsilon = u - U_h$ and therefore $e = \varepsilon + \Phi$ with $\Phi = U_h - u_h \in S_h^{\sigma.o}$ we get

(38) $$a(e,\chi) = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad \chi \in S_h^{\sigma,0}$$

resp.

(39) $$a(\Phi,\chi) = -a(\varepsilon,\chi) \qquad \text{for} \quad \chi \in S_h^{\sigma,0} \quad .$$

By

(40) $$\|\cdot\|' = a(.,.)^{1/2}$$

a semi-norm is defined. Obviously we have for $v \in H_1$

(41) $$\|v\|' \leq c \; \|v\|_1 \quad .$$

So we get from (39)

(42) $$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|' &\leq \|\varepsilon\|' \\ &\leq c \; \|\varepsilon\|_1 \leq c \; h \; \|u\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

(43) $$\|e\|' \leq 2 \; c \; h \; \|u\|_2 \quad .$$

Next we identify $g = e$ in (34) and let w resp. v be the solutions of (32), (33) resp. (35). Then we get

$$\|e\|^2 = (e, {}^*Lw) \tag{44}$$
$$= (Le, w) - \oint \left\{ l^1(e)\, {}^*l^2(w) - l^2(e)\, {}^*l^1(w) \right\} ds \quad .$$

Because of (13) and (32) the last term on the right hand side vanishes. Therefore we get

$$\|e\|^2 = (Le, Lv) - \oint l^1(e)\, {}^*l^2(w)\, ds \quad . \tag{45}$$

We will estimate the two terms separately. Using the shift-theorem (11) we find $v \in H_2$ and $\|v\|_2 \leq c\|e\|$. With an appropriate approximation $\chi \in S_h^{\sigma.o}$ on v we get with (38), (43)

$$\begin{aligned} (Le, Lv) &= a(e, v-\chi) \\ &\leq \|e\|'\ c\ h\|v\|_2 \\ &\leq c\ h^2\|u\|_2\ \|e\| \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

In order to find a bound for the second term in (45) we first notice - using (17) -

$$|{}^*l^2(w)| \leq c\|e\| \quad . \tag{47}$$

Next we make use of conditions (12) which play the role of 'nearly zero boundary conditions' introduced in [2] . With arguments parallel to there we get

<u>Proposition 1:</u> To any $v \in H_1^{\sigma.o} \cap H_2$ there is a $\chi \in S_h^{\sigma.o}$ according to

$$|l^1(v-\chi)| \leq c\ h^2\|v\|_2 \quad . \tag{48}$$

<u>Proposition 2:</u> For any $\chi \in S_h^{\sigma,0}$ nearly zero boundary conditions of the type

$$(49) \qquad |l^1(\chi)| \leq c\ h^{3/2}\ \|\chi\|_1$$

hold.

For $\varkappa$-regular triangulations inverse properties

$$(50) \qquad \|\chi\|_1 \leq c\ h^{-1}\ \|\chi\|$$

hold for $\chi \in S_h$. Therefore (49) can be replaced by

$$(51) \qquad |l^1(\chi)| \leq c\ h^{1/2}\ \|\chi\| \quad .$$

Now let U_h be an approximation on u according to Proposition 1 and put $\phi = U_h - u_h \in S_h^{\sigma,0}$. Then we get

$$(52) \qquad \begin{aligned} |l^1(e)| &\leq |l^1(u-U_h)| + |l^1(\phi)| \\ &\leq c\ h^2\|u\|_2 + c\ h^{1/2}\ \|\phi\| \\ &\leq c\ h^2\|u\|_2 + c\ h^{1/2}\left\{\|u-U_h\| + \|u-u_h\|\right\} \\ &\leq c\ h^2\|u\|_2 + c\ h^{1/2}\|e\| \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The bounds (46) and (47), (52) give - see (45) -

(53) $\quad \|e\|^2 \leq c\ h^2 \|u\|_2\ \|e\| + c\ h^{1/2} \|e\|^2$

and so for h small enough

(54) $\quad \|e\| \leq c\ h^2 \|u\|_2 \quad .$

Since we now know e to be bounded we have as a consequence the unique solvability of the defining equations (37).

Using (50) we also get the error estimate

(55) $\quad \|e\|_1 \leq c\ h \|u\|_2 \quad .$

Literature

[1] HAACK, W. and G. HELLWIG
Die Überführung des Randwertproblems für Systeme elliptischer Differentialgleichungen auf Fredholmsche Integralgleichungen I .
Math. Nachr. 4 (1950/51), 408-418

[2] NITSCHE, J.A.
A projection method for Dirichlet-Problems using subspaces with nearly zero boundary conditions in "The mathematical foundations of the finite element method with application to partial differential equations", K. Aziz and I. Babuska eds., Acad. Press, New York and London, 1972, 603-627

[3] VEKUA, I.N.
Generalized Analytic Functions.
Pergamon Press, Oxford (1962).