

HARISH-CHANDRA

Invariant eigendistributions on a semisimple Lie algebra

Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., tome 27 (1965), p. 5-54

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1965__27__5_0

© Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., 1965, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. » (<http://www.ihes.fr/IHES/Publications/Publications.html>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

INVARIANT EIGENDISTRIBUTIONS ON A SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRA

by HARISH-CHANDRA

§ 1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbf{R} and T an invariant distribution on \mathfrak{g} which is an eigendistribution of all invariant differential operators on \mathfrak{g} with constant coefficients. Then the first result of this paper (Theorem 1) asserts that T is a locally summable function F which is analytic on the regular set \mathfrak{g}' of \mathfrak{g} (cf. Lemma 1 of [3(g)]). The second result (Theorem 5) can be stated as follows. Let D be an invariant analytic differential operator on \mathfrak{g} such that $Df=0$ for every invariant C^∞ function f on \mathfrak{g} . Then $DS=0$ for any invariant distribution S on \mathfrak{g} (cf. [3(g), Lemma 3]). This will be needed in the next paper of this series, in order to lift the first result, from \mathfrak{g} to the corresponding group G (see [3(g), Theorem 1]), by means of the exponential mapping.

Proof of Theorem 1 proceeds by induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$. In § 2 we show that there exists an analytic function F on \mathfrak{g}' such that $T=F$ on \mathfrak{g}' . Moreover we verify that F is locally summable on \mathfrak{g} and therefore it defines a distribution T_F on \mathfrak{g} . Thus it remains to prove that $\theta=T-T_F$ is actually zero. The results of § 3 enable us to reduce this to the verification of the fact that no semisimple element H of \mathfrak{g} lies in $\text{Supp } \theta$. If $H \neq 0$, this follows easily from [3 (i), Theorem 2] and the induction hypothesis. Hence we conclude (see Corollary 1 of Lemma 8) that $\text{Supp } \theta \subset \mathcal{N}$ where \mathcal{N} is the set of all nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{g} . Let ω be the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . Then $\partial(\omega)T=cT$ ($c \in \mathbf{C}$). Since $T=\theta+T_F$, we get

$$(\partial(\omega)-c)\theta=J$$

where $J=-(\partial(\omega)-c)T_F$. By making use of [3 (j), Theorem 4], one proves that $J=0$ and therefore it follows from [3 (h), Theorem 5] that $\theta=0$.

In § 8 we study the function F in greater detail. Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\pi^{\mathfrak{a}}$ the product of all the positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. Define $g_{\mathfrak{a}}(H)=\pi^{\mathfrak{a}}(H)F(H)$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}'=\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$. Then we show that $\partial(\pi^{\mathfrak{a}})g_{\mathfrak{a}}$ can be extended to a continuous function $h_{\mathfrak{a}}$ on \mathfrak{a} and if \mathfrak{b} is another Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , then $h_{\mathfrak{a}}=h_{\mathfrak{b}}$ on $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ (Theorem 3). These results will be used in subsequent papers for a detailed study of the irreducible characters of a semisimple Lie group. In § 10 we apply Theorem 3 to give a new and simpler proof of the main result of [3 (e)].

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of the second result mentioned at the beginning. It depends, in an essential way, on Theorem 1 and the theory of Fourier transforms for distributions. However, since the given distribution S is not assumed to be tempered, one has to construct a method of reducing the problem to the tempered case. This is done by means of Lemma 29. The last seven sections (§§ 15-21) are devoted to the proof of this lemma.

This work was partially supported by grants from the Sloan and the National Science Foundations.

§ 2. BEHAVIOUR OF T ON THE REGULAR SET

We use the terminology of [3 (h)] and [3 (i)]. Let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive Lie algebra over \mathbf{R} and \mathfrak{g}' the set of all regular elements of \mathfrak{g} . Let $I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ denote the subalgebra of all invariants in $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ (see [3 (i), § 9]). Fix a Euclidean measure dX on \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma 1. — *Let T be a distribution on an open subset Ω of \mathfrak{g} . Assume that :*

1) *T is locally invariant ;*

2) *There exists an ideal \mathfrak{U} in $I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ such that $\dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_e)/\mathfrak{U}) < \infty$ and $\partial(u)T = 0$ for $u \in \mathfrak{U}$.*

Then there exists an analytic function F on $\Omega' = \Omega \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ such that

$$T(f) = \int f F dX$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega')$.

Fix a point $H_0 \in \Omega'$. It is obviously enough to show that T coincides with an analytic function around H_0 . Fix a connected Lie group G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and let \mathfrak{h} and A be the centralizers of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} and G respectively. Then \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and A is the corresponding Cartan subgroup of G [3 (j), Lemma 8]. Let $x \rightarrow x^*$ denote the natural projection of G on $G^* = G/A$. As usual we define $x^*H = xH$ ($x \in G, H \in \mathfrak{h}$). Then if $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$, the mapping $\varphi : (x^*, H) \rightarrow x^*H$ has rank n everywhere on $G^* \times \mathfrak{h}'$ [3 (i), Lemma 15]. Therefore we can select open connected neighborhoods G_0 and \mathfrak{h}_0 of 1 and H_0 in G and \mathfrak{h}' respectively such that $\Omega_0 = G_0 \mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \Omega$ and φ is univalent on $G_0^* \times \mathfrak{h}_0$. Then Ω_0 is open in \mathfrak{g} and φ defines an analytic diffeomorphism φ_0 of $G_0^* \times \mathfrak{h}_0$ onto Ω_0 .

Fix a Euclidean measure dH on \mathfrak{h} and let σ_T denote the distribution on \mathfrak{h}_0 which corresponds to T under Lemma 17 of [3 (i)]. As usual let π denote the product of all the positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Then if $\sigma = \pi \sigma_T$, we conclude from Theorem 2 of [3 (i)] that $\partial(u_{\mathfrak{h}})\sigma = 0$ for $u \in \mathfrak{U}$. Let $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ denote the image of \mathfrak{U} under the homomorphism $p \rightarrow p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ into $S(\mathfrak{h}_e)$. Put $\mathfrak{B} = S(\mathfrak{h}_e)/\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Since $\dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_e)/\mathfrak{U}) < \infty$, it follows from Lemma 19 of [3 (i)] that $\dim(S(\mathfrak{h}_e)/\mathfrak{B}) < \infty$. Moreover it is obvious that $\partial(v)\sigma = 0$ for $v \in \mathfrak{B}$. Therefore from the corollary of [3 (b), Lemma 27], we get the following result.

Lemma 2. — *We can choose linear functions λ_i and polynomial functions p_i on \mathfrak{h}_e ($1 \leq i \leq r$) such that*

$$\sigma(\beta) = \int \beta g dH \quad (\beta \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{h}_0))$$

where

$$g(H) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i(H) e^{\lambda_i(H)} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}_c).$$

This shows that

$$T(f_\alpha) = \int \beta_\alpha \pi^{-1} g dH \quad (\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G_0 \times \mathfrak{h}_0))$$

in the notation of [3(i), Lemma 17].

Since φ_0 is an analytic diffeomorphism, we can now define an analytic function F on Ω_0 as follows:

$$F(x^*H) = g(H) \pi(H)^{-1} \quad (x^* \in G_0^*, H \in \mathfrak{h}_0).$$

Then if $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G_0 \times \mathfrak{h}_0)$, we have

$$\int f_\alpha F dX = \int \alpha(x : H) F(xH) dx dH = \int \beta_\alpha \pi^{-1} g dH = T(f_\alpha).$$

Since the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow f_\alpha$ of $C_c^\infty(G_0 \times \mathfrak{h}_0)$ into $C_c^\infty(\Omega_0)$ is surjective [3(h), Theorem 1], this implies that $T = F$ on Ω_0 and so Lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 3. — The function F of Lemma 1 is locally summable on Ω .

Let $l = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ and t an indeterminate. We denote by $\eta(X)$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$) the coefficient of t^l in $\det(t - \text{ad } X)$. Then we know (see [3(j), Corollary 2 of Lemma 30]) that $|\eta|^{-1/2}$ is locally summable on \mathfrak{g} . Since the singular set of \mathfrak{g} is of measure zero, it would be enough to show that there exists a neighborhood V (in Ω) of any given point $X_0 \in \Omega$, such that $|\eta|^{1/2}|F|$ is bounded on $V \cap \Omega'$.

Fix X_0 in Ω and a positive-definite quadratic form Q on \mathfrak{g} . For $\varepsilon > 0$, let Ω_ε be the set of all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $Q(X - X_0) < \varepsilon^2$. Then $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$ if ε is sufficiently small. Put

$$p(X) = (Q(X - X_0) - \varepsilon^2) \eta(X) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

Then p is a polynomial function on \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{g}'' be the set of all points $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ where $p(X) \neq 0$. By a theorem of Whitney [4, Theorem 4, p. 547] \mathfrak{g}'' has only a finite number of connected components. It is obvious that any connected component of $\Omega'_\varepsilon = \Omega_\varepsilon \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ is also a connected component of \mathfrak{g}'' . Hence Ω'_ε has only a finite number of connected components ⁽¹⁾. So it would be enough to show that $|\eta|^{1/2}|F|$ remains bounded on a connected component Ω^0 of Ω'_ε .

We now fix an element $H_0 \in \Omega^0$ and use the notation of the proof of Lemma 1. In particular φ is the mapping $(x^*, H) \rightarrow x^*H$ of $G^* \times \mathfrak{h}'$ into \mathfrak{g}' . Let U denote the connected component of $(1^*, H_0)$ in $\varphi^{-1}(\Omega^0)$. We claim that $\varphi(U) = \Omega^0$. Since φ is everywhere regular, $\varphi(U)$ is open in Ω^0 . Therefore since Ω^0 is connected, it would be enough to show that $\varphi(U)$ is closed in Ω^0 . So let (x_k^*, H_k) ($k \geq 1$) be a sequence in U such that $X_k = x_k^* H_k$ converges to some point $X \in \Omega^0$. Then $\eta(H_k) = \eta(x_k^* H_k) \rightarrow \eta(X) \neq 0$.

⁽¹⁾ This proof was pointed out to me by A. Borel.

Moreover $X_k \in \Omega^0 \subset \Omega_\epsilon$. Since Ω_ϵ is a bounded set in \mathfrak{g} , we can conclude from Lemma 23 of [3(j)] that H_k remains bounded. Hence by selecting a subsequence, we can arrange that H_k converges to some $H' \in \mathfrak{h}$. But then $\eta(H') = \eta(X) \neq 0$ and therefore $H' \in \mathfrak{h}'$. Hence [3(j), Lemma 8] A is the centralizer of H' in G and therefore [3(j), Lemma 7] x_k^* remains within a compact subset of G^* . So again by selecting a subsequence we can assume that $x_k^* \rightarrow x^*$ for some $x^* \in G^*$. Then $(x_k^*, H_k) \rightarrow (x^*, H')$ in $G^* \times \mathfrak{h}'$. Since $X_k \rightarrow X$, it follows that $x^* H' = X \in \Omega^0$ and therefore $(x^*, H') \in \varphi^{-1}(\Omega^0)$. But U , being a connected component of $\varphi^{-1}(\Omega^0)$, is closed in $\varphi^{-1}(\Omega^0)$. Hence $(x^*, H') \in U$ and $X = x^* H' \in \varphi(U)$. This proves that $\varphi(U)$ is closed in Ω^0 and therefore $\varphi(U) = \Omega^0$.

Now choose G_0, \mathfrak{h}_0 as in the proof of Lemma 1. We may assume that $G_0^* \times \mathfrak{h}_0 \subset U$. Moreover we recall (see Lemma 2) that g is defined and analytic on \mathfrak{h} . Consider the function $v : (x^*, H) \rightarrow F(x^* H) - \pi(H)^{-1} g(H)$ on U . It is obviously analytic and it vanishes identically on $G_0^* \times \mathfrak{h}_0$. Therefore, since U is connected, $v = 0$. This shows that

$$|\eta(x^* H)|^{1/2} |F(x^* H)| = |g(H)|$$

for $(x^*, H) \in U$. However $\varphi(U) = \Omega^0$ is contained in the bounded set Ω_ϵ . Therefore if V is the projection of U on \mathfrak{h} , it follows from [3(j), Lemma 23] that V is bounded. Hence g is bounded on V and therefore $|\eta|^{1/2} |F|$ is bounded on $\varphi(U) = \Omega^0$. This proves Lemma 3.

Corollary. — Let $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Then $\partial(p)F$ is also locally summable on Ω .

Since F is analytic and $T = F$ on Ω' , it is clear that $\partial(p)T = \partial(p)F$ on Ω' . However the distribution $\partial(p)T$ obviously also satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 1. Therefore our assertion follows by applying Lemma 3 to $(\partial(p)T, \partial(p)F)$ in place of (T, F) .

Φ being a locally summable function on Ω , define the distribution T_Φ on Ω by

$$T_\Phi(f) = \int f \Phi dX \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)).$$

We intend to show (under some mild extra conditions) that $T = T_F$.

Let Ω_a be the set of all points $X \in \Omega$ such that T coincides around X with an analytic function. Clearly Ω_a is open and there exists an analytic function F_a on Ω_a such that $T = F_a$ on Ω_a . Moreover $\Omega_a \supset \Omega'$ from Lemma 1 and therefore $F_a = F$ on Ω' . But then, since the singular set of \mathfrak{g} has measure zero, it is obvious that $T_{F_a} = T_F$. Hence we shall write F instead of F_a .

We say that an element $H \in \mathfrak{g}$ is of compact type if 1) $\text{ad } H$ is semisimple and 2) the derived algebra of the centralizer \mathfrak{z} of H in \mathfrak{g} is compact. (It follows from 1) that \mathfrak{z} is reductive in \mathfrak{g} and therefore $[\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}]$ is semisimple.)

Lemma 4. — Every element of Ω of compact type lies in Ω_a .

Fix an element H_0 in Ω of compact type and let \mathfrak{z} denote the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} . Then it is clear that \mathfrak{z} satisfies the conditions of [3(i), § 2]. Define ζ and \mathfrak{z}' as in [3(i), § 2]. Let Ξ be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} and $x \rightarrow x^*$ the natural mapping of G on $G^* = G/\Xi$. Since \mathfrak{z} is reductive, Ξ is unimodular and therefore there exists an invariant measure dx^* on G^* . Select open neighborhoods G_0 and \mathfrak{z}_0

of \mathfrak{r} and H_0 in G and \mathfrak{z}' respectively such that $\mathfrak{z}_0^{G_0} \subset \Omega$ and G_0 is connected. Let G_0^* denote the image of G_0 in G^* . Then if G_0 and \mathfrak{z}_0 are sufficiently small, the following conditions hold (see [3(e), pp. 654-655]).

1) There exists an analytic mapping ψ of G_0^* into G such that $(\psi(x^*))^* = x^*$ ($x^* \in G_0^*$) and ψ is regular on G_0^* .

2) The mapping $\varphi : (x^*, Z) \rightarrow \psi(x^*)Z$ of $G_0^* \times \mathfrak{z}_0$ into Ω is univalent. Put $\Omega_0 = \varphi(G_0^* \times \mathfrak{z}_0)$. Then Ω_0 is open in Ω and φ is an analytic diffeomorphism of $G_0^* \times \mathfrak{z}_0$ onto Ω_0 . Moreover since $\mathfrak{z}_1 = [\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}]$ is compact, $\mathfrak{z}_{00} = \bigcap_{\xi \in \Xi} \mathfrak{z}_0^\xi$ is open. Hence by replacing \mathfrak{z}_0 by \mathfrak{z}_{00} , we can assume that $\mathfrak{z}_0^\Xi = \mathfrak{z}_0$.

Let σ_T be the distribution on \mathfrak{z}_0 which corresponds to T under Lemma 17 of [3(i)]. Since $|\zeta|^{1/2}$ is an analytic function on \mathfrak{z}_0 , $\sigma = |\zeta|^{1/2} \sigma_T$ is also a distribution on \mathfrak{z}_0 . Moreover since $\zeta^2 > 0$ on \mathfrak{z}_0 it follows from Theorem 2 of [3(i)] that $\partial(u_j)\sigma = 0$ for $u \in \mathfrak{U}$. Let \mathfrak{U}_3 denote the image of \mathfrak{U} in $I(\mathfrak{z}_c)$ under the mapping $p \rightarrow p_3$ of $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ into $I(\mathfrak{z}_c)$. Put $\mathfrak{B} = I(\mathfrak{z}_c)\mathfrak{U}_3$. Then $\partial(v)\sigma = 0$ for $v \in \mathfrak{B}$ and it follows from Lemma 19 of [3(i)] that $\dim(I(\mathfrak{z}_c)/\mathfrak{B}) < \infty$.

Let \mathfrak{c}_3 be the center and \mathfrak{z}_1 the derived algebra of \mathfrak{z} . We identify \mathfrak{z}_1 with its dual under the Killing form ω_1 of \mathfrak{z}_1 . Select a base H_1, \dots, H_r for \mathfrak{c}_3 over \mathbf{R} and put

$$\omega = H_1^2 + \dots + H_r^2 - \omega_1.$$

Then $\omega \in I(\mathfrak{z}_c)$ and since \mathfrak{z}_1 is compact, $\square = \partial(\omega)$ is an elliptic differential operator on \mathfrak{z} . Let $N = \dim(I(\mathfrak{z}_c)/\mathfrak{B})$. Then we can choose complex numbers c_1, \dots, c_N such that

$$\omega^N + c_1 \omega^{N-1} + \dots + c_N \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

Hence

$$(\square^N + c_1 \square^{N-1} + \dots + c_N)\sigma = 0.$$

This shows that σ satisfies an elliptic differential equation with constant coefficients. Therefore there exists an analytic function g on \mathfrak{z}_0 such that

$$\sigma(\beta) = \int \beta g dZ \quad (\beta \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}_0)).$$

Since ζ is invariant under Ξ , it follows from [3(i), Lemma 17] that g is locally invariant (with respect to \mathfrak{z}). Therefore since Ξ is connected and $\mathfrak{z}_0^\Xi = \mathfrak{z}_0$, it follows that g is invariant under Ξ .

Now consider the analytic function F_0 on Ω_0 defined by

$$F_0(\varphi(x^*, Z)) = |\zeta(Z)|^{-1/2} g(Z) \quad (x^* \in G_0^*, Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0)$$

Then if $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G_0 \times \mathfrak{z}_0)$, we have (see [3(i), § 7])

$$\int f_\alpha F_0 dX = \int \alpha(x : Z) F_0(xZ) dx dZ.$$

However if $x \in G_0$, it is clear that $x = \psi(x^*)\xi$ where $\xi \in \Xi$. Therefore

$$F_0(xZ) = F_0(\varphi(x^*, \xi Z)) = |\zeta(Z)|^{-1/2} g(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0)$$

since ζ and g are invariant under Ξ . This shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int f_\alpha F_0 dX &= \int \alpha(x : Z) |\zeta(Z)|^{-1/2} g(Z) dx dZ \\ &= \int \beta_\alpha |\zeta|^{-1/2} g dZ = \sigma_T(\beta_\alpha) = T(f_\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 17 of [3(i)]. Hence $T = F_0$ on Ω_0 and this proves that $H_0 \in \Omega_a$.

§ 3. SOME PROPERTIES OF COMPLETELY INVARIANT SETS

We keep to the above notation. An element $H \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called semisimple if $\text{ad } H$ is semisimple. Moreover $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called nilpotent if $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and $\text{ad } X$ is nilpotent. It is obvious that if X is both semisimple and nilpotent then $X = 0$.

Lemma 5. — Any element $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be written uniquely in the form $Y = H + X$ where H is a semisimple and X a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} and $[H, X] = 0$.

Let \mathfrak{c} be the center of \mathfrak{g} . Since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{g}_1$, the lemma follows from well-known facts about semisimple Lie algebras (see Bourbaki [2, p. 79]). H and X respectively are called the semisimple and the nilpotent components of Y .

Lemma 6. — Let \mathfrak{z} be a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} which is reductive in \mathfrak{g} . An element Z of \mathfrak{z} is semisimple (or nilpotent) in \mathfrak{z} , if and only if the same holds in \mathfrak{g} .

Let $\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{z}$ be the center of \mathfrak{z} . Since \mathfrak{z} is reductive in \mathfrak{g} , every element of $\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{z}$ is semisimple in \mathfrak{g} . The lemma follows easily from this (see [2, p. 79]).

Corollary. — Let $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$. Then the semisimple component of Z in \mathfrak{z} is the same as in \mathfrak{g} . Similarly for the nilpotent component.

This is obvious from Lemma 5.

Lemma 7. — Let U_1 be a neighborhood of zero in \mathfrak{g}_1 and X a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} . Then we can choose $x \in G$ such that $xX \in U_1$.

We may assume that $X \neq 0$. Then by the Jacobson-Morosow theorem [3(h), Lemma 24], we can choose $H \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ such that $[H, X] = 2X$. Put $a_t = \exp(-tH) \in G$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}$). Then $a_t X = e^{-2t} X$ and therefore $a_t X \in U_1$ if t is positive and sufficiently large.

Corollary. — Let H denote the semisimple component of an element $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then ⁽¹⁾ $H \in \text{Cl}(Z^G)$.

Let X be the nilpotent component of Z so that $Z = H + X$. Consider the centralizer \mathfrak{z} of H in \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{z} is reductive in \mathfrak{g} and $X \in \mathfrak{z}$. Hence X is nilpotent in \mathfrak{z} (Lemma 6). Let Ξ be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} . Then by Lemma 7, applied to \mathfrak{z} , we have

$$H \in H + \text{Cl}(X^\Xi) = \text{Cl}(Z^\Xi) \subset \text{Cl}(Z^G).$$

Let Ω be a subset of \mathfrak{g} . We say that Ω is completely invariant if it has the following property: C being any compact subset of Ω , $\text{Cl}(C^G) \subset \Omega$.

⁽¹⁾ $\text{Cl}S$ denotes the closure of S .

Lemma 8. — Let Ω be a completely invariant subset of \mathfrak{g} and Z an element in Ω . Then if H is the semisimple component of Z , $H \in \Omega$.

This is obvious from the corollary of Lemma 7.

Let \mathcal{N} be the set of all nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{g} .

Corollary 1. — Let S be the set of all semisimple elements of Ω and Φ an invariant subset of Ω which is closed in Ω . Then $\Phi \cap S = \emptyset$ implies that $\Phi = \emptyset$. Similarly $\Phi \cap S \subset \{0\}$ implies that $\Phi \subset \Omega \cap \mathcal{N}$.

For suppose $Z \in \Phi$. Then if H is the semisimple component of Z , $H \in \text{Cl}(Z^G) \subset \Omega$. Since Φ is invariant and closed in Ω , it follows that $H \in \Phi \cap S$. The two statements of the corollary are now obvious.

Corollary 2. — Let Ω_0 be an open and invariant subset of Ω . Assume that $S \subset \Omega_0$. Then $\Omega_0 = \Omega$.

This follows from Corollary 1 by taking Φ to be the complement of Ω_0 in Ω .

Let \mathfrak{c} be the center of \mathfrak{g} . Fix an open and completely invariant subset Ω of \mathfrak{g} and a point $X_0 = C_0 + Z_0$ ($C_0 \in \mathfrak{c}$, $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$) in Ω . Select a relatively compact and open neighborhood \mathfrak{c}_0 of C_0 in \mathfrak{c} such that $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{c}_0) + Z_0 \subset \Omega$.

Lemma 9. — Let Ω_1 be the set of all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ such that $Z + \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{c}_0) \subset \Omega$. Then Ω_1 is an open and completely invariant neighborhood of Z_0 in \mathfrak{g}_1 .

It is obvious that Ω_1 is an open neighborhood of Z_0 in \mathfrak{g}_1 . Fix a compact set Q in Ω_1 . Then $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{c}_0 + Q)$ is a compact subset of Ω and therefore

$$\text{Cl}(\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{c}_0 + Q))^G = \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{c}_0) + \text{Cl}(Q^G) \subset \Omega,$$

since Ω is completely invariant. This shows that $\text{Cl}(Q^G) \subset \Omega_1$ and therefore Ω_1 is also completely invariant.

Lemma 10. — The following three conditions on Ω are equivalent:

- 1) $\Omega \cap \mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$;
- 2) $0 \in \Omega$;
- 3) $\mathcal{N} \subset \Omega$.

Let $X \in \Omega \cap \mathcal{N}$. By Lemma 8, $0 \in \Omega$. Hence 1) implies 2). Now assume $0 \in \Omega$. Then if $X \in \mathcal{N}$, it follows from Lemma 7 that $X^x \in \Omega$ for some $x \in G$. Since Ω is invariant, this means that $X \in \Omega$. Therefore 2) implies 3). It is obvious that 3) implies 1).

§ 4. THE MAIN PART OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We shall now begin the proof of the following theorem (cf. [3(g), Lemma 1]).

Theorem 1. — Let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive Lie algebra over \mathbf{R} , Ω an open and completely invariant subset of \mathfrak{g} and T a distribution on Ω . Assume that:

- 1) T is invariant;
- 2) There exists an ideal \mathfrak{U} in $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ such that $\dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_c)/\mathfrak{U}) < \infty$ and $\partial(u)T = 0$ for $u \in \mathfrak{U}$.

Then T is a locally summable function on Ω which is analytic on $\Omega' = \Omega \cap \mathfrak{g}'$.

We use induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$. Let F be the analytic function on Ω' corresponding to Lemma 1. Then by Lemma 3, F is locally summable on Ω and we have to show that $T = T_F$.

Let \mathfrak{c} be the center and \mathfrak{g}_1 the derived algebra of \mathfrak{g} . First assume that $\mathfrak{c} \neq \{0\}$. Fix a point $X_0 = C_0 + Z_0$ ($C_0 \in \mathfrak{c}$, $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$) in Ω . We have to prove that $T = T_F$ around X_0 . Select an open and relatively compact neighborhood \mathfrak{c}_0 of C_0 in \mathfrak{c} such that $(\text{Cl } \mathfrak{c}_0) + Z_0 \subset \Omega$. Let Ω_1 be the set of all elements $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ such that $\text{Cl } \mathfrak{c}_0 + Z \subset \Omega$. Then by Lemma 9, Ω_1 is also completely invariant.

Fix Euclidean measures dC and dZ on \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{g}_1 respectively such that $dX = dC dZ$ for $X = C + Z$ ($C \in \mathfrak{c}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$) and, for any $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{c}_0)$, consider the distribution θ_α on Ω_1 given by

$$\theta_\alpha(\beta) = T(\alpha \times \beta) \quad (\beta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)).$$

Then if G_1 is the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_1 , it is clear that θ_α is invariant under G_1 . Moreover $I(\mathfrak{g}_c) = S(\mathfrak{c}_c)I(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})$ since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{g}_1$. Put $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U} \cap I(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})$. Then it is obvious that

$$\dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})/\mathcal{U}_1) \leq \dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_c)/\mathcal{U}) < \infty$$

and $\partial(u)\theta_\alpha = 0$ for $u \in \mathcal{U}_1$. Therefore, since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, it follows by the induction hypothesis that θ_α coincides on Ω_1 with a locally summable function g_α . Put $\Omega'_1 = \Omega_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}'_1$ where \mathfrak{g}'_1 is the set of those elements of \mathfrak{g}_1 which are regular in \mathfrak{g}_1 . Since $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{g}'_1$, it is clear that $\mathfrak{c}_0 + \Omega'_1 \subset \Omega'$. Moreover since $T = T_F$ on Ω' , it follows that

$$\theta_\alpha(\beta) = T(\alpha \times \beta) = T_F(\alpha \times \beta) = \int \alpha(C) \beta(Z) F(C + Z) dC dZ$$

for $\beta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega'_1)$. Since g_α is analytic on Ω'_1 (by the induction hypothesis), it is clear from the above relation that

$$g_\alpha(Z) = \int \alpha(C) F(C + Z) dC \quad (Z \in \Omega'_1).$$

But since g_α and F are locally summable on Ω_1 and Ω respectively, we can now conclude that

$$T(\alpha \times \beta) = \theta_\alpha(\beta) = \int \beta(Z) \alpha(C) F(C + Z) dC dZ = T_F(\alpha \times \beta)$$

for $\beta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)$. This proves (see [3(h), Lemma 3]) that $T = T_F$ on $\mathfrak{c}_0 + \Omega_1$.

So now we can assume that $\mathfrak{c} = \{0\}$ and therefore \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. Fix a semisimple element $H_0 \neq 0$ in Ω . We shall first prove that $T = T_F$ around H_0 . Let \mathfrak{z} be the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} and Ξ the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} . Define ζ and \mathfrak{z}' as in [3(i), § 2]. Then $\zeta(H_0) \neq 0$. Let $\Omega_\mathfrak{z}$ be the set of all $Z \in \mathfrak{z} \cap \Omega$ such that $|\zeta(Z)| > |\zeta(H_0)|/2$. Then $\Omega_\mathfrak{z}$ is an open neighborhood of H_0 in \mathfrak{z}' . Moreover since ζ is invariant under Ξ , it follows easily that $\Omega_\mathfrak{z}$ is completely invariant in \mathfrak{z} . Let σ_T be the distribution on $\Omega_\mathfrak{z}$ corresponding to T under [3(i), Lemma 17] with $G_0 = G$ and $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \Omega_\mathfrak{z}$. Then by Corollary 1 of [3(i), Lemma 17], σ_T is invariant under Ξ . Now $\zeta^2 > 0$ on $\Omega_\mathfrak{z}$. Hence $\sigma = |\zeta|^{1/2} \sigma_T$ is also an invariant distribution on $\Omega_\mathfrak{z}$ and

it follows from Theorem 2 of [3(i)] that $\partial(u_3)\sigma = 0$ for $u \in \mathfrak{U}$. Let \mathfrak{U}_3 denote the image of \mathfrak{U} under the homomorphism $p \rightarrow p_3$ of $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ into $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Then if $\mathfrak{B} = I(\mathfrak{g}_c)\mathfrak{U}_3$, it is clear from Lemma 19 of [3(i)] that $\dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_c)/\mathfrak{B}) < \infty$. On the other hand $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$ since \mathfrak{g} is semisimple and $H_0 \neq 0$. Therefore the induction hypothesis is applicable to $(\sigma, \Omega_3, \mathfrak{B})$ in place of $(T, \Omega, \mathfrak{U})$. Let Ω'_3 be the set of all points in Ω_3 which are regular in \mathfrak{g} . Then σ coincides with a locally summable function g on Ω_3 which is analytic on Ω'_3 . This shows that

$$T(f_\alpha) = \sigma_T(\beta_\alpha) = \int \beta_\alpha |\zeta|^{-1/2} g dZ \quad (\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G \times \Omega_3))$$

in the notation of [3(i), Lemma 17]. On the other hand since $\Omega_3 \subset \mathfrak{g}'$, it is clear that $\Omega'_3 \subset \Omega'$. Moreover $T = T_F$ on Ω' . Therefore

$$T(f_\alpha) = T_F(f_\alpha) = \int \alpha(x : Z) F(xZ) dx dZ$$

for $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G \times \Omega'_3)$. However T is invariant and therefore the same holds for F . Hence

$$T(f_\alpha) = \int \beta_\alpha(Z) F(Z) dZ.$$

This proves that $g(Z) = |\zeta(Z)|^{1/2} F(Z)$ for $Z \in \Omega'_3$. Now fix $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G \times \Omega_3)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(f_\alpha) &= \int \beta_\alpha |\zeta|^{-1/2} g dZ = \int_{\Omega'_3} \beta_\alpha |\zeta|^{-1/2} g dZ \\ &= \int_{G \times \Omega'_3} \alpha(x : Z) F(xZ) dx dZ = T_F(f_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

from Corollary 2 of [3(h), Theorem 1]. This proves that $T = T_F$ around H_0 .

Put $\theta = T - T_F$. Then θ is an invariant distribution on Ω .

Lemma 11. — *Let \mathcal{N} be the set of all nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{g} . Then*

$$\text{Supp } \theta \subset \mathcal{N} \cap \Omega.$$

It follows from the above proof that no semisimple element of Ω , other than zero, can lie in $\text{Supp } \theta$. Therefore our assertion follows immediately by taking $\Phi = \text{Supp } \theta$ in Corollary 1 of Lemma 8.

As usual we identify \mathfrak{g}_c with its dual under the Killing form ω of \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma 12. — *Assume that there exists a complex number c and an integer $r \geq 0$ such that $(\partial(\omega) - c)^r T = 0$. Then $T = T_F$.*

We shall prove this by induction on r . If $r = 0$ then $T = 0$ and our statement is true. So assume that $r \geq 1$. Put $T_0 = (\partial(\omega) - c)T$. Then T_0 satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1 and $(\partial(\omega) - c)^{r-1} T_0 = 0$. Moreover since $T = F$ on Ω' and F is analytic on Ω' , it is obvious that $T_0 = (\partial(\omega) - c)F$ on Ω' . Therefore it follows by the induction hypothesis that $T_0 = T_{F_0}$ where $F_0 = (\partial(\omega) - c)F$ (see also the corollary of Lemma 3). Hence

$$(\partial(\omega) - c)(\theta + T_F) = T_{F_0}$$

and therefore

$$(\partial(\omega) - c)\theta = T_{\partial(\omega)F} - \partial(\omega)T_F.$$

Lemma 13. — $T_{\partial(\omega)_F} \partial - (\omega) T_F = 0$.

Assuming this for a moment, we shall complete the proof of Lemma 12. For then we have $(\partial(\omega) - c)\theta = 0$ and therefore we conclude from [3(h), Theorem 5] that $\theta = 0$. Hence $T = T_F$.

The proof of Lemma 13 is based on Theorem 4 of [3(j)] and requires some preparation. Select a system of generators ⁽¹⁾ (p_1, \dots, p_m) for the algebra $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ over \mathbf{C} .

Lemma 14. — Fix $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $U_{X_0}(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $|p_i(X) - p_i(X_0)| < \varepsilon$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Then $U_{X_0}(\varepsilon)$ is open and completely invariant.

$U_{X_0}(\varepsilon)$ is obviously open. Let C be a compact subset of $U_{X_0}(\varepsilon)$. Then it is clear that we can choose a ($0 < a < \varepsilon$) such that

$$\sup_{X \in C} |p_i(X) - p_i(X_0)| \leq a \quad (1 \leq i \leq m).$$

Since p_i is invariant, it is obvious that $|p_i(Y) - p_i(X_0)| \leq a$ for any $Y \in \text{Cl}(C^G)$ and therefore $U_{X_0}(\varepsilon)$ is completely invariant.

Now put $J_0 = T_{\partial(\omega)_F} - \partial(\omega) T_F$ and fix $X_0 \in \Omega$. We have to prove that $J_0 = 0$ around X_0 . Define $\Omega(\varepsilon) = \Omega \cap U_{X_0}(\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\Omega(\varepsilon)$ is an open and completely invariant neighborhood of X_0 . We shall now use the notation of [3(j), Theorem 4]. Put $\Phi_i(\varepsilon) = \mathfrak{h}_i \cap \Omega(\varepsilon)$ and $\Phi_i = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \Phi_i(\varepsilon)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). If $H \in \Phi_i$, it is clear that $p(H) = p(X_0)$ for $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Hence it follows from Chevalley's theorem [3(c), Lemma 9] that Φ_i is a finite set. For each $H \in \Phi_i$, choose two open convex neighborhoods U_H, V_H of H in \mathfrak{h}_i such that $\text{Cl} U_H \subset V_H \subset \Phi_i(1)$ and $V_H \cap V_{H'} = \emptyset$ for $H \neq H'$ ($H, H' \in \Phi_i$). Then $\text{Cl} V_H$ is compact (see the proof of Lemma 23 of [3(j)]). Put

$$U_i = \bigcup_{H \in \Phi_i} U_H, \quad V_i = \bigcup_{H \in \Phi_i} V_H$$

and select $\alpha_H \in C_c^\infty(V_H)$ such that $\alpha_H = 1$ on U_H ($H \in \Phi_i$). Define

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{H \in \Phi_i} \alpha_H.$$

Let F_i denote the restriction of F on $\Omega' \cap \mathfrak{h}_i = \Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}_i'$. Fix i and let P_c be the set of all complex positive roots of \mathfrak{h}_i . Let Q be a connected component of $\mathfrak{h}_i'(S)$ and Q_1 the set consisting of all regular and semiregular points of Q . If β is a root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ which vanishes at some point H_0 in Q_1 , then it is clear that β is compact and therefore H_0 is of compact type in \mathfrak{g} . Obviously Q_1 is open in \mathfrak{h}_i . Therefore by Lemma 4, F_i can be extended to an analytic function on $Q_1 \cap \Omega$ which we again denote by F_i .

Now fix $H \in \Phi_i$ and consider $Q_1 \cap V_H$. Then $Q_1 \cap V_H$ is connected (see the corollary of [3(j), Lemma 19]). Also $V_H \subset \Omega$ and therefore F_i is analytic on the connected set $Q_1 \cap V_H$. Hence by Lemma 2, there exists an analytic function h_H on \mathfrak{h}_i such that $\pi_i F_i = h_H$ on $Q_1 \cap V_H$. Then $\alpha_H \pi_i F_i = \alpha_H h_H$ on $Q_1 \cap \Omega$ and therefore

$$\alpha_i \pi_i F_i = \sum_{H \in \Phi_i} \alpha_H h_H$$

⁽¹⁾ Since \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, it follows from the theory of invariants that $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ is finitely generated.

on $Q_1 \cap \Omega$. Put $g'_i = \alpha_i \pi_i F_i$. Then the above result shows that g'_i is of class C^∞ on $\text{Cl}(Q_1) = \text{Cl}(Q)$.

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $\Phi_i(\varepsilon) \subset U_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Then from Corollary 1 of [3(j)], Lemma 30] we can choose numbers c_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) such that

$$\int f u dX = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int_{\mathfrak{h}_i} \psi_{f,i} \varepsilon_{R,i} \pi_i u_i d_i H \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega))$$

for any invariant and locally summable function u on Ω . (Here u_i is the restriction of u on $\Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}_i$.) Now suppose $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega(\varepsilon))$. Since $\Omega(\varepsilon)$ is completely invariant, it follows from [3(j), Lemma 22] that

$$\text{Supp } \psi_{f,i} \subset \Omega(\varepsilon) \cap \mathfrak{h}_i = \Phi_i(\varepsilon) \subset U_i.$$

Hence

$$\int f u dX = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \psi_{f,i} \varepsilon_{R,i} \alpha_i \pi_i u_i d_i H.$$

Now take $u = F$. Then $c_i \varepsilon_{R,i} \alpha_i \pi_i u_i = c_i \varepsilon_{R,i} g'_i = g_i$ (say). On the other hand, by the corollary of Lemma 3, we can also take $u = \partial(\omega)F$. Then it follows from [3(c), Lemma 3] that $u_i = \pi_i^{-1} \partial(\omega_i)(\pi_i F_i)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_i' \Omega$ and therefore

$$c_i \varepsilon_{R,i} \alpha_i \pi_i u_i = \partial(\omega_i) g_i$$

on $U_i \cap \mathfrak{h}_i'$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(f) &= \int (F \partial(\omega) f - \partial(\omega) F \cdot f) dX \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \int_{\mathfrak{h}_i} (\partial(\omega_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot g_i - \psi_{f,i} \cdot \partial(\omega_i) g_i) d_i H \end{aligned}$$

from [3(d), Theorem 3]. Now define J as in [3(j), Theorem 4], corresponding to the above functions g_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Then the above result shows that $J = J_0$ on $\Omega(\varepsilon)$. Since g_i is obviously of class C^∞ on the closure of each connected component of $\mathfrak{h}_i'(S)$, Theorem 4 of [3(j)] is applicable. Fix an open and relatively compact neighborhood V of X_0 in $\Omega(\varepsilon)$. Then since $\Omega(\varepsilon)$ is completely invariant, $\text{Cl}(V^0) \subset \Omega(\varepsilon)$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of all semiregular elements of $\Omega(\varepsilon)$ of noncompact type. Then in order to prove that $J_0 = 0$ on V , it is enough, from [3(j), Theorem 4], to verify that $\text{Supp } J_0 \cap \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$. However $J_0 = (\partial(\omega) - c)\theta$ and so it follows from Lemma 11 that

$$\text{Supp } J_0 \subset \text{Supp } \theta \subset \mathcal{N} \cap \Omega.$$

Since zero is the only semisimple element in \mathcal{N} , it is clear that $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{S} \subset \{0\}$. Therefore we may assume that \mathcal{S} contains zero. But then it follows from [3(j), § 4] that \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to the three dimensional noncompact semisimple algebra \mathfrak{l} of [3(j), § 2]. We shall consider this case in detail in the next section.

§ 5. SOME COMPUTATIONS ON \mathfrak{I}

So we now assume that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{I}$ and $\mathfrak{o}\in\Omega$. Then we have to show that $J_0=\mathfrak{o}$ around zero. Hence we take $X_0=\mathfrak{o}$ (see § 4). Then it follows from Lemma 10 that $\mathcal{N}\subset\Omega(\varepsilon)$. Now \mathcal{N} is also the singular set of \mathfrak{g} in the present case. Therefore $\text{Supp } J\subset\mathcal{N}$. However $J=J_0$ on $\Omega(\varepsilon)$ and so it is obvious that $J=J_0$ on Ω .

Lemma 15. — We can choose complex numbers a , a^+ and a^- such that

$$J(f) = af(\mathfrak{o}) + a^+c^+(f) + a^-c^-(f) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))$$

in the notation of [3(j), Lemma 34].

This is obvious from [3(j), Lemmas 2, 3 and 26].

Corollary. — $\omega J = \mathfrak{o}$.

Since $\omega=\mathfrak{o}$ on \mathcal{N} , this is an immediate consequence of Lemma 15.

We have seen in § 4 that $\text{Supp } J_0\subset\mathcal{N}$. Fix an element $X\neq\mathfrak{o}$ in \mathcal{N} . We shall first prove that $J_0=\mathfrak{o}$ around X . By the Jacobson-Morosow theorem [3(h), Lemma 24], we can select H, Y in \mathfrak{g} such that

$$[H, X] = 2X, \quad [H, Y] = -2Y, \quad [X, Y] = H.$$

Then $\mathfrak{z}_X = \mathbf{R}X$ is the centralizer of X in \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g}_X = [X, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathbf{R}H + \mathbf{R}X$. Take $U = \mathbf{R}Y$ and $V = \mathbf{R}H + \mathbf{R}Y$ so that $\mathfrak{g} = U + \mathfrak{g}_X = \mathfrak{z}_X + V$. We now use the notation of [3(h), § 7]. Then $4\omega = 2^{-1}H^2 + 2XY$, $\omega(X+tY) = 8t$ and

$$\Gamma_{X+tY}(Y^2\otimes I) = H^2 - 2Y, \quad \Gamma_{X+tY}(H\otimes Y) = 2(XY - Y - tY^2)$$

for $t\in\mathbf{R}$. Hence

$$\Gamma_{X+tY}\left(\frac{1}{2}Y^2\otimes I + H\otimes Y + I\otimes(3Y + 2tY^2)\right) = 4\omega.$$

This means that

$$4\Delta(\partial(\omega)) = 3D + 2tD^2$$

on U' in the notation of [3(h), § 8]. (Here $D = d/dt$.) On the other hand $(\partial(\omega) - c)\theta = J_0$ and θ and J_0 are both invariant distributions. Hence

$$(\Delta - c)\sigma_\theta = \sigma_{J_0}$$

in the notation of [3(h), Theorem 3] where $\Delta = \Delta(\partial(\omega))$.

Since $\text{Supp } \theta\subset\mathcal{N}$, we can regard σ_θ as a distribution on an open neighborhood U_0 of the origin in \mathbf{R} and assume that $\text{Supp } \sigma_\theta\subset\{\mathfrak{o}\}$ (see [3(h), Lemma 23]). If $\sigma_\theta=\mathfrak{o}$, it follows from [3(h), Theorem 2] that $\theta=\mathfrak{o}$ around X and therefore the same holds for J_0 . Hence we may assume that $\mathfrak{o}\in\text{Supp } \sigma_\theta$. Then (see [3(h), Lemma 20])

$$\sigma_\theta = \sum_{0\leq k\leq m} a_k D^k \delta$$

where δ denotes the Dirac distribution $\beta \rightarrow \beta(o)$ ($\beta \in C_c^\infty(U_0)$) and a_k are complex numbers ($a_m \neq 0$). Now $\omega J = \omega J_0 = 0$ on Ω . Since $\omega(X + tY) = 8t$, it follows that $t\sigma_{J_0} = 0$ on U_0 . Hence

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} a_k t(3D + 2tD^2 - 4c)D^k \delta = 0.$$

But it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} tD^k \delta &= -kD^{k-1} \delta, \\ t^2 D^k \delta &= k(k-1)D^{k-2} \delta \end{aligned} \quad (k \geq 0)$$

where $D^v \delta$ should be interpreted to mean zero if $v < 0$. Therefore

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} a_k \{ (k+1)(2k+1)D^k \delta + 4ckD^{k-1} \delta \} = 0.$$

But since the distributions $D^k \delta$ ($k \geq 0$) on U_0 are linearly independent, we conclude that $(m+1)(2m+1)a_m = 0$. However this is impossible since $m \geq 0$ and $a_m \neq 0$. This contradiction shows that $\sigma_0 = 0$ and therefore $\theta = J_0 = 0$ around X . This proves that $\text{Supp } \theta \subset \{o\}$ and $\text{Supp } J_0 \subset \{o\}$.

Now $J = J_0$ on Ω . Hence it follows (see [3(e), p. 685]) that $a^+ = a^- = 0$ in Lemma 15 and therefore $J_0 = J = a\delta_0$ on Ω . Here δ_0 is the Dirac distribution $f \rightarrow f(o)$ ($f \in C_c^\infty(g)$) on g . But since $\text{Supp } \theta \subset \{o\}$, we conclude from [3(h), Lemma 20] that $\theta = \partial(p)\delta_0$ where $p \in S(g_e)$. On the other hand $(\partial(\omega) - c)\theta = J_0 = a\delta_0$ on Ω . Therefore $(\omega - c)p = a$ again from [3(h), Lemma 20]. Since ω is homogeneous of degree 2, this is possible only if $p = a = 0$. Therefore $\theta = J_0 = 0$ and so Lemma 13 is now proved.

§ 6. COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

It remains to complete the proof of Theorem 1 in case g is semisimple. Let \mathfrak{T} be the vector space of all distributions on Ω of the form $\partial(p)T$ ($p \in I(g_e)$). Then it is clear that

$$\dim \mathfrak{T} \leq \dim(I(g_e)/\mathcal{U}) < \infty$$

and every element of \mathfrak{T} satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1. The mapping $S \rightarrow \partial(\omega)S$ ($S \in \mathfrak{T}$) is obviously an endomorphism of \mathfrak{T} . Hence we can choose a base T_j ($1 \leq j \leq N$) for \mathfrak{T} over \mathbf{C} with the following property. There exist complex numbers c_j and integers $r_j \geq 0$ such that

$$(\partial(\omega) - c_j)^{r_j} T_j = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq N).$$

Then Lemma 12 is applicable to T_j . Let F_j be the analytic function on Ω' such that $T_j = F_j$ on Ω' (Lemma 1). Then F_j is locally summable on Ω (Lemma 3) and $T_j = T_{F_j}$ (Lemma 12). Since (T_j) ($1 \leq j \leq N$) is a base for \mathfrak{T} , $T = \sum_j a_j T_j$ for some $a_j \in \mathbf{C}$. Then if $F = \sum_j a_j F_j$, it is obvious that $T = T_F$. This proves Theorem 1.

§ 7. SOME CONSEQUENCES OF THEOREM 1

We shall now derive some consequences of Theorem 1. Define $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ as in [3(i), § 4]. We keep to the notation of Theorem 1.

Lemma 16. — Fix $D \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Then the distribution DT also satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. Hence DF is locally summable on Ω and $DT = T_{DF}$.

Corollary. — Let D^* denote, as usual, the adjoint of D . Then

$$\int f DF dX = \int D^* f \cdot F dX \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)).$$

This is merely a restatement of the relation $DT = T_{DF}$.

Since the distribution DT is obviously invariant, it is enough to verify that the dimension of the space of all distributions of the form $\partial(p)(DT)$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$) is finite. This requires some preparation.

Let us now use the notation of [3(i), § 3]. For any $p \in S(E)$, let r_p and d_p denote the endomorphisms $D \rightarrow D \circ \partial(p)$ and $(1) D \rightarrow \{\partial(p), D\}$ ($D \in \mathfrak{D}(E)$) respectively of $\mathfrak{D}(E)$.

Lemma 17. — Fix $p \in S(E)$. Then for every $D \in \mathfrak{D}(E)$ we can choose an integer $N \geq 0$ such that $d_p^N D = 0$.

Let A be the set of all $p \in S(E)$ for which the lemma holds. We claim that A is a subalgebra of $S(E)$. Observe that d_p, r_p, d_q, r_q ($p, q \in S(E)$) all commute with each other and

$$d_{pq} = d_p d_q + r_p d_q + d_p r_q.$$

Now fix p, q in A and $D \in \mathfrak{D}(E)$ and choose an integer $N \geq 0$ such that $d_p^N D = d_q^N D = 0$. Then it is obvious that $(d_p + d_q)^{2N} D = 0$ and

$$d_{pq}^{3N} D = (d_p d_q + r_p d_q + d_p r_q)^{3N} D = 0.$$

This shows that $p + q$ and pq are both in A and therefore A is a subalgebra. On the other hand if $p \in P(E)$, $q \in S(E)$ and $X \in E$, it is obvious that

$$d_X^N (p \partial(q)) = (d_X^N p) \partial(q) = 0$$

if $N > d^0 p$. This shows that $E \subset A$ and therefore $A = S(E)$.

We now return to the proof of Lemma 16. Let \mathfrak{T} denote the space of all distributions of the form $\partial(p)T$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$). Then $\dim \mathfrak{T} < \infty$. Since the algebra $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ is abelian, we can choose a base T_1, \dots, T_m for \mathfrak{T} over \mathbf{C} and homomorphisms χ_1, \dots, χ_m of $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ into \mathbf{C} such that

$$(\partial(p) - \chi_i(p))^m T_i = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq m).$$

Since T is a linear combination of T_i , it would be enough to prove Lemma 16 under the additional assumption that

$$(\partial(p) - \chi(p))^m T = 0 \quad (p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c))$$

(1) As usual $\{D_1, D_2\} = D_1 \circ D_2 - D_2 \circ D_1$ for two differential operators D_1, D_2 .

for some integer $m \geq 0$ and some homomorphism χ of $I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ into \mathbf{C} . Now fix $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and choose $N \geq 0$ so large that $d_p^N D = 0$ (in the notation of Lemma 17 with $E = \mathfrak{g}_e$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial(p) - \chi(p))^{N+m} D &= (d_p + r_p - \chi(p))^{N+m} D \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N+m} C_k^{N+m} (r_p - \chi(p))^{N+m-k} d_p^k D, \end{aligned}$$

where C_k^{N+m} stands for the usual binomial coefficient. Now consider

$$((r_p - \chi(p))^{N+m-k} d_p^k D) T = (d_p^k D) ((\partial(p) - \chi(p))^{N+m-k} T).$$

If $k \geq N$, $d_p^k D = 0$ and if $k \leq N$, $(\partial(p) - \chi(p))^{N+m-k} T = 0$. Hence

$$(\partial(p) - \chi(p))^{N+m} (DT) = 0.$$

Choose p_1, \dots, p_l in $I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ such that $I(\mathfrak{g}_e) = \mathbf{C}[p_1, \dots, p_l]$. Then we can choose an integer $M \geq 0$ such that

$$(\partial(p_i) - \chi(p_i))^M DT = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq l).$$

But this implies that the space of all distributions of the form $\partial(p)DT$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$) has dimension at most M^l . This proves that Theorem 1 is applicable to DT .

It is obvious that $DT = DF$ on Ω' . Hence by applying Theorem 1 to DT we conclude that DF is locally summable on Ω and $DT = T_{DF}$.

§ 8. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF F

Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} and let us use the notation of [3(j), § 4]. Define the analytic function g on $\mathfrak{h}' \cap \Omega$ by

$$g(H) = \pi(H)F(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}' \cap \Omega).$$

Theorem 2. — g can be extended to an analytic function on $\mathfrak{h}'(R) \cap \Omega$.

Fix an element $H_0 \in \mathfrak{h}'(R) \cap \Omega$. It is enough to show that there exists an analytic function g_1 on an open neighborhood U of H_0 in $\mathfrak{h}'(R) \cap \Omega$ such that $g_1 = g$ on $U \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. First assume that H_0 is semiregular. Let β be the unique positive root of \mathfrak{h} which vanishes at H_0 . Then clearly β is imaginary. If β is compact, the required result follows immediately from Lemma 4. Hence we may assume that β is singular. Define \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} as in [3(j), § 7] corresponding to H_0 . Then it follows from [3(j), Lemmas 12 and 13] that we may assume that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{b}$.

Let \mathfrak{z} be the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} . Define ζ and \mathfrak{z}' as usual [3(i), §§ 2, 7]. We now use the notation of [3(j), § 7]. Let \mathfrak{z}_0 be the set of all $Z \in \mathfrak{z} \cap \Omega$ such that $|\zeta(Z)| > |\zeta(H_0)|/2$. Then \mathfrak{z}_0 is an open neighborhood of H_0 in \mathfrak{z}' which is completely invariant (with respect to \mathfrak{z}). Now σ is the center of \mathfrak{z} . Fix an open and convex neighborhood σ_0 of H_0 in σ such that $\text{Cl } \sigma_0$ is compact and contained in \mathfrak{z}_0 . Let Ω_1 denote the set of all $Z \in I$ such that $\text{Cl } \sigma_0 + Z \subset \mathfrak{z}_0$. Then by Lemma 9, Ω_1 is a completely invariant and open neighborhood of zero in I .

Now apply Lemma 17 of [3(i)] with $G_0 = G$ and put $T_3 = |\zeta|^{1/2} \sigma_T$. Then it follows from Theorem 2 of [3(i)] that $\partial(u_3)T_3 = 0$ ($u \in \mathfrak{U}$). Put $\mathfrak{B} = I(\mathfrak{g}_e)\mathfrak{U}_3$ where \mathfrak{U}_3 is the image of \mathfrak{U} in $I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ under the mapping $p \rightarrow p_3$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$). Then by [3(i), Lemma 19], $\dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_e)/\mathfrak{B}) < \infty$. Let ω_1 denote the Killing form of I . Then, if we identify I with its dual under ω_1 , we have $\omega_1 \in I(I_e) \subset I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. Hence we can choose complex numbers c_1, \dots, c_r such that

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq r} c_k \omega_1^{r-k} \in \mathfrak{B}$$

where $c_0 = 1$. This proves that

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq r} c_k \partial(\omega_1)^{r-k} T_3 = 0.$$

Now fix $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(\sigma_0)$ and let τ_γ denote the distribution

$$\tau_\gamma : f \rightarrow T_3(\gamma \times f) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1))$$

on Ω_1 . Obviously τ_γ is invariant under L and it is clear from the above relation that

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq r} c_k \partial(\omega_1)^{r-k} \tau_\gamma = 0.$$

Since $I(I_e) = \mathbf{C}[\omega_1]$, Theorem 1 and Lemma 16 are both applicable to $(I, \Omega_1, \tau_\gamma)$ in place of $(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega, T)$. Let Ω'_1 be the set of those points of Ω_1 which are regular in I . Fix a Euclidean measure dI on I . Then we can choose an analytic function φ_γ on Ω'_1 which is locally summable on Ω_1 and such that

$$\tau_\gamma(f) = \int f \varphi_\gamma dI \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)).$$

Hence it follows from Lemma 16 that

$$\int \{ \partial(\omega_1)^k f \cdot \varphi_\gamma - f \cdot \partial(\omega_1)^k \varphi_\gamma \} dI = 0$$

for $k \geq 0$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\Omega_1(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of all $Z \in I$ with $|\omega_1(Z)| < 8\varepsilon^2$. If ε is sufficiently small, it is obvious that tH' and $t(X' - Y')$ both lie in Ω_1 whenever $|t| \leq \varepsilon$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}$). Since Ω_1 is completely invariant under L , we can conclude (see [3(e), p. 681]) that $\text{Cl}\Omega_1(\varepsilon) \subset \Omega_1$. It follows from Lemma 2 that there exist three analytic functions $g_\gamma, g_\gamma^+, g_\gamma^-$ on \mathbf{R} such that

$$g_\gamma(t) = t\varphi_\gamma(tH') \quad (0 < t \leq \varepsilon)$$

$$g_\gamma^+(\theta) = \theta\varphi_\gamma(\theta(X' - Y')) \quad (0 < \theta \leq \varepsilon)$$

$$g_\gamma^-(\theta) = \theta\varphi_\gamma(\theta(X' - Y')) \quad (-\varepsilon \leq \theta < 0).$$

Now define the distributions T_k ($k \geq 0$) on I as in Corollary 1 of [3(j), Lemma 35] with (g, g^+, g^-) replaced by $(g_\gamma, g_\gamma^+, g_\gamma^-)$. Then it follows from [3(e), Lemma 16] and [3(c), Theorem 1] that

$$T_k(f) = c \int \{ \partial(\omega_1)^k f \cdot \varphi_\gamma - f \partial(\omega_1)^k \varphi_\gamma \} dI = 0$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1(\varepsilon/2))$. (Here c is a positive constant.) Therefore we conclude from the corollaries of [3(j), Lemma 35] that $g_Y^+ = g_Y^-$ and

$$(-1)^k (d^{2k+1} g_Y / dt^{2k+1})_0 = (d^{2k+1} g_Y^+ / d\theta^{2k+1})_0 \quad (k \geq 0)$$

where the subscript 0 denotes the value at zero.

On the other hand let F_3 denote the restriction of F to \mathfrak{z}_0 . Then by Corollary 2 of [3(h), Theorem 1], F_3 is locally summable on \mathfrak{z}_0 and since F is obviously invariant under G , we have

$$T(f_\alpha) = \int f_\alpha F dX = \int \beta_\alpha F_3 dZ \quad (\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}_0))$$

in the notation of [3(i), Lemma 17]. This proves that $\sigma_T = F_3$ and therefore $T_3 = |\zeta|^{1/2} F_3$. Now $\mathfrak{a} = \sigma + \mathbf{R}H'$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} = \sigma + \mathbf{R}(X' - Y')$. Let τ and λ be the unique positive roots of \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} respectively which vanish at H_0 . We may assume that $\tau(H') = 2$, $\lambda(X' - Y') = -2(-1)^{1/2}$ and the positive roots of \mathfrak{a} go into positive roots of \mathfrak{b} under the automorphism ν of [3(j), § 7]. Put $\pi_\tau^\mathfrak{a} = \tau^{-1} \pi^\mathfrak{a}$, $\pi_\lambda^\mathfrak{b} = \lambda^{-1} \pi^\mathfrak{b}$. Then it is clear that

$$|\zeta(H)|^{1/2} = |\pi_\tau^\mathfrak{a}(H)| \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}),$$

$$|\zeta(H)|^{1/2} = |\pi_\lambda^\mathfrak{b}(H)| \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}).$$

Let I denote the open interval $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ in \mathbf{R} . Put $\mathfrak{a}(\varepsilon) = \sigma_0 + \mathbf{R}H'$ and $\mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon) = \sigma_0 + \mathbf{R}(X' - Y')$. Then $\mathfrak{a}(\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon)$ are both connected sets. Since $\mathfrak{a}(\varepsilon) \subset \mathfrak{z}_0$, it is obvious that no positive root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ other than τ can vanish anywhere on $\mathfrak{a}(\varepsilon)$. Hence $|\pi_\tau^\mathfrak{a}(H)| / |\pi_\tau^\mathfrak{a}(H_0)|$ is a continuous function on $\mathfrak{a}(\varepsilon)$. But since its fourth power is 1 (see [3(j), Lemma 9]), it must be a constant. Put $c = |\pi_\tau^\mathfrak{a}(H_0)| / |\pi_\tau^\mathfrak{a}(H_0)|$. Since $\pi_\lambda^\mathfrak{b} = (\pi_\tau^\mathfrak{a})^\nu$ and H_0 remains fixed under ν , it is clear that

$$c = |\pi_\lambda^\mathfrak{b}(H_0)| / |\pi_\lambda^\mathfrak{b}(H_0)|.$$

Hence we conclude by a similar argument that

$$|\pi_\lambda^\mathfrak{b}(H)| = c |\pi_\lambda^\mathfrak{b}(H_0)| \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon)).$$

This shows that

$$t |\zeta(H + tH')|^{1/2} = 2^{-1} c \pi^\mathfrak{a}(H + tH') \quad (|t| < \varepsilon)$$

$$\theta |\zeta(H + \theta(X' - Y'))|^{1/2} = 2^{-1} (-1)^{1/2} c \pi^\mathfrak{b}(H + \theta(X' - Y')) \quad (|\theta| < \varepsilon)$$

for $H \in \sigma_0$. Now put

$$g^\mathfrak{a}(H) = \pi^\mathfrak{a}(H) F(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}' \cap \Omega),$$

$$g^\mathfrak{b}(H) = \pi^\mathfrak{b}(H) F(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}' \cap \Omega).$$

and fix a Euclidean measure $d\sigma$ on σ such that $d\sigma dI$ is equal to the Euclidean measure dZ on \mathfrak{z} used above. Since $T_3 = |\zeta|^{1/2} F_3$, it is obvious that

$$\varphi_Y(Y) = \int_Y (H) |\zeta(H + Y)|^{1/2} F(H + Y) d\sigma \quad (Y \in \Omega'_1).$$

Hence

$$g_{\gamma}(t) = 2^{-1}c \int g^a(H + tH')\gamma(H)d\sigma \quad (0 < t < \varepsilon),$$

$$g_{\gamma}^+(\theta) = 2^{-1}(-1)^{1/2}c \int g^b(H + \theta(X' - Y'))\gamma(H)d\sigma \quad (0 < \theta < \varepsilon),$$

$$g_{\gamma}^-(\theta) = 2^{-1}(-1)^{1/2}c \int g^b(H + \theta(X' - Y'))\gamma(H)d\sigma \quad (-\varepsilon < \theta < 0).$$

On the other hand if J is the open interval $(0, \varepsilon)$ in \mathbf{R} , it is clear that $\sigma_0 \pm JH'$ are connected sets contained in $\mathfrak{a}' \cap \Omega$. Let \mathfrak{a}^{\pm} denote the connected component of $\mathfrak{a}' \cap \Omega$ containing $\sigma_0 \pm JH'$. Similarly let \mathfrak{b}^{\pm} be the connected component of $\mathfrak{b}' \cap \Omega$ containing $\sigma_0 \pm J(X' - Y')$. Then by Lemmas 1 and 2, there exist analytic functions g_{\pm}^a and g_{\pm}^b on \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} respectively such that $g^a = g_+^a$ on \mathfrak{a}^+ , $g^a = g_-^a$ on \mathfrak{a}^- , $g^b = g_+^b$ on \mathfrak{b}^+ and $g^b = g_-^b$ on \mathfrak{b}^- . It is then obvious that

$$g_{\gamma}(t) = 2^{-1}c \int g_+^a(H + tH')\gamma(H)d\sigma \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}),$$

$$g_{\gamma}^{\pm}(\theta) = 2^{-1}(-1)^{1/2}c \int g_{\pm}^b(H + \theta(X' - Y'))\gamma(H)d\sigma \quad (\theta \in \mathbf{R}).$$

On the other hand we have seen above that $g_{\gamma}^+ = g_{\gamma}^-$ for every $\gamma \in C_c^{\infty}(\sigma_0)$. Therefore it is clear that $g_+^b = g_-^b$. This shows that $g = g^b = g_+^b$ on $\mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon) \cap \mathfrak{b}'$. Since $\mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon)$ is a neighborhood of H_0 in \mathfrak{b} , our assertion is proved in this case.

Moreover since

$$(d^{2k+1}g_{\gamma}/dt^{2k+1})_0 = (-1)^k(d^{2k+1}g_{\gamma}^+/d\theta^{2k+1})_0 \quad (k \geq 0)$$

and $\nu(H') = (-1)^{1/2}(X' - Y')$, we find in the same way that

$$g_+^a(H; \partial(H')^{2k+1}) = g_+^b(H; \partial(\nu(H'))^{2k+1})$$

for $H \in \sigma$.

We now use the notation of [3(j), § 8].

Lemma 18. — Let s_{τ} be the Weyl reflexion in \mathfrak{a} corresponding to τ . Then $(g^a)^{s_{\tau}} = -g^a$. If D is an element in $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{a}_e)$ such that $D^{s_{\tau}} = -D$, then Dg^a can be extended to a continuous function on $\mathfrak{a}(\varepsilon)$ and ⁽¹⁾

$$g^a(H; D) = g^b(H; D^{\nu})$$

for $H \in \sigma_0$.

Since τ is real we know from [3(j), Lemma 6] that $s_{\tau} \in W_{\mathfrak{g}}^a$. Therefore since F is invariant under G , it is obvious that $(g^a)^{s_{\tau}} = -g^a$ and hence $(Dg^a)^{s_{\tau}} = Dg^a$. This implies that $(Dg_+^a)^{s_{\tau}} = Dg_-^a$ and therefore $Dg_+^a = Dg_-^a$ on σ . It is now clear that Dg^a can be extended to a continuous function on $\mathfrak{a}(\varepsilon)$. So it remains to show that

$$g_+^a(H; D) = g^b(H; D^{\nu})$$

for $H \in \sigma_0$. Since $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{a}_e) = \mathfrak{D}(\sigma_e)\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{CH}')$ and since s_{τ} leaves σ pointwise fixed, it is sufficient to consider the case when $D = \Delta \circ \tau^i \partial(H')^j$. Here $\Delta \in \mathfrak{D}(\sigma_e)$ and $i+j$ is odd.

⁽¹⁾ $g^a(H; D)$ denotes, as usual, the value of the continuous function Dg^a at H . Similarly in other cases.

Now Δ and τ commute. Therefore, if $i \geq 1$, our assertion is obvious from the fact that τ and λ are both zero on σ . So we may assume that $i=0$ so that j is odd. It is enough to verify that

$$g_+^a(H; \partial(H')^i) = g^b(H; \partial(v(H'))^i) \quad (H \in \sigma_0)$$

since the required relation would then follow by applying the differential operator Δ to this equation. However $g^b = g_+^b$ on $\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon)$ and so this follows from the result proved above.

Now we return to the proof of Theorem 2. Fix a point $H_0 \in \mathfrak{h}'(R) \cap \Omega$ and an open convex neighborhood U of H_0 in $\mathfrak{h}'(R) \cap \Omega$. Let U_1 be the set consisting of all regular and semiregular elements of U . Then U_1 is open, and if β is a root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ which vanishes at some point of U_1 , it is clear that β is imaginary. Hence it follows from the above proof that there exists an analytic function g_1 on U_1 such that $g_1 = g$ on $U_1 \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. Now fix a connected component U_2 of $U_1 \cap \mathfrak{h}' = U \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. Then by Lemma 2 there exists an analytic function g_2 on \mathfrak{h} such that $g = g_2$ on U_2 . Since U_1 is connected (see the corollary of [3(j), Lemma 19]), we conclude that $g_1 = g_2$ and therefore $g = g_2$ on $U \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. Since g_2 is analytic on \mathfrak{h} , we have shown that g can be extended to an analytic function on U . Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

We denote the extended analytic function on $\mathfrak{h}'(R) \cap \Omega$ again by g .

Lemma 19 ⁽¹⁾. — *Let H_0 be a point in $\mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega$ and D an element in $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ such that $D^{\delta\alpha} = -D$ for every real root α of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ which vanishes at H_0 . Then Dg can be extended to a continuous function around H_0 .*

Fix an open, convex and relatively compact neighborhood U of H_0 in $\Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}$. By taking it sufficiently small we can arrange that no real root α of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ vanishes anywhere on U unless $\alpha(H_0) = 0$. Let U_0 be the set consisting of all regular and semiregular elements of U . Then, as before, U_0 is open and connected and it follows from Theorem 2 and Lemma 18 that there exists a continuous function g_0 on U_0 such that $Dg = g_0$ on $U_0 \cap \mathfrak{h}'(R)$. The set $U \cap \mathfrak{h}'$ has only a finite number of connected components, say U_1, \dots, U_r . By Lemma 2 we can choose an analytic function g_i on \mathfrak{h} such that $g = g_i$ on U_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). This shows that Dg is of class C^∞ on $\text{Cl}U_i$ (see [3(j), § 14]). But $\text{Cl}U_i = \text{Cl}(U_i \cap U_0)$ and $Dg = g_0$ on $U_i \cap U_0$. Therefore g_0 is also of class C^∞ on $\text{Cl}U_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Fix a Euclidean norm on \mathfrak{h} and put

$$v(g_0) = \sup |g_0(H_1; \partial(H_2))|$$

where H_1, H_2 vary in $U \cap \mathfrak{h}'$ and \mathfrak{h} respectively under the sole restriction that $\|H_2\| \leq 1$. Then it is obvious from what we have said above that $v(g_0) < \infty$. Moreover (see [3(j), § 10])

$$|g_0(H_1) - g_0(H_2)| \leq v(g_0) \|H_1 - H_2\|$$

for any two points H_1, H_2 in $U \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. Obviously this means that Dg can be extended to a continuous function on U .

⁽¹⁾ Cf. [3(j), Theorem 1].

Corollary. — Let D be an element of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_e)$ such that $D^{\alpha} = -D$ for every real root α of \mathfrak{h} . Then Dg can be extended to a continuous function on $\mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega$.

This is obvious from the above lemma. We denote the extended function again by Dg . Moreover $g(H; D)$ ($H \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega$) will stand for the value of Dg at H .

Put $\varpi = \prod_{\alpha > 0} H_{\alpha}$ where α runs over all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Then $\varpi \in S(\mathfrak{h}_e)$ and $\varpi^{\alpha} = -\varpi$ for every root α . Hence $\partial(\varpi)g$ is a continuous function on $\mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega$. Since the differential operator $\partial(\varpi) \circ \pi$ is obviously independent of the choice of positive roots of \mathfrak{h} , it is clear that the function $\partial(\varpi)g$ also does not depend on this choice. Corresponding to any Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} , we define $\varpi^{\mathfrak{a}}$, $g^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{a}})g^{\mathfrak{a}}$ in an analogous way.

Theorem 3. — Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . Then

$$\partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{a}})g^{\mathfrak{a}} = \partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{b}})g^{\mathfrak{b}}$$

on $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \cap \Omega$.

Before giving the proof we derive a consequence of this theorem. Let g_T denote the function g of Theorem 2 corresponding to the distribution T . For any $D \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, DT also fulfills the conditions of Theorem 1 (Lemma 16). Hence we can consider the corresponding function g_{DT} . It follows from [3(i), Theorem 1] that $g_{DT} = \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D)g_T$. Therefore

$$\partial(\varpi)g_{DT} = (\partial(\varpi) \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D))g$$

can also be extended to a continuous function on $\mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega$.

Corollary. — $(\partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{a}}) \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}}(D))g^{\mathfrak{a}} = (\partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{b}}) \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}}(D))g^{\mathfrak{b}}$ on $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \cap \Omega$ for any $D \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$.

This follows by applying Theorem 3 to DT instead of T .

We shall prove Theorem 3 by induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$. Fix a point $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \cap \Omega$. We have to show that $g^{\mathfrak{a}}(H_0; \partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{a}})) = g^{\mathfrak{b}}(H_0; \partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{b}}))$. Let \mathfrak{c} be the center and \mathfrak{g}_1 the derived algebra of \mathfrak{g} and first suppose that $\mathfrak{c} \neq \{0\}$. Let $H_0 = C_0 + H_1$ where $C_0 \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $H_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. Then it is clear that $H_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_1$ where $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ ($\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$ or \mathfrak{b}). Choose an open and relatively compact neighborhood \mathfrak{c}_0 of C_0 in \mathfrak{c} and let Ω_1 be the set of all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ such that $\text{Cl } \mathfrak{c}_0 + Z \subset \Omega$. Then (Lemma 9) Ω_1 is an open and completely invariant neighborhood of H_1 in \mathfrak{g}_1 , if \mathfrak{c}_0 is sufficiently small. Fix $\alpha \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{c}_0)$ and consider the distribution

$$\tau_{\alpha} : f \rightarrow T(\alpha \times f) \quad (f \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega_1))$$

on Ω_1 . Put $\mathfrak{U}_1 = \mathfrak{U} \cap I(\mathfrak{g}_{1e})$. Then it is clear that

$$\dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_{1e})/\mathfrak{U}_1) \leq \dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_e)/\mathfrak{U}) < \infty$$

and $\partial(u_1)\tau_{\alpha} = 0$ for $u_1 \in \mathfrak{U}_1$. Hence Theorem 1 also holds if we replace $(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega, T)$ by $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \Omega_1, \tau_{\alpha})$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, Theorem 3 applies to τ_{α} by the induction hypothesis. Put

$$g_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{h}}(H) = \int \alpha(C) g^{\mathfrak{h}}(C + H) dC \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}' \cap \Omega_1)$$

where dC is a Euclidean measure on \mathfrak{c} . Then we conclude that

$$g_\alpha^a(H; \partial(\varpi^a)) = g_\alpha^b(H; \partial(\varpi^b))$$

for $H \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \cap \Omega_1$. Since this is true for every $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{c}_0)$, it is clear that $\partial(\varpi^a)g^a$ and $\partial(\varpi^b)g^b$ coincide around H_0 on $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \cap \Omega$.

So now we can assume that $\mathfrak{c} = \{0\}$ and therefore \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. Then we identify \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} with their respective duals by means of the Killing form (see [3(i), § 6]) so that $\varpi^b = \pi^b$ ($\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$ or \mathfrak{b}). First assume that $H_0 \neq 0$ and let \mathfrak{z} be the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} . Then $\dim \mathfrak{z} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$ and we can identify \mathfrak{z} with its dual by means of the restriction (to \mathfrak{z}) of the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . Define ζ and \mathfrak{z}' as in [3(i), § 2] and put $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} = \mathfrak{z}' \cap \Omega$. Then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is an open neighborhood of H_0 in \mathfrak{z} which is completely invariant (with respect to \mathfrak{z}). Take $G_0 = G$ and $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ in Lemma 17 of [3(i)] and let σ_T denote the corresponding distribution on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$. Then

$$\sigma_T(\beta_\alpha) = T(f_\alpha) = \int f_\alpha F dX \quad (\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G \times \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}})).$$

But since F is invariant under G , we conclude from Corollary 2 of [3(h), Theorem 1] that the function $F_{\mathfrak{z}} : Z \rightarrow F(Z)$ ($Z \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$) is locally summable on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and

$$\int f_\alpha F dX = \int \beta_\alpha F_{\mathfrak{z}} dZ.$$

This shows that $\sigma_T = F_{\mathfrak{z}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$ or \mathfrak{b} . Then $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{z}$. Define \mathfrak{q} as in [3(i), § 2]. P^b being the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, let $P_{\mathfrak{z}}^b$ and $P_{\mathfrak{q}}^b$ denote the subsets of those $\alpha \in P^b$ for which X_α lies in \mathfrak{z}_c and \mathfrak{q}_c respectively. Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^b$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}^b$ be the products of all roots in $P_{\mathfrak{z}}^b$ and $P_{\mathfrak{q}}^b$ respectively. Then $\pi^b = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^b \pi_{\mathfrak{q}}^b$ and it is clear that $(\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}^b)^{\varepsilon\alpha} = \pi_{\mathfrak{q}}^b$ for all $\alpha \in P_{\mathfrak{z}}^b$. Hence, by Chevalley's theorem [3(c), Lemma 9], there exists an invariant polynomial function p on \mathfrak{z} such that $p(H) = \pi_{\mathfrak{q}}^a(H)$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. But

$$\zeta(H) = (-1)^q (\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}^a(H))^2 \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a})$$

where $q = 2^{-1} \dim \mathfrak{q}$ is the number of roots in $P_{\mathfrak{q}}^a$. Therefore $\zeta = (-1)^q p^2$ again by Chevalley's theorem. Let $p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ denote the restriction of p to \mathfrak{h} . Then since ζ coincides with $(-1)^q (\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}^b)^2$ on \mathfrak{b} , it is clear that $p_{\mathfrak{h}} = \varepsilon \pi_{\mathfrak{q}}^b$ where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

Now put $T_{\mathfrak{z}} = p \sigma_T$. Then it follows from Theorem 2 of [3(i)] (see also § 4) that Theorem 1 still holds if we replace $(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega, T)$ by $(\mathfrak{z}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}, T_{\mathfrak{z}})$. Put

$$g_{\mathfrak{z}}^b(H) = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^b(H) p(H) F(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}' \cap \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}).$$

Since $\dim \mathfrak{z} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, both Theorem 3 and its corollary are applicable to $T_{\mathfrak{z}}$. Moreover $\delta_{\mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{b}}(\partial(p)) = \partial(p_{\mathfrak{h}})$ [3(c), Theorem 1] and so we conclude that

$$\partial(\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^a p_{\mathfrak{a}}) g_{\mathfrak{z}}^a = \partial(\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^b p_{\mathfrak{b}}) g_{\mathfrak{z}}^b$$

on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$. However $\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^a p_{\mathfrak{a}} = \pi^a$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^b p_{\mathfrak{b}} = \varepsilon \pi^b$. Therefore $g_{\mathfrak{z}}^a = g^a$ and $g_{\mathfrak{z}}^b = \varepsilon g^b$ on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{a}'$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{b}'$ respectively. So it follows that $\partial(\pi^a)g^a = \partial(\pi^b)g^b$ on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$. Since $H_0 \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, our assertion is proved in this case.

So it remains to consider the case when $H_0 = 0$. Hence we may assume that $0 \in \Omega$. For any Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} , put $c(\mathfrak{h}) = g^{\mathfrak{h}}(0; \partial(\pi^{\mathfrak{h}}))$.

Lemma 20. — *Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . Then, if $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \neq \{0\}$, $c(\mathfrak{a}) = c(\mathfrak{b})$.*

Since Ω is an open neighborhood of zero in \mathfrak{g} , we can choose $H \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ such that $tH \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \cap \Omega$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then in view of what we have proved above, it is clear that

$$g^{\mathfrak{a}}(tH; \partial(\pi^{\mathfrak{a}})) = g^{\mathfrak{b}}(tH; \partial(\pi^{\mathfrak{b}})) \quad (0 < t \leq 1).$$

Making t tend to zero we get $c(\mathfrak{a}) = c(\mathfrak{b})$.

Lemma 21. — *Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then $c(\mathfrak{h}) = c(\mathfrak{h}^x)$ for any x in G .*

Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}^x$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\pi^{\mathfrak{a}} = (\pi^{\mathfrak{h}})^x$. Then it is clear that

$$g^{\mathfrak{a}}(H^x) = g^{\mathfrak{h}}(H) \quad (H \in \Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}')$$

and therefore

$$g^{\mathfrak{a}}(H^x; \partial(\pi^{\mathfrak{a}})) = g^{\mathfrak{h}}(H; \partial(\pi^{\mathfrak{h}}))$$

for $H \in \Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. We obtain the required result by making H tend to zero.

Fix a Cartan involution θ of \mathfrak{g} and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding Cartan decomposition. If \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} which is stable under θ , we put

$$l_+(\mathfrak{h}) = \dim(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}), \quad l_-(\mathfrak{h}) = \dim(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}).$$

Then $l_+(\mathfrak{h}) + l_-(\mathfrak{h}) = \dim \mathfrak{h} = l$ where $l = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$. Let $l_+ = \sup_{\mathfrak{h}} l_+(\mathfrak{h})$, $l_- = \sup_{\mathfrak{h}} l_-(\mathfrak{h})$ where \mathfrak{h} runs over all Cartan subalgebras stable under θ . Fix two Cartan subalgebras \mathfrak{h}_+ , \mathfrak{h}_- , both stable under θ , such that $l_+ = l_+(\mathfrak{h}_+)$, $l_- = l_-(\mathfrak{h}_-)$.

Lemma 22. — *Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} which is stable under θ . Then $c(\mathfrak{h}) = c(\mathfrak{h}_+)$ if $l_+(\mathfrak{h}) > 0$ and $c(\mathfrak{h}) = c(\mathfrak{h}_-)$ if $l_-(\mathfrak{h}) > 0$.*

Let K be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{k} . Then $\mathfrak{h}_+ \cap \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_- \cap \mathfrak{k}$ are maximal abelian subspaces of \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{k} respectively. Since any two maximal abelian subspaces of \mathfrak{p} (or \mathfrak{k}) are conjugate under K , it is clear that we can choose $k_1, k_2 \in K$ such that

$$(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})^{k_1} \subset \mathfrak{h}_+ \cap \mathfrak{p}, \quad (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k})^{k_2} \subset \mathfrak{h}_- \cap \mathfrak{k}.$$

Then

$$\dim(\mathfrak{h}^{k_1} \cap \mathfrak{h}_+) \geq \dim(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})^{k_1} = l_+(\mathfrak{h})$$

and similarly

$$\dim(\mathfrak{h}^{k_2} \cap \mathfrak{h}_-) \geq l_-(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Hence our assertion follows from Lemmas 20 and 21.

Lemma 23. — $c(\mathfrak{h}_+) = c(\mathfrak{h}_-)$.

We may obviously assume that $l \geq 1$. If $l_-(\mathfrak{h}_+) + l_+(\mathfrak{h}_-) \geq 1$, our statement follows from Lemma 22. Hence we may assume that $\mathfrak{h}_+ \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_- \subset \mathfrak{k}$. Then \mathfrak{h}_+ is not fundamental in \mathfrak{g} and so there exists a positive real root α of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_+)$ (see [3(d),

Lemma 33]). We assume, as we may (see [3(d), Lemma 46]), that $\theta(X_\alpha) = -X_{-\alpha}$ and $X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}$ are in \mathfrak{g} . Take $H' = a^{-2}H_\alpha$, $X' = a^{-1}X_\alpha$, $Y' = a^{-1}X_{-\alpha}$ where $a = (\alpha(H_\alpha)/2)^{1/2}$. Define the automorphism ν of \mathfrak{g}_θ as in [3(j), § 7]. Then $\theta(X') = -Y'$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \nu((\mathfrak{h}_+)_\theta) \cap \mathfrak{g} = \sigma_\alpha + \mathbf{R}(X' - Y')$ is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} which is stable under θ . Here σ_α is the hyperplane consisting of all points $H \in \mathfrak{h}_+$ where $\alpha(H) = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{p} = \sigma_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{k} = \mathbf{R}(X' - Y')$, it is obvious that $l_+(\mathfrak{b}) = l - 1$ and $l_-(\mathfrak{b}) = 1$. Hence, if $l \geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 22 that $c(\mathfrak{h}_+) = c(\mathfrak{b}) = c(\mathfrak{h}_-)$. On the other hand if $l = 1$, zero is a semiregular element of \mathfrak{g} and our assertion follows immediately from Lemmas 18 and 21.

We shall now finish the proof of Theorem 3. Choose x, y in G such that \mathfrak{a}^x and \mathfrak{b}^y are stable under θ (see [3(b), p. 100]). Then it is clear from Lemmas 21, 22 and 23 that $c(\mathfrak{a}) = c(\mathfrak{b})$. The proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.

§ 9. THE DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ AND THE FUNCTION $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F$

Lemma 24. — There exists a unique differential operator $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on \mathfrak{g}' with the following two properties :

- 1) $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is invariant under G .
- 2) Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then

$$f(H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) = f(H; \partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{h}}) \circ \pi^{\mathfrak{h}})$$

for $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ and $H \in \mathfrak{h}'$.

Moreover $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is analytic.

Since two distinct Cartan subalgebras cannot have a regular element in common, the uniqueness is obvious. The existence is proved as follows. Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} and define $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{a} = (\mathfrak{a}')^G$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{a}$ is an open subset of \mathfrak{g} . Let A be the Cartan subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{a} and $x \rightarrow x^*$ the natural projection of G on $G^* = G/A$. Then the mapping $\varphi : (x^*, H) \rightarrow x^*H$ of $G^* \times \mathfrak{a}'$ onto $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{a}$ (in the notation of § 2) is everywhere regular. Define $W_\mathfrak{g} = \tilde{A}/A$ where \tilde{A} is the normalizer of \mathfrak{a} in G . Then $W_\mathfrak{g}$ operates on G^* and \mathfrak{a} as follows. Fix $s \in W_\mathfrak{g}$ and choose $y \in \tilde{A}$ lying in the coset s . Then

$$sH = H^y, \quad x^*s = (xy)^*$$

for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $x \in G$. It is clear that the complete inverse image under φ of a point $x^*H \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{a}$ ($x^* \in G^*, H \in \mathfrak{a}'$) consists of the elements $(x^*s, s^{-1}H)$ ($s \in W_\mathfrak{g}$), which are all distinct. Since φ is locally an analytic diffeomorphism and since $\partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{a}}) \circ \pi^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is obviously invariant under $W_\mathfrak{g}$, it is clear that there exists an analytic differential operator ∇ on $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$f(x^*H; \nabla) = f(x^* : H; \partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{a}}) \circ \pi^{\mathfrak{a}}) \quad (x^* \in G^*, H \in \mathfrak{a}')$$

for $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{a})$. Here $f(x^* : H) = f(x^*H)$ as usual. It is easy to verify that ∇ satisfies the two conditions of the lemma on $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{a}$.

Now select a maximal set $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_r$ of Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , no two of which are conjugate under G . Put $\mathfrak{g}_i = (\mathfrak{h}_i')^G$ and define a differential operator ∇_i on \mathfrak{g}_i as above corresponding to $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_i$. Since \mathfrak{g}' is the disjoint union of the open sets $\mathfrak{g}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_r$, we can define $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ by setting $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} = \nabla_i$ on \mathfrak{g}_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$).

Lemma 25. — For any $D \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ D)F$ can be extended to a continuous function on Ω .

We shall use induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$. In view of Lemma 16, it is enough to consider the case when $D = 1$. Define \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{g}_1 as in § 4 and first assume that $\mathfrak{c} \neq \{0\}$. Fix a point $X_0 = C_0 + Z_0$ ($C_0 \in \mathfrak{c}$, $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$) in Ω . We have to show that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F$ can be extended to a continuous function around X_0 . Select an open, connected and relatively compact neighborhood \mathfrak{c}_0 of C_0 in \mathfrak{c} such that $(\text{Cl } \mathfrak{c}_0) + Z_0 \subset \Omega$. Define Ω_1 to be the set of all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ such that $\text{Cl } \mathfrak{c}_0 + Z \subset \Omega$. Then, by Lemma 9, Ω_1 is also open and completely invariant in \mathfrak{g}_1 . Since $S(\mathfrak{c}_e) \subset I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, it is clear that

$$\dim(S(\mathfrak{c}_e)/\mathcal{U} \cap S(\mathfrak{c}_e)) \leq \dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_e)/\mathcal{U}) < \infty.$$

Let E be the space of all analytic functions χ on \mathfrak{c}_0 such that $\partial(u)\chi = 0$ for $u \in \mathcal{U} \cap S(\mathfrak{c}_e)$. Then (see the proof of Lemma 13 of [3(c)]) $\dim E < \infty$. Let χ_j ($1 \leq j \leq N$) be a base for E over \mathbf{C} . Fix $Z \in \Omega_1' = \Omega_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}'$. Then it is obvious that $F(Z + C; \partial(u)) = 0$ for $u \in \mathcal{U} \cap S(\mathfrak{c}_e)$ and $C \in \mathfrak{c}_0$. Therefore

$$F(C + Z) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \chi_j(C) F_j(Z) \quad (C \in \mathfrak{c}_0)$$

where $F_j(Z) \in \mathbf{C}$. Since F is analytic on Ω' , it is obvious that F_j ($1 \leq j \leq N$) are analytic functions on Ω_1' .

Fix Euclidean measures dC and dZ on \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{g}_1 respectively such that $dX = dC dZ$ for $X = C + Z$ ($C \in \mathfrak{c}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$) and for any $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{c}_0)$ define the distribution θ_α on Ω_1 by

$$\theta_\alpha(\beta) = T(\alpha \times \beta) \quad (\beta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)).$$

Then, as we have seen in § 4, the induction hypothesis is applicable to $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \theta_\alpha, \Omega_1)$ in place of $(\mathfrak{g}, T, \Omega)$. Put

$$F_\alpha(Z) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} F_j(Z) \int \chi_j(C) \alpha(C) dC \quad (Z \in \Omega_1').$$

Then $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}_1} F_\alpha$ can be extended to a continuous function on Ω_1 . Since this is true for every $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{c}_0)$, the same holds for $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}_1} F_j$, $1 \leq j \leq N$ (see [3(e), Lemma 20]). But it is obvious that

$$F(C + Z; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \chi_j(C) F_j(Z; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}_1})$$

for $C \in \mathfrak{c}_0$ and $Z \in \Omega_1'$. Hence $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F$ extends to a continuous function on $\mathfrak{c}_0 + \Omega_1$, which proves our assertion.

So now we may assume that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. Let Ω^0 be the set of all points $X_0 \in \Omega$ such that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F$ can be extended to a continuous function around X_0 . Clearly Ω^0 is an open and invariant subset of Ω . Therefore, in view of Corollary 2 of Lemma 8, it would be enough to show that every semisimple element of Ω lies in Ω^0 .

Fix a semisimple element $H_0 \in \Omega$. First assume that $H_0 \neq 0$. Let \mathfrak{z} be the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} . Define \mathfrak{q} and ζ as in [3(i), § 2]. Then as we have seen during the proof of Theorem 3, there exists an invariant polynomial function p on \mathfrak{z} such that $\zeta = (-1)^q p^2$ where $q = (\dim \mathfrak{q})/2$. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z} . We identify \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{z} , \mathfrak{h} with their respective duals by means of the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . Define $\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ as in the proof of Theorem 3. Since $\zeta = (-1)^q \pi_{\mathfrak{q}}^2$ on \mathfrak{h} , it follows from [3(c), Theorem 1] that

$$\delta'_{\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{h}}(\partial(p) \circ p) = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^{-1} \partial(\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}) \circ \pi.$$

Hence if $H \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega'$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) &= F(H; \partial(\pi) \circ \pi) \\ &= F(H; \partial(\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}) \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ \delta'_{\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{h}}(\partial(p) \circ p)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand define $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$, σ_T and $F_{\mathfrak{z}}$ as before (see the proof of Theorem 3) and put $T_{\mathfrak{z}} = p \sigma_T$. Then by [3(i), Theorem 2 and Lemma 19], the induction hypothesis is applicable to $(\mathfrak{z}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}, T_{\mathfrak{z}})$ in place of $(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega, T)$. On the other hand we have seen during the proof of Theorem 3 that $\sigma_T = F_{\mathfrak{z}}$. Therefore $T_{\mathfrak{z}} = p F_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and so by the induction hypothesis $(\nabla_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ \partial(p))(p F_{\mathfrak{z}})$ extends to a continuous function $g_{\mathfrak{z}}$ on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$.

Let Ξ denote the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} and $x \rightarrow x^*$ the natural projection of G on $G^* = G/\Xi$. Select open connected neighborhoods G_0 and \mathfrak{z}_0 of 1 and H_0 in G and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ respectively and let G_0^* denote the image of G_0 in G^* . Then if G_0 and \mathfrak{z}_0 are sufficiently small, we can define ψ , φ and Ω_0 as in the proof of Lemma 4. Define a function g on Ω_0 as follows:

$$g(\varphi(x^*, Z)) = g_{\mathfrak{z}}(Z) \quad (x^* \in G_0^*, Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0).$$

Since φ is an analytic diffeomorphism of $G_0^* \times \mathfrak{z}_0$ with Ω_0 , g is obviously continuous. Fix $X \in \Omega_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}'$. We claim that $g(X) = F(X; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Let $X = \varphi(x^*, H)$ ($x^* \in G_0^*$, $H \in \mathfrak{z}_0$). Then it is clear that $g(X) = g(H)$. Similarly, since $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F$ is invariant under G , it follows that $F(X; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) = F(H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Hence it would be enough to show that $g(H) = F(H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Obviously H is regular in both \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{z} . Let \mathfrak{h} be the centralizer of H in \mathfrak{z} . Then \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z} and $H \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega'$. Therefore, as we have seen above,

$$F(H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) = F(H; \partial(\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}) \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ \delta'_{\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{h}}(\partial(p) \circ p)).$$

Put $F'_{\mathfrak{z}} = (\partial(p) \circ p) F_{\mathfrak{z}}$. Since $F_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is invariant under Ξ and $\partial(p) \circ p \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{z}_0)$, it follows from [3(i), Lemma 14] that

$$F'_{\mathfrak{z}}(H') = F_{\mathfrak{z}}(H'; \delta'_{\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{h}}(\partial(p) \circ p)) \quad (H' \in \mathfrak{h}' \cap \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}),$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathfrak{z}}(H) &= F'_{\mathfrak{z}}(H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{z}}) = F_{\mathfrak{z}}(H; \partial(\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}) \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ \delta'_{\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{h}}(\partial(p) \circ p)) \\ &= F(H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) \end{aligned}$$

from the definition of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{z}}$. This proves that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F = g$ on $\Omega_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ and therefore $H_0 \in \Omega^0$.

So in order to complete the proof of Lemma 25, we may assume that $0 \in \Omega$. Then, by Lemma 10, $\mathcal{N} \subset \Omega$ and it follows from Corollary 1 of Lemma 8 that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F$ can be

extended to a continuous function g on $(1) \Omega \cap {}^c\mathcal{N}$. Hence it would be sufficient to prove the following result.

Lemma 26. — *There exists a number c with following property. If (X_k) ($k \geq 1$) is a sequence in Ω' which converges to some element X in \mathcal{N} , then $g(X_k) \rightarrow c$.*

Define \mathfrak{h}_i and \mathfrak{g}_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) as in the proof of Lemma 24 and $c(\mathfrak{h}_i)$ as in § 8. Then $c(\mathfrak{h}_1) = \dots = c(\mathfrak{h}_r) = c$ (say) from the results of § 8. Since \mathfrak{g}' is the union of $\mathfrak{g}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_r$ we can select, for each k , an index i_k and elements $x_k \in G$, $H_k \in \mathfrak{h}'_{i_k}$ such that $X_k = x_k H_k$. Since $X_k \rightarrow X$, it is clear (see the proof of [3(j), Lemma 23]) that $H_k \rightarrow 0$. Hence it follows from the definition of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and c that

$$g(H_k) = F(H_k; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow c.$$

But since $g = \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F$ is invariant under G , $g(X_k) = g(H_k)$ and therefore $g(X_k) \rightarrow c$.

§ 10. A DIGRESSION

We shall now apply Theorem 3 to give a new proof of the main result of [3(e)]. We keep to the notation of Theorem 1.

Lemma 27. — *Assume that F is locally constant on Ω' . Then T is locally constant on Ω .*

Given any point $H_0 \in \Omega$, we have to show that T coincides with a constant around H_0 . In view of Corollary 2 of Lemma 8, it would be sufficient to consider the case when H_0 is semisimple. However we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 28. — *There exists a number $a > 0$ such that*

$$\partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{h}})\pi^{\mathfrak{h}} = a$$

for every Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} .

Take $T = 1$ and $\Omega = \mathfrak{g}$ in Theorem 1. Then $\partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{h}})g^{\mathfrak{h}} = \partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{h}})\pi^{\mathfrak{h}}$ in the notation of Theorem 3. But $\partial(\varpi^{\mathfrak{h}})\pi^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is obviously a constant which we denote by $a(\mathfrak{h})$. Since zero belongs to every Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , it follows from Theorem 3 that $a(\mathfrak{h})$ is actually independent of \mathfrak{h} . Hence we may denote it by a . On the other hand we know (see [3(c), p. 110]) that $a(\mathfrak{h}) > 0$. This proves the lemma ⁽²⁾.

Let us now return to the proof of Lemma 27. Since F is locally constant on Ω' , it follows from Lemma 28 that $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F = aF$. Therefore we conclude from Lemma 25 that F can be extended to a continuous function on Ω . Since $T = T_F$, this proves that T is locally constant on Ω .

Now we know that the distribution T' of [3(d), Lemma 30] is locally constant on \mathfrak{g}' (see [3(d), p. 235]). Hence from Lemma 27, it is a constant. This gives a new proof of Lemma 17 of [3(j)].

⁽¹⁾ cS denotes the complement of any set S .

⁽²⁾ It is obviously possible to give a direct proof of Lemma 28.

§ 11. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

In order to prove that the irreducible unitary characters of G are actually functions $[3(g), \text{Theorem 1}]$, we have to develop a method of lifting our results from \mathfrak{g} to G . The remainder of this paper is devoted to this task.

We use the notation of $[3(i), \text{Theorem 1}]$.

Theorem 4. — *Let Ω be a completely invariant open set in \mathfrak{g} and T an invariant distribution on Ω . Let D be a differential operator in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ such that $Dp = 0$ for all $p \in J(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Then $DT = 0$.*

We proceed by induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$. Let \mathfrak{c} be the center and \mathfrak{g}_1 the derived algebra of \mathfrak{g} and first assume that $\mathfrak{c} \neq \{0\}$. Then $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_c) = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{c})\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})$ (see $[3(i), \S 3]$). Hence $D = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \xi_i D_i$ where $\xi_i \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{c})$, $D_i \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})$ and ξ_1, \dots, ξ_r are linearly independent over \mathbf{C} .

Fix a point $X_0 \in \Omega$ and let $X_0 = C_0 + Z_0$ ($C_0 \in \mathfrak{c}$, $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$). Define c_0 and Ω_1 as in the proof of Lemma 25. Since $J(\mathfrak{g}_c) = P(\mathfrak{c})J(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})$, we conclude that

$$\sum_i (\xi_i q)(D_i p_1) = 0$$

for all $q \in P(\mathfrak{c})$ and $p_1 \in J(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})$. Fix $p_1 \in J(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})$. Then it follows from the above result that

$$\sum_i (D_i p_1) \xi_i = 0$$

in $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Therefore we can conclude (see $[3(i), \S 3]$) that $D_i p_1 = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$).

Now fix $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{c}_0)$. Then if $\beta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)$, we have

$$(DT)(\alpha \times \beta) = \sum_i T(\xi_i^* \alpha \times D_i^* \beta) = \sum_i (D_i T_i)(\beta)$$

where $T_i(\beta) = T(\xi_i^* \alpha \times \beta)$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, Theorem 4 holds for (Ω_1, T_i, D_i) in place of (Ω, T, D) by the induction hypothesis. Hence $D_i T_i = 0$. In view of $[3(h), \text{Lemma 3}]$ this shows that $DT = 0$ on $\mathfrak{c}_0 + \Omega_1$ and therefore $X_0 \notin \text{Supp } DT$. Since X_0 was an arbitrary point in Ω , this proves that $DT = 0$.

Hence we may now assume that $\mathfrak{c} = \{0\}$ and therefore \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. Let $H_0 \neq 0$ be a semisimple element in Ω . We intend to show that $H_0 \notin \text{Supp } DT$. Let \mathfrak{z} be the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} . Define ζ and \mathfrak{z}' as usual (see $[3(i), \S 2]$) and let Ω_3 be the set of all $Z \in \Omega \cap \mathfrak{z}$ such that $|\zeta(Z)| > |\zeta(H_0)|/2$. Then Ω_3 is open and completely invariant in \mathfrak{z} . Take $G_0 = G$ and $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \Omega_3$ in $[3(i), \text{Lemma 17}]$ and let σ_T be the corresponding distribution on Ω_3 . Let Ξ be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} . Then σ_T is invariant under Ξ (see Corollary 1 of $[3(i), \text{Lemma 17}]$). Now it follows from $[3(i), \text{Lemma 10 and Corollary 2 of Lemma 2}]$ that $D_1 = \zeta^m \delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/3}(D) \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{z}_c)$, if m is a sufficiently large positive integer. Moreover

$$\sigma_{DT} = \delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/3}(D) \sigma_T$$

by Corollary 2 of [3(i), Lemma 17]. Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{z} . Then

$$\delta'_{\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{h}}(D_1) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{h}}^m \delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D)$$

from [3(i), Lemma 11] where $\zeta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the restriction of ζ on \mathfrak{h} . Moreover we know from [3(i), Theorem 1] that $\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D) = 0$. Therefore, by applying [3(i), Theorem 1] to \mathfrak{z}_e instead of \mathfrak{g}_e , we conclude that $D_1 p_1 = 0$ for all $p_1 \in J(\mathfrak{z}_e)$. Therefore, since $\dim \mathfrak{z} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, we conclude from the induction hypothesis that $D_1 \sigma_T = \zeta^m \sigma_{DT} = 0$. Since ζ is nowhere zero on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$, this implies that $\sigma_{DT} = 0$ and therefore $DT = 0$ around H_0 .

In view of the above result, it follows from Corollary 1 of Lemma 8 that $\text{Supp } DT \subset \Omega \cap \mathcal{N}$. Hence, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 4, we may assume that $\Omega \cap \mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$. But then $\mathcal{N} \subset \Omega$ from Lemma 10.

Lemma 29. — We can select a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ such that:

- 1) f is invariant under G ;
- 2) $\text{Supp } f \subset \Omega$;
- 3) $f = 1$ on some neighborhood of zero in \mathfrak{g} ;
- 4) the distribution fT on \mathfrak{g} is tempered.

Notice that since $\text{Supp } f \subset \Omega$, the distribution $fT : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T(f\mathfrak{g})$ ($\mathfrak{g} \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$) is well defined on \mathfrak{g} . The proof of this lemma is rather long and therefore, in order not to interrupt our main line of argument, we shall postpone it until later (see § 19).

We have seen above that $\text{Supp } DT \subset \mathcal{N}$. Choose an open neighborhood V of zero in Ω such that $f = 1$ on V . Fix a point $X \in \mathcal{N}$. Then, by Lemma 7, we can choose $y \in G$ such that $y^{-1}X \in V$. Now T and fT are both invariant distributions which obviously coincide on V . Hence they also coincide on V^y . Therefore, in order to show that $DT = 0$ around X , it would be sufficient to verify that $D(fT) = 0$ around X . This means that in order to complete the proof of Theorem 4, it is enough to prove that $D(fT) = 0$. Therefore, replacing T by fT , we may now assume that T is an invariant and tempered distribution on \mathfrak{g} . Moreover we know from the above proof that $\text{Supp } DT \subset \mathcal{N}$.

Define the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ as in [3(c), p. 91] and for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ define its Fourier transform \hat{f} by

$$\hat{f}(Y) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}} \exp((-1)^{1/2} B(Y, X)) f(X) dX \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{g})$$

where dX is a fixed Euclidean measure on \mathfrak{g} and $B(Y, X) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } Y \text{ ad } X)$ ($X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_e$) as usual. If σ is any tempered distribution on \mathfrak{g} , its Fourier transform $\hat{\sigma}$ is also a tempered distribution on \mathfrak{g} given by $\hat{\sigma}(f) = \sigma(\hat{f})$ ($f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$). Since $f \rightarrow \hat{f}$ is a topological mapping of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ onto itself, $\hat{\sigma} = 0$ implies that $\sigma = 0$.

As usual we identify \mathfrak{g}_e with its dual under B and use the notation of [3(i), Lemma 12]. Then the mapping $\alpha : \Delta \rightarrow (\hat{\Delta})^*$ ($\Delta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$) is an anti-automorphism of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and therefore α^2 is an automorphism. However it is easy to check that α^2 leaves $\mathfrak{g}_e + \partial(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ fixed pointwise and therefore it must be the identity. The relation

$\alpha^2 \Delta = \Delta$ ($\Delta \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$) implies that $\Delta^* = (\alpha \Delta)^\wedge$. On the other hand $(\alpha \Delta)^* = \hat{\Delta}$ from the definition of α . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \sigma)^\wedge(f) &= \sigma(\Delta^* \hat{f}) = \sigma(((\alpha \Delta)f)^\wedge) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}((\alpha \Delta)f) = (\hat{\Delta} \hat{\sigma})(f) \end{aligned} \quad (f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})),$$

for any tempered distribution σ . This proves that $(\Delta \sigma)^\wedge = \hat{\Delta} \hat{\sigma}$. Similarly, since B is invariant under G , $(f^x)^\wedge = (\hat{f})^x$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $x \in G$. Therefore $(\sigma^x)^\wedge = (\hat{\sigma})^x$.

Thus \hat{T} is an invariant distribution on \mathfrak{g} and $(DT)^\wedge = \hat{D} \hat{T}$. Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . Then it follows from [3(i), Theorem 1] and our hypothesis on D , that $\delta'_{\mathfrak{h}}(D) = 0$. Therefore we conclude from [3(i), Lemma 13] that $\hat{D} \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ and $\hat{D}p = 0$ for every $p \in J(\mathfrak{g}_c) = I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. So the above proof is also applicable to (\hat{D}, \hat{T}) instead of (D, T) . Hence $\text{Supp } \hat{D} \hat{T} \subset \mathcal{N}$.

Now put $\sigma = DT$ and fix an element $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ such that p vanishes at zero. Then it follows from Lemma 7 that $p = 0$ on \mathcal{N} . Hence (see [3(h), Lemma 21]) we can choose an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $p^m \sigma = 0$ around zero. Then, if we take $\Omega = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\Phi = \text{Supp}(p^m \sigma)$ in Corollary 1 of Lemma 8, we can conclude that $p^m \sigma = 0$. Choose a finite number of homogeneous elements p_1, \dots, p_l of positive degrees in $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ such that $I(\mathfrak{g}_c) = \mathbf{C}[p_1, \dots, p_l]$. Fix an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $p_i^m \sigma = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$). Then, if \mathfrak{B} is the ideal in $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ generated by p_1^m, \dots, p_l^m , it is obvious that $\dim(I(\mathfrak{g}_c)/\mathfrak{B}) \leq m^l$ and $v\sigma = 0$ for $v \in \mathfrak{B}$. On the other hand, by [3(i), Lemmas 12 and 13], $\Delta \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}$ ($\Delta \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$) is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ of order 4 which maps $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ onto $\partial(I(\mathfrak{g}_c))$. Therefore $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}$ is an ideal in $\partial(I(\mathfrak{g}_c))$ and $\hat{v} \hat{\sigma} = (v\sigma)^\wedge = 0$ for $v \in \mathfrak{B}$. Hence we conclude from Theorem 1, applied to $\hat{\sigma}$ instead of T , that $\hat{\sigma}$ is a locally summable function on \mathfrak{g} . But $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{D} \hat{T}$ and therefore, as we have seen above, $\text{Supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Since \mathcal{N} is of measure zero in \mathfrak{g} , it follows that $\hat{\sigma} = 0$ and therefore $DT = \sigma = 0$. This proves Theorem 4.

§ 12. ANALYTIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

For applications we have to generalize Theorem 4 to the case when D is an analytic differential operator on Ω . For this we need some preparation.

Let E be a vector space over \mathbf{R} of finite dimension, Ω a non-empty open subset of E and $\mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : E)$ the algebra of all differential operators on Ω . Then any such operator D can be written in the form

$$D = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} f_i \partial(p_i)$$

where $f_i \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $p_i \in S(E)$. For any $X \in \Omega$, D_X denotes, as usual the local expression of D at X (see [3(c), p. 90]) so that

$$D_X = \sum_i f_i(X) \partial(p_i).$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ be the algebra of all analytic functions on Ω . Then $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is a subalgebra of $C^\infty(\Omega)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{D}_a(\Omega : E)$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : E)$ generated by $\mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cup \partial(S(E))$. If Ω is empty, we define $\mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : E) = \mathfrak{D}_a(\Omega : E) = \{0\}$.

Let Ω_1 be an open subset of Ω . Then we get a homomorphism

$$j : \mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega_1 : E)$$

as follows. If $D \in \mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : E)$, then $j(D)$ is the restriction of D on Ω_1 . It is clear that j maps $\mathfrak{D}_a(\Omega : E)$ into $\mathfrak{D}_a(\Omega_1 : E)$. We say that an element $D \in \mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : E)$ is analytic on Ω_1 if $j(D) \in \mathfrak{D}_a(\Omega_1 : E)$. In particular D is analytic if $D \in \mathfrak{D}_a(\Omega : E)$.

§ 13. EXTENSION OF SOME RESULTS TO ANALYTIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Now let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive Lie algebra over \mathbf{R} and Ω a non-empty open set in \mathfrak{g} . If Ω is invariant, G operates on $\mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : \mathfrak{g})$ (see [3(h), § 5]). We denote by $\mathfrak{I}_\infty(\Omega : \mathfrak{g})$ the subalgebra consisting of all invariant elements and put $\mathfrak{I}_a(\Omega : \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{I}_\infty(\Omega : \mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{D}_a(\Omega : \mathfrak{g})$.

Fix \mathfrak{z} and define ζ and \mathfrak{z}' as in [3(i), §§ 2, 7]. Put $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} = \Omega \cap \mathfrak{z}'$ and for any $D \in \mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : \mathfrak{g})$ define an element $\Delta(D) \in \mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{z})$ as follows. Fix $Z \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and choose $p_Z \in S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ such that $D_Z = \partial(p_Z)$. Then, corresponding to Corollary 1 of [3(i), Lemma 2], $\alpha_Z(p_Z) \in S(\mathfrak{z}_e)$. It follows from Corollary 2 of [3(i), Lemma 2] that there exists a unique element $\nabla \in \mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{z})$ such that $\nabla_Z = \partial(\alpha_Z(p_Z))$ for $Z \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$. We define $\Delta(D) = \nabla$. (In case $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is empty, $\Delta(D) = 0$ by definition.)

Let $\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ denote the mapping $D \rightarrow \Delta(D)$ of $\mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : \mathfrak{g})$ into $\mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{z})$ (cf. [3(i), § 4]).

Lemma 30. — $\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ maps $\mathfrak{D}_a(\Omega : \mathfrak{g})$ into $\mathfrak{D}_a(\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{z})$. Moreover, if Ω is invariant, $\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ maps $\mathfrak{I}_\infty(\Omega : \mathfrak{g})$ into $\mathfrak{I}_\infty(\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{z})$.

The first statement is obvious from Corollary 2 of [3(i), Lemma 2]. Moreover, if Ω is invariant, then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is invariant in \mathfrak{z} and the second assertion follows from [3(i), Lemma 3].

Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z} .

Lemma 31. — $\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D) = \delta'_{\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{h}}(\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}(D))$ for $D \in \mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : \mathfrak{g})$.

The proof of this is the same as that of [3(i), Lemma 11].

Lemma 32. — Let f be a locally invariant C^∞ function on an open subset Ω_0 of Ω . Then

$$f(Z; D) = f(Z; \delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}(D))$$

for $Z \in \Omega_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}'$ and $D \in \mathfrak{D}_\infty(\Omega : \mathfrak{g})$.

This is proved in the same way as Lemma 14 of [3(i)].

Lemma 33. — Let D be a differential operator on an open subset Ω of \mathfrak{g} . Then the following two conditions on D are equivalent.

1) For every Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} , $\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D) = 0$.

2) If Ω_0 is an open subset of Ω and f a locally invariant C^∞ function on Ω_0 , then $Df = 0$.

Assume 1) holds and let f be a locally invariant C^∞ function on Ω_0 . Since $\Omega'_0 = \Omega_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ is dense in Ω_0 , it is enough to verify that $Df = 0$ on Ω'_0 . Fix $H_0 \in \Omega'_0$ and let \mathfrak{h} be the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and since f is locally invariant, it follows from Lemma 32 that $f(H_0; D) = f(H_0; \delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D)) = 0$. This proves that $Df = 0$ on Ω'_0 .

Conversely assume that 2) holds. Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} and a point $H_0 \in \Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. Let A be the Cartan subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{h} . We now use the notation of the proof of Lemma 1. Then φ defines an analytic diffeomorphism of $G^* \times \mathfrak{h}_0$ with Ω_0 . Fix $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ and define $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_0)$ by the relation $f(x^*H) = \beta(H)$ ($x^* \in G^*$, $H \in \mathfrak{h}_0$). Then it is obvious that f is locally invariant and therefore

$$0 = f(H; D) = f(H; \delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D)) = \beta(H; \delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}_0)$$

Since β was an arbitrary element of $C^\infty(\mathfrak{h}_0)$, this implies that $\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D) = 0$ on \mathfrak{h}_0 . Hence in particular $(\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D))_{H_0} = 0$. This shows that 2) implies 1).

Corollary. — Assume Ω is invariant. Then either one of the two conditions above is equivalent to the following.

3) For every invariant function f in $C^\infty(\Omega)$, $Df = 0$.

Obviously 2) implies 3). Now assume 3) holds. Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} and a point $H_0 \in \Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. Let \mathfrak{h}_0 be an open neighborhood of H_0 in $\mathfrak{h}' \cap \Omega$. We assume that \mathfrak{h}_0 is relatively compact in \mathfrak{h}' and $s\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{h}_0 = \emptyset$ for $s \neq 1$ in W_G (see § 9 for the definition of W_G). Fix $\beta_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ and put

$$\beta(H) = \sum_{s \in W_G} \beta_0(sH) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}).$$

Then $\beta^s = \beta$ ($s \in W_G$) and the mapping $\varphi : G^* \times \mathfrak{h}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is everywhere regular. Put $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{h} = \varphi(G^* \times \mathfrak{h}') = (\mathfrak{h}')^G$. The group W_G operates on $G^* \times \mathfrak{h}'$ on the right as follows:

$$(x^*, H)s = (x^*s, s^{-1}H) \quad (s \in W_G)$$

in the notation of the proof of Lemma 24. Since no point of $G^* \times \mathfrak{h}'$ is left fixed by s if $s \neq 1$, it follows that φ defines an analytic diffeomorphism of the quotient manifold $(G^* \times \mathfrak{h}')/W_G$ with $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{h}$. Now define a function F on $G^* \times \mathfrak{h}'$ by

$$F(x^* : H) = \beta(H) \quad (x^* \in G^*, H \in \mathfrak{h}').$$

Then $F(x^*s : s^{-1}H) = \beta(s^{-1}H) = \beta(H) = F(x^* : H)$ and therefore F defines a C^∞ function f on $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{h}$. Since \mathfrak{h}_0 is relatively compact in \mathfrak{h}' it follows from [3(j), Lemma 7] that $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{h}_0^G) \subset \mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{h}$ and therefore we can extend f to a C^∞ function on \mathfrak{g} by defining it to be zero outside $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{h}$. Then it is clear that f is invariant and therefore $Df = 0$ on Ω by 3). But

$$f(H; D) = f(H; \delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D)) = \beta_0(H; \delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}_0)$$

because $f = \beta = \beta_0$ on \mathfrak{h}_0 . Since β_0 was arbitrary in $C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{h}_0)$, this shows that $(\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D))_{H_0} = 0$. Therefore 3) implies 1) and the corollary is proved.

§ 14. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

We shall now prove the following generalization of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. — Let Ω be a completely invariant open set in \mathfrak{g} and T an invariant distribution on Ω . Let D be an analytic and invariant differential operator on Ω such that $Df=0$ for every invariant C^∞ function f on Ω . Then $DT=0$.

We again use induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$. Define \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{g}_1 as in § 4 and fix a semisimple element $H_0 \in \Omega$ such that $H_0 \notin \mathfrak{c}$. We shall prove that $DT=0$ around H_0 . Let \mathfrak{z} denote the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} and define ζ and \mathfrak{z}' as in [3(i), § 2]. Then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} = \Omega \cap \mathfrak{z}'$ is an open and completely invariant set in \mathfrak{z} . Let σ_T and σ_{DT} be the distributions on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ corresponding to T and DT respectively under [3(i), Lemma 17] with $G_0=G$ and $\mathfrak{z}_0=\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$. Then it would be enough to show that $\sigma_{DT}=0$. However it is easy to prove (cf. Corollary 2 of [3(i), Lemma 17]) that $\sigma_{DT}=\Delta\sigma_T$ where $\Delta=\delta'_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}(D)$. Now σ_T is an invariant distribution on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ (see Corollary 1 of [3(i), Lemma 17]) and $\Delta \in \mathfrak{F}_a(\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{z})$ by Lemma 30. Therefore since $\dim \mathfrak{z} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, it follows by induction hypothesis that $\Delta\sigma_T=0$ (see Lemma 31 and the corollary of Lemma 33).

Now fix $C_0 \in \mathfrak{c} \cap \Omega$. We claim that $T=0$ around C_0 . Applying the translation by $-C_0$ to the whole problem, we are reduced to the case when $C_0=0$. Let

$$D = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} a_i \partial(p_i)$$

where p_1, \dots, p_r are linearly independent homogeneous elements in $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and a_1, \dots, a_r are analytic functions on Ω . Let V be the subspace of $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ spanned by p_i^x ($1 \leq i \leq r, x \in G$). Then obviously $\dim V < \infty$ and we may, without loss of generality, assume that (p_1, \dots, p_r) is a base for V . Then

$$p_i^x = \sum_j c_{ji}(x) p_j \quad (x \in G)$$

where c_{ji} are analytic functions on G . Since $D=D^x$, it follows that $D_{xX}=(D_X)^x$ and therefore

$$\sum_i a_i(xX) \partial(p_i) = \sum_i a_i(X) \partial(p_i^x) \quad (X \in \Omega).$$

This shows that

$$a_i(xX) = \sum_j c_{ij}(x) a_j(X) \quad (x \in G, X \in \Omega).$$

For any integer m , let \mathfrak{D}_m denote the subspace of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ spanned by elements of the form $p\partial(q)$ where p and q are homogeneous elements in $P(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ respectively and $\deg p - \deg q = m$. Then if $\Delta \in \mathfrak{D}_m$ and Q is a homogeneous polynomial function on \mathfrak{g} , it is clear that ΔQ is homogeneous and

$$\deg(\Delta Q) = \deg Q + m.$$

Choose an open and convex neighborhood Ω_0 of zero in Ω such that each a_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) can be expanded in a power series around zero, which converges absolutely on Ω_0 . Then

$$a_i(X) = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} q_{\nu i}(X) \quad (X \in \Omega_0)$$

where $q_{\nu i}$ is a homogeneous polynomial function on \mathfrak{g} of degree ν . It is obvious from our result above that

$$q_{\nu i}(xX) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} c_{ij}(x) q_{\nu j}(X) \quad (x \in G, X \in \Omega_0)$$

and therefore

$$\nu D = \sum_i q_{\nu i} \partial(p_i)$$

lies in $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. On the other hand it is clear that $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is the direct sum of \mathfrak{D}_m for all m ($-\infty < m < \infty$) and each \mathfrak{D}_m is stable under G . Let νD_m denote the component of νD in \mathfrak{D}_m is this direct sum. Then it is clear that $\nu D_m \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. Moreover $\nu D_m \neq 0$ implies that $\nu = m + \deg p_i$ for some i . Hence if $m_0 = \sup_i \deg p_i$, it follows that $\nu D_m = 0$ for $\nu > m + m_0$. Put

$$D_m = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \nu D_m.$$

Then $D_m \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cap \mathfrak{D}_m$. Moreover if p is a homogeneous element in $J(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, then by hypothesis

$$0 = Dp = \sum_{m \geq -m_0} D_m p$$

on Ω_0 . Since $D_m p$ is homogeneous of degree $m + \deg p$, it is clear that $D_m p = 0$. Therefore $D_m T = 0$ by Theorem 4.

Now fix $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_0)$. It is clear that for any $p \in S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$, the series

$$\sum_{\nu \geq 0} |\partial(p) q_{\nu i}| \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

converge uniformly on any compact subset of Ω_0 . Hence it follows without difficulty that the series

$$\sum_{m \geq -m_0} D_m^* f$$

converges in $C_c^\infty(\Omega_0)$ to $D^* f$. (Here the star denotes adjoint, as usual.) This implies that the series

$$\sum_{m \geq -m_0} T(D_m^* f)$$

converges to $T(D^* f)$. But $T(D_m^* f) = 0$ since $D_m T = 0$. Therefore $T(D^* f) = 0$. This means that $DT = 0$ on Ω_0 .

The above proof shows that $\text{Supp } DT$ contains no semisimple element of Ω .

Hence it follows from Corollary 1 of Lemma 8 that $DT=0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Remark. — I do not know whether Theorem 5 continues to hold when the condition of analyticity of D is dropped.

§ 15. SOME PREPARATION FOR THE PROOF OF LEMMA 29

Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with a faithful finite-dimensional representation, \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra over \mathbf{R} , θ a Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ the corresponding Cartan decomposition. Let \mathfrak{a} be a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . We introduce an order in the space of all (real) linear functions on \mathfrak{a} and denote by Σ the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ (see [3(f), p. 244]). Let \mathfrak{a}^+ be the set of all points $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ where $\alpha(H) \geq 0$ for every $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Put $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$ and $A^+ = \exp(\mathfrak{a}^+)$ in G . The exponential mapping from \mathfrak{a} to A is bijective. We denote its inverse by \log . Introduce a partial order in A as follows. Given two elements h_1, h_2 in A , we write $h_1 \succ h_2$ if $h_1 h_2^{-1} \in A^+$. Let $l = \dim \mathfrak{a}$. Then we can choose a simple system of roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ in Σ (see [3(d), Lemma 1]).

Lemma 34. — Fix some norm ν on the finite-dimensional space \mathfrak{g} . Then for any number $a \geq 0$, we can choose two numbers b, c ($b \geq a, c \geq 1$) with the following property. Suppose $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $h \in A^+$ and $\nu(X) \leq a$. Then there exist elements $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $h_0 \in A^+$ such that

- 1) $X^h = X_0^{h_0}$, $\nu(X_0) \leq b$, $1 < h_0 < h$;
- 2) $\max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \exp \alpha_i(\log h_0) \leq c(1 + \nu(X^h))^l$.

(In case $l=0$, $\max_i \exp \alpha_i(\log h_0)$ should be taken to mean 1.) We shall give a proof of this lemma in § 20.

As usual put $B(X, Y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } X \text{ ad } Y)$ ($X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$). Then the quadratic form

$$\|X\|^2 = -B(X, \theta(X)) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g})$$

is positive-definite and defines the structure of a real Hilbert space on \mathfrak{g} . For any $a > 0$, let ω_a denote the set of all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $\|X\| < a$ and put $\Omega_a = (\omega_a)^G$.

Lemma 35. — Suppose $a > b > 0$. Then $\text{Cl } \Omega_b \subset \Omega_a$.

We shall give a proof of this in § 21.

Corollary. — Ω_a is an open and completely invariant subset of \mathfrak{g} .

It is obvious that Ω_a is open and invariant. Fix $X_0 \in \Omega_a$. Then $X_0 = Y_0^{x_0}$ where $\|Y_0\| < a$ and $x_0 \in G$. Choose b such that $\|Y_0\| < b < a$. Then $X_0 \in \Omega_b$ and $\text{Cl}(\Omega_b) \subset \Omega_a$ by Lemma 35. This shows that every point of Ω_a has an open invariant neighborhood whose closure (in \mathfrak{g}) is contained in Ω_a . From this it is clear that Ω_a is completely invariant.

For any linear transformation T in \mathfrak{g} , let T^* denote its adjoint (in the sense of Hilbert-space theory). Put

$$\|x\|^2 = \text{tr}(\text{Ad}(x)^* \text{Ad}(x)) \quad (x \in G).$$

Choose a base (H_1, \dots, H_l) for \mathfrak{a} over \mathbf{R} dual to $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)$ so that

$$\alpha_i(H_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq l)$$

and define $m(\alpha) = \sum_i \alpha(H_i)$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Since $H_i \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, it is clear that $m(\alpha)$ is a positive integer.

Lemma 36. — Given $a > 0$, we can choose numbers b, c ($b \geq a, c \geq 1$) such that the following condition holds. For any $X \in \Omega_a$, we can select $x \in G$ such that

$$1) \quad \|X^{x^{-1}}\| \leq b, \quad 2) \quad \|x\| \leq c(1 + \|X\|)^m$$

where $m = l \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma} m(\alpha)$.

(If $l = 0$ then $m = 0$ by definition.) Choose b_0, c_0 such that Lemma 34 holds for (b_0, c_0) instead of (b, c) with the norm $\nu(Z) = \|Z\|$ ($Z \in \mathfrak{g}$). Let K be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{k} . Then K is compact, $G = KA^+K$ and $\text{Ad}(k)$ is unitary for $k \in K$. Hence if $x = k_1 h k_2$ ($k_1, k_2 \in K, h \in A^+$), it follows that $\|x\| = \|h\|$. However $\text{Ad}(h)$ is self-adjoint ⁽¹⁾ and its eigenvalues are 1 and $e^{\pm \alpha(\log h)}$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma$). Since $\alpha(\log h) \geq 0$, it is clear that

$$\|h\| \leq n^{1/2} \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma} e^{\alpha(\log h)}$$

where $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$. But $\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} m_i \alpha_i$ where $m_i = \alpha(H_i)$ are integers ≥ 0 . Hence

$$\alpha(\log h) \leq m(\alpha) \max_i \alpha_i(\log h) \leq m_0 \max_i \alpha_i(\log h)$$

where $m_0 = \max_{\alpha \in \Sigma} m(\alpha)$. Therefore

$$\|h\| \leq n^{1/2} \left(\max_i e^{\alpha_i(\log h)} \right)^{m_0}$$

(This holds also if $l = 0$. We define $m_0 = 0$ in that case.)

Now fix $X \in \Omega_a$ and choose $Y \in \mathfrak{g}, y \in G$ such that $\|Y\| < a$ and $X = Y^y$. Let $y = k_1 h k_2$ ($k_1, k_2 \in K, h \in A^+$). Replacing (Y, y) by $(Y^{k_2}, k_1 h)$, we can assume that $y = k_1 h$. Select $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $h_0 \in A^+$ such that $Y^h = Y_0^{h_0}, \|Y_0\| \leq b_0, 1 < h_0 < h$ and

$$\max_i e^{\alpha_i(\log h_0)} \leq c_0(1 + \|Y^h\|)^l.$$

This is possible from the definition of b_0, c_0 . Then

$$\|h_0\| \leq n^{1/2} c_0^{m_0} (1 + \|Y^h\|)^m.$$

Now put $x = k_1 h_0$. Then $X = Y^y = Y_0^x$ and therefore $\|X^{x^{-1}}\| \leq b_0$. Moreover

$$\|x\| = \|h_0\| \leq n^{1/2} c_0^{m_0} (1 + \|X\|)^m.$$

Therefore we can take $b = b_0$ and $c = n^{1/2} c_0^{m_0}$ in the lemma.

⁽¹⁾ The facts stated here are all well known. They can be found in [3(a)].

§ 16. SOME INEQUALITIES

For $t \geq 1$, let $G(t)$ denote the set of all $x \in G$ with $\|x\| \leq t$. Then $G(t)$ is obviously compact.

Lemma 37. — Let μ denote the Haar measure of G . Then there exists a number $c > 0$ and an integer $M \geq 0$ such that

$$\mu(G(t)) \leq ct^M$$

for $t \geq 1$.

The statement is trivial if G is compact. Hence we may assume that $l \geq 1$. Put $A^+(t) = A^+ \cap G(t)$. Then it is clear that $G(t) = KA^+(t)K$ and therefore (see [3(a), Lemma 22])

$$\mu(G(t)) = \int_{A^+(t)} D(h) dh$$

where dh is the (suitably normalized) Haar measure on A ,

$$D(h) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma} (e^{\alpha(\log h)} - e^{-\alpha(\log h)})^{m_\alpha} \quad (h \in A)$$

and m_α is the multiplicity of α (m_α is the dimension of the space \mathfrak{g}_α consisting of all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[H, X] = \alpha(H)X$ for all H in \mathfrak{a} .) Put $2\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} m_\alpha \alpha$. Then it is obvious that

$$D(h) \leq e^{2\rho(\log h)} \quad (h \in A^+).$$

Now $2\rho = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} m_i \alpha_i$ where m_i are positive integers. Put $\tau_i = \alpha_i(\log h)$. Then $dh = c_1 d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_l$ where c_1 is a positive constant and

$$e^{2\rho(\log h)} = \exp(m_1 \tau_1 + \dots + m_l \tau_l).$$

Now if $h \in A^+(t)$, we have

$$1 \leq e^{\alpha_i(\log h)} \leq \|h\| \leq t$$

and therefore $0 \leq \tau_i \leq \log t$. Hence

$$\mu(G(t)) \leq \int_{A^+(t)} e^{2\rho(\log h)} dh \leq ct^M$$

where $c = c_1/(m_1 m_2 \dots m_l)$ and $M = m_1 + \dots + m_l$.

Lemma 38. — There exists a compact neighborhood U of 1 in G and two constants $a_1, c_1 > 0$ with the following property. For any $t \geq 1$, we can choose a finite set of points x_i ($1 \leq i \leq N(t)$) in G such that

- 1) $G(t) \subset \bigcup_i x_i U$;
- 2) $\|x_i\| \leq a_1 t$;
- 3) $N(t) \leq c_1 t^M$.

By a theorem of Borel [I, Theorem C], there exists a discrete subgroup Γ of G such that $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact. Choose a compact neighborhood U of 1 in G such that $U = U^{-1}$ and $G = \Gamma U$. Put

$$\Gamma(t) = \Gamma \cap (G(t)U).$$

Select a compact neighborhood $V = V^{-1}$ of 1 in U such that $V^2 \cap \Gamma = \{1\}$ ($V^2 = VV$). Then the union

$$\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma(t)} \gamma V = \Gamma(t)V$$

is disjoint and

$$\Gamma(t)V \subset G(t)UV \subset G(t)U^2.$$

Choose $a_1 \geq 1$ so large that $U^2 \subset G(a_1)$. Then

$$\Gamma(t)V \subset G(t)G(a_1) \subset G(ta_1)$$

since $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ ($x, y \in G$). Hence

$$\mu(\Gamma(t)V) \leq \mu(G(ta_1)) \leq ca_1^M t^M$$

from Lemma 37. But since the above union was disjoint,

$$\mu(\Gamma(t)V) = N(t)\mu(V)$$

where $N(t)$ is the number of elements in $\Gamma(t)$. Hence $N(t) \leq c_1 t^M$ where $c_1 = ca_1^M / \mu(V)$. Let x_i ($1 \leq i \leq N(t)$) be all the elements of $\Gamma(t)$. Since $\Gamma(t) \subset G(t)U \subset G(ta_1)$, it follows that $\|x_i\| \leq a_1 t$. Finally since $G = \Gamma U$, it is obvious that

$$G(t) \subset \Gamma(t)U = \bigcup_i x_i U.$$

§ 17. PROOF OF LEMMA 39

Fix a number $a > 0$ and let $\Omega = \Omega_a$ in the notation of Lemma 35. For $0 \leq s < t$, let $\Omega(s, t)$ denote the set of all $X \in \Omega$ with $s \leq \|X\| < t$. Also put $\Omega(t) = \Omega(0, t)$.

Lemma 39. — Let T be an invariant distribution on \mathfrak{g} . Then there exist elements p_1, \dots, p_r in $S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ and an integer $\nu \geq 0$ such that

$$|T(f)| \leq (1+t)^\nu \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sup |\partial(p_i)f|$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega(t))$ and $t > 0$.

This requires some preparation. As before let ω_t ($t > 0$) be the set of all points $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $\|X\| < t$.

Lemma 40. — Define b, c and m as in Lemma 36 and for any $t \geq 0$ let G_t denote the set of all $x \in G$ with $\|x\| \leq c(1+t)^m$. Then $\omega_b^G \supset \Omega(t)$.

This is obvious from Lemma 36.

Define U and M as in Lemma 38.

Lemma 41. — There exist two numbers $c_1, c_2 > 0$ with the following property. For any $t > 0$, we can choose a finite set F_t of points in G such that:

- 1) $G_t \subset F_t U$;
- 2) $\|x\| \leq c_1(1+t)^m$ for $x \in F_t$;
- 3) $[F_t] \leq c_2(1+t)^{mM}$.

Here $[F_t]$ denotes the number of elements in F_t .

This follows immediately from Lemma 38 if we note that $G_t = G(t')$ where $t' = c(1+t)^m$.

Now choose $b_1 > b$ such that $\text{Cl}(\omega_b)^U \subset \omega_{b_1}$ and fix $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\omega_{b_1})$ such that $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $\alpha = 1$ on ω_b^U . For any $t > 0$, put

$$\varphi_t = \sum_{x \in F_t} \alpha^x.$$

Since $\Omega(t) \subset \omega_b^{G_t} \subset (\omega_b^U)^{F_t}$ and $\alpha = 1$ on ω_b^U , it is clear that $\varphi_t \geq 1$ on $\Omega(t)$. Put $\alpha_x = \alpha^x / \varphi_t$ on $\Omega(t)$ ($x \in F_t$).

Lemma 42. — Given $p \in S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$, we can choose a number $c(p) \geq 0$ and an integer $m(p) \geq 0$ such that

$$\sup |\partial(p)\alpha^x| \leq c(p)(1+t)^{m(p)}$$

for $x \in F_t$ and $t > 0$.

Let V be the subspace of $S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ spanned by p^y ($y \in G$) and let p_1, \dots, p_r be a base for V . Then

$$p^y = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} a_i(y) p_i$$

where a_i are analytic functions on G . We can choose $c' \geq 0$ and an integer $v \geq 0$ such that (see ⁽¹⁾ [3(d), p. 203])

$$|a_i(y)| \leq c' \|y\|^v \quad (y \in G, 1 \leq i \leq r).$$

Then

$$\partial(p)\alpha^x = (\partial(p^{x^{-1}})\alpha)^x = \sum_i a_i(x^{-1}) (\partial(p_i)\alpha)^x.$$

Hence

$$\sup |\partial(p)\alpha^x| \leq c_0 \|x^{-1}\|^v$$

where $c_0 = c' \sum_i \sup |\partial(p_i)\alpha|$. If $x = k_1 h k_2$ ($k_1, k_2 \in K, h \in A$), it is obvious that $\|x\| = \|h\|$. Moreover θ is a unitary transformation of \mathfrak{g} and therefore since $\theta \text{Ad}(h) \theta^{-1} = \text{Ad}(h^{-1})$, it is clear that $\|h\| = \|h^{-1}\| = \|x^{-1}\|$. This shows that $\|x^{-1}\| = \|x\|$ and therefore

$$\sup |\partial(p)\alpha^x| \leq c_0 \|x\|^v \leq c_0 c_1^v (1+t)^{mv}$$

since $\|x\| \leq c_1(1+t)^m$ for $x \in F_t$. So we can take $c(p) = c_0 c_1^v$ and $m(p) = mv$.

Corollary 1. — $\sup |\partial(p)\varphi_t| \leq c(p) c_2 (1+t)^{m(p) + mM}$ ($t > 0$).

This is obvious since $[F_t] \leq c_2 (1+t)^{mM}$.

Corollary 2. — Given $p \in S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$, we can choose $c'(p) \geq 0$ and an integer $\mu(p) \geq 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\Omega(t)} |\partial(p)\alpha_x| \leq c'(p) (1+t)^{\mu(p)}$$

for $x \in F_t$ and $t > 0$.

Since $\alpha_x = \alpha^x / \varphi_t$ and $\varphi_t \geq 1$ on $\Omega(t)$, this is an immediate consequence of Lemma 42 and Corollary 1 above.

⁽¹⁾ The proof of Lemma 6 of [3(d)] is clearly independent of the assumption that $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{k}$ which was made at the beginning of § 3 of [3(d)].

Now we come to the proof of Lemma 39. Put $f_x = \alpha_x f$ ($x \in F_t$). Since $\sum_{x \in F_t} \alpha_x = 1$ on $\Omega(t)$, it is obvious that

$$f = \sum_{x \in F_t} f_x$$

and therefore

$$T(f) = \sum_{x \in F_t} T(f_x).$$

But $T(f_x) = T((f_x)^{x^{-1}})$, since T is invariant. Moreover

$$\text{Supp } f_x \subset \text{Supp } f \cap \text{Supp } \alpha_x \subset \text{Supp } \alpha^x.$$

Hence

$$\text{Supp } (f_x)^{x^{-1}} \subset \text{Supp } \alpha \subset \omega_{b_1}.$$

Since ω_{b_1} is relatively compact in \mathfrak{g} , we can select $p_1, \dots, p_r \in S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ such that

$$|T(g)| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sup |\partial(p_i)g| \quad (g \in C_c^\infty(\omega_{b_1})).$$

Therefore

$$|T(f_x)| = |T((f_x)^{x^{-1}})| \leq \sum_i \sup |\partial(p_i)f_x^{x^{-1}}|.$$

But

$$\sup |\partial(p_i)f_x^{x^{-1}}| = \sup |\partial(p_i^x)f_x|.$$

Let V be the subspace of $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ spanned by p_i^y ($y \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq r$) and let q_j ($1 \leq j \leq s$) be a base for V . Then

$$p_i^y = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} a_{ij}(y) q_j \quad (y \in G)$$

where a_{ij} are analytic functions on G . Moreover we can choose $c_0 \geq 0$ and an integer $\nu \geq 0$ such that $|a_{ij}(y)| \leq c_0 \|y\|^\nu$ for $y \in G$ (see [3(d), p. 302]). Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sup |\partial(p_i)f_x^{x^{-1}}| \leq c_0 \|x\|^\nu r \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \sup |\partial(q_j)f_x|.$$

We can obviously select q_{kj}, q'_{kj} in $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ ($1 \leq k \leq u$, $1 \leq j \leq s$) such that

$$\partial(q_j)(\beta\gamma) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq u} \partial(q_{kj})\beta \cdot \partial(q'_{kj})\gamma \quad (1 \leq j \leq s)$$

for any two C^∞ functions β and γ on \mathfrak{g} . Then since $f_x = \alpha_x f$, we get

$$\sum_j \sup |\partial(q_j)f_x| \leq \sum_{k,j} \sup |\partial(q_{kj})\alpha_x| \cdot \sup |\partial(q'_{kj})f|.$$

Therefore

$$|T(f_x)| \leq c_0 r \|x\|^\nu \sum_{1 \leq k \leq u} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \sup_{\Omega(t)} |\partial(q_{kj})\alpha_x| \cdot \sup |\partial(q'_{kj})f|.$$

Now $\|x\| \leq c_1(1+t)^m$ for $x \in F_t$ (Lemma 41). Therefore we get the following result from Corollary 2 of Lemma 42. There exists a number $c_3 \geq 0$ and an integer $m_3 \geq 0$ such that

$$|T(f_x)| \leq c_3(1+t)^{m_3} \sum_{k,j} \sup |\partial(q_{kj})f|$$

for $x \in F_t$, $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega(t))$ and $t > 0$. Since $f = \sum_{x \in F_t} f_x$ and $[F_t] \leq c_2(1+t)^{mM}$ (Lemma 41), we conclude that

$$|T(f)| \leq c_4(1+t)^{m_4} \sup_{k,j} |\partial(q'_k)f|$$

where $c_4 = c_2 c_3$ and $m_4 = m_3 + mM$. Obviously this implies the statement of Lemma 39.

§ 18. PROOF OF LEMMA 43

For any $a > 0$ define Ω_a as in Lemma 35.

Lemma 43. — *Let T be an invariant distribution on \mathfrak{g} and fix a number $a > 0$. Then we can choose p_1, \dots, p_r in $S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ and an integer $d \geq 0$ such that*

$$|T(f)| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sup (1 + \|X\|)^d |f(X; \partial(p_i))|$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_a)$.

We need some preliminary work. Fix a function $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that
 1) $\alpha(-t) = \alpha(t)$, 2) $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, 3) $\alpha(t) = 1$ if $|t| \leq 1/2$ and $\alpha(t) = 0$ if $|t| \geq 3/4$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}$).
 Put $\alpha_k(t) = \alpha(t-k)$ for any integer k and let

$$\beta = \sum_{-\infty < k < \infty} \alpha_k.$$

Fix $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ and select an integer k_0 such that $|t_0 - k_0| \leq 1/2$. Then $\alpha_{k_0}(t_0) = 1$ and therefore $\beta(t_0) \geq 1$. Moreover $t_0 \notin \text{Supp } \alpha_k$ unless $|t_0 - k| \leq 3/4$. Since the closed interval of length $3/2$ with t_0 at its center, can contain at most two integral points, it is clear that

$$|(d^m \beta / dt^m)_{t=t_0}| \leq 2 \sup_t |(d^m \alpha / dt^m)|$$

for any integer $m \geq 0$. Therefore $1 \leq \beta \leq 2$ everywhere and

$$\sup_t |(d^m \beta / dt^m)| \leq 2 \sup_t |(d^m \alpha / dt^m)| < \infty.$$

Put $\gamma_k = \alpha_k / \beta$. Then it is clear that

$$\sup_t |(d^m \gamma_k / dt^m)|$$

is finite and independent of k . We denote it by c_m .

Since $0 \notin \text{Supp } \alpha_k$ if $k \neq 0$, it is clear that $\beta = 1$ around the origin. Hence $B(s) = \beta(|s|^{1/2})$ ($s \in \mathbf{R}$) is a C^∞ function on \mathbf{R} and

$$\sup_s |(d^m B / ds^m)| = \sup_t |(d/2tdt)^m \beta|.$$

Since $\beta = 1$ around zero, it is clear that

$$\sup_t |t^{-p} (d^q \beta / dt^q)| < \infty$$

for two integers p and q ($p \geq 0, q \geq 1$). Hence it follows that

$$\sup_s |(d^m B/ds^m)| < \infty.$$

Similarly if $A_k(s) = \alpha_k(|s|^{1/2})$ ($s \in \mathbf{R}$), one sees that A_k is a function of class C^∞ . Moreover if $k \geq 0$, it follows in the same way that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_s |(d^m A_k/ds^m)| &= \sup_{t \geq 0} |(d/2tdt)^m \alpha_k| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} |(d/2(t+k)dt)^m \alpha| \leq c'_m \end{aligned}$$

where c'_m is a positive number independent of k .

Now put $g(\mathbf{X}) = \beta(\|\mathbf{X}\|) = B(\|\mathbf{X}\|^2)$, $h_k(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha_k(\|\mathbf{X}\|) = A_k(\|\mathbf{X}\|^2)$ for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $k \geq 0$. Since $Q: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \|\mathbf{X}\|^2$ is a quadratic form on \mathfrak{g} , it is obvious that g and h_k are C^∞ functions on \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma 44. — Let p be an element in $S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ of degree $\leq d$. Then we can choose a number $c_p \geq 0$ such that

$$|g(\mathbf{X}; \partial(p))| \leq c_p(1 + \|\mathbf{X}\|)^d, \quad |h_k(\mathbf{X}; \partial(p))| \leq c_p(1 + \|\mathbf{X}\|)^d$$

for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $k \geq 0$.

One proves by an easy induction on d that there exist polynomial functions q_j ($0 \leq j \leq d$) on \mathfrak{g} of degrees $\leq d$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{X}; \partial(p)) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq d} q_j(\mathbf{X}) (d^j B/ds^j)_{s=\|\mathbf{X}\|^2}, \\ h_k(\mathbf{X}; \partial(p)) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq d} q_j(\mathbf{X}) (d^j A_k/ds^j)_{s=\|\mathbf{X}\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $k \geq 0$. Our assertion now follows immediately from the facts proved above.

Put $g_k = h_k/g$ ($k \geq 0$). Since $g \geq 1$, g_k is also of class C^∞ .

Corollary. — We can choose $c'_p \geq 0$ such that

$$|g_k(\mathbf{X}; \partial(p))| \leq c'_p(1 + \|\mathbf{X}\|)^d$$

for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $k \geq 0$.

This is obvious from Lemma 44 if we take into account the fact that $g \geq 1$.

We now come to the proof of Lemma 43. Since $\alpha_k(t) = 0$ for $k < 0$ and $t \geq 0$, it follows that $\sum_{k \geq 0} g_k = 1$. Fix $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_a)$ and put $f_k = g_k f$. Then $\sum_{k \geq 0} f_k = f$. It is clear that if $\mathbf{X} \in \text{Supp } g_k$, then $|\|\mathbf{X}\| - k| \leq 3/4$. Therefore $f_k = 0$ if k is large. Hence

$$T(f) = \sum_{k \geq 0} T(f_k).$$

Define $\Omega(s, t)$ and $\Omega(t)$ ($0 \leq s < t$) for $\Omega = \Omega_a$ as in the beginning of § 17. Then $\text{Supp } f_k \subset \Omega(k+1)$. Therefore, by Lemma 39, we can choose p_1, \dots, p_r in $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and an integer $\nu \geq 0$ such that

$$|T(f_k)| \leq (2+k)^\nu \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sup |\partial(p_i)f_k|$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_a)$ and all $k \geq 0$. Moreover since $\|X\| \geq k-1$ if $X \in \text{Supp } f_k$, it follows that

$$(2+k)^{\nu+2} \sup |\partial(p_i)f_k| \leq \sup (3 + \|X\|)^{\nu+2} |f_k(X; \partial(p_i))|.$$

Choose q_{ij}, q'_{ij} in $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s$) such that

$$\partial(p_i)(F_1 F_2) = \sum_j \partial(q_{ij})F_1 \cdot \partial(q'_{ij})F_2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

for any two C^∞ functions F_1, F_2 on \mathfrak{g} . Then

$$|f_k(X; \partial(p_i))| \leq \sum_j |g_k(X; \partial(q_{ij}))| |f(X; \partial(q'_{ij}))|$$

since $f_k = g_k f$. Therefore there exist, from the corollary of Lemma 44, an integer $d_0 \geq 0$ and a number $c \geq 0$ such that

$$|f_k(X; \partial(p_i))| \leq c(1 + \|X\|)^{d_0} \sum_j |f(X; \partial(q'_{ij}))|$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_a)$, $k \geq 0$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$. Hence

$$(2+k)^{\nu+2} \sup |\partial(p_i)f_k| \leq 3^{\nu+2} c \sum_j \sup (1 + \|X\|)^d |\partial(q'_{ij})f|$$

where $d = d_0 + \nu + 2$. Put

$$c_0 = 3^{\nu+2} c \sum_{k \geq 0} (k+2)^{-2} < \infty.$$

Then it follows that

$$|T(f)| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} |T(f_k)| \leq c_0 \sum_{i,j} \sup (1 + \|X\|)^d |\partial(q'_{ij})f|$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_a)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 43.

§ 19. COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF LEMMA 29

As usual we identify \mathfrak{g}_e with its dual under the Killing form. Call an element $p \in S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ real if $p(X)$ is real for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then we can select p_1, \dots, p_r in $I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ such that 1) p_i is real and homogeneous of degree ≥ 1 and 2) $I(\mathfrak{g}_e) = \mathbf{C}[p_1, \dots, p_r]$. Put

$$q(X) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i(X)^2 \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

Lemma 45. — We can choose a number $\delta > 0$ such that $q(X) < \delta$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$) implies that $X \in \Omega_a$.

Suppose this is false. Then we can choose a sequence $X_k \in \mathfrak{g}$ ($k \geq 1$) such that $q(X_k) \rightarrow 0$ and $X_k \notin \Omega_a$. Let Y_k and Z_k respectively be the semisimple and nilpotent

components of X_k (see § 3). Then $Y_k \in \text{Cl}(X_k^G)$ from the corollary of Lemma 7. Therefore $q(Y_k) = q(X_k)$. Since Ω_a is open and invariant and $X_k \notin \Omega_a$, it is clear that $Y_k \notin \Omega_a$. Therefore $q(Y_k) = q(X_k) \rightarrow 0$ and $Y_k \notin \Omega_a$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_m$ be a maximal set of Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , no two of which are conjugate under G . Y_k , being semisimple, lies in some Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} which must be conjugate to \mathfrak{h}_j for some j . Hence we can choose $x_k \in G$ and an index j_k such that $Y_k^{x_k} \in \mathfrak{h}_{j_k}$. By choosing a subsequence we may assume that $H_k = Y_k^{x_k} \in \mathfrak{h}$ ($k \geq 1$) where \mathfrak{h} is a fixed Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then $q(H_k) = q(Y_k) \rightarrow 0$ and therefore it is obvious that $p(H_k) \rightarrow 0$ for any $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ which is homogeneous of degree ≥ 1 . Now define q_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) in $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ by

$$\det(t - \text{ad } X) = t^n + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} q_j(X) t^{n-j} \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}),$$

where t is an indeterminate. Then q_j is homogeneous of positive degree and therefore $q_j(H_k) \rightarrow 0$. However

$$\det(t - \text{ad } H) = t^l \prod_{\alpha > 0} (t - \alpha(H)^2) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h})$$

where $l = \dim \mathfrak{h}$ and α runs over all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Therefore $\alpha(H_k) \rightarrow 0$ for every root α and hence $H_k \rightarrow 0$. But then $\|H_k\| < a$ if k is large and therefore $Y_k = x_k^{-1} H_k \in \Omega_a$, giving a contradiction with our earlier result. This proves Lemma 45.

Corollary 1. — *There exists a C^∞ function g on \mathfrak{g} such that:*

- 1) g is invariant and $\text{Supp } g \subset \Omega_a$;
- 2) $g = 1$ around zero;
- 3) for any $p \in S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$, we can choose $c_p, m_p \geq 0$ such that

$$|g(X; \partial(p))| \leq c_p (1 + \|X\|)^{m_p} \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

Select a C^∞ function F on \mathbf{R} such that 1) $F(t) = F(-t)$, 2) $F(t) = 1$ if $|t| \leq \delta/3$ and $F(t) = 0$ if $|t| \geq \delta/2$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}$). Put

$$g(X) = F(q(X)) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

If $X \in \text{Supp } g$, it is clear that $q(X) \leq \delta/2$ and therefore $X \in \Omega_a$. Moreover $g(X) = 1$ if $q(X) \leq \delta/3$. Fix $p \neq 0$ in $S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ and let $d = d^0 p$. Then it is clear that

$$g(X; \partial(p)) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq d} (d^j F/dt^j)_{t=q(X)} p_j(X) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g})$$

where p_j ($0 \leq j \leq d$) are suitable elements in $S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Hence g obviously satisfies condition 3).

Corollary 2. — *Let T be an invariant distribution on Ω_a . Then $g^2 T$ is a tempered distribution on \mathfrak{g} .*

Put $T_k = g^k T$ ($k = 1, 2$). Then T_k is an invariant distribution on \mathfrak{g} . We now apply Lemma 43 to T_1 . So we can choose an integer $d \geq 0$ and elements $p_i \in S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) such that

$$|T_1(f)| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sup(1 + \|X\|)^d |f(X; \partial(p_i))|$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_a)$. Therefore if $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$|T_2(f)| = |T_1(f_1)| \leq \sum_i \sup(1 + \|X\|)^d |f_1(X; \partial(p_i))|$$

where $f_1 = gf$. Now select $p_{ij}, q_{ij} \in S(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s$) in such a way that

$$\partial(p_i)(\varphi_1 \varphi_2) = \sum_j \partial(p_{ij}) \varphi_1 \cdot \partial(q_{ij}) \varphi_2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

for any two C^∞ functions φ_1, φ_2 on \mathfrak{g} . Then

$$\partial(p_i) f_1 = \sum_j \partial(p_{ij}) g \cdot \partial(q_{ij}) f.$$

Therefore, by condition 3) of Corollary 1 above, it is obvious that there exist $c, m \geq 0$ such that

$$|T_2(f)| \leq c \sum_{i,j} \sup(1 + \|X\|)^{d+m} |f(X; \partial(q_{ij}))|$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$. This proves that T_2 is tempered.

We can now complete the proof of Lemma 29. Since Ω is an open neighborhood of zero, we can choose $a > 0$ such that $X \in \Omega$ whenever $\|X\| < a$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$). Therefore $\Omega_a \subset \Omega$. Now take $f = g^2$ where g is defined as in Corollary 1 of Lemma 45. Then it follows from Corollary 2 above that fT is a tempered distribution on \mathfrak{g} . This proves Lemma 29.

§ 20. PROOF OF LEMMA 34

We shall now begin the proof of Lemma 34. Since any two norms on \mathfrak{g} are equivalent, it is enough to consider the case when $\nu(X) = \|X\|$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$). The case $l = 0$ being trivial, we assume $l \geq 1$ and use induction. For any (real-valued) linear function λ on \mathfrak{a} , let \mathfrak{g}_λ denote the space of all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[H, X] = \lambda(H)X$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. We denote by E_λ the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{g}_λ . Then $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{0\}$ unless $\lambda = 0$ or $\pm\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Since $\text{ad } H$ is self-adjoint for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ (see [3(h), Lemma 27]), the spaces \mathfrak{g}_λ and \mathfrak{g}_μ ($\lambda \neq \mu$) are mutually orthogonal. Therefore if

$$E_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} E_\alpha, \quad E_- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} E_{-\alpha},$$

it is clear that $E_+ + E_0 + E_- = 1$.

Let S denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ and for any subset Q of S , let Σ_Q denote the set of all $\alpha \in \Sigma$ which are linear combinations of α_i ($i \in Q$). Define $\mathfrak{n}_Q = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_Q} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and let \mathfrak{g}_Q be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} generated by $\mathfrak{n}_Q + \theta(\mathfrak{n}_Q)$. Then $\theta(\mathfrak{g}_Q) = \mathfrak{g}_Q$ and therefore $\mathfrak{g}_Q = \mathfrak{f}_Q + \mathfrak{p}_Q$ where $\mathfrak{f}_Q = \mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{g}_Q$, $\mathfrak{p}_Q = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}_Q$.

Lemma 46. — \mathfrak{g}_Q is semisimple.

Let $\langle X, Y \rangle = -B(X, \theta(Y))$ ($X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$) denote the scalar product in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{g} and, for any linear function λ on \mathfrak{a} , let H_λ denote the element in \mathfrak{a} such that

$\langle H, H_\lambda \rangle = \lambda(H)$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. We know (see [3(d), Lemma 3]) that if $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ and $\|X\| = 1$, then $[\theta(X), X] = H_\lambda$.

First we claim that \mathfrak{g}_Q is reductive in \mathfrak{g} . Let U be any subspace of \mathfrak{g} such that $[\mathfrak{g}_Q, U] \subset U$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_Q = \theta(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$, $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}_Q$ is a self-adjoint family of transformations in \mathfrak{g} [3(h), Lemma 27]. Hence if V is the orthogonal complement of U in \mathfrak{g} , V is stable under $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}_Q$. This proves our assertion. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}'_Q = [\mathfrak{g}_Q, \mathfrak{g}_Q]$ is semisimple. Now fix $\alpha \in \Sigma_Q$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with $\|X\| = 1$. Then $[\theta(X), X] = H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_Q$ and therefore $[H_\alpha, X] = \alpha(H_\alpha)X \in \mathfrak{g}'_Q$. Since $\alpha(H_\alpha) = \|H_\alpha\|^2 > 0$, this proves that $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}'_Q$. However \mathfrak{g}'_Q is obviously stable under θ and so we conclude that $\mathfrak{n}_Q + \theta(\mathfrak{n}_Q) \subset \mathfrak{g}'_Q$. But, in view of the definition of \mathfrak{g}_Q , this implies that $\mathfrak{g}'_Q = \mathfrak{g}_Q$. This proves that \mathfrak{g}_Q is semisimple.

Let F_Q denote the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{g}_Q . We have seen above that $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_Q$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_Q$. Put $\mathfrak{a}_Q = \sum_{i \in Q} \mathbf{R}H_{\alpha_i}$ and let \mathfrak{b}_Q denote the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{a}_Q in \mathfrak{a} . Then $\mathfrak{a}_Q = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_Q} \mathbf{R}H_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}_Q$.

Lemma 47. — $\mathfrak{a}_Q = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_Q$. Moreover F_Q commutes with θ and E_λ for any linear function λ on \mathfrak{a} .

Let $H \in \mathfrak{b}_Q$. Then $\alpha_i(H) = \langle H_{\alpha_i}, H \rangle = 0$ ($i \in Q$) and therefore $\alpha(H) = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_Q$. Hence H commutes with $\mathfrak{n}_Q + \theta(\mathfrak{n}_Q)$ and therefore also with \mathfrak{g}_Q . Since \mathfrak{g}_Q is semisimple, it follows that $\mathfrak{g}_Q \cap \mathfrak{b}_Q = \{0\}$. Therefore since $\mathfrak{a}_Q \subset \mathfrak{g}_Q$, it is obvious that $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_Q = \mathfrak{a}_Q$.

Let \mathfrak{m}_Q be the set of all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_Q$ such that $[H, X] \in \mathfrak{g}_Q$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then \mathfrak{m}_Q is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_Q which contains $\mathfrak{n}_Q + \theta(\mathfrak{n}_Q)$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}_Q = \mathfrak{g}_Q$. Therefore \mathfrak{g}_Q is stable under $\text{ad } H$ ($H \in \mathfrak{a}$) and this implies that $E_\lambda \mathfrak{g}_Q \subset \mathfrak{g}_Q$ for any linear function λ on \mathfrak{a} . This shows that F_Q commutes with E_λ . Similarly since \mathfrak{g}_Q is stable under θ , F_Q commutes with θ .

Corollary. — \mathfrak{a}_Q is maximal abelian in \mathfrak{p}_Q and $\mathfrak{a}_Q = F_Q \mathfrak{a}$.

Since $\mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{n}_Q + \theta(\mathfrak{n}_Q)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , it must contain \mathfrak{g}_Q . Therefore

$$X = E_0 X + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_Q} E_\alpha X + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_Q} E_{-\alpha} X \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}_Q).$$

Now suppose $X \in \mathfrak{p}_Q$ and it commutes with \mathfrak{a}_Q . Then

$$0 = [H, X] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_Q} \alpha(H) E_\alpha X - \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_Q} \alpha(H) E_{-\alpha} X \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}_Q)$$

and therefore $\alpha(H) E_{\pm \alpha} X = 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_Q$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma_Q$. But $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_Q$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_Q$ and $\alpha(H_\alpha) = \|H_\alpha\|^2 > 0$. Hence $E_{\pm \alpha} X = 0$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma_Q$) and therefore $X = E_0 X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. This means that $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a}$ since \mathfrak{a} is maximal abelian in \mathfrak{p} . But then $X \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_Q = \mathfrak{a}_Q$. This proves that \mathfrak{a}_Q is maximal abelian in \mathfrak{p}_Q .

Since F_Q commutes with θ and E_0 and $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, it is clear that $F_Q \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$. But since \mathfrak{a}_Q is maximal abelian in \mathfrak{p}_Q , $\mathfrak{p}_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{a}_Q$. This proves that $F_Q \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_Q$.

Let l_Q denote the number of elements in Q . Then $\dim \mathfrak{a}_Q = l_Q$. Let G_Q and A_Q be the analytic subgroups of G corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_Q and \mathfrak{a}_Q respectively. If $Q \neq S$, Lemma 34 holds for $(\mathfrak{g}_Q, \mathfrak{a}_Q)$ instead of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ by the induction hypothesis. Let A_Q^+ be the

set of all $h \in A_Q$ such that $\alpha_i(\log h) \geq 0$ ($i \in Q$). Then we obviously have the following result.

Lemma 48. — Assume that $Q \neq S$. Then there exist numbers $b_Q, c_Q \geq 1$ with the following properties. Suppose $X \in g_Q$, $\|X\| \leq 1$ and $h \in A_Q^+$. Then we can choose $X_0 \in g_Q$, $h_0 \in A_Q^+$ such that :

- 1) $X^h = X_0^{h_0}$, $\|X_0\| \leq b_Q$, $0 \leq \alpha_i(\log h_0) \leq \alpha_i(\log h)$ ($i \in Q$),
- 2) $\max_{i \in Q} \exp(\alpha_i(\log h_0)) \leq c_Q(1 + \|X_0^{h_0}\|)^{l_Q}$.

Let $A^+(Q)$ be the set of all $h \in A^+$ such that $\alpha_j(\log h) = 0$ ($j \notin Q$). For any $h \in A$, define

$$h_Q = \exp\left(\sum_{i \in Q} \alpha_i(\log h) H_i\right).$$

Then $\alpha_i(\log h) = \alpha_i(\log h_Q)$ ($i \in Q$) and therefore $\log h - \log h_Q$ commutes with g_Q so that $X^h = X^{h_Q}$ ($X \in g_Q$). Moreover if $h \in A^+$, it is clear that $1 < h_Q < h$ and $h_Q \in A^+(Q)$.

Corollary. — Suppose $X \in g_Q$, $\|X\| \leq 1$ and $h \in A^+(Q)$. Then we can choose $X_0 \in g_Q$ and $h_0 \in A^+(Q)$ such that

- 1) $X^h = X_0^{h_0}$, $\|X_0\| \leq b_Q$, $1 < h_0 < h$,
- 2) $\max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \exp \alpha_i(\log h_0) \leq c_Q(1 + \|X_0^{h_0}\|)^{l_Q}$.

Put $h' = \exp\left(\sum_{i \in Q} \alpha_i(\log h) F_Q H_i\right)$. Then $h' \in A_Q^+$ and $(h')_Q = h$ from the corollary of Lemma 47. Hence $X^{h'} = X^h$. Choose $h'_0 \in A_Q^+$ and $X_0 \in g_Q$ such that the conditions of Lemma 48 hold for (X, h', X_0, h'_0) in place of (X, h, X_0, h_0) . Then if we put $h_0 = (h'_0)_Q$ all the conditions of the corollary are fulfilled.

For any $i \in S$ and $Z \in g$, define

$$\mu(i : Z) = \max_{\substack{\alpha \in \Sigma \\ \alpha(H_i) \neq 0}} \|E_\alpha Z\|$$

and let $Q(Z)$ be the set of all $i \in S$ for which $\mu(i : Z) \geq 1$. Moreover for any subset Q of S , let Σ'_Q denote the complement of Σ_Q in Σ .

Lemma 49. — Let Z be an element of g . Then $\|E_\alpha Z\| < 1$ for every $\alpha \in (\Sigma_{Q(Z)})'$.

Suppose $\|E_\alpha Z\| \geq 1$ for some $\alpha \in \Sigma$. We have to show that $\alpha \in \Sigma_{Q(Z)}$. Fix $i \in S$ such that $\alpha(H_i) \neq 0$. Then

$$\mu(i : Z) \geq \|E_\alpha Z\| \geq 1$$

and therefore $i \in Q(Z)$. Since this holds for every i for which $\alpha(H_i) \neq 0$, it is clear that $\alpha \in \Sigma_{Q(Z)}$.

Put $F'_Q = 1 - F_Q$ for any subset Q of S . Fix $X \in g$ and $h \in A^+$ and assume that $\|X\| \leq 1$. Put $Q_0 = Q(X^h)$ and let s denote the number of elements in Σ .

Lemma 50. — $\|F'_{Q_0} X^a\| \leq 1 + s^{1/2}$ for any $a \in A$ such that $1 < a < h$.

Let λ be a linear function on a such that $g_\lambda \neq \{0\}$. Then

$$E_\lambda X^a = e^{\lambda(\log a)} E_\lambda X.$$

Now $a \succ 1$ and therefore $\lambda(\log a) \leq 0$ if $\lambda \leq 0$. Therefore since F'_{Q_0} commutes with E_0 and E_- , it is obvious that

$$\|(E_0 + E_-)F'_{Q_0}X^a\| \leq \|(E_0 + E_-)X^a\| \leq \|X\| \leq 1.$$

On the other hand $\alpha(\log a) \leq \alpha(\log h)$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma$) since $a \prec h$. Therefore

$$\|E_+ F'_{Q_0} X^a\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma'_{Q_0}} \|E_\alpha X^a\|^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma'_{Q_0}} \|E_\alpha X^h\|^2 \leq s$$

from Lemma 49. Since

$$F'_{Q_0} X^a = (E_+ + E_0 + E_-)F'_{Q_0} X^a,$$

our assertion is now obvious.

Lemma 51. — For any ⁽¹⁾ $Q < S$, select b_Q and c_Q corresponding to Lemma 48 and define

$$b_0 = 1 + s^{1/2} + \max_{Q < S} b_Q, \quad c_0 = \max_{Q < S} c_Q.$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $h \in A^+$ and suppose that $\|X\| \leq 1$ and $Q(X^h) \neq S$. Then we can choose $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $h_0 \in A^+$ such that

- 1) $X^h = X_0^{h_0}$, $1 < h_0 < h$, $\|X_0\| \leq b_0$;
- 2) $\max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \exp \alpha_i(\log h_0) \leq c_0(1 + \|X_0^{h_0}\|)^{l-1}$.

Put $Q = Q(X^h)$. Then $X^h = F_Q X^h + F'_Q X^h$. But since F_Q commutes with $\text{Ad}(h)$ (Lemma 47), we have

$$F_Q X^h = (F_Q X)^h = X_Q^{h_0}$$

where $X_Q = F_Q X$. Since $Q < S$, we can apply the corollary of Lemma 48 to (X_Q, h_0) . Hence we can choose $X_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_Q$ and $h_0 \in A^+(Q)$ such that:

- 1) $X_Q^{h_0} = X_1^{h_0}$, $\|X_1\| \leq b_Q$, $1 < h_0 < h_Q$;
- 2) $\max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \exp \alpha_i(\log h_0) \leq c_Q(1 + \|X_1^{h_0}\|)^{l_Q}$.

Then

$$X^h = X_1^{h_0} + F'_Q X^h = (X_1 + F'_Q X^{h_2})^{h_0}$$

where $h_2 = hh_0^{-1}$. Since $1 < h_0 < h_Q < h$, it follows that $1 < h_2 < h$. Put

$$X_0 = X_1 + F'_Q X^{h_2}.$$

Then

$$\|X_0\| \leq \|X_1\| + \|F'_Q X^{h_2}\| \leq b_Q + 1 + s^{1/2} \leq b_0$$

from Lemma 50. Moreover

$$X_1^{h_0} = X_Q^{h_0} = F_Q X^h.$$

Therefore

$$\|X_1^{h_0}\| \leq \|X^h\| = \|X_0^{h_0}\|.$$

Hence

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \exp \alpha_i(\log h_0) \leq c_Q(1 + \|X_1^{h_0}\|)^{l_Q} \leq c_0(1 + \|X_0^{h_0}\|)^{l-1}$$

and so the lemma is proved.

⁽¹⁾ $Q < S$ means that Q is a subset of S and $Q \neq S$.

Put $c = 2^l c_0$ and $b = b_0$. Then in order to prove Lemma 34, it is obviously enough to verify the following result.

Lemma 52. — Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $h \in A^+$ and suppose $\|X\| \leq 1$. Then we can choose $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $h_0 \in A^+$ such that:

- 1) $X^h = X_0^{h_0}$, $\|X_0\| \leq b$, $1 < h_0 < h$;
- 2) $\max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \exp \alpha_i(\log h_0) \leq c(1 + \|X_0\|)^l$.

If $Q(X^h) < S$, our statement follows immediately from Lemma 51. So we may assume that

$$\mu(i : X^h) \geq 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq l).$$

Let Ω be the set of all $a \in A^+$ such that 1) $1 < a < h$ and 2) $\mu(i : X^a) \geq 1/2$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$). Obviously Ω is a compact set containing h . Put

$$f(a) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} \mu(i : X^a) \quad (a \in \Omega).$$

Then f is a continuous function on Ω which must take its minimum at some point $a_0 \in \Omega$. First suppose $a_0 = 1$. Then $1 \in \Omega$ and therefore

$$\mu(i : X) \geq 1/2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq l).$$

Now fix $i \in S$ and choose $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $\alpha(H_i) \neq 0$ and $\|E_\alpha X\| \geq 1/2$. Then

$$\|E_+ X^h\| \geq e^{\alpha(\log h)} \|E_\alpha X\| \geq 2^{-1} e^{\alpha_i(\log h)}.$$

Therefore

$$\max_i e^{\alpha_i(\log h)} \leq 2 \|E_+ X^h\| \leq 2 \|X^h\|.$$

Since $b \geq 1$ and $c = 2^l c_0 \geq 2$, we can take $X_0 = X$ and $h_0 = h$ in this case.

So now assume that $a_0 \neq 1$. Then we claim that $\mu(i : X^{a_0}) = 1/2$ for some i . For otherwise suppose $\mu(i : X^{a_0}) > 1/2$ for every i . Choose j such that $\alpha_j(\log a_0) \neq 0$. Put $a_\varepsilon = a_0(\exp(-\varepsilon H_j))$ where ε is a small positive number. If ε is sufficiently small, it is clear that $a_\varepsilon \in \Omega$. Hence $f(a_\varepsilon) \geq f(a_0)$. On the other hand since

$$\|E_\alpha X^{a_\varepsilon}\| = e^{-\varepsilon \alpha(H_j)} \|E_\alpha X^{a_0}\| \quad (\alpha \in \Sigma),$$

it is clear that

$$\mu(i : X^{a_\varepsilon}) \leq \mu(i : X^{a_0})$$

for every i . Moreover $e^{-\varepsilon \alpha(H_j)} < 1$ if $\alpha(H_j) \neq 0$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma$) and therefore since $\mu(j : X^{a_0}) \geq 1/2$, it is obvious that

$$\mu(j : X^{a_\varepsilon}) < \mu(j : X^{a_0}).$$

But this implies that $f(a_\varepsilon) < f(a_0)$ and so we get a contradiction. Hence $\mu(i : X^{a_0}) = 1/2$ for some i and therefore $Q(X^{a_0}) < S$. But then by Lemma 51 we can choose $X_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a_1 \in A^+$ such that $X^{a_0} = X_0^{a_1}$, $\|X_0\| \leq b_0$, $1 < a_1 < a_0$ and

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \exp \alpha_i(\log a_1) \leq c_0(1 + \|X_0\|)^{l-1}.$$

Now put $h_0 = ha_0^{-1}a_1$. Then

$$X^h = (X^{a_0})^{ha_0^{-1}} = (X_0^{a_1})^{ha_0^{-1}} = X_0^{h_0}$$

and therefore

$$\|X^h\| \geq \|E_\alpha X^{a_0}\| \exp \alpha(\log(ha_0^{-1})) \quad (\alpha \in \Sigma).$$

Fix $i \in S$. Then since $\mu(i : X^{a_0}) \geq 1/2$, we can select $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $\alpha(H_i) \neq 0$ and $\|E_\alpha X^{a_0}\| \geq 1/2$. Therefore since $1 < a_0 < h$, we have

$$\|X^h\| \geq 2^{-1} \exp \alpha_i(\log(ha_0^{-1})).$$

On the other hand

$$e^{\alpha_i(\log a_1)} \leq c_0(1 + \|X_0^{a_1}\|)^{l-1} = c_0(1 + \|X^{a_0}\|)^{l-1}.$$

Therefore since $h_0 = ha_0^{-1}a_1$, we get

$$e^{\alpha_i(\log h_0)} \leq 2c_0 \|X^h\| (1 + \|X^{a_0}\|)^{l-1}.$$

But since $1 < a_0 < h$, we have (see the proof of Lemma 50)

$$\|E_+ X^{a_0}\| \leq \|E_+ X^h\| \leq \|X^h\|$$

and

$$\|(E_0 + E_-)X^{a_0}\| \leq \|X\| \leq 1.$$

Therefore

$$\|X^{a_0}\| \leq 1 + \|X^h\|$$

and hence

$$e^{\alpha_i(\log h_0)} \leq 2c_0 \|X^h\| (2 + \|X^h\|)^{l-1} \leq c(1 + \|X^h\|)^l.$$

Since $\|X_0\| \leq b_0 = b$, Lemma 51 (and therefore also Lemma 34) is proved.

§ 21. PROOF OF LEMMA 35

We have still to prove Lemma 35. Fix $a > b > 0$ and let x_i and X_i ($i \geq 1$) be two sequences in G and g respectively such that $\|X_i\| < b$ and $x_i X_i$ converges to some $Y \in g$. We have to prove that $Y \in \Omega_a$. Let $x_i = k_i h_i k'_i$ ($k_i, k'_i \in K; h_i \in A^+$). Replacing (x_i, X_i) by $(k_i h_i, k'_i X_i)$ we may assume that $x_i = k_i h_i$. Moreover by selecting a subsequence we can arrange that $k_i \rightarrow k$ and $X_i \rightarrow X$ ($k \in K, X \in g$). Then by replacing (x_i, X_i, Y) by $(k^{-1}x_i, X_i, k^{-1}Y)$, we are reduced to the case when $k = 1$. Now

$$X_i^{x_i} - X_i^{h_i} = (1 - \text{Ad}(k_i^{-1}))X_i^{x_i}.$$

Since $X_i^{x_i} \rightarrow Y$ and $k_i \rightarrow 1$, it is clear that $\|X_i^{x_i} - X_i^{h_i}\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence $X_i^{h_i} \rightarrow Y$.

By selecting a subsequence we can obviously arrange that the following condition holds. There exists a subset Q of S such that $\alpha_j(\log h_i) \rightarrow t_j$ ($t_j \in \mathbf{R}$) for $j \in Q$ and $\alpha_j(\log h_i) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $j \notin Q$ ($1 \leq j \leq l$) as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then it is clear that

$$E_{-\alpha} X_i^{h_i} = e^{-\alpha(\log h_i)} E_{-\alpha} X_i \rightarrow 0$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma'_Q$. Put

$$E = E_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_Q} (E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha})$$

and

$$h = \exp\left(\sum_{j \in Q} t_j H_j\right).$$

Then it is clear that

$$EX_i^{h_i} \rightarrow EX^h.$$

On the other hand if

$$E'_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma'_Q} E_\alpha,$$

we have

$$I = E + E'_+ + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma'_Q} E_{-\alpha}.$$

Therefore since $E_{-\alpha} X_i^{h_i} \rightarrow 0$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma'_Q$), we conclude that

$$(E + E'_+) X_i^{h_i} \rightarrow Y.$$

Therefore $Y = EY + E'_+ Y$ and $EY = EX^h$. Now select $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\alpha_j(H) = 0$ for $j \in Q$ and $\alpha_j(H) > 0$ for $j \notin Q$ ($1 \leq j \leq l$). Then $\alpha(H) > 0$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma'_Q$ and therefore

$$\text{Ad}(\exp(-tH)) E'_+ Y \rightarrow 0$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Put $y_t = (h \exp tH)^{-1}$. Then

$$Y^{y_t} = EX + (E'_+ Y)^{y_t} \rightarrow EX$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $\|EX\| \leq \|X\| \leq b$, it follows that $\|Y^{y_t}\| < a$ if t is sufficiently large and positive. Therefore $Y \in \Omega_a$ and this proves Lemma 35.

The Institute for Advanced Study,
Princeton, New Jersey.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BOREL, Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces, *Topology*, **2** (1963), 111-122.
- [2] N. BOURBAKI, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, chapitre I^{er} : « Algèbres de Lie », 1960, Hermann, Paris.
- [3] HARISH-CHANDRA :
 - a) Representations of semisimple Lie groups VI, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **78** (1956), 564-628.
 - b) The characters of semisimple Lie groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1956), 98-163.
 - c) Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **79** (1957), 87-120.
 - d) Fourier transforms on a semisimple Lie algebra, I, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **79** (1957), 193-257.
 - e) Fourier transforms on a semisimple Lie algebra, II, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **79** (1957), 653-686.
 - f) Spherical functions on semisimple Lie groups, I, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **80** (1958), 241-310.
 - g) Invariant eigendistributions on semisimple Lie groups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **69** (1963), 117-123.
 - h) Invariant distributions on Lie algebras, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **86** (1964), 271-309.
 - i) Invariant differential operators and distributions on a semisimple Lie algebra, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **86** (1964), 534-564.
 - j) Some results on an invariant integral on a semisimple Lie algebra, *Ann. of Math.*, **80** (1964), 551-593.
- [4] H. WHITNEY, Elementary structure of real algebraic varieties, *Ann. of Math.*, **66** (1957), 545-556.

Manuscrit reçu le 8 avril 1964.