

MOSHE ROITMAN

On the Complete Integral Closure of a Mori Domain

Publications du Département de Mathématiques de Lyon, 1988, fascicule 3B
, p. 25-29

[<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PDML_1988__3B_25_0>](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PDML_1988__3B_25_0)

© Université de Lyon, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications du Département de mathématiques de Lyon » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON THE COMPLETE INTEGRAL CLOSURE OF A MORI DOMAIN

Moshe Roitman

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, Haifa 31999, Israel
(e-mail: RSMA601@HAIFAUM bitnet)

It is well known that the complete integral closure A^* of a domain A need not be completely integrally closed (R. Gilmer and W. Heinzer). It turns out that even if A is Mori, then A^* is not necessarily completely integrally closed or Mori, thus answering a question of Professor Valentina Barucci (Università di Roma "La Sapienza"). On the positive side, for any Mori domain A , the domain A^{**} is completely integrally closed (in short c.i.c.). If A is Mori and root-closed, then A^* is c.i.c. We recall that by a result of V. Barucci, if A is Mori and $(A:A^*) \neq 0$, then A^* is Krull.

In order to construct a Mori domain A such that $A^* \neq A^{**}$, we remark that for any domain A , we have: $A^* = \bigcup_{x,y \text{ in } A} (A_0[x,y]_y \cap A)^*$, where A_0 is the prime ring contained in A .

This remark leads us to consider certain subrings of $D[X,Y]_Y$ for a domain D and to define power functions. Furthermore, as being complete integral closed and the Mori property are multiplicative properties of a domain, we deal here not just with ring power functions but also with semigroup power functions.

Let x, y be elements of a cancellative semigroup S with unit. We define the function $\Psi_{S;x,y} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ as follows:
$$\Psi_{S;x,y}(m) = \sup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : (x^m/y^n) \in S \right\} \quad (\text{Here } x^m/y^n \text{ belongs to the localization } S_y \text{ of } S)$$
. A function $\Phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ will be called a semigroup power function if $\Phi = \Psi_{S;x,y}$ for some

nonzero elements x, y in a cancellative semigroup S . A ring power function is a function of the form $\Psi_{S;x,y}$, where $S = A \setminus \{0\}$ for some domain A .

We denote by M the semigroup $\{X^m Y^n : m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where X, Y are indeterminates. Let M be a subsemigroup of M containing X, Y . We denote by Ψ_M the function $\Psi_{M;X,Y}$. On the other hand, for any function $\Phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\omega\}$, we denote by Λ^Φ the set of all elements X^m/Y^n in M such that $n \leq \Phi(m)$; for any domain D , we denote by D^Φ the domain $D[\Lambda^\Phi]$.

It is easy to obtain the following characterization of semigroup power functions:

THEOREM 1 Let $\Phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ be a function. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) Φ is a ring power function.
- 2) Φ is a semigroup power function.
- 3) For all m, n in \mathbb{N} it holds: $\Phi(m+n) \geq \Phi(m) + \Phi(n)$.
- 4) For any domain D , it holds: $\Phi = \Psi_{D^\Phi;X,Y}$.
- 5) For any domain D , it holds: $\Lambda^\Phi = M \cap D^\Phi$.

EXAMPLES of power functions: Let $c \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R} . We denote by τ_c the function $[cn]$. For $c > 0$, we define $\sigma_c(n)$ as the greatest integer which is $< cn$ for $n > 0$ and set $\sigma_c(0) = 0$. We also define $\sigma_0(n) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, thus $\sigma_0 = \tau_0$. We denote by ℓ_c the function $\ell_c(n) = [c(n - \log(n+1))]$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). By Theorem 1, σ_c , τ_c and ℓ_c are power functions.

Given a class \mathcal{E} of cancellative semigroups with unit, a \mathcal{E} -power function is a power function of the form $\Psi_{S;x,y}$, where

S is a semigroup in \mathcal{S} . For example, we will deal here with Mori semigroup power functions, etc. (The Mori property for cancellative semigroups is defined similarly to the Mori ring property). We use a similar terminology for ring power functions. Any Mori semigroup power function which is not identically ∞ is necessarily finite.

For any function $\Phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\Delta\Phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function $\Delta\Phi(n) = \Phi(n+1) - \Phi(n)$ and by $\delta\Phi: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function $\delta\Phi(m, n) = \Phi(m+n) - \Phi(m) - \Phi(n)$. For example, condition 3) of the Theorem 1 for the case that Φ is finite, can be stated in the form: $\delta(\Phi) \geq 0$.

We now characterize More semigroup power functions:

THEOREM 2 Let Φ be a finite power function. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) Φ is semigroup Mori.
- (ii) The function Φ has the following two properties:
 - (1) $\Delta\Phi$ is bounded.
 - (2) Any infinite set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has a finite subset F such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds:

$$\min \left\{ \delta\Phi(m, k) : k \in I \right\} = \min \left\{ \delta\Phi(m, k) : k \in F \right\}.$$
- (iii) The semigroup Λ^Φ is Mori.

Condition (ii) (2) of the preceding theorem is equivalent to the CC^\perp in the semigroup M/MY^r for every r .

For any finite power function Φ , we denote $\sup_n \Phi(n)/n$ by c_Φ . Clearly for $\Phi = \ell_c$, σ_c or τ_c we have: $c = c_\Phi$. It is easy to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(n)/n = c_\Phi$ and $c_\Phi \leq \sup \Delta\Phi$ for any

power function Φ . Thus, if $\Delta\Phi$ is finite, in particular if Φ is a finite semigroup Mori power function, then c_Φ is finite.

For any finite power function Φ , we define the following two functions from \mathbb{N} to $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$:

$$\Phi^*(m) := \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} [\Phi(km+r)/k], \quad \hat{\Phi}(m) := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} [\Phi(km)/k].$$

Both $\hat{\Phi}$ and Φ^* are power functions. Moreover, Φ is root-closed (as a semigroup or as a ring power function) if and only if $\Phi = \hat{\Phi}$. Similarly, Φ is c.i.c. if and only if $\Phi = \Phi^*$ (again this holds in both senses: as a semigroup or as a ring power function).

We see that we can translate semigroup or ring properties into properties of power functions and conversely. For example, we can characterize Mori root-closed or c.i.c. power functions. Indeed, let $\mathcal{S} := \{\sigma_c : c \geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{T} := \{\tau_c : c \geq 0\}$. It can be shown that $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}$ is the set of all root-closed power functions with c_Φ finite and \mathcal{T} is the set of all c.i.c. power functions with c_Φ finite. Also, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cup \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the set of finite root-closed Mori power functions and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the set of all finite c.i.c. Mori power functions (here $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the set of all σ_c with c rational and similarly for $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$). As before everything here is in both senses).

The set of all factorial finite (semigroup or ring) power functions equals $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

For the Mori property we have a two-sided translation just for semigroup power functions by Theorem 2 above and we conject that a semigroup Mori power function is also ring Mori (the converse is clear).

We have the following

THEOREM 3 Let $\Phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function with the following properties:

- (1) For all m, n in \mathbb{N} it holds: $\Phi(m+n) \geq \Phi(m) + \Phi(n)$.
- (2) The sequence $\Delta\Phi(n)$ converges.
- (3) $\lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} \delta\Phi(m, n) = \omega$.

Then K^Φ is Mori for any field K . In particular, $[\Phi]$ is a Mori power function.

The conditions of the last theorem are fulfilled by the function $\Phi(n) := c(n - \log(n+1))$ for any $c > 0$, so all the functions \mathcal{I}_c for $c > 0$ are ring Mori.

Taking into account Theorem 3 and further properties as e.g. $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_c = \mathcal{I}_c^* = \sigma_c$, we can obtain our counterexamples:

Let K be a field. Let $c > 0$ and let $A := K^{\mathcal{I}_c}$, thus A is Mori. We have:

- (1) For c rational, $A^* = \bar{A}$ is Mori, but is not c.i.c.
- (2) For c irrational, $A^* = \bar{A}$ is not Mori, but is c.i.c.
- (3) For positive constants a and b , where a is rational and b is irrational, the domain $B := \left(K^{\mathcal{I}_a} \right)^{\mathcal{I}_b}$ is Mori, but B^* is neither Mori, nor c.i.c. Notice that $B \cong \bigoplus_K K^{\mathcal{I}_a} \otimes K^{\mathcal{I}_b}$.

In particular, we see that the integral closure of a Mori domain is not necessarily Mori, thus answering a question of Professor Evan G. Houston (University of North Carolina at Charlotte). We recall that by a result of V. Barucci, the integral closure of a Mori domain is not necessarily c.i.c.