

REINHARD SCHOLZ

**L_∞ -convergence of saddle-point approximations
for second order problems**

RAIRO. Analyse numérique, tome 11, n° 2 (1977), p. 209-216

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=M2AN_1977__11_2_209_0

© AFCET, 1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « RAIRO. Analyse numérique » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

L_∞ -CONVERGENCE OF SADDLE-POINT APPROXIMATIONS FOR SECOND ORDER PROBLEMS (1)

par Reinhard SCHOLZ (2)

Communique par P-A RAVIART

Abstract — Let u_0 be the solution of the second second order boundary value problem $-\Delta u + qu = f$ in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, with Ω bounded in \mathbf{R}^2 ($u_0, \text{grad } u_0$) is characterized as the saddle-point of a quadratic functional and approximated by finite elements

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$ be a bounded domain and $q \geq 0$ be a bounded and measurable function. We consider the second order model problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + qu &= f && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$f \in L_2(\Omega)$; the solution will be denoted by u_0 .

The basic idea of the mixed method is to characterize (u_0, v_0) , $v_0 := \text{grad } u_0$, as the saddle-point of a quadratic functional and to approximate (u_0, v_0) by elements of suitably chosen finite dimensional subspaces.

The construction of approximating finite element spaces and the L_2 -error analysis for this problem was given by P. A. Raviart-J. M. Thomas [5]. Using the same subspaces our goal is to derive L_∞ -error estimates. The method of proof is based on weighted L_2 -norms, similarly to the work of J. Nitsche [3], [4], and F. Natterer [2].

2. NOTATIONS, STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

If we define the operator

$$Tu := \text{grad } u$$

(1) Manuscrit reçu le 12 mars 1976

(2) Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg

with $T: D(T) = \mathring{W}_2^1 \subseteq L_2 \rightarrow L_2 \times L_2$, then the dual operator is

$$T^*v = -\operatorname{div} v$$

with $T^*: D(T^*) = W_2^1 \times W_2^1 \subseteq L_2 \times L_2 \rightarrow L_2$. (We omit the specification of the domain, if no confusion is possible.)

Later on we need the following assertions: T is a closed operator and $R(T)$ is closed in $L_2 \times L_2$. Therefore by the Closed Range Theorem $L_2 \times L_2$ is the orthogonal sum of $R(T)$ and $N(T^*)$. (See, for instance, K. Yosida [7], p. 205.)

Further we define the operator $Q: L_2 \rightarrow L_2$ by $Qu := qu$, $u \in L_2$. Then equation (1) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned} T^*v + Qu &= f \\ Tu - v &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

with the solution (u_0, v_0) , $v_0 := \operatorname{grad} u_0$.

For convenience we assume that $\bar{\Omega}$ is a bounded polygon. Suppose Γ_h is a κ -regular triangulation of Ω , $0 < h$, i. e. for any $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ there are two circles \underline{K} and \bar{K} with radii $\underline{\rho}$ and $\bar{\rho}$ such that $\underline{K} \subseteq \Delta \subseteq \bar{K}$ and

$$\kappa^{-1}h \leq \underline{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho} \leq \kappa h.$$

In the following let $r \geq 1$ be a fixed integer.

By $(W_p^r \times W_p^r)' = (W_p^r \times W_p^r)'(\Gamma_h)$, $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote those elements of $L_p \times L_p$, which fulfill the following conditions:

- (i) $v \in W_p^r(\Delta) \times W_p^r(\Delta)$ for all $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$;
- (ii) for all $u \in W_2^1(\Omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} v \cdot \operatorname{grad} u \, ds + \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} v \, ds \\ = \int_{\partial\Omega} uv \cdot \nu \, d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

(ν is the exterior unit normal to $\partial\Omega$.)

Equation (3) holds if and only if for any pairs of adjacent triangles $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \Gamma_h$ we have

$$v|_{\Delta_1} \cdot \nu_1 + v|_{\Delta_2} \cdot \nu_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2,$$

where ν_i is the outward unit normal to the boundary of Δ_i , $i = 1, 2$. (See P. A. Raviart-J. M. Thomas [5].)

We denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the scalar product in L_2 as well as in $L_2 \times L_2$. We also write $\|v\|_{W_p^r}$ instead of $\|v\|_{W_p^r \times W_p^r}$ for $v \in W_p^r \times W_p^r$. Finally we introduce in $(W_p^r \times W_p^r)'$ the norm

$$\|v\|_{W_p^r}' := \left\{ \sum_{\Delta \in \Gamma_h} \|v\|_{W_p^r(\Delta)}^p \right\}^{1/p}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty$$

with the usual modification for $p = \infty$.

Let us define the quadratic functional $I: L_2 \times (W_2^1 \times W_2^1)' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I(u, v) := a(u, v) - \frac{1}{2}(v, v) - (f, u) + \frac{1}{2}(Qu, u)$$

with

$$a(u, v) := - \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} v \, ds.$$

The equation

$$I(u, v) - I(u_0, v_0) = -\frac{1}{2}(v - v_0, v - v_0) + \frac{1}{2}(Q(u - u_0), u - u_0) + a(u - u_0, v - v_0)$$

implies

$$I(u_0, v) \leq I(u_0, v_0) \leq I(u, v_0) \tag{4}$$

for all $u \in L_2, v \in (W_2^1 \times W_2^1)'$, i. e. (u_0, v_0) is a saddle-point of the functional I .

Given finite dimensional subspaces $U_h \subseteq L_2$ and $V_h \subseteq (W_2^1 \times W_2^1)'$, we approximate (u_0, v_0) by a saddle-point (u_h, v_h) of I restricted to $U_h \times V_h$. From the condition

$$I(u_h, \eta) \leq I(u_h, v_h) \leq I(\xi, v_h)$$

for all $\xi \in U_h, \eta \in V_h$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} a(\xi, v_h) + (\xi, Qu_h) &= (f, \xi) \\ a(u_h, \eta) - (v_h, \eta) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for all $\xi \in U_h, \eta \in V_h$. (5) has a unique solution if $U_h \subseteq \operatorname{div} V_h$ holds.

Then the equation (5) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} a(\xi, v_0 - v_h) + (\xi, Q(u_0 - u_h)) &= 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in U_h \\ a(u_0 - u_h, \eta) &= (v_0 - v_h, \eta) \quad \text{for all } \eta \in V_h. \end{aligned} \tag{5'}$$

Thus, the mapping $(u_0, v_0) \rightarrow (u_h, v_h)$ may be considered as a projection operator from $L_2 \times (W_2^1 \times W_2^1)'$ onto $U_h \times V_h$.

For the sake of simplicity in the following we only regard the case $Q = 0$.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF APPROXIMATING SUBSPACES, L_2 -ERROR ESTIMATES

Given a κ -regular triangulation Γ_h and an integer $r \geq 1$, P. A. Raviart-J. M. Thomas [5] construct a linear subspace V_h of $(W_p^{r+1} \times W_p^{r+1})'$ (Γ_h) in the following way :

$\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$ belongs to V_h if in each triangle $\Delta \in \Gamma_h$ the functions η_1 and η_2 are special polynomials of degree $r + 1$, determined by the values of

$$\int_{K_i} \sigma^j \eta \cdot \nu \, d\sigma \quad , \quad 0 \leq j \leq r \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

and

$$\int_{\Delta} s_1^k s_2^l \eta \, ds \quad , \quad 0 \leq k, l \quad , \quad k + l \leq r - 1,$$

where K_i denotes the sides of Δ . Furthermore in each triangle $\text{div } \eta$ is a polynomial of degree r .

Let U_h be the space of finite elements of degree r for the same triangulation Γ_h (without any boundary or continuity conditions), and denote by $P_h : L_2 \rightarrow U_h$ the orthogonal projection from L_2 onto U_h .

Then $\text{div } V_h \subseteq U_h$ and the following assertion holds. (See [5], compare also P. G. Ciarlet-P. A. Raviart [1].)

LEMMA 1 : *There exists a linear projection operator $\Pi_h : (W_p^1 \times W_p^1)' \rightarrow V_h$, $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, with the following properties :*

(i) *for all $v \in (W_p^1 \times W_p^1)'$ the relation*

$$\text{div } \Pi_h v = P_h \text{ div } v \tag{6}$$

is valid;

(ii) *for all $v \in (W_p^{l+1}) \times W_p^{l+1}$ the estimate*

$$\|v - \Pi_h v\|_{W_p^k} \leq Ch^{l+1-k} \|v\|_{W_p^{l+1}}, \quad 0 \leq k, l, \quad k \leq l + 1 \leq r + 1 \tag{7}$$

holds.

The following Lemma shows $U_h \subseteq \text{div } V_h$; therefore the equation (5) has a unique solution.

LEMMA 2 : *For each $\xi \in U_h$ there is an element $\eta \in V_h$ with $\text{div } \eta = \xi$.*

Proof : For an arbitrary element $\xi \in U_h$ let w be the element of $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^1 \cap W_2^2$ with $\Delta w = \xi$. Defining $\eta := \Pi_h \text{ grad } w$, relation (6) shows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div } \eta &= P_h \text{ div grad } w \\ &= P_h \xi = \xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $(u_h, v_h) \in U_h \times V_h$ be the saddle-point approximation of (u_0, v_0) defined by (5). The following approximation theorem was obtained by P. A. Raviart-J. M. Thomas [5, Theorem 5]:

If $u_0 \in \mathring{W}_2^1 \cap W_2^{r+2}$ and $\Delta u_0 \in W_2^{r+1}$, then

$$\|u_0 - u_h\|_{L_2} + \|v_0 - v_h\|_{L_2} + \|\operatorname{div}(v_0 - v_h)\|_{L_2} \leq Ch^{r+1} (\|u_0\|_{W_2^{r+2}} + \|\Delta u_0\|_{W_2^{r+1}}).$$

For our purpose we need an ‘‘uncoupled’’ estimate.

LEMMA 3: Suppose $u_0 \in \mathring{W}_2^1 \cap W_2^{r+2}$. Then

$$\|v_0 - v_h\|_{W_2^k}' \leq Ch^{r+1-k} \|u_0\|_{W_2^{r+2}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r + 1, \quad (8)$$

where C is independent of u_0 and h .

Proof: Define $\xi_h := P_h u_0$ and $\eta_h := \Pi_h v_0$. Using (5') we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_h - \eta_h\|_{L_2}^2 &= (v_h - \eta_h, v_h - \eta_h) - a(u_h - \xi_h, v_h - \eta_h) + a(u_h - \xi_h, v_h - \eta_h) \\ &= (v_0 - \eta_h, v_h - \eta_h) - a(u_0 - \xi_h, v_h - \eta_h) + a(u_h - \xi_h, v_0 - \eta_h). \end{aligned}$$

From $\operatorname{div} V_h = U_h$ and relation (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a(u_0 - \xi_h, v_h - \eta_h) &= - (u_0 - \xi_h, \operatorname{div}(v_h - \eta_h)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a(u_h - \xi_h, v_0 - \eta_h) &= - (u_h - \xi_h, \operatorname{div} v_0 - P_h \operatorname{div} v_0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\|v_h - \eta_h\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \|v_0 - \eta_h\|_{L_2} \|v_h - \eta_h\|_{L_2};$$

with the help of (7) the estimate (8) follows for the case $k = 0$. For $1 \leq k \leq r + 1$, (8) is obtained by inverse inequalities, obviously valid for the elements of V_h .

REMARK: If only $u_0 \in \mathring{W}_2^1 \cap W_2^{r+1}$ is presumed for the solution of (1), with the same proof and by application of duality arguments (see R. Scholz [6]) we can show the error estimate

$$\|u_0 - u_h\|_{L_2} + h \|v_0 - v_h\|_{L_2} \leq Ch^{r+1} \|u_0\|_{W_2^{r+1}},$$

C independent of u_0 and h .

4. L_∞ -ERROR ESTIMATES

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM : *Assume the solution u_0 of problem (1) fulfills the regularity condition $u_0 \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^1 \cap W_2^{r+2} \cap W_\infty^{r+1}$, and let $(u_h, v_h) \in U_h \times V_h$ be the saddle-point approximation of (u_0, v_0) defined by (5). Then the following error estimate holds :*

$$\|u_0 - u_h\|_{L_\infty} + h \|v_0 - v_h\|_{L_\infty} \leq Ch^{r+1} \{ \|u_0\|_{W_\infty^{r+1}} + \|u_0\|_{W_2^{r+2}} \}, \quad (9)$$

where the constant C is independent of u_0 and h .

In order to prove (9) we use "weighted" L_2 -norms.

Let s_0 be any point of $\bar{\Omega}$. For $\rho > 0$ we define with $\mu := \mu(s) := |s - s_0|^2 + \rho^2$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|u\|_\alpha := \|\mu^{-\alpha/2} u\|_{L_2}, \quad u \in L_2.$$

($|s - s_0|$ denotes the Euclidean distance between the points s and $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$.) Between L_∞ - and weighted L_2 -norms we have the following relations :

(i) if $u \in L_\infty$ and $\alpha > 1$, then

$$\|u\|_\alpha \leq C\rho^{-\alpha+1} \|u\|_{L_\infty}; \quad (10)$$

(ii) for $\xi \in U_h$ and the special choice of $s_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that $|\xi(s_0)| = \|\xi\|_{L_\infty}$ we have

$$\|\xi\|_{L_\infty} \leq C\gamma^{-\alpha} h^{\alpha-1} \|\xi\|_\alpha, \quad h = \gamma\rho. \quad (11)$$

The constants C in (10) and (11) do not depend on ρ respectively h and the special point $s_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$. For a proof see J. Nitsche [3], [4]

The weighted norms in $L_2 \times L_2$ are defined in an analogous manner.

Proof of the Theorem : For convenience we write u and v instead of u_0 and v_0 . Since the operator $(u, v) \rightarrow (u_h, v_h)$ is a projection, it suffices to prove

$$\|u_h\|_{L_\infty} + h \|v_h\|_{L_\infty} \leq C \left\{ \|u\|_{L_\infty} + h \|v\|_{L_\infty} + \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} h^k \|v - v_h\|_{W_2^k} \right\}. \quad (12)$$

First we show the estimate for u_h . Let $s_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ be chosen such that $|u_h(s_0)| = \|u_h\|_{L_\infty}$. For $\alpha > 1$ we have

$$\|u_h\|_\alpha^2 = (u_h, \mu^{-\alpha} u_h - \xi) - (u, \mu^{-\alpha} u_h - \xi) + (u, \mu^{-\alpha} u_h) - (u - u_h, \xi) \quad (13)$$

with $\xi := P_h \mu^{-\alpha} u_h$. With the same arguments as in J. Nitsche [3] we find for $h = \gamma\rho$, γ suitably chosen,

$$\|u_h\|_\alpha^2 \leq C(\|u\|_\alpha^2 + |(u - u_h, \xi)|). \quad (14)$$

Now let $w \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^1 \cap W_2^2$ be the solution of the auxiliary problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta w &= \xi & \text{in } \Omega \\ w &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and define $\omega := \text{grad } w$. An easy computation gives $\text{div } \Pi_h \omega = -\xi = \text{div } \omega$; hence we have $\omega - \Pi_h \omega \in N(T^*)$. With the help of (S'_2) therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (u - u_h, \xi) &= a(u - u_h, \omega) \\ &= a(u - u_h, \Pi_h \omega) \\ &= (v - v_h, \Pi_h \omega) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

From the Closed Range Theorem we get $v - v_h = v - \bar{v}_h - \hat{v}_h$ with $v - \bar{v}_h \in R(T)$ and $-\hat{v}_h \in N(T^*)$. Using $\omega \in R(T)$, $\omega - \Pi_h \omega \in N(T^*)$, and (S'_1) , we find

$$\begin{aligned} |(v - \bar{v}_h, \Pi_h \omega)| &= |(v - \bar{v}_h, \omega)| \\ &= |(v - v_h, \omega)| \\ &= |a(w, v - v_h)| \\ &= |a(w - P_h w, v - v_h)| \\ &\leq Ch^2 \|w\|_{W_2^2} \|\text{div } (v - v_h)\|_{L_2} \\ &\leq Ch^2 \rho^{-\alpha} \|u_h\|_\alpha \|v - v_h\|_{W_2^1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |-(\hat{v}_h, \Pi_h \omega)| &= |(\hat{v}_h, \omega - \Pi_h \omega)| \\ &= |(v - v_h, \omega - \Pi_h \omega)| \\ &\leq Ch \|w\|_{W_2^2} \|v - v_h\|_{L_2} \\ &\leq Ch \rho^{-\alpha} \|u_h\|_\alpha \|v - v_h\|_{L_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities with (13), (14), and (15) we get

$$\|u_h\|_\alpha \leq C (\|u\|_\alpha + h \rho^{-\alpha} \|v - v_h\|_{L_2} + h^2 \rho^{-\alpha} \|v - v_h\|_{W_2^1}).$$

Hence, the estimate (12) for u_h follows by (10) and (11).

Next let $s_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ be such that

$$|v_{h,i}(s_0)| = \|v_{h,i}\|_{L_\infty} = \|v_h\|_{L_\infty},$$

$i = 1$ or $i = 2$. We find for $\alpha > 1$

$$\|v - v_h\|_\alpha^2 = (v - v_h, (I - \Pi_h)\mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h)) + (v - v_h, \Pi_h\mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h)),$$

where I denotes the identity. Using the approximation properties of the space V_h and

$$|D^k \mu^{-\alpha}(s)| \leq C \rho^{-k} \mu^{-\alpha}(s), \quad k \geq 1,$$

the first term can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(v - v_h, (I - \Pi_h)\mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h))| &\leq Ch^{r+1} \|v - v_h\|_{L_2} \|\mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h)\|'_{W^{\frac{r}{2}+1}} \\
 &\leq Ch^{r+1} \|v - v_h\|_{L_2} \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} \rho^{k-r-1-2\alpha} \|v - v_h\|'_{W^{\frac{k}{2}}} \quad (17) \\
 &\leq C\rho^{-2\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} h^{2k} \|v - v_h\|_{W^{\frac{k}{2}}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Further, because of (5') we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(v - v_h, \Pi_h\mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h))| &= |(u - u_h, \Pi_h\mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h))| \\
 &= |(u - u_h, P_h \operatorname{div} \mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h))| \\
 &= |(P_h(u - u_h), \operatorname{div} \mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h))| \\
 &\leq \|P_h(u - u_h)\|_{\alpha+1} \|\operatorname{div} \mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h)\|_{-\alpha-1} \\
 &\leq C \|u - u_h\|_{\alpha+1}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h)\|_{-\alpha-1}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

(Here we used the boundedness of P_h in weighted norms; see J. Nitsche [3].)

An elementary computation gives

$$\|\operatorname{div} \mu^{-\alpha}(v - v_h)\|_{-\alpha-1}^2 \leq C(\rho^{-2(\alpha-1)} \|\operatorname{div} (v - v_h)\|_{L_2}^2 + \rho^{-2\alpha} \|v - v_h\|_{L_2}^2).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|v_h\|_{\alpha} &\leq \|v\|_{\alpha} + \|v - v_h\|_{\alpha} \\
 &\leq C \left(\|u - u_h\|_{\alpha+1} + \|v\|_{\alpha} + \rho^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} h^k \|v - v_h\|'_{W^{\frac{k}{2}}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the relation (10) and (11) once more, we obtain the desired estimate (12) for v_h , and the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. P. G. CIARLET and P. A. RAVIART, *General Lagrange and Hermite interpolation, in Rⁿ with applications to finite element methods*. Archive Rational Mech. Anal., Vol. 46, 1972, pp. 177-199.
2. F. NATTERER, *Über die punktweise Konvergenz finiter Elemente*, Numer. Math., Vol. 25, 1975, pp. 67-77.
3. J. NITSCHKE, *L_∞-convergence of finite element approximation*, Second Conference on Finite Elements, Rennes, France. (To appear).
4. J. NITSCHKE, *Über L_∞-Abschätzungen von Projektionen auf finite Elemente*, Bonner Mathematische Schriften Vol. 89, 1976, pp. 13-30.
5. P. A. RAVIART and J. M. THOMAS, *A mixed finite element method for second order elliptic problems*. (To appear).
6. R. SCHOLZ, *Approximation von Sattelpunkten mit finiten Elementen*, Bonner Mathematische Schriften Vol. 89, 1976, pp. 53-66.
7. YOSIDA, *Functional analysis*, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1965.