

PALLAVI KETKAR

LUCA Q. ZAMBONI

**Primitive substitutive numbers are closed under  
rational multiplication**

*Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*, tome 10, n° 2 (1998),  
p. 315-320

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB\\_1998\\_\\_10\\_2\\_315\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB_1998__10_2_315_0)

© Université Bordeaux 1, 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Primitive Substitutive Numbers are Closed Under Rational Multiplication

par PALLAVI KETKAR et LUCA Q. ZAMBONI

RÉSUMÉ. Soit  $M(r)$  l'ensemble des réels  $\alpha$  dont le développement en base  $r$  contient une queue qui est l'image d'un point fixe d'une substitution primitive par un morphisme de lettres. Nous démontrons que l'ensemble  $M(r)$  est stable par multiplication par les rationnels, mais non stable par addition.

ABSTRACT. Let  $M(r)$  denote the set of real numbers  $\alpha$  whose base- $r$  digit expansion is ultimately primitive substitutive, i.e., contains a tail which is the image (under a letter to letter morphism) of a fixed point of a primitive substitution. We show that the set  $M(r)$  is closed under multiplication by rational numbers, but not closed under addition.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A sequence on a finite alphabet  $A$  is called  $q$ -automatic if it is the image (under a letter to letter morphism) of a fixed point of a substitution of constant length  $q$ . Let  $M(q, r)$  denote the set of real numbers whose fractional part has a  $q$ -automatic base- $r$  digit expansion. J.H. Loxton and A. van der Poorten stated that each number  $\alpha \in M(q, r)$  is either rational or transcendental [LoPo]. Unfortunately a gap has been reported in their proof.

Analogously, a sequence on a finite alphabet  $A$  is called *primitive substitutive* if it is the image (under a letter to letter morphism) of a fixed point of a primitive substitution. Let  $M(r)$  denote the set of real numbers whose base- $r$  digit expansion is *ultimately primitive substitutive*, i.e., contains a tail which is primitive substitutive. It is believed that a number  $\alpha$  in  $M(r)$  is either rational or transcendental. This has been verified in a few special cases (see [AlZa], [FeMa], and [RiZa]).

---

Manuscrit reçu le 15 juin 1998.

The second author was partially supported by NSF Grant INT-09726708 .

In [Le] S. Lehr showed that  $M(q, r)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. We will show that  $M(r)$  is closed under multiplication by  $\mathbb{Q}$  but not closed under addition. Lehr's proof relies in part on a theorem of J.-P. Allouche and M. Mendès France<sup>1</sup>:

**Theorem 1** (J.-P. Allouche, M. Mendès France, [AlMe]). *Let  $\star$  be an associative binary operation on a finite set  $A$  and let  $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2\omega_3\dots$  be a  $q$ -automatic sequence in  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then the induced sequence of partial products*

$$\omega_1, \omega_1 \star \omega_2, \omega_1 \star \omega_2 \star \omega_3, \omega_1 \star \omega_2 \star \omega_3 \star \omega_4, \dots$$

*is  $q$ -automatic.*

We will use the following analogue of Theorem 1

**Theorem 2** (C. Holton, L.Q. Zamboni, [HoZa]). *Let  $\star$  be a binary operation on a finite set  $A$  and let  $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2\omega_3\dots$  be an ultimately primitive substitutive sequence in  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then the induced sequence of partial products*

$$\omega_1, \omega_1 \star \omega_2, (\omega_1 \star \omega_2) \star \omega_3, ((\omega_1 \star \omega_2) \star \omega_3) \star \omega_4, \dots$$

*is ultimately primitive substitutive.*

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

**Theorem 1.** *The set  $M(r)$  is closed under multiplication by  $\mathbb{Q}$  but not closed under addition.*

*Proof.* We begin by observing that  $\mathbb{Q} \subset M(r)$ . In fact, the digit expansion of a rational number is ultimately periodic, and a periodic sequence is primitive substitutive. Let  $\xi \in M(r)$ . We show that for positive integers  $n$  and  $p$ , both  $n\xi$  and  $\frac{\xi}{p}$  are in  $M(r)$ . In each case we can assume that  $0 < \xi < 1$  and that  $\xi \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence we can write  $\xi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k r^{-k}$  with  $\xi_k \in A_r = \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ . The sequence  $\{\xi_k\}$  is then ultimately primitive substitutive but not ultimately periodic. We begin by showing that  $y = \frac{\xi}{p} \in M(r)$ . We write  $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k r^{-k}$  with  $y_k \in A_r$ . Then following [Le] we have

---

<sup>1</sup>This is a special case of a more general result of F.M. Dekking in [De]

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_k &= \left\lfloor \frac{\xi}{p} r^k \right\rfloor \bmod r \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{r^k}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i r^{-i} \right\rfloor \bmod r \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i r^{k-i} \right\rfloor \bmod r \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i r^{k-i} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_i r^{k-i} \right\rfloor \bmod r
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i r^{k-i} = \frac{m}{p}$$

for some natural number  $m$ , and

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_i r^{k-i} < \frac{1}{p}$$

we obtain

$$y_k = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i r^{k-i} \right\rfloor \bmod r = \left\lfloor \frac{\left( \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i r^{k-i} \right) \bmod pr}{p} \right\rfloor \bmod r.$$

□

Consider the sequence  $\{(\xi_k, r)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in the alphabet  $A_{pr} \times A_{pr}$ . Since the sequence  $\{\xi_k\}$  is ultimately primitive substitutive, the same is true of the sequence  $\{(\xi_k, r)\}$ . Let  $\star$  denote the associative binary operation on  $A_{pr} \times A_{pr}$  given by<sup>2</sup>

$$(a, \alpha) \star (b, \beta) = (a\beta + b \bmod pr, \alpha\beta \bmod pr).$$

For each  $k \geq 1$  we set

$$x_k = (\xi_1, r) \star (\xi_2, r) \star \dots \star (\xi_k, r) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i r^{k-i} \bmod pr, r^k \bmod pr \right).$$

---

<sup>2</sup>There is a typographical error in the definition of the binary operation  $\star$  given in [Le]. It should be the same as  $\star$ .

By Theorem 2 the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  is ultimately primitive substitutive, and hence so is the sequence  $\{y_k\}$  as required.

We next show that  $n\xi \in M(r)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\{\xi_k\}$  be as above. There is a positive integer  $M = M(n)$  such that for each  $k \geq 0$*

$$\left\lfloor n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_{k+i}}{r^i} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor n \left( \frac{\xi_{k+1}}{r} + \frac{\xi_{k+2}}{r^2} + \dots + \frac{\xi_{k+M}}{r^M} \right) \right\rfloor.$$

*Proof.* Fix a positive integer  $l$  so that  $r^l \geq n$ , and set  $(a)_f = a - [a]$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\left\lfloor n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_{k+i}}{r^i} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor n \left( \frac{\xi_{k+1}}{r} + \frac{\xi_{k+2}}{r^2} + \dots + \frac{\xi_{k+l}}{r^l} \right) \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor S_k + n \sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_{k+i}}{r^i} \right\rfloor$$

where  $S_k = \left( n \left( \frac{\xi_{k+1}}{r} + \frac{\xi_{k+2}}{r^2} + \dots + \frac{\xi_{k+l}}{r^l} \right) \right)_f$ . □

Note that for  $k \geq 0$  the quantity  $\left\lfloor S_k + n \sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_{k+i}}{r^i} \right\rfloor$  is either 0 or 1. Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_k \mid k \geq 1\}$ . Then  $\text{Card}(\mathcal{S}) \leq r^l$ . For each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  there exist words  $V_s$  and  $U_s$  (in the alphabet  $A_r$ ) such that the base- $r$  digit expansion of  $\frac{1}{n}(1-s) \in \mathbb{Q}$  is given by  $V_s U_s^\omega$ . Since  $\xi \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  there is a positive integer  $m_s$  so that the sequence  $\{\xi_k\}$  does not contain the subword  $U_s^{m_s}$ . Set  $M_s = |V_s| + m_s|U_s|$  and  $M' = \max\{M_s \mid s \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . Then for each  $k \geq 0$  we have

$$\left\lfloor S_k + n \sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_{k+i}}{r^i} \right\rfloor = 1$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_{k+i}}{r^i} > \frac{1}{n}(1 - S_k)$$

if and only if

$$\frac{\xi_{k+l+1}}{r^{l+1}} + \frac{\xi_{k+l+2}}{r^{l+2}} + \dots + \frac{\xi_{k+l+M'}}{r^{l+M'}} > \frac{1}{n}(1 - S_k).$$

Thus  $M = l + M'$  satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 2. □

We return to the proof of Theorem 1. Let  $z = n\xi$ . Then we can write  $(z)_f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k r^{-k}$ . It suffices to show that the sequence  $\{z_k\}$  is ultimately

primitive substitutive. Let  $M$  be as in Lemma 2. Then for  $k \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= \lfloor n\xi r^k \rfloor \bmod r \\ &= \left\lfloor nr^k \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i r^{-i} \right\rfloor \bmod r \\ &= \left\lfloor n \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_i r^{k-i} + n\xi_k + n \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_i r^{k-i} \right\rfloor \bmod r \\ &= \left\lfloor n\xi_k + n \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_i r^{k-i} \right\rfloor \bmod r \\ &= \lfloor n\xi_k \rfloor \bmod r + \left\lfloor n \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_i r^{k-i} \right\rfloor \bmod r \\ &= \lfloor n\xi_k \rfloor \bmod r + \left\lfloor n \left( \frac{\xi_{k+1}}{r} + \frac{\xi_{k+2}}{r^2} + \dots + \frac{\xi_{k+M}}{r^M} \right) \right\rfloor \bmod r. \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $\{\xi_k\}$  is ultimately primitive substitutive, the same is true of the sequence  $\{(\xi_k, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_{k+M})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . In fact if a tail of  $\{\xi_k\}$  is the image of a fixed point of a primitive substitution  $\zeta$ , then the corresponding tail of  $\{(\xi_k, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_{k+M})\}$  is the image of a fixed point of the primitive morphism  $\zeta_{M+1}$  defined in [Qu] (see Lemma V.11 and Lemma V.12 in [Qu]). Define  $\phi : A_r^{M+1} \rightarrow A_r$  by

$$\phi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{M+1}) = \lfloor na_1 \rfloor \bmod r + \left\lfloor n \left( \frac{a_2}{r} + \frac{a_3}{r^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{M+1}}{r^M} \right) \right\rfloor \bmod r.$$

Then the sequence  $\{z_k\} = \{\phi(\xi_k, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_{k+M})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is ultimately primitive substitutive as required.

It remains to show that  $M(r)$  is not closed under addition. Let  $\tau$  be the primitive morphism defined by

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\mapsto 1211 \\ 2 &\mapsto 2112. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a = \{a_i\}$  denote the fixed point of  $\tau$  beginning in 1 and  $b = \{b_i\}$  the fixed point of  $\tau$  beginning in 2. Let  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i(10)^{-i}$  and  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i(10)^{-i}$ . Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are each in  $M(10)$  but  $\alpha + \beta \notin M(10)$ . In fact, the digit 3 occurs an infinite number of times in the decimal expansion of  $\alpha + \beta$  but not in bounded gap. We note that for each  $n \geq 1$  the sequence  $a$  begins in  $\tau^n(12)\tau^n(1)$  and  $b$  begins in  $\tau^n(21)\tau^n(1)$ . Since  $|\tau^n(12)| = |\tau^n(21)|$  it follows that for each  $N \geq 1$  we can find  $k = k(N)$  so that  $a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+N} = b_k b_{k+1} \dots b_{k+N}$ . If  $c = \{c_i\}$  denotes the decimal expansion of  $\alpha + \beta$  then the block  $c_k c_{k+1} \dots c_{k+N}$  consists only of the digits 2 and 4. At the same time, for each  $n \geq 1$  the sequence  $a$  begins in  $\tau^n(121)\tau^n(1)$  while  $b$  begins in

$\tau^n(211)\tau^n(2)$ . Since  $|\tau^n(121)| = |\tau^n(211)|$  it follows that  $a_j \neq b_j$  (and hence  $c_j = 3$ ) for infinitely many values of  $j$ . Thus no tail of the decimal expansion of  $\alpha + \beta$  is a minimal sequence. In particular  $\{c_i\}$  is not ultimately primitive substitutive.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AlMe] J.-P. Allouche, M. Mendès France, *Quasicrystal ising chain and automata theory*. J. Statist. Phys. **42** (1986), 809–821.
- [AlZa] J.-P. Allouche, L.Q. Zamboni, *Algebraic irrational binary numbers cannot be fixed points of non-trivial constant length or primitive morphisms*. J. Number Theory **69** (1998), 119–124.
- [De] F.M. Dekking, *Iteration of maps by an automaton*. Discrete Math. **126** (1994), 81–86.
- [Du] F. Durand, *A characterization of substitutive sequences using return words*. Discrete Math. **179** (1998), 89–101.
- [FeMa] S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit, *Transcendence of numbers with a low complexity expansion*. J. Number Theory **67** (1997), 146–161.
- [HoZa] C. Holton, L.Q. Zamboni, *Iteration of maps by primitive substitutive sequences*. (1998), to appear in Discrete Math.
- [Le] S. Lehr, *Sums and rational multiples of  $q$ -automatic sequences are  $q$ -automatic*. Theoret. Comp. Sys. **108** (1993), 385–391.
- [LoPo] J. H. Loxton, A. van der Poorten, *Arithmetic properties of automata: regular sequences*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **392** (1988), 57–69.
- [Qu] M. Queffélec, *Substitution Dynamical Systems-Spectral Analysis*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1294, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1987.
- [RiZa] R. Risley, L.Q. Zamboni, *A generalization of Sturmian flows; combinatorial structure and transcendence*. preprint 1998.

Pallavi KETKAR  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of North Texas  
 Denton, TX 76203-5116  
*E-mail* : [pketkar@jove.acs.unt.edu](mailto:pketkar@jove.acs.unt.edu)

Luca Q. ZAMBONI  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of North Texas  
 Denton, TX 76203-5116  
*E-mail* : [luca@unt.edu](mailto:luca@unt.edu)