

JÜRGEN AVENHAUS

RONALD V. BOOK

CRAIG C. SQUIER

On expressing commutativity by finite Church-Rosser presentations : a note on commutative monoids

RAIRO. Informatique théorique, tome 18, n° 1 (1984), p. 47-52

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ITA_1984__18_1_47_0

© AFCET, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « RAIRO. Informatique théorique » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

**ON EXPRESSING COMMUTATIVITY
BY FINITE CHURCH-ROSSER PRESENTATIONS:
A NOTE ON COMMUTATIVE MONOIDS (*)**

by Jürgen AVENHAUS ⁽¹⁾, Ronald V. BOOK ⁽²⁾, and Craig C. SQUIER ⁽²⁾

Communicated by J. E. PIN

Abstract. — *Let M be an infinite commutative monoid. Suppose that M has a Church-Rosser presentation. If M is cancellative or if the presentation is special, then M is either the free cyclic group or the free cyclic monoid.*

Résumé. — *Soit M un monoïde commutatif infini. Supposons que M possède une présentation finie ayant la propriété de « Church-Rosser ». Si M est simplifiable ou si la présentation est spéciale, alors M est soit le groupe cyclique libre soit le monoïde cyclique libre.*

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that it is undecidable whether the monoid presented by a Thue system is a group. However, if the Thue system is Church-Rosser and special, then this question is decidable. Cochet [3] has shown that if a group has a finite Church-Rosser special presentation, then the group is isomorphic with the free product of finitely many cyclic groups. Of course every countable monoid has a Church-Rosser presentation with infinitely many generators and infinitely many relators. It is challenging to ask which monoids admit a finite Church-Rosser presentation.

We regard a monoid as a quotient of a free monoid and ask for the possibility of expressing commutativity by the presentation. We prove that this is impossible in many cases. Let M be an infinite commutative monoid with a finite Church-Rosser presentation. If M is cancellative or the presentation is special, then M is either the free monoid on one generator or

(*) Received in October 1982, revised in April 1983.

This research was supported in part by the Fritz Thyssen Stiftung, West Germany, and by the National Science Foundation under Grants MCS80-11979 and MCS81-16327.

⁽¹⁾ Fachbereich Informatik, Universität Kaiserslautern, Postfach 3049, 6750 Kaiserslautern, West Germany.

⁽²⁾ Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, U.S.A.

the free group on one generator. Thus, any commutative group with a finite Church-Rosser presentation is either finite or free cyclic.

SECTION 1

Thue systems

If Σ is a set of symbols (i. e., an alphabet), then Σ^* is the free monoid with identity 1 generated by Σ . If w is a string, then the length of w is denoted by $|w|$:

$$|1| = 0, \quad |a| = 1 \quad \text{for } a \in \Sigma,$$

and:

$$|wa| = |w| + 1 \quad \text{for } w \in \Sigma^*, \quad a \in \Sigma.$$

A *Thue system* T on an alphabet Σ is a subset of $\Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$; each pair in T is a *rule*. The *Thue congruence generated by T* is the reflexive transitive closure $\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}$ of the relation \leftrightarrow defined as follows: for any u, v such that $(u, v) \in T$ or $(v, u) \in T$ and any $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, $xuy \leftrightarrow xvy$. Two strings w, z are *congruent (mod T)* if $w \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} z$ and the *congruence class of z (mod T)* is $[z] = \{w \mid w \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} z\}$.

If T is a Thue system on alphabet Σ , then the congruence classes of T form a monoid M under the multiplication $[x] \circ [y] = [xy]$ and with identity $[1]$. This is the *monoid presented by T* .

If T is a Thue system, write $x \leftrightarrow y$ provided $x \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} y$ and $|x| > |y|$, and write $\overset{*}{\rightarrow}$ for the reflexive transitive closure of the relation \rightarrow .

Without loss of generality, assume that for any Thue system T , $(u, v) \in T$ implies $|u| \geq |v|$.

A Thue system T is *special* if $(u, v) \in T$ implies $|v| = 0$.

A Thue system T is Church-Rosser if for all x, y , $x \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} y$ implies that for some z , $x \overset{*}{\rightarrow} z$ and $y \overset{*}{\rightarrow} z$.

A string w is *irreducible (mod T)* if there is no z such that $w \rightarrow z$ in T .

It is useful to note that a Thue system is Church-Rosser if and only if each congruence class has a unique irreducible string [4, 6].

The definition of the Church-Rosser property by means of the reduction $\overset{*}{\rightarrow}$ which is defined in terms of length is a very strong restriction. However, the property provides a great deal of power in terms of deciding properties of the monoid so presented. For additional properties of such systems, see [2-4, 7].

SECTION 2

The result

In order to establish our results we study the structure of Thue systems that are Church-Rosser. The first two lemmas have elementary proofs that are left as exercises.

LEMMA 1: Let T_1 be a Thue system on that alphabet Σ and let M be the monoid presented by T_1 . Suppose that T_1 is Church-Rosser. Then there exists a Thue system T_2 on the alphabet Σ such that T_2 presents M , T_2 is Church-Rosser, and if $(u, v) \in T_2$, then $|u| > |v|$.

LEMMA 2: Let T_1 be a Thue system on the alphabet Σ and let M be the monoid presented by T_1 . Suppose that T_1 is Church-Rosser. Then there exists a Thue system T_2 on an alphabet $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$ such that:

- (i) T_2 has no rules of the form $(a, 1)$ with $a \in \Delta$;
- (ii) T_2 is Church-Rosser;
- (iii) T_2 presents M .

Henceforth we assume that T is a finite Thue system over the alphabet Σ , that T is Church-Rosser, that for every $a \in \Sigma$, $(a, 1) \notin T$, and that $(u, v) \in T$ implies $|u| > |v|$. Let M be the monoid presented by T .

LEMMA 3: For any $a, b \in \Sigma$ with $a \neq b$, if $ab \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} ba$, then either:

- (i) there is an $i > 0$ such that $a^i b \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} 1$;

or:

- (ii) for some i, j with $0 \leq i < j$, $a^i b \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} a^j b$.

Proof: We claim that there is a sequence $c_1, c_2, \dots \in \Sigma \cup \{1\}$ such that $a^i b \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} c_i$ for every i . For $i=1$, this follows from the fact that T is Church-Rosser and the hypothesis that $ab \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} ba$. If $a^i b \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} c_i$ for some i , then:

$$c_i a \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} a^i b a \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} a^i a b \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} a c_i$$

so that T Church-Rosser implies that for some

$$c_{i+1} \in \Sigma \cup \{1\}, \quad c_i a \overset{*}{\rightarrow} c_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad a c_i \overset{*}{\rightarrow} c_{i+1}$$

so.

$$a^{i+1} b \overset{*}{\rightarrow} a c_i \overset{*}{\rightarrow} c_{i+1}.$$

The alphabet Σ is finite so $\{c_i \mid i > 0\} \subseteq \Sigma \cup \{1\}$ implies that either $c_i = 1$ for some i so (i) holds, or there exist i and j with $0 < i < j$ and $c_i = c_j$ so that (ii) holds. \square

LEMMA 4: *Let M be cancellative. For any $a \in \Sigma$, if a^2 is reducible then a has finite order.*

Proof: If a^2 is reducible, then $a^2 \rightarrow 1$ or $a^2 \rightarrow b$ for some $b \in \Sigma$. If $a^2 \rightarrow 1$, then a has finite order. If $a^2 \rightarrow b$, then $b \neq a$ since M is cancellative and $a \neq 1$. Now $a^2 \rightarrow b$ implies $ab \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} aa^2 \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} ba$. By Lemma 3, either there is an i such that $a^{i+2} \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} a^i b \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} 1$ or for some

$$i, j \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < i < j, \quad a^i b \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} a^j b. \quad \text{so} \quad a^{j-i} \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} 1$$

since M is cancellative. In either case, a has finite order. \square

Now we have our result.

THEOREM: *Suppose that M is commutative and infinite. If M is cancellative or T is special, then M is either the free cyclic group or the free cyclic monoid.*

Proof: Since M is commutative and T is Church-Rosser, any irreducible word has the form a^i where $a \in \Sigma$ and $i \geq 0$. If the cardinality of Σ is one, then M is the free cyclic monoid. Assume the cardinality of Σ is greater than one. We will show that Σ has exactly two elements. Since M is commutative and infinite, there is an element of Σ of infinite order, say a . Let b be any element in $\Sigma - \{a\}$.

Suppose that M is cancellative. We claim that $ab \rightarrow c$ with $c \in \Sigma$ is impossible. First note that $c \neq a$ and $c \neq b$ for otherwise $b \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} 1$ or $a \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} 1$ by cancellation, contradicting our assumptions on T . Now if:

$$ab \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad ac \rightarrow d, \quad d \in \Sigma \cup \{1\},$$

then

$$ba \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad ca \rightarrow d$$

since M is commutative. Thus:

$$c^2 \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} abc \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} bac \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} bd \quad \text{and so} \quad c^2$$

is reducible. By Lemma 4 this means c has finite order, say $c^k \overset{*}{\rightarrow} 1$. Since a has infinite order and M is cancellative, it is not the case that:

$$a^i c \overset{*}{\leftrightarrow} a^j c \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < i < j,$$

so by Lemma 3 there is an i such that $a^i c \xrightarrow{*} 1$. Thus:

$$a^{ki} \xleftrightarrow{*} a^{ki} c^k \xleftrightarrow{*} (a^i c)^k \xleftrightarrow{*} 1$$

contradicting the fact that a has infinite order. Hence, for all

$$b \in \Sigma - \{a\}, \quad ab \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad ba \rightarrow 1.$$

This means that every element of Σ has infinite order since a has infinite order and if

$$b^j \xrightarrow{*} 1 \quad \text{for } b \in \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad j > 0,$$

then

$$a^j \xleftrightarrow{*} a^j b^j \xleftrightarrow{*} (ab)^j \xleftrightarrow{*} 1,$$

since $ab \rightarrow 1 \in T$. Now

$$\Sigma = \{a, b\}, \quad ab \rightarrow 1 \in T, \quad ba \rightarrow 1 \in T,$$

and every element of Σ having infinite order implies M is the free cyclic group. If

$$c \in \Sigma - \{a, b\}, \quad \text{then } ac \xrightarrow{*} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad ab \xrightarrow{*} 1 \quad \text{so } b \xleftrightarrow{*} c$$

by cancellation; but $b \neq c$ so this is a contradiction of T being Church-Rosser.

Suppose that T is special. Then for every $b \in \Sigma$ with $b \neq a$, $ab \rightarrow 1 \in T$. Thus, as above, every element of Σ has infinite order, and if $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, $b \neq a$, then M is the free cyclic group. If

$$c \in \Sigma - \{a, b\}, \quad \text{then } ab \xleftrightarrow{*} ba, \quad ac \xleftrightarrow{*} ca, \quad \text{and} \quad bc \xleftrightarrow{*} cb,$$

so T being Church-Rosser and special implies

$$\{(ab, 1), (ba, 1), (ac, 1), (ca, 1), (bc, 1), (cb, 1)\} \subseteq T.$$

Hence:

$$a \xleftrightarrow{*} abc \xleftrightarrow{*} c \quad \text{so } a \xleftrightarrow{*} c;$$

but $a \neq c$ so this is a contradiction of T being Church-Rosser. \square

If the requirement that M be cancellative or T be special is omitted, then the result no longer holds. For example, let:

$$\Sigma = \{a, b\} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \{(ab, b), (ba, b), (bb, b)\};$$

the monoid M presented by T is commutative (since $ab \leftrightarrow b \leftrightarrow ba$) and infinite (since for all n , $[a^n] \neq [a^{n+1}]$) but not free (since for all n , $[a^n] [b] = [b]$).

SECTION 3

Remarks

As the referee has pointed out, in the literature on commutative monoid the monoid is often regarded as a quotient of a free commutative monoid [5, 8, 9]. In this case the commutativity must not be expressed by the presentation. Hence, our results do not hold in such a setting as seen by the following example. Let $M = (\Sigma, T)$ be the commutative monoid with:

$$\Sigma = \{a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}\} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \{(aa, 1), (bb, 1)\}.$$

Then T is Church-Rosser and special but M is the free abelian group on two generators.

Even in this case there are commutative monoid with no finite Church-Rosser presentations, e. g., $M = (\{a, b\}; a^2 = b^2)$. Ballantyne and Lankford [1] use another notion of Church-Rosser presentation where reduction is not based on the length of strings and show that any commutative monoid with a finite presentation admits a finite presentation which is Church-Rosser in their sense. This gives a uniform method for solving the word problem in finitely presented commutative monoids.

REFERENCES

1. A. M. BALLANTYNE and D. S. LANKFORD, *New Decision Algorithms for Finitely Presented Commutative Semigroups*, Computation and Mathematics with Applications, Vol. 7, 1981, pp. 159-165.
2. R. BOOK, *Decidable Sentences of Church-Rosser Congruences*, Theoret. Comput. Sc., Vol. 24, 1983, pp. 301-312.
3. Y. COCHET, *Church-Rosser Congruences on Free Semigroups*, Colloquia Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, Vol. 20, 1976, pp. 51-60.
4. Y. COCHET and M. NIVAT, *Une generalisation des ensembles de Dyck*, Israel J. Math., Vol. 9, 1971, pp. 389-395.
5. S. EILENBERG and M. P. SCHUTZENBERGER, *Rational Sets in Commutative Monoids*, J. Algebra, Vol. 13, 1969, pp. 173-191.
6. G. HUET, *Confluent Reductions: Abstract Properties and Applications to Term Rewriting Systems*, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., Vol. 27, 1980, pp. 797-821.
7. C. Ó'DÚNLAIN, *Finite and Infinite Regular Thue Systems*, Ph. D. dissertation, University of California at Santa Barbara, 1981.
8. L. REDEI, *The Theory of Finitely Generated Commutative Semigroups*, Pergamon Press, 1965.
9. J. SAKAROVITCH, *Sur les monoides commutatifs*, Seminaire d'Informatique Theorique, Institut de Programmation, n° 1, 1978, pp. 78-01.