

L. BOASSON

S. HORVÁTH

On languages satisfying Ogden's lemma

RAIRO. Informatique théorique, tome 12, n° 3 (1978), p. 201-202

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ITA_1978__12_3_201_0

© AFCET, 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « RAIRO. Informatique théorique » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON LANGUAGES SATISFYING OGDEN'S LEMMA (*)

by L. BOASSON ⁽¹⁾ and S. HORVÁTH ⁽²⁾

Communicated by M. NIVAT

Abstract. — *We show that various types of non-context-free languages satisfying Ogden's lemma can very easily be constructed. A simple answer is then given to a question of [6] and [7].*

Define an "Ogden-like" language as a language L satisfying Ogden's lemma [3, 4]:

DEFINITION: A language L is *Ogden-like* if there exists an integer k_0 such that if in any word f of L any k_0 or more positions (= occurrences of letters) are marked, f has a factorization $f = aubvc$ satisfying:

- (1) $au^nbv^nc \in L, \forall n \geq 0$;
- (2) either each of a, u and b , or each of b, v and c contains a marked position;
- (3) ubv contains at most k_0 such positions.

Ogden's lemma, which is stronger than the classical Bar-Hillel's lemma [2, 4, 5], ensures that any context-free language is Ogden-like. The aim of this short note is to prove, as an answer to the third question of [6], the following:

PROPOSITION: *There exists properly context-sensitive, properly recursive, properly recursively enumerable and non-recursively enumerable languages which are Ogden-like.*

By properly context-sensitive, we mean non context-free context sensitive, and analogously for properly recursive and properly recursively enumerable.

Proof: The proof is very simple. Consider first a subset P of \mathbb{N} , and define:

$$A_P = \{ (ab)^n \mid n \in P \},$$
$$B_P = A_P \cup X^* \{ aa, bb \} X^*,$$

(*) Received March 1978.

(¹) Université de Picardie et L. A. « Informatique théorique et Programmation ».

(²) Eötvös Loránd University, Dpt. of Computer Mathematics, Budapest, Hongrie.

both being languages over $X = \{a, b\}$.

Obviously, B_p is context-free if A_p is. Moreover, B_p is properly context-sensitive (resp. recursive, recursively enumerable) if A_p is and B_p is not recursively enumerable if A_p is not.

It is obvious too that B_p is Ogden-like with $k_0 = 4$.

The proposition is then proved by choosing A_p properly context-sensitive (resp. recursive, resp. recursively enumerable) or not recursively enumerable. (It is well known that such languages A_p do exist, see [4] or [5] for instance.) \equiv

REMARK 1: The family of Ogden-like languages is closed under union, product, star and homomorphism. It is not closed under inverse homomorphism, and under intersection with regular sets.

REMARK 2: The family of Ogden-like languages (belonging as usual to some countably infinite alphabet) has cardinality C (=continuum). The proof is exactly the same as the proof of the corollary of theorem 3 in [6].

REMARK 3: The languages B_p are built exactly on the same principle as the non-regular language of [1] satisfying the pumping lemma of regular sets. Moreover, B_p does satisfy this lemma. It can even be observed that B_p is never properly context-free. However, it is easily shown that the proof of the proposition could be done with

$$A'_p = \{a^n b^n \mid n \in P\},$$

$$B'_p = \{a^n b^m \mid n \neq m\} \cup A'_p,$$

which gives other examples of Ogden-like languages in the various classical families.

REFERENCES

1. J. M. AUTEBERT, L. BOASSON and G. COUSINEAU, *A Note on 1-Locally Linear Languages*, Information and Control, Vol. 37, No. 1, 1978, p. 1-4.
2. Y. BAR-HILLEL, M. PERLES and E. SHAMIR, *On Formal Properties of Simple Phrase Structure Grammars*, Zeitschr. Phonetik., Sprachwiss., Vol. 14, 1961, p. 143-172.
3. W. OGDEN, *A Helpful Result for Proving Inherent Ambiguity*, Math. System Theory, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1968, p. 191-194.
4. A. V. AHO and J. D. ULLMAN, *The Theory of Parsing, Translation and Compiling*, Vol. 1, Parsing, Prentice-Hall, 1971.
5. A. SALOMAA, *Formal Languages*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1973.
6. S. HORVÁTH, *The Family of Languages Satisfying Bar-Hillel's Lemma*, this issue of the R.A.I.R.O., Informatique théorique.
7. D. WISE, *A Strong Pumping Lemma for Context-Free Languages*, Theoretical Computer Science, Vol. 3, 1976, p. 359-369.