

CAHIERS DE TOPOLOGIE ET GÉOMÉTRIE DIFFÉRENTIELLE CATÉGORIQUES

ALBERTO CAVICCHIOLI

MAURO MESCHIARI

On classification of 4-manifolds according to genus

Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, tome 34, n° 1 (1993), p. 37-56

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC_1993__34_1_37_0

© Andrée C. Ehresmann et les auteurs, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON CLASSIFICATION OF 4-MANIFOLDS ACCORDING TO GENUS

by Alberto CAVICCHIOLI and Mauro MESCHIARI

Résumé. Nous étudions la structure topologique des 4-variétés fermées (compactes et sans bord) par rapport au genre. En particulier, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}P^4$) est démontré être l'unique 4-variété fermée, orientable (resp. non-orientable) et indivisible (respectant la somme connexe) de genre 4 (resp. 6).

1. Introduction

It is known that a closed connected smooth (or PL) n -manifold M can be represented by suitable edge-coloured graphs (for details see [1], [7], [18]). This allows to define new topological invariants for M as for example its genus. We briefly recall the definition. An n -dimensional pseudocomplex (see [10], p. 49) K is said to be a contracted *triangulation* of M if it has exactly $n + 1$ vertices, $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n$ say. This notion is strictly related to a graph theoretic one as follows. An $(n + 1)$ -coloured graph (G, c) is a multigraph $G = (\mathbf{V}(G), \mathbf{E}(G))$, regular of degree $n + 1$, together with an edge-colouring $c: \mathbf{E}(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that incident edges have different colours.

A *crystallization* of M is the $(n + 1)$ -coloured graph obtained by taking the 1-skeleton of the dual complex of K and by labelling the dual of each $(n - 1)$ -simplex by the colour i if it does not contain the vertex v_i . The *genus* $g(M)$ of M is the minimum genus of a closed connected surface into which an arbitrary crystallization of M regularly imbeds (also compare [19]). Clearly this genus is just the classical one in dimension two. Further, it is not difficult to show that the genus of a 3-manifold equals (resp. twice) its

*Work performed under the auspices of the G.N.S.A.G.A. of C.N.R. and financially supported by the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica of Italy within the project "Geometria Reale e Complessa"

Heegaard genus in the orientable (resp. non-orientable) case and that the genus is even for any non-orientable n -manifold.

For the classification of all orientable (non-orientable) closed 4-manifolds of genus ≤ 2 (≤ 4) we refer to [2], [3]. Here we go on with the classification.

Besides general results, we characterize the topological product $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and the real projective 4-space $\mathbb{RP}^4$ among closed 4-manifolds. Indeed, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ (resp. $\mathbb{RP}^4$) is proved to be the unique prime closed connected orientable (resp. non-orientable) 4-manifold of genus 4 (resp. 6), up to (TOP) homeomorphism.

2. Main results

In order to state our results we need some preliminaries and formulae first proved in [2] and [3]. From now on, let us denote by

- (1) M^4 a smooth (or PL) closed connected orientable (resp. non-orientable) 4-manifold of genus g (resp. h).
- (2) (G, c) a crystallization of M .
- (3) $K = K(G)$ the contracted triangulation represented by (G, c) .
- (4) $\mathcal{C}_G = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the colour-set of (G, c) , $\{v_i : i \in \mathcal{C}_G\}$ the vertex-set of K and (i, j, r, s, t) an arbitrary permutation of $\mathcal{C}_G$.

We may always suppose that (G, c) regularly imbeds into the closed connected orientable (resp. non-orientable) surface of genus g (resp. h) and that v_i corresponds to the subgraph G_i ($i \in \mathcal{C}_G$) obtained by deleting all i -coloured edges from G (for details see [2] and [3]).

Let $K(i, j)$ (resp. $K(r, s, t)$) be the one-dimensional (resp. two-dimensional) subcomplex of K generated by the vertices v_i and v_j (resp. v_r, v_s and v_t). Let γ_{ij} (resp. γ_{rst}) denote the number of edges (resp. triangles) of $K(i, j)$ (resp. $K(r, s, t)$).

If $N = N(i, j)$ and $N' = N(r, s, t)$ are regular neighborhoods of $K(i, j)$ and $K(r, s, t)$ respectively, then N and N' are complementary bordered 4-manifolds, i.e. $M = N \cup N'$ and $N \cap N' = \partial N = \partial N'$.

Now the Mayer–Vietoris sequence of the triple (M, N, N') gives

$$0 \longrightarrow H_4(M) \longrightarrow H_3(\partial N) \longrightarrow 0,$$

hence M is orientable (resp. non-orientable) if and only if ∂N is.

Setting $\epsilon = g$ (resp. $\epsilon = h/2$) for the orientable (resp. non-orientable) case, we have the following relations (see [2] and [3]);

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \qquad \gamma_{ij} = 1 + \epsilon - g_i - g_j$$

$$(i \in \mathcal{C}_G, j \equiv i + 2 \pmod{5})$$

$$(2) \quad \gamma_{rst} = \gamma_{rs} + \epsilon - g_i$$

$$(r \in \mathcal{C}_G, s \equiv r + 1 \pmod{5}, t \equiv r + 3 \pmod{5})$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi(M) &= 2 - 2\epsilon + \Delta, \\ \Delta &= \sum_{i=0}^4 g_i \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \gamma_{24} + \gamma_{14} + \gamma_{13} + \gamma_{03} + \gamma_{02} = 5 + 5\epsilon - 2\Delta$$

where g_i is the genus of an orientable closed connected surface into which G_i regularly imbeds and $\chi(M)$ is the Euler–Poincaré characteristic of M .

Relations (2) and (4) directly imply that

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq g_i \leq \epsilon \quad i \in \mathcal{C}_G \\ 0 &\leq \Delta \leq \left[\frac{5}{2}\epsilon \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of the real non-negative number x .

By (3) it follows that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_2 &= 2\beta_1 - 2g + \Delta && (\text{orientable case}) \\ \beta_2^{(2)} &= 2\beta_1^{(2)} - h + \Delta && (\text{non-orientable case}), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_1 &\geq g - \frac{\Delta}{2} \\ \beta_1^{(2)} &\geq \frac{h}{2} - \frac{\Delta}{2} \end{aligned}$$

where β_k (resp. $\beta_k^{(2)}$) is the k -th integral (resp. mod 2) Betti number of M .

Finally relation (1) and the inequalities (compare also [1] and [7])

$$(8) \quad \max\{\beta_1, \beta_1^{(2)}\} \leq \text{rk } H_1(M) \leq \text{rk } \pi_1(M) \leq \gamma_{ij} - 1$$

imply that

$$(9) \quad \max\{\beta_1, \beta_1^{(2)}\} \leq \epsilon - g_i - \widehat{g_{i+2}} \leq \epsilon$$

($i \in \mathcal{C}_G$, indices $\pmod{5}$).

In sect. 3 and 4 we will study the possible values that the sum Δ may assume and classify the corresponding 4-manifolds.

Now we state the main results of the paper. Here $\mathbb{S}^n$ and $\mathbb{RP}^n$ (resp. $\mathbb{CP}^n$) denote the n -sphere and the real (resp. complex) projective n -space; $\mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^n$ represents either the topological product $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^n$ or $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \widetilde{\mathbb{S}^n}$ the twisted $\mathbb{S}^n$ -bundle over $\mathbb{S}^1$. Further let us define $\#_p \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^n$ as the connected sum of p copies of $\mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^n$ if $p > 0$ and as $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ if $p = 0$.

For the orientable case we have:

Theorem 1. *Let M^4 be a smooth (or PL) closed orientable connected 4-manifold of genus g . If $\Delta = 0$, then M is (PL) homeomorphic to the connected sum $\#_g \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. If $\Delta = 5$, then M is (PL) homeomorphic to the connected sum $(\#_{g-2} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3) \# \mathbb{CP}^2$.*

Then we prove that there are no 4-manifolds of genus g for which the sum Δ satisfies $1 \leq \Delta \leq 9$, $\Delta \neq 5$. Therefore we classify all closed orientable 4-manifolds of genus $g \leq 4$ (for $g \leq 2$ see [2] and [3]).

Theorem 2. *Let M^4 be a smooth (or PL) closed orientable connected 4-manifold of genus g . If $g = 3$, then M is (PL) homeomorphic to either $\#_3 \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ or $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. If $g = 4$ and $\Delta \leq 9$, then M is (PL) homeomorphic to either $\#_4 \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ or $(\#_2 \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3) \# \mathbb{CP}^2$. If $g = 4$ and $\Delta = 10$, then M is (TOP) homeomorphic to one of the following manifolds: $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \widetilde{\mathbb{S}^2}$ (the twisted $\mathbb{S}^2$ -bundle over $\mathbb{S}^2$) and $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.*

This characterizes $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ among closed orientable 4-manifolds, i.e. $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ is the unique prime smooth (or PL) closed orientable 4-manifold of genus four, up to (TOP) homeomorphism.

The above results and [16] also imply that $g(\mathbb{RP}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = 6$. We conjecture that this manifold is the unique prime closed connected orientable 4-manifold of genus six.

For the non-orientable case, we have

Theorem 3. *Let M^4 be a smooth (or PL) closed non-orientable connected 4-manifold of genus h . If $\Delta = 0$, then M is (PL) homeomorphic to the connected sum $\#_{h/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3$.*

If $\Delta = 5$ and $H_2(M)$ has no 2-torsion, then M is homeomorphic to either $\#_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$ or $\#_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{R}P^4$.

If $\Delta = 5$ and $H_2(M)$ has 2-torsion, then the homology groups of M are:

$$H_1(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

$$H_3(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-8)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$

(hence $h \geq 8$) and

$$H_q(M) \simeq 0 \quad (q \geq 4).$$

Then we prove that there are no 4-manifolds of genus h for which the sum Δ satisfies $1 \leq \Delta \leq 4$. Therefore we classify all closed non-orientable 4-manifolds of genus $h \leq 6$ (for $h \leq 4$ see [3]).

Theorem 4. *Let M^4 be a smooth (or PL) closed non-orientable connected 4-manifold of genus h . If $h = 6$ and $\Delta \neq 5$, then M is (PL) homeomorphic to $\#_3 \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3$. If $h = 6$ and $\Delta = 5$, then M is (TOP) homeomorphic to either $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$ or $\mathbb{R}P^4$.*

This characterizes $\mathbb{R}P^4$ among closed non-orientable 4-manifolds as the unique prime smooth (or PL) closed non-orientable 4-manifold of genus six, up to (TOP) homeomorphism.

Finally we summarize our knowledge about the classification, in table I for orientable 4-manifolds and in table II for non-orientable 4-manifolds.

Open problem.

Fill in some of the places of the tables marked with a question mark. We conjecture that if g is odd, then M is (PL) homeomorphic to the connected sum $\tilde{M} \# \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\tilde{M}$ being a closed connected orientable 4-manifold of genus $g - 1$.

We also observe that cases $\Delta \geq 10$ and $g \geq 5$ can not be treated as the previous ones since it may not be possible to apply the Gordon–Luecke results (see [9]).

Finally we note that it might exist a closed prime non-orientable 4-manifold M such that $g(M) = 8$, $\chi(M) = -1$ (i.e. $\Delta = 5$) and its homology groups are: $H_1(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, $H_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ ($n \geq 1$), $H_3(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H_q(M) \simeq 0$ ($q \geq 4$).

TABLE I. Orientable 4-manifolds

	$g = 0$	$g = 1$	$g = 2$	$g = 3$	$g = 4$	$g = 5$
$\Delta = 0$	$\mathbb{S}^4$	$\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$	$\#_2 \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$	$\#_3 \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$	$\#_4 \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$	$\#_5 \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$
$\Delta = 1$		empty	empty	empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 2$		empty	empty	empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 3$			empty	empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 4$			empty	empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 5$			$\mathbb{CP}^2$	$\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$	$\#_2 \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$	$\#_3 \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$
$\Delta = 6$				empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 7$				empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 8$					empty	empty
$\Delta = 9$					empty	empty
$\Delta = 10$					$\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ $\sim$ $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$	?

3. Proofs: the orientable case

$\Delta = 0$.

If $\Delta = 0$ (recall that $\epsilon = g$), then relations (7) and (9) imply that $\beta_1 = g$, hence $\beta_2 = 0$ by (6). Thus we have $FH_2(M) \simeq 0$ and

$$H_3(M) \simeq H^1(M) \simeq FH_1(M) \simeq \bigoplus_g \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we consider the complementary bordered 4-manifolds $N = N(2, 4)$ and $N' = N(0, 1, 3)$. Because $\gamma_{24} = 1 + g$ (use (1)), the pseudocomplex $K(2, 4)$ consists of exactly $1 + g$ edges, hence N is (PL) homeomorphic to the boundary connected sum $\#_g \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{B}^3$, $\mathbb{B}^3$ being a closed 3-ball. Further $K(0, 3)$ and $K(1, 3)$ are also formed by $1 + g$ edges eachone as $\gamma_{03} = \gamma_{13} = 1 + g$ by formula (1). Because $\gamma_{013} = \gamma_{01} + g$ (use (2)), the complex $K(0, 1, 3)$ has

TABLE II. Non-orientable 4-manifolds

	$h = 2$	$h = 4$	$h = 6$	$h = 8$
$\Delta = 0$	$\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ $\sim$	$\#_2 \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3$	$\#_3 \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3$	$\#_4 \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3$
$\Delta = 1$	empty	empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 2$	empty	empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 3$		empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 4$		empty	empty	empty
$\Delta = 5$		empty	$\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ $\sim$ $\mathbb{RP}^4$	?
$\Delta = 6$			empty	empty
$\Delta = 7$			empty	empty

many triangles but g as there are edges in $K(0, 1)$. We observe that $H_2(N')$ is free since $N' = N(0, 1, 3)$ collapses to the 2-dimensional pseudocomplex $K(0, 1, 3)$. Thus the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the triple (M, N, N') gives

$$0 \longrightarrow H_3(M) \simeq \bigoplus_g \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow H_2(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_g \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow H_2(N') \longrightarrow 0,$$

hence $H_2(N') \simeq 0$. Therefore it does not exist two triangles in $K(0, 1, 3)$ with common boundary (notice that any r -ball of a pseudocomplex is abstractly isomorphic to the standard r -simplex). Thus any triangle of $K(0, 1, 3)$ can be retracted, by deformation, on a one-dimensional subcomplex. This implies that the regular neighbourhood N' of $K(0, 1, 3)$ is (PL) homeomorphic to a boundary connected sum $\#_h \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{B}^3$. Since $\partial N' \simeq \partial N \simeq \#_g \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, it follows that $h = g$. Therefore the manifold M must be $\#_g \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ by theorem 2 of [15]. Now the result follows as the genus of $\#_g \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is really g by corollary 2 of [3].

$\Delta = 1$.

If $\Delta = 1$, then at least one of the g_i 's in the sum Δ equals 1, hence relation (9) implies that $\beta_1 \leq g - 1$. On the other hand, we have $\beta_1 \geq g$ by (7), i.e. a contradiction.

$\Delta = 2$.

If $\Delta = 2$, then the addendum g_i of Δ may assume (up to circular permutations) the values listed in the following table:

case	g_0	g_1	g_2	g_3	g_4
2.1	1	1	0	0	0
2.2	1	0	1	0	0
2.3	2	0	0	0	0

Indeed, doing the above-mentioned change of names in the colour-set $\mathcal{C}_G$ the permutation of $\mathcal{C}_G$ giving the regular imbedding of G is the same.

By (7) we have $\beta_1 \geq g - 1$. Thus cases 2.2 and 2.3 give a contradiction since $\beta_1 \leq g - 2$ by (9).

For case 2.1, it follows that $\beta_1 = g - 1$ (use (9)), hence $\beta_2 = 0$ by (6). Now relations (1) and (2) give $\gamma_{02} = \gamma_{13} = \gamma_{14} = g$ and $\gamma_{134} = \gamma_{34} + g - 1$. Then we can repeat the same arguments of the case $\Delta = 0$ by replacing g and $(K(2, 4), K(0, 1, 3))$ with $g - 1$ and $(K(0, 2), K(1, 3, 4))$ respectively. It follows that M is (PL) homeomorphic to $\#_{g-1} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, which is a contradiction because this manifold has genus $g - 1$ by corollary 2 of [3].

$\Delta = 3$.

By (7) we have $\beta_1 \geq g - 3/2$, hence relation (8) gives $\gamma_{ij} \geq g - 1/2$, i.e. $\gamma_{ij} \geq g$. Thus (4) implies the inequality $5 + 5g - 2\Delta \geq 5g$, which is a contradiction.

$\Delta = 4$.

Relation (7) becomes $\beta_1 \geq g - 2$ so (9) implies that $g_i + g_{i+2} \leq 2$ for each colour $i \in \mathcal{C}_G$. Thus the addendum of Δ may assume (up to circular permutations) the following values:

case	g_0	g_1	g_2	g_3	g_4
4.1	2	2	0	0	0
4.2	0	1	1	1	1
4.3	1	0	0	1	2

In any case, relations (7) and (9) give $\beta_1 = g - 2$ so $\beta_2 = 0$ by (6), i.e. $H_3(M) \simeq \oplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z}$ and $FH_2(M) \simeq 0$.

(case 4.1). Since $\gamma_{02} = \gamma_{03} = \gamma_{14} = g - 1$ and $\gamma_{023} = \gamma_{23} + g - 2$ (use (1) and (2)), we can repeat the same arguments of case $\Delta = 0$ by replacing g and $(K(2, 4), K(0, 1, 3))$ with $g - 2$ and $(K(1, 4), K(0, 2, 3))$ respectively. Then M is (PL) homeomorphic to $\#_{g-2} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, which is a contradiction as usual.

(case 4.2). Relations (1) and (2) imply that $\gamma_{13} = \gamma_{24} = g-1$, $\gamma_{03} = g$ and $\gamma_{013} = \gamma_{01} + g-1$. Then $N = N(2, 4)$ is (PL) homeomorphic to $\#_{g-2} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{B}^3$.

Since $H_3(M) \simeq \oplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z}$, $FH_2(M) \simeq 0$ and $H_2(N) \simeq 0$, the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the triple (M, N, N') , $N' = N(0, 1, 3)$, implies that $H_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_2(N') \simeq 0$ (compare also $\Delta = 0$). Thus we obtain the contradiction of the previous case too.

(case 4.3). Since $\gamma_{03} = \gamma_{14} = \gamma_{24} = g-1$ and $\gamma_{124} = \gamma_{12} + g-2$ (use (1) and (2)), we can repeat the same arguments of case 4.1 by replacing the pair $(K(1, 4), K(0, 2, 3))$ with $(K(0, 3), K(1, 2, 4))$.

$\Delta = 5$.

If $\Delta = 5$, then (7) implies that $\beta_1 \geq g-2$. Since $\gamma_{ij} \geq \beta_1 + 1 \geq g-1$ by (8), relation (4) gives $\gamma_{24} = \gamma_{14} = \gamma_{13} = \gamma_{03} = \gamma_{02} = g-1$. Thus by (1) we obtain $g_i + g_{i+2} = 2$ (indices mod 5), and whence $g_i = 1$ for each $i \in C_G$. Now relations (6) and (9) imply that $\beta_1 = g-2$ and $\beta_2 = 1$, i.e. $H_3(M) \simeq FH_1(M) \simeq \oplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z}$ and $FH_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\gamma_{13} = g-1$, the complex $K(1, 3)$ is formed by two vertices joined by exactly $g-1$ edges, hence $N = N(1, 3)$ is (PL) homeomorphic to $\#_{g-2} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{B}^3$.

Further $K(0, 2)$ and $K(2, 4)$ consist of exactly $g-1$ edges eachone as $\gamma_{02} = \gamma_{24} = g-1$. Because $\gamma_{024} = \gamma_{04} + g-1$ (use (2)), the complex $K(0, 2, 4)$ has many triangles but $g-1$ as there are edges in $K(0, 4)$. Since $FH_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $H_2(N) \simeq 0$, $H_3(M) \simeq H_2(\partial N) \simeq \oplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_2(N')$ is free ($N' = N(0, 2, 4)$) the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the triple (M, N, N')

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H_3(M) &\simeq \bigoplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} H_2(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H_2(N') \longrightarrow H_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus TH_2(M) \longrightarrow H_1(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H_1(N) \oplus H_1(N') \simeq \bigoplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_1(N') \longrightarrow H_1(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus TH_1(M) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

implies that $TH_2(M) \simeq 0$, hence $H_1(M) \simeq H^3(M) \simeq FH_3(M) \oplus TH_2(M) \simeq \oplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z}$, $H_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_2(N') \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $K(0, 2, 4)$ collapses to a 2-dimensional subcomplex formed by a combinatorial 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ and by $g-2$ edges $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{g-2}$ such that $e_j \cap \mathbb{S}^2 = \partial e_j$. Further $\mathbb{S}^2$ consists of exactly two triangles $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in K(0, 2, 4)$ with common boundary as $\gamma_{02} = \gamma_{24} = g-1$, $\gamma_{024} = \gamma_{04} + g-1$ and $H_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{g-2} \mathbb{Z}$.

By isotopy we can always assume that σ_1 is the standard 2-simplex in M . Let $\hat{\sigma}_1$ be the barycenter of σ_1 and $\text{Sd}^2 K$ be the second barycentric

subdivision of $K = K(G)$. Then N' is the orientable bordered 4-manifold obtained by adding a 2-handle (a regular neighborhood of $\hat{\sigma}_1$ in $\text{Sd}^2 K$) to $\#_{g-2} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{B}^3 \# \mathbb{B}^4$ along a knot $L \subset \partial \mathbb{B}^4$, $\mathbb{B}^4$ being a small neighborhood of σ_2 in M .

Since the surgery is given by attaching 2-handles in dimension 4, the surgery coefficient associated to L must be an integer and by homological reasons equals to ± 1 (use $H_2(N') \simeq \mathbb{Z}$). Since $\partial N' \simeq \partial N \simeq \#_{g-2} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \# \mathbb{S}^3$, by theorem 2 of [9] (also compare [17]) L must be the trivial knot so the manifold N' is (PL) homeomorphic to $\#_{g-2} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{B}^3 \# (\pm \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \text{open 4-ball})$. Thus M is the connected sum $\#_{g-2} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ as requested. Now the result follows by the “subadditivity” of the genus as $g(\mathbb{CP}^2) = 2$ and $g(\#_{g-2} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3) = g - 2$ by [3].

Here we recall that $g(M_1 \# M_2) \leq g(M_1) + g(M_2)$ for any two orientable (resp. non-orientable) closed manifolds. On the contrary, if M_1 is orientable and M_2 is non-orientable, then $g(M_1 \# M_2) \leq 2g(M_1) + g(M_2)$.

$\Delta = 6$.

Since $\beta_1 \geq g - 3$ by (7), it follows that $\gamma_{ij} \geq \beta_1 + 1 \geq g - 2$ (see (8)). Thus it is easily seen that the γ_{ij} 's in (4) must assume (up to circular permutations) the values listed in the following table:

case	γ_{24}	γ_{14}	γ_{13}	γ_{03}	γ_{02}
6.1	$g + 1$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$
6.2	g	$g - 1$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$
6.3	g	$g - 2$	$g - 1$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$
6.4	$g - 1$	$g - 1$	$g - 1$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$
6.5	$g - 2$	$g - 1$	$g - 2$	$g - 1$	$g - 1$

Now by (1) we have:

$$(6.1) \quad g_0 = g_1 = 3 \quad g_2 = g_3 = g_4 = 0$$

$$(6.2) \quad g_0 = g_1 = 2 \quad g_2 = g_3 = 1 \quad g_4 = 0$$

$$(6.3) \quad g_0 = 3 \quad g_1 = 2 \quad g_2 = g_3 = 0 \quad g_4 = 1$$

$$(6.4) \quad g_0 = 2 \quad g_1 = g_2 = g_3 = g_4 = 1$$

$$(6.5) \quad g_0 = 0 \quad g_1 = g_4 = 1 \quad g_2 = g_3 = 2,$$

hence relations (6), (7) and (9) give $\beta_1 = g - 3$ and $\beta_2 = 0$ for any case. Therefore we obtain the contradiction

$$M \underset{\text{PL}}{\simeq} \#_{g-3} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3.$$

For conciseness we only sketch the proof in case 6.1. Here relations $\gamma_{14} = \gamma_{02} = \gamma_{03} = g - 2$ and $\gamma_{023} = \gamma_{23} + g - 3$ hold (use (1) and (2)). Then we can repeat the same arguments of case 4.1 by replacing $g - 2$ with $g - 3$.

$\Delta = 7$.

Since $\beta_1 \geq g - 3$ and $\gamma_{ij} \geq g - 2$ by (7) and (8), we must have (up to circular permutations) $\gamma_{24} = g - 1$ and $\gamma_{14} = \gamma_{13} = \gamma_{03} = \gamma_{02} = g - 2$ (see (4)). Then relation (1) implies that $g_0 = g_1 = 2$ and $g_2 = g_3 = g_4 = 1$, hence $\beta_1 = g - 3$ and $\beta_2 = 1$ by (6), (7) and (9). Since $\gamma_{14} = \gamma_{03} = \gamma_{02} = g - 2$ and $\gamma_{023} = \gamma_{23} + g - 2$ by (2), we can repeat the same arguments of case $\Delta = 5$ by replacing $g - 1$ and $(K(1, 3), K(0, 2, 4))$ with $g - 2$ and $(K(1, 4), K(0, 2, 3))$ respectively. Thus, we obtain the contradiction

$$M \underset{\text{PL}}{\simeq} \#_{g-3} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{CP}^2.$$

$\Delta = 8$.

Since $\beta_1 \geq g - 4$ by (7), it follows that $\gamma_{ij} \geq \beta_1 + 1 \geq g - 3$ (see (8)). Thus it is easily seen that the γ_{ij} 's in (4) may assume (up to circular permutations) the values listed in the following table:

case	γ_{24}	γ_{14}	γ_{13}	γ_{03}	γ_{02}
8.1	$g + 1$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$
8.2	g	$g - 2$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$
8.3	g	$g - 3$	$g - 2$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$
8.4	$g - 1$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$
8.5	$g - 1$	$g - 2$	$g - 3$	$g - 2$	$g - 3$
8.6	$g - 1$	$g - 3$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$	$g - 3$
8.7	$g - 1$	$g - 2$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$	$g - 2$
8.8	$g - 2$	$g - 3$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$	$g - 2$

Now by (1) we have:

$$(8.1) \quad g_0 = g_1 = 4 \quad g_2 = g_3 = g_4 = 0$$

$$(8.2) \quad g_0 = g_1 = 3 \quad g_2 = g_3 = 1 \quad g_4 = 0$$

$$(8.3) \quad g_0 = 4 \quad g_1 = 3 \quad g_2 = g_3 = 0 \quad g_4 = 1$$

$$(8.4) \quad g_0 = 3 \quad g_1 = 2 \quad g_2 = g_3 = g_4 = 1$$

$$(8.5) \quad g_0 = g_2 = 2 \quad g_1 = 3 \quad g_3 = 1 \quad g_4 = 0$$

$$(8.6) \quad g_0 = g_1 = 3 \quad g_2 = g_4 = 1 \quad g_3 = 0$$

$$(8.7) \quad g_0 = g_1 = 2 \quad g_2 = g_4 = 1 \quad g_3 = 2$$

$$(8.8) \quad g_0 = g_1 = g_4 = 2 \quad g_2 = g_3 = 1,$$

hence relations (6), (7), and (9) give $\beta_1 = g - 4$ and $\beta_2 = 0$ for any case. Therefore we obtain the contradiction

$$M \underset{\text{PL}}{\simeq} \#_{g-4} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$$

(also compare with $\Delta = 6$).

$\Delta = 9$.

Since $\beta_1 \geq g - 4$ and $\gamma_{ij} \geq g - 3$ by (7) and (8), we must have (up to circular permutations) the following cases (use (4)):

case	γ_{24}	γ_{14}	γ_{13}	γ_{03}	γ_{02}
9.1	$g - 1$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$
9.2	$g - 2$	$g - 2$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$
9.3	$g - 2$	$g - 3$	$g - 2$	$g - 3$	$g - 3$

Now by (1) we obtain:

$$(9.1) \quad g_0 = g_1 = 3 \quad g_2 = g_3 = g_4 = 1$$

$$(9.2) \quad g_0 = g_1 = g_2 = g_3 = 2 \quad g_4 = 1$$

$$(9.3) \quad g_0 = 3 \quad g_1 = g_4 = 2 \quad g_2 = g_3 = 1,$$

hence $\beta_1 = g - 4$ and $\beta_2 = 1$ for any case (use (6), (7) and (9)). Now we can repeat the same arguments of case $\Delta = 5$ (or $\Delta = 7$) to obtain the contradiction

$$M \underset{\text{PL}}{\simeq} \#_{g-4} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{CP}^2.$$

Now we have only to consider case $\Delta = 10$ and $g = 4$ to complete the proof of theorem 2. Indeed, if $g \leq 4$, then $\Delta \leq 10$.

$\Delta = 10$, $g = 4$.

For this case, we have $\gamma_{24} = \gamma_{14} = \gamma_{13} = \gamma_{03} = \gamma_{02} = 1$ by (4), hence $\pi_1(M) \simeq 0$ and $\beta_2 = 2$ (use (6) and (8)). Since $H_2(M) \simeq H^2(M) \simeq FH_2(M)$ is free, it follows that $H_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

According to [5], [6] and [8], closed simply-connected smooth (or PL) 4-manifolds are classified (up to homeomorphism) by their intersection forms. Since Poincaré duality identifies $H_2(M)$ with $H^2(M)$, we can consider the intersection form λ_M as a pairing $H^2(M) \otimes H^2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ so defined: $\lambda_M(x, y) = (x \cup y)[M]$, where $\cup$ and $[M]$ denote the cup product and the fundamental class of M respectively.

Combining Donaldson's theorem [5], [6] and Freedman's classification, we have the following cases:

- (1) If λ_M is positive (resp. negative) definite, then λ_M is isomorphic over the integers to $(1) \oplus (1)$ (resp. $(-1) \oplus (-1)$) by [5] and [6] (use the fact that $H_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$). Thus M is (TOP) homeomorphic to either $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ or $(-\mathbb{CP}^2) \# (-\mathbb{CP}^2)$ respectively (use [8]).
- (2) If λ_M is an odd indefinite form, then λ_M is isomorphic to $(1) \oplus (-1)$ (see for example [14]), hence $M \underset{\text{TOP}}{\simeq} \mathbb{CP}^2 \# (-\mathbb{CP}^2) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \underset{\sim}{\simeq}$ by [8] and [14].
- (3) If λ_M is an even indefinite form, then λ_M is isomorphic to the form

$$\omega = 2aE_8 + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\text{rank}(\omega) = 16|a| + 2|b|$.

Since $\text{rank}(\lambda_M) = \text{rank}(\omega) = \text{rank}(H_2(M)) = 2$, we obtain $a = 0$ and $b = 1$, i.e.

$$\lambda_M \underset{\text{ISO}}{\simeq} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now the Freedman theorem implies that M is (TOP) homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ as M is simply connected. Now the proof is completed because $g(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) = g(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \underset{\sim}{=} g(\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2) = 4$ by corollary 2 of [3].

To conclude the section we now prove that the genus of $\mathbb{RP}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is 6. Since the Euler–Poincaré characteristic of $\mathbb{RP}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is 0, relation (3) becomes

$0 = 2 - 2g + \Delta$. Hence the inequality $\Delta \geq 10$ implies that $g \geq 6$. Now the proof is completed because a crystallization of $\mathbb{RP}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with genus 6 is really constructed in [16].

4. Proofs: the non-orientable case

$\Delta = 0$.

If $\Delta = 0$ (recall that $\epsilon = h/2$), then relation (1) implies that $\gamma_{03} = \gamma_{24} = \gamma_{14} = \gamma_{13} = \gamma_{02} = 1 + h/2$. Since $\gamma_{24} = 1 + h/2$, the pseudo-complex $K(2, 4)$ consists of exactly $1 + h/2$ edges, hence $N = N(2, 4)$ is (PL) homeomorphic to the boundary connected sum $\#_{h/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{B}^3$. Here $\mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{B}^3$ represents either $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{B}^3$ or the twisted $\mathbb{B}^3$ -bundle over $\mathbb{S}^1$. Since M is non-orientable (and whence ∂N is non-orientable), we have $H_1(\partial N) \simeq \oplus_{h/2} \mathbb{Z}$, $H_2(\partial N) \simeq \oplus_{(h-2)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H_q(\partial N) \simeq 0$ for any $q \geq 3$. By (7) and (9) it follows that $\beta_1^{(2)} = h/2$, hence (6) gives $\beta_2^{(2)} = 0$. Since $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \text{Tor}(H_1(M), \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0$, we have $FH_2(M) \simeq 0$ and $H_1(M)$ has no 2-torsion. Since $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_1(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq \oplus_{h/2} \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\text{Tor}(H_1(M), \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0$, we also obtain $H_1(M) \simeq FH_1(M) \simeq \oplus_{h/2} \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\beta_1 = h/2$. This implies that $\chi(M) = 2 - h = 1 - \beta_1 + \beta_2 - \beta_3 = 1 - h/2 - \beta_3$ ($\beta_2 = 0$ as $FH_2(M) \simeq 0$), and whence $\beta_3 = h/2 - 1$. Moreover $H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_3(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \text{Tor}(H_2(M), \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_3(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq \oplus_{h/2} \mathbb{Z}_2$ as $\beta_1^{(2)} = \beta_3^{(2)} = h/2$, hence $H_3(M) \simeq \oplus_{(h-2)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Thus the Mayer–Vietoris sequence of the triple (M, N, N') , $N' = N(0, 1, 3)$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow FH_3(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-2)/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow FH_2(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-2)/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow H_2(N') \longrightarrow FH_2(M) \simeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H_1(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{h/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow H_1(N) \oplus H_1(N') \simeq \bigoplus_{h/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_1(N') \longrightarrow H_1(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{h/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $H_2(N') \simeq FH_2(N') \simeq 0$ and $H_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{h/2} \mathbb{Z}$. Further $K(0, 3)$ and $K(1, 3)$ are also formed by $1 + h/2$ edges eachone as $\gamma_{03} = \gamma_{13} = 1 + h/2$

by (1). Because $\gamma_{013} = \gamma_{01} + h/2$ (see (2)), the complex $K(0, 1, 3)$ has many triangles but $h/2$ as there are edges in $K(0, 1)$.

Since $H_2(N') \simeq 0$ and $H_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{h/2} \mathbb{Z}$ there are no two triangles in $K(0, 1, 3)$ with common boundary. Now it follows that $K(0, 1, 3)$ collapses to an one-dimensional subcomplex. Hence the regular neighbourhood N' of $K(0, 1, 3)$ is (PL) homeomorphic to a boundary connected sum $\#_p \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{B}^3$. Since $\partial N' \simeq \partial N \simeq \#_{h/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^2$, it follows that $p = h/2$. Thus M is $\#_{h/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3$ by theorem 2 of [15] and lemma 1 of [4] (see also [13]).

Now the result follows as $g(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3) = 2$ (see [3]) and the genus is “sub-additive”.

$\Delta = 1$.

Relations (7) and (9) give $\beta_1^{(2)} \geq h/2$ and $\beta_1^{(2)} \leq h/2 - 1$ respectively, i.e. a contradiction.

$\Delta = 2$.

Using the same arguments shown in $\Delta = 2$ (orientable case) and $\Delta = 0$ (non-orientable case), we prove that M is (PL) homeomorphic to $\#_{(h-2)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3$, which is a contradiction.

$\Delta = 3$.

By (7) we have $\beta_1^{(2)} \geq (h-3)/2$, hence $\gamma_{ij} \geq \beta_1^{(2)} + 1 \geq (h-1)/2$, i.e. $\gamma_{ij} \geq h/2$ by (9). Thus relation (4) gives $5 + \frac{5}{2}h - 2\Delta \geq \frac{5}{2}h$, i.e. a contradiction.

$\Delta = 4$.

Using the same arguments shown in $\Delta = 4$ (orientable case) and $\Delta = 0$ (non-orientable case), one obtains the contradiction

$$M \underset{\text{PL}}{\simeq} \#_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3.$$

$\Delta = 5$.

If $\Delta = 5$, then relation (7) implies that $\beta_1^{(2)} \geq h/2 - 2$. By (8) we have $\gamma_{ij} \geq \beta_1^{(2)} + 1 \geq h/2 - 1$, hence $\gamma_{24} = \gamma_{14} = \gamma_{13} = \gamma_{03} = \gamma_{02} = h/2 - 1$ by (4). Now relation (1) implies that $g_i = 1$ for each colour $i \in C_G$.

Since $\gamma_{24} = h/2 - 1$, $K(2, 4)$ consists of two vertices joined by $h/2 - 1$ edges, hence $N = N(2, 4) \underset{\text{PL}}{\simeq} \#_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{B}^3$, i.e. $\partial N \underset{\text{PL}}{\simeq} \#_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^2$.

Since $g_i = 1$ ($i \in C_G$), we obtain $\beta_1^{(2)} \leq h/2 - 2$ by (9), and whence $\beta_1^{(2)} = h/2 - 2$. Thus relation (6) gives $\beta_2^{(2)} = 1$.

Furthermore relation (8) also implies that $\text{rk } \pi_1(M) = \text{rk } H_1(M) = h/2 - 2$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \text{Tor}(H_1(M); \mathbb{Z}_2)$, it may occur three cases:

- (1) $FH_2(M) \simeq 0$, $\text{Tor}(H_1(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H_2(M)$ has no 2-torsion.
- (2) $FH_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Tor}(H_1(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0$ and $H_2(M)$ has no 2-torsion.
- (3) $FH_2(M) \simeq 0$, $\text{Tor}(H_1(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0$ and $H_2(M)$ has one 2-torsional factor.

Case 1. Since $\text{Tor}(H_1(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\beta_1^{(2)} = h/2 - 2$, it follows that $\oplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq H_1(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, hence $\beta_1 = h/2 - 3$. Now relations $\beta_2 = 0$ (use $FH_2(M) \simeq 0$) and $\chi(M) = 7 - h = 1 - \beta_1 - \beta_3$ imply that $\beta_3 = h/2 - 3$, hence $H_3(M) \simeq \oplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ as

$$\bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_3(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \text{Tor}(H_2(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_3(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$$

(use $\beta_1^{(2)} = \beta_3^{(2)} = h/2 - 2$ and $\text{Tor}(H_2(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0$).

Since $\text{rk } H_1(M) = h/2 - 2$ and $FH_1(M) \simeq \oplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain $H_1(M) \simeq \oplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ for some integer $n \geq 1$ as $\text{Tor}(H_1(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Now the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the triple (M, N, N') , $N = N(2, 4)$, $N' = N(0, 1, 3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H_3(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 &\xrightarrow{\text{iso}} H_2(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H_2(N') \longrightarrow H_2(M) \longrightarrow H_1(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H_1(N) \oplus H_1(N') \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_1(N') \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H_1(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

splits in the following exact sequences

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_2(N') \longrightarrow FH_2(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (**) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_2(M) \longrightarrow H_1(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_1(N') \longrightarrow H_1(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $H_2(N') \simeq 0$ by (*) and $H_2(M) \simeq FH_2(M) \simeq 0$, $H_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ by (**).

Since $\gamma_{13} = \gamma_{03} = h/2 - 1$, $\gamma_{013} = \gamma_{01} + h/2 - 1$ (see (2)), $H_q(N') \simeq 0$ ($q \geq 2$) and $H_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, the manifold N' is (PL) homeomorphic to the boundary connected sum $\#_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{B}^3 \# W$. Here W is a bordered 4-manifold homotopy equivalent to $e^0 \cup e^1 \cup e^2$ (e^i i -cell) with $\partial e^2 = 2ne^1$, i.e. $H_q(W) \simeq 0$, $q \geq 2$, and $H_1(W) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Moreover e^2 must be formed by exactly two triangles of $K(0, 1, 3)$ since $\gamma_{013} = \gamma_{01} + h/2 - 1$ and $FH_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z}$. Thus it follows that $n = 1$, i.e. M is (PL) homeomorphic to $\#_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3 \# V^4$, where V^4 is a closed connected non-orientable 4-manifold with $H_1(V) = \pi_1(V) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$, $H_2(V) \simeq 0$, $H_3(V) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H_q(V) \simeq 0$, $q \geq 4$ (use $\text{rk } \pi_1(M) = h/2 - 2$, $\pi_1(M) \simeq *_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} * \pi_1(V)$, i.e. $\pi_1(V)$ is cyclic). Since $\chi(V) = 1$ and $\pi_1(V) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$, the universal covering $\tilde{V}$ of V is a simply connected closed 4-manifold of Euler–Poincaré characteristic 2, hence $\tilde{V} \underset{\text{TOP}}{\simeq} \mathbb{S}^4$ by the Freedman theorem (see [8]). This implies that V is homotopy equivalent to the real projective 4-space $\mathbb{RP}^4$, hence $V \underset{\text{TOP}}{\simeq} \mathbb{RP}^4$ by [8] and [12] (see problem 4.13 of [12]). Now the proof is complete because a crystallization of $\mathbb{RP}^4$ with genus 6 is really constructed in [1] (see also [3])

Case 2. Since $\beta_1^{(2)} = h/2 - 2$ and $\text{Tor}(H_1(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0$, we obtain $\oplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_1(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, hence $FH_1(M) \simeq \oplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\beta_1 = h/2 - 2$. Thus $\text{rk } H_1(M) = h/2 - 2 = \beta_1$ gives $TH_1(M) \simeq 0$. Since $H_2(M)$ has no 2-torsion and $\beta_1^{(2)} = \beta_3^{(2)}$, we also have $\oplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_3(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. Further $\beta_2 = 1$ (use $FH_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$) and $\beta_1 = h/2 - 2$ imply that $\chi(M) = 7 - h = 1 - h/2 + 2 + 1 - \beta_3$, hence $\beta_3 = h/2 - 3$ so $H_3(M) \simeq \oplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Now the Mayer–Vietoris sequence of the triple (M, N, N') , $N = N(2, 4) \simeq \#_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{B}^3$, $N' = N(0, 1, 3)$, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \longrightarrow H_3(M) &\simeq \bigoplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} H_2(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \\
 &\longrightarrow H_2(N') \longrightarrow H_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus TH_2(M) \longrightarrow H_1(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \\
 &\longrightarrow H_1(N) \oplus H_1(N') \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_1(N') \longrightarrow H_1(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

hence $H_2(N') \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $H_2(M) \simeq FH_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

we can repeat the arguments used in $\Delta = 5$ (orientable case) to obtain

$$M \underset{\text{PL}}{\simeq} \#_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{CP}^2.$$

Case 3. Since $\text{Tor}(H_1(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq 0$ and $\oplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_1(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have $FH_1(M) \simeq \oplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\beta_1 = h/2 - 2$.

Now relations $\text{rk } H_1(M) = h/2 - 2 = \beta_1$ imply that $TH_1(M) \simeq 0$. Since $\beta_2 = 0$ (use $FH_2(M) \simeq 0$) and $\chi(M) = 7 - h = 1 - h/2 + 2 - \beta_3$, it follows that $\beta_3 = h/2 - 4$. Thus we have

$$\bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) = H_3(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \text{Tor}(H_2(M); \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_3(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

i.e. $H_3(M) \simeq \oplus_{(h-8)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Now the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the triple (M, N, N') , $N = N(2, 4) \simeq \#_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{B}^3$, $N' = N(0, 1, 3)$, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H_3(M) &\simeq \bigoplus_{(h-8)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{mono}} H_2(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H_2(N') \longrightarrow H_2(M) \simeq TH_2(M) \longrightarrow H_1(\partial N) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H_1(N) \oplus H_1(N') \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_1(N') \longrightarrow H_1(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $H_1(N') \simeq \oplus_{(h-4)/2} \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow TH_2(M) \longrightarrow 0,$$

i.e. $H_2(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ for some integer $n \geq 1$ as $H_2(M)$ has one 2-torsional factor. Thus M is (PL) homeomorphic to $\#_{(h-8)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3 \# M'$, where M' is a closed connected non-orientable prime 4-manifold (if exists) such that $H_1(M') \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, $H_2(M') \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, $H_3(M') \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$, $H_q(M') \simeq 0$, $\chi(M') = -1$ and $g(M') = h = 8$.

Now we prove theorem 4.

If $\Delta \leq 5$ and $h = 6$, then M is homeomorphic to either $\#_3 \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3$ or $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ or $\mathbb{RP}^4$, hence $M \underset{TOP}{\simeq} \mathbb{RP}^4$ if M is prime. If $h = 6$ and $6 \leq \Delta \leq 7$, relation (4) becomes

$$(***) \quad \gamma_{24} + \gamma_{14} + \gamma_{13} + \gamma_{03} + \gamma_{02} = 20 - 2\Delta,$$

hence $20 - 2\Delta \in \{8, 6\}$, i.e. at least one of the γ_{ij} 's in (***) must be 1.

This implies that $\pi_1(M) \simeq 0$ (use (8)) so M is simply-connected; but this is a contradiction as M is non-orientable.

Indeed we can also prove that $\Delta \in \{6, 7\}$ and $h \geq 6$ give a contradiction as we obtain the manifolds $\#_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3$ and $\#_{(h-6)/2} \mathbb{S}^1 \otimes \mathbb{S}^3 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ respectively. $\square$

References

1. J. Bracho – L. Montejano, *The combinatorics of coloured triangulations of manifolds*, Geometriae Dedicata **22** (1987), 303–328.
2. A. Cavicchioli, *A combinatorial characterization of $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ among closed 4-manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), 1008–1014.
3. ———, *On the genus of smooth 4-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **331** (1992), 203–214.
4. E.C. de Sà, *A link calculus for 4-manifolds*, Topology of low-dimensional manifolds, Lect. Notes in Math 722, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-London-New York, 1979, pp. 16–31.
5. S.K. Donaldson, *An application of gauge theory to four-dimensional topology*, J. Differential Geometry **18** (1983), 279–315.
6. ———, *Connections, cohomology and the intersection forms of 4-manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **24** (1986), 275–341.
7. M. Ferri – C. Gagliardi – L. Grasselli, *A graph-theoretical representation of PL-manifolds. A survey on crystallizations*, Aequationes Math. **31** (1986), 121–141.
8. M.H. Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **17** (1982), 357–453.
9. C. Mc A. Gordon – J. Luecke, *Knots are determined by their complements*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1989), 83–89; J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 371–415.
10. P.J. Hilton – S. Wylie, *An introduction to algebraic topology – Homology theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1960.
11. R. Kirby, *A calculus for framed links*, Inventiones Math. **45** (1978), 35–56.
12. ———, *4-manifold problems*, Contemporary Math. **35** (1984), 513–528.
13. F. Laudenbach, *Topologie de la dimension trois: homotopie et isotopie*, Asterisque 12, Soc. Math. de France.
14. R. Mandelbaum, *Four-dimensional topology: an introduction*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1980), 1–159.

15. J.M. Montesinos, *Heegaard diagram for closed 4-manifolds*, Geometric Topology (J. Cantrell, eds.), Proc. 1977 Georgia Conference, Academic Press, New York – London, 1979, pp. 219–237.
16. F. Spaggiari, *On the genus of $\mathbb{R}P^3 \times S^1$* (to appear).
17. A. Thompson, *Knots with unknotting number one are determined by their complements*, Topology **28** (1989), 225–230.
18. A. Vince, *n-graphs*, Discrete Math. **72** (1988), 367–380.
19. A.T. White, *Graphs, groups and surfaces*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA G. CAMPI 213/B, 41100 MODENA, ITALY