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[^0]
## Introduction.

Let $M$ be a $C^{\infty}$ Riemannian manifold, $R$ the curvature operator, and $M_{m}$ the tangent space at the point $m$. Then let

$$
N(m)=\left\{x \in M_{m} \mid R_{x y}=0 \text { for all } y \in M_{m}\right\}
$$

be the nullity space at $m$. Set $\mu(m)=\operatorname{dim} N(m) . \mu$ is the Index of Nullity. Chern and Kuiper showed that if $\mu$ is constant in a neighborhood then $N$ constitutes a completely integrable field of planes, and that the leaves of the resulting foliation are locally flat. In this paper the following results are established: (1) The leaves are totally geodesic submanifolds of $M$ (this implies they are locally flat). Let $G$ be the open set on which $\mu$ takes its minimum value $\mu_{0}$ (assumed $>0$ ). (2) Assuming $M$ is complete, the leaves of the nullity foliation of $G$ are also complete. (3) If $\mu$ is constant in a deleted neighborhood of a point $p$, then it has that same value at $p$ also. (4) The boundary of $G$ is the union of geodesics tangent to $N$.

## 1. Intrinsic Riemannian Geometry.

Let $M$ be a $d$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ Riemannian manifold, and $\langle$, its Riemannian inner product (metric). Let $M_{m}$ denote the tangent space to $M$ at the point $m, \mathcal{F}(M)$ the algebra of $C^{\infty}$-differentiable real-valued functions on $M$ and $X(M)$ the algebra of vector fields on $M . X(M)$ forms a Lie algebra under the bracket product

$$
[X, Y](f)=X(Y(f))-Y(X(f)) .
$$

The bracket operator is bilinear over $R$, anti-commutative, and satisfies the Jacobi Identity

$$
[X,[Y, Z]]+[Z,[X, Y]]+[Y,[Z, X]]=0 .
$$

Associated with the Riemannian metric there is the unique Riemannian (symmetric) connection, which essentially defines the parallel translation of tangent vectors. That is, given any (smooth) curve $\alpha:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ and a vector $x \in M_{a(0)}, x$ can be extended to a uniquely defined parallel vector field $X$ along $\alpha$. A frame at $m \in M$ is an ordered orthonormal basis
for the tangent space $M_{m}$. Parallel translation of each of the basis vectors of a frame along a curve $\alpha$ gives rise to a parallel frame field along $\alpha$, said to be obtained by parallel translation of the frame. If $E=\left(E_{1}, \ldots, E_{d}\right)$ is a parallel frame field along $\alpha$, so that $E(t)=\left(E_{1}(t), \ldots, E_{d}(t)\right)$ is a frame at $\alpha(t)$, and $X(t)$ is a vector field along a such that $X(t)=$ $\Sigma\left(x^{i}(t)\right) E_{i}(t)$, then the covariant derivative $\nabla_{a^{\prime}(t)} X(t)$ is the vector field on $a$ defined by the expression $\Sigma d / d t\left\{x^{i}(t)\right\} E_{i}(t)$. More generally, for $Y$ in $X(M)$, we define $\nabla_{Y} X$ by foliating $M$ (locally) by integral curves of $Y$, i.e. by curves $\alpha$ such that $\alpha^{\prime}(t)=Y(\alpha(t)$ ) (This can always be done, by the Existence Theorem for solutions of ordinary differential equations). Then $\nabla_{Y} X=\nabla_{\alpha^{\prime}} X$ along any particular integral curve $\alpha$ of $Y$. It follows from this definition that a vector field $X$ on a curve $\alpha$ is parallel if and only if $\nabla_{a}, X=0$. By convention we extend $\nabla$ to $\mathcal{F}(M)$ by setting $\nabla_{Y} f=Y(f)$ for $f$ in $\mathfrak{F}(M)$.
Proposition 1.1. $\nabla$ has the following properties (see [4]):
(i) $\nabla_{f X+g}(Z)=f \nabla_{X}(Z)+g \nabla_{Y}(Z)$
(ii) $\nabla_{Z}(X+Y)=\nabla_{Z}(X)+\nabla_{Z}(Y)$
(iii) $\nabla_{Z}(f X)=f \nabla_{Z}(X)+Z(f) X$
(iv) $X\langle Y, Z\rangle=\left\langle\nabla_{X}(Y), Z\right\rangle+\left\langle Y, \nabla_{X}(Z)\right\rangle$
(v) $\nabla_{X}(Y)-\nabla_{Y}(X)=[X, Y]$ where $X, Y, Z \in \mathscr{X}(M)$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(M)$.

A tensor field $T_{b}^{a}$ of degree $(a, b)$ is a differentiable $\mathcal{F}(M)$. multilinear real-valued map defined on $\mathscr{X}(M) \times \ldots X *(M) \times X(M) \times \ldots X(M)$, where $X(M)$ is the dual space to $X(M)$ and there are $a$ copies of $X(M)$ and $b$ factors $X(M)$ in the product. If $X^{1}, \ldots, X^{d}$ are linearly independent elements of $X(M)$ and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ are linearly independent in $X(M)$, the components $T_{j_{1} \cdots j_{a}}^{i_{1} \cdot i_{b}}$ of $T_{b}^{a}$ with respect to this basis are defined to be

$$
T_{b}^{a}\left(x^{j}, \ldots, x^{j_{a}}, X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, X_{i_{b}}\right)
$$

where the indices take on all possible values from 1 to $d$.
Now $\nabla$ can be extended to tensor fieldis as follows. Given any tensor
field $T_{b}^{a}$ and a curve $\alpha$, let $E$ be a parallel frame field on $\alpha$. Then if $T_{j_{1} \ldots}^{i_{1} \cdots}(t)$ are the components of $T_{b}^{a}$ with respect to the basis $E(t)$ and its dual $E^{*}(t)$, then $\nabla_{a^{\prime}} T_{b}^{a}$ is the tensor whose components are $d / d t\left(T_{j_{1} \cdots}^{i_{1} \cdots}(t)\right)$. By proceeding as in the vector field case we can define $\nabla_{Y} T_{b}^{a}$ for any $Y$ in $X(M)$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let $T_{b}^{a}$ be a tensor of degree $(a, b)$, and let $X^{1}, \ldots X^{a}$ be in $X(M), X_{1}, \ldots, X_{b}$ in $X(M)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{Y}\left\{T_{b}^{a}\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{a}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{b}\right)\right\}=\left(\nabla_{Y} T_{b}^{a}\right)\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{a}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{b}\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{i} T_{b}^{a}\left(X^{1}, \ldots, \nabla_{Y} X^{j}, \ldots, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{b}\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{i} T_{b}^{a}\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{a}, \ldots, \nabla_{Y} X_{i}, \ldots, X_{b}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

PROOF. This proposition is easily checked by writing out the $X^{i}$ and the $X_{j}$ in terms of a parallel frame field along an integral curve of $Y$.

Now we can note that by Proposition 1.1, (i), $\nabla_{Y} T_{b}^{a}$ is linear in $Y$, so that $T_{b}^{a}$ can be considered a tensor of degree $(a, b+1)$. Also it should be noted that by fixing a certain number of variables in a tensor $T_{b}^{a}$ the resulting operator is still multilinear in the remaining variables, and hence defines a new tensor of lower degree. In computing the covariant derivative of the new tensor the appropriate generalization to 1.2 must be used.

The curvature tensor of a Riemannian manifold $M$ is a $(1,3)$ tensor, which for $X, Y \in X(M)$ can be defined as the operator $R_{X Y}: X(M) \rightarrow X(M)$ given by

$$
R_{X Y}=\nabla_{[X, Y]}-\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right]
$$

where

$$
\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right] \equiv \nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y}-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X}
$$

The curvature has the following properties :
PROPOSITION 1.3.
(i) $R_{X Y}=-R_{Y X}$
(ii) $\left\langle R_{X_{Y}}(Z), W\right\rangle=-\left\langle R_{X Y}(W), Z\right\rangle$
(iii) $R_{X_{Y}}(Z)+R_{Z X}(Y)+R_{Y Z}(X)=0$
(iv) $\quad\left\langle R_{X_{Y}}(Z), W\right\rangle=\left\langle R_{Z W}(X), Y\right\rangle$.
$R_{X Y}$ is an $\mathcal{F}(M)$-linear operator, and is $\mathcal{F}(M)$-linear in $X$ and $Y$. It follows from this that we can define the operation of $R$ on $M_{m}$, as follows:

$$
\left\{R_{X Y}(Z)\right\}(m)=R_{x y}(z)
$$

where $X, Y, Z \in X(M)$ and

$$
X(m)=x, \quad Y(m)=y, \quad Z(m)=z
$$

If $\xi=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ is a local coordinate system, then

$$
\left\langle R \partial / \partial x^{i} \partial / \partial x^{j}\left(\partial / \partial x^{k}\right), \partial / \partial x^{l}\right\rangle=R_{i j k l}
$$

one of the classical forms of the curvature tensor.
The covariant derivative of $R$ is subject to the following condition, known as Bianchi's Identity :

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)_{Y Z}+\left(\nabla_{Z} R\right)_{X Y}+\left(\nabla_{Y} R\right)_{Z X}=0
$$

for $X, Y, Z \in X(M)$. This will be abbreviated to

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{X, Y, Z}\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)_{Y Z}=0
$$

by using the cyclic summation symbol $\mathfrak{G}$.
It is vital to note the position of the parentheses in this identity. We do not have $\mathbb{S} \nabla_{X}\left(R_{Y Z}\right)=0$. It is interesting to note, though, that if $[X, Z],[X, Y],[Y, Z]$ all vanish then the last equality holds. This is the case when $X=\partial / \partial x^{i}, \quad Y=\partial / \partial x^{j}, Z=\partial / \partial x^{k}$ for some coordinate system $\xi=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$. The classical coordinate version of Bianchi's Identity is actually

$$
\mathbb{S}_{i, j, k} \nabla_{\partial / \partial x^{i}\left(R \partial / \partial x^{j} \partial / \partial x^{k}\right)}=0
$$

lemma 1. If $[X, Y]=[X, Z]=[Y, Z]=0$, then $\subseteq \Delta_{X}\left(R_{Y Z}\right)=0$.
'PROOF. These remarks can be verified by expanding

$$
\nabla_{X}\left(R_{Y Z}\right)=\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)_{Y Z}+R_{\nabla_{X}}, Z+R_{Y,} \nabla_{X} Z
$$

according to Proposition 1.2, taking the cyclic sum, and cancelling by using

$$
\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X=[X, Y]=0
$$

Now let $\Pi$ be a map assigning to each $m \in M$ a $b$-dimensional linear subspace $\Pi(m) \subseteq M_{m}$, for some fixed $b \leq d$. We write $X \in \Pi$ for a vector field $X$ if $X(m) \in \Pi(m)$ for all $m$. If there are $b$ linearly independent vector fields $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{b} \in \Pi$ in a neighborhood $O_{p}$ of every point $p \in M, \Pi$ is said to be a (differentiable) field of $b$-planes. The Theorem of Frobenius states (see Bishop and Crittendon, [1]): If $X, Y \in \Pi$ implies that $[X, Y] \in \Pi$ also, then there exists a foliation of $M$ by $b$-dimensional maximal connected submanifolds, the leaves, such that $\Pi(m)$ is the tangent plane of the leaf through $m$. $\Pi$ is said to be completely integrable if it has this property.

A curve $\gamma$ in $M$ is called a geodesic if $\gamma^{\prime}$ is parallel along $\gamma$, i.e. $\gamma^{\prime \prime}=\nabla_{\gamma}, \gamma^{\prime}=0$.

In order to get a useful characterization of geodesics, we now define the frame bundle $F(M) . F(M)$ is the set of all orthonormal frames on $M$, given a natural differentiable structure so that the projection map $\pi$, which assigns to each frame $f$ its base point in $M$, is differentiable (see Bishop and Crittendon, [1]).

A curve $\bar{\alpha}$ in $F(M)$ will be called horizontal if it is a horizontal lifting of a curve $\alpha$ in $M$, i.e. if it is a parallel frame field on $\alpha$. A vector in $F(M)$ is called borizontal if it is tangent to a horizontal curve through $f$. It follows that for each vector $x \in M_{m}$ and frame $f$ at $m$, there is a unique horizontal vector $\bar{x} \in F(M)$, such that $d \pi(\bar{x})=x$.

The basic vector field $B_{c}$ on $F(M)$ can now be defined, for each $d$-tuple of real numbers $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{d}\right)$. If $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{d}\right) \in F(M)$, then $B_{c}(f)$ is the unique horizontal vector in $F(M)_{f}$ such that

$$
d \pi\left(B_{c}(f)\right)=\sum_{i} c_{i} f_{i}
$$

PROPOSITION 1.4. A curve $\gamma$ in $M$ is a geodesic if and only if it has a borizontal lift $\bar{\gamma}$ in $F(M)$ which is an integral curve of a basic vector field.

PROOF. Let $f$ be an arbitrary frame at some point $\gamma\left(t_{o}\right)$ on $\gamma$. Parallel
translate $f$ along $\gamma$ to define a parallel frame field $F(t)=\left(f_{1}(t), \ldots, f_{d}(t)\right)$ and hence a horizontal lifting $\bar{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$ into $F(M)$. Now if $\gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{o}\right)=\Sigma c_{i} f_{i}$, the fact that $F(t)$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are both parallel along $\gamma$ assures that $\gamma^{\prime}(t)=$ $\Sigma c_{i} f_{i}(t)$. Now

$$
d \pi \bar{\gamma}^{\prime}(t)=\gamma^{\prime}(t)=\Sigma c_{i} f_{i}(t)
$$

so $\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}(t)$ must be the unique horizontal vector in $F(M)_{f(t)}$ projecting to $\Sigma c_{i} f_{i}(t)$. But that means

$$
\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}(t)=B_{c}(f(t))=B_{c} \circ \bar{\gamma}(t),
$$

or $\bar{\gamma}$ is an integral curve of $B_{c}$
Reversing the steps proves the converse.

## 2. Immersions.

Let $M$ and $\bar{M}$ be Riemannian manifolds with inner products $\langle$, and 〈-〉 respectively, and curvature operators $R$ and $\bar{R}$. A differentiable map $j: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ is said to be an isometric immersion if

$$
\langle d j \overline{(x)}, \overline{d j(y)}\rangle=\langle x, y\rangle
$$

for any vectors $x, y \in M_{m}$, all $m \in M$. (Here $d j$ denotes the (linear) differential map induced on the tangent spaces of $M$ by $j$ ). From now on we will suppress $j$ in the notation and consider $M$ to be a subset of $\bar{M}$, and identify $\langle$,$\rangle and \langle$,$\rangle . Now let \mathcal{F}(M)$ be the algebra of real-valued $C^{\infty}$ functions on $M, X(M)$ the Lie algebra of vector fields on $M, \bar{X}(M)$ the algebra of restrictions to $M$ of vector fields on $\bar{M}$. Then we have $\bar{X}(M)=$ $X(M) \oplus X(M)^{\perp}$ where $X(M)^{\perp}$ denotes the set of vector fields perpendicular to $M$. Let $P: \bar{X}(M) \rightarrow X(M)$ be the orthogonal projection. Let $\nabla$ be the Riemannian connection (covariant differentiation operator) of $M$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ the Riemannian connection of $\bar{M}$ restricted to $\bar{X}(M)$. The difference operator $T: X(M) \times \bar{X}(M) \rightarrow \bar{X}(M)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{X}(Y)=\bar{\nabla}_{X}(Y)-\nabla_{X}(Y) \text { for } X, Y \in X(M)  \tag{2.1}\\
& T_{X}(Z)=P \bar{\nabla}_{X}(Z) \text { for } X \in X(M), Z \in X(M)^{\lrcorner} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 2.1. T has the following properties:
(i) $T$ is bilinear over $\mathcal{F}(M)$.
(ii) $T_{X}(Y)=T_{Y}(X)$ for $X, Y \in \mathscr{X}(M)$.
(iii) $\left\langle T_{X}(Y), Z\right\rangle=-\left\langle T_{X}(Z), Y\right\rangle$ for $X \in \mathscr{X}(M), Y, Z \in \bar{X}(M)$.
(iv) $T_{X}\left(X(M) \subseteq \mathscr{X}(M)^{\perp} ; T_{X}\left(X(M)^{\perp}\right) \subseteq X(M)\right.$ for $X \in X(M)$.

Note that from (iii) it follows that $T_{X}$ is determined by its effect on $x(M)$.
proposition 2.2. Let $X, Y \in X(M)$. Then on $X(M)$ the Gauss Equation bolds:

$$
P \bar{R}_{X Y}=R_{X Y}-\left[T_{X}, T_{Y}\right]
$$

PRoof. Use $\bar{R}_{X Y}=\bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}-\left[\bar{\nabla}_{X}, \bar{\nabla}_{Y}\right]$, apply $P$.
$T$ is related to the classical second fundamental form as follows: let $\xi=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n+k}\right)$ be a coordinate system in a neighborhood of $p \in M$ such that the $\partial / \partial x^{i}$ are tangent to $M$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and the $\partial / \partial x^{\alpha}$ are perpendicular to $M$ for $n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+k$. The second fundamental form $b_{i j a}$ is then related to $T$ by

$$
T \partial / \partial x^{i}\left(\partial / \partial x^{j}\right)=\sum_{a=n+1}^{n+k} b_{i j a} \partial / \partial x^{a}
$$

By Proposition 2.1, (iii), T and $b_{i j a}$ contain the same information. note. The $T$ operator was originally defined by Ambrose and Singer using a frame bundle approach. I am following Alfred Gray [6] in defining $T$ in terms of $\nabla$ and $\bar{\nabla}$.
$M$ is said to be totally geodesic in $\bar{M}$ if for any geodesic $\gamma \in M$, $j \circ \gamma$ is a geodesic of $\bar{M}$.
PROPOSITION 2.3. $M$ is totally geodesic in $\bar{M}$ if and only if $T=0$. Proof. $T_{X}(X)=0$ if and only if $\nabla_{X}(X)=\bar{\nabla}_{X}(X)$. This is equivalent to

$$
\nabla_{\gamma},\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\bar{\nabla}_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}=0
$$

$\gamma^{\prime}$ is a geodesic in $M \cdot T_{X}(X)=0$ for all $X$ if and only if $T=0$.
proposition 2.4. If $M$ is totally geodesic in $\bar{M}$ then $\bar{M}$-parallel translation along a curve $\alpha$ in $M$ preserves tangency and orthogonality of vectors with respect to $M$.
proof. Since $\bar{\nabla}_{X}-\nabla_{X}=T_{X}=0$ for $X \in \mathscr{X}(M)$, we have $\bar{\nabla}_{a^{\prime}}=\nabla_{a^{\prime}}$. Hence $\bar{M}$-parallelism and $M$-parallelism coincide along $\alpha$. But $M$-parallel translation preserves tangency of vectors on $M$; hence the same is true for $\bar{M}$-parallelism along $\alpha$. But orthogonality must also be preserved since, if $x$ is tangent to $M$ at $\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $y$ is orthogonal, we have $\langle x, y\rangle=0$. Now if $X$ and $Y$ are the parallel vector fields on $\alpha$ generated by $x$ and $y$, we have

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{a^{\prime}}\{X, Y\rangle=\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{a} X, Y\right\rangle+\left\langle X, \bar{\nabla}_{a^{\prime}} Y\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Hence $(X, Y)$ is constant along $a$. But

$$
\vdots X, Y\rangle\left(\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=\langle x, y\rangle=0 .
$$

So $Y$ is orthogonal to $M$ along $a$.

## 3. The Index of Nullity.

The index of nullity $\mu$ is a non-negative integer - valued function defined on $M^{d}$ as follows: at each point $m \in M^{d}, \mu(m)$ is the dimension of the vector subspace $N(m)$ of $M_{m}$ spanned by tangent vectors $x$ such that $R_{x y}=0$ for all $y \in M_{m} . N(m)$ will be called the nullity space at $m$, while $N$ will denote the field of nullity planes. If $Y$ is a vector field, $Y \in N$ will mean $Y$ is a nullity vector field, i.e. $Y(m) \in N(m)$ for all $m$ in question. In the sequel we assume $\mu \neq 0, \mu \neq d$ unless otherwise specified.

We now state explicitly some simple algebraic consequences of this definition. Let $x \in N(m), y, z, w, u \in M_{m}$. Then $R_{x y}(z)=R_{y x}(z)=0$. Futhermore

$$
-\left\langle R_{y z}(x), w\right\rangle=\left\langle R_{y z}(w), x\right\rangle=\left\langle R_{w x}(y), z\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Since $y, z$ and $w$ were chosen arbitrarily in $M_{m}$, it follows that $R_{y z}(x)=0$ also. Hence the $R$-operator vanishes if any of its entries are nullity vectors. Finally $\left\langle R_{y x}(w), x\right\rangle=0$ implies that $R_{y z}(w)$ is always in
$N^{\perp}(m)$, the orthogonal complement of $N(m)$ in $M_{m}$. And conversely, if $\left\langle R_{y z}(w), u\right\rangle=0$ for all $y, z, w \in M_{m}$, then $u \in N(m)$. So we have the following alternative definition of $\mu: d-\mu(m)$ is the rank of the subspace $N^{-}(m)$ of $M_{m}$ spanned by all vectors of the form $R_{z y}(w)$, $\left(y, z, w \in M_{m}\right)$.

Now we can see that if $\mu \neq d$, then $d-\mu \geq 2$. This is true because $R_{x y}$ is an anti-symmetric linear operator on $M_{m}$ and hence has even rank.

In classical notation $d-\mu(m)$ is the number of linearly independent vectors at $m$ of the form $\sum_{l} R_{i j k l} \partial / \partial x^{l}, \xi=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ a coordinate system at $m$. Or once again, the smallest number of linearly independent differential forms $\omega^{1}, \omega^{2}, \ldots$ in a neighborhood of $m$ needed to express the curvature form

$$
\Omega_{i j}=\sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} \omega^{k} \Lambda \omega^{l}
$$

Chern and Kuiper [2] showed that if $\mu$ is constant in an open set, then the nullity spaces $N$ constitute a completely integrable field of $\mu$ planes. We now reestablish this result using the covariant differentiation operator $\nabla$. We further show that the resulting leaves are totally geodesic. It follows as a corollary that the leaves are locally flat in the induced metric, also established in [2].
THEOREM 3.1. If $\mu$ is constant on an open submanifold $\tilde{G}$ then the nullity field of planes $N$ is completely integrable on $\tilde{G}$.

PROOF. We suppose $U, V$ are vector fields in $N$, and $Z$ is an arbitrary vector field. We show $[U, V] \in N$ also, i.e. $R_{[U, V], Z}=0$.

We start by expanding $\nabla_{Z}\left(R_{U V}\right)$ by Proposition 1.2 , and then summing cyclically over $U, V$ and $Z . R_{U V}, R_{V Z}$, etc., vanish identically, so we have :

$$
0=\stackrel{\subseteq}{U, V, Z} \nabla_{Z}\left(R_{U V}\right)=\stackrel{\subseteq}{U, V, Z}\left\{\left(\nabla_{Z} R\right)_{U V}+R_{\nabla_{Z}}, V+R_{U, \nabla_{Z} V}\right\}
$$

But $\underset{U, V, Z}{\mathbb{G}}\left(\nabla_{Z} R\right)_{U V}=0$ by Bianchi's Identity. Most of the remaining terms on the right are zero since $U$ and $V$ are nullity, but we find after summing that

$$
0=R_{Z, \nabla_{V} U}+R_{\nabla_{U}} v, z=R_{\nabla_{U}} v-\nabla_{V} U, z
$$

But $\nabla_{U} V-\nabla_{V} U=[U, V]$, the symmetry condition on $\nabla$. So we have $R_{[U, V], Z}=0$ as required.
THEOREM 3.2. Let $L$ be a leaf of the nullity foliation. Then $L$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of $M$.

PROOF. We have an immersion $j: L \rightarrow M$ so we use the terminology for describing immersions as developed in $\$ 2$. However we continue to use $R$ for the curvature of $M$; let $\rho$ denote the curvature of $L . N(m)$ is identified with $L_{m}$, and $N^{\perp}(m)$ with $L_{m}^{\frac{1}{m}}, m \in L$. Our task is to show that $T_{X}=0$ for all $X \in X(L)$.

We first show

$$
T_{X} \cdot R_{Y Z}=0 \text { for } X \in X(L), Y, Z \in X^{\perp}(L)
$$

(the product here is composition of linear operators, of course). Note that we are using the fact that $d-\mu \geq 2$. Since $R_{Y Z}(U) \in X^{\perp}(L)$ we have

$$
T_{X} \cdot R_{Y Z}(U)=P \cdot \bar{\nabla}_{X}\left(R_{Y Z}(U)\right)
$$

where $U \in \mathscr{X}(M)$. Taking the cyclic sum $\mathbb{S}_{X, Y, Z}$ we get

$$
\mathbb{S}_{X, Y, Z} T_{X} \cdot R_{Y Z}(U)=T_{X} \cdot R_{Y Z}(U)
$$

by nullity of $X$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{X} \cdot R_{Y Z}(U)= & \mathscr{S} P \cdot \bar{\nabla}_{X}\left(R_{Y Z}(U)=\widetilde{X}, Y, Z\right. \\
& +P \cdot R_{\nabla_{X}}\left\{P,\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} R\right)_{Y Z}(U)+\bar{P} \cdot R_{Y}, \bar{\nabla}_{X} Z^{(U)+}\right. \\
& +P \cdot R_{X Y}\left(\nabla_{X} U\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\stackrel{S}{Y}_{Y, Z}\left\{P \cdot\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)_{Y Z}(U)\right\}=0
$$

by Bianchi's Identity, and the remaining terms are zero since the image space of the curvature operator is precisely the non-nullity vector fields, which is just $X^{\perp}(L)$, the kernel of $P$.

Hence $T_{X} \cdot R_{Y Z}=0$. But $T_{X} \cdot R_{Y Z}=R_{Y Z} \cdot T_{X}=0$ since $T_{X}$ and
$R_{Y Z}$ are both antisymmetric linear operators. So $R_{Y Z} \cdot T_{X}=0$ for all $Y, Z \in X^{\perp}(L)$.

Now the images of $X(L)$ under $T_{X}$ are in $X^{\perp}(L)$. But given any non-zero vector field $W \in X^{\perp}(L)$ there must be some $Y, Z \in X^{\perp}(L)$ for which $R_{Y Z}(W) \neq 0$, since $\left\langle R_{Y Z}(U), W\right\rangle \neq 0$ for some $U, Y, Z$; and

$$
\therefore R_{Y Z}(U), W ;=-\vdots R_{Y Z}(W), U ;
$$

So all images under $T_{X}$ must vanish, or $L$ is totally geodesic.
COROLLARY 3.3. $L$ is locally flat in the induced metric.
Proof. We use the Gauss Equation

$$
P . R_{X Y}=\rho_{X Y}+\left[T_{X}, T_{Y}\right]
$$

For any $X, Y \in \mathscr{X}(L)$ we get immediately $\rho_{X Y}=0$, since $T_{X}$ and $T_{Y}$ vanish.

## 4. The set $G$ of minimal nullity.

In this section we prove some theorems about the set $G$ on which $\mu$ attains its minimal value $\mu_{0}>0$.
LEMMA 4.1. Given any $p \in M$, there exists a certain neighborbood $O$ of $p$ such that $\mu(m) \leq \mu(p)$ for all $m$ in $O$.

PROOF. Choose a coordinate system $\xi=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ on a neighborhood of $m$. Then there are $d-\mu(m)$ vector fields $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{d-\mu(m)}$ all of form $\sum_{l} R_{i j k l} \partial / \partial x^{l}$ which are linearly independent at $m$. But then $Y_{1} \wedge Y_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{d-\mu(m)}$ must be non-zero at $m$, and hence by continuity non-zero in a neighborhood of $m$. But that means $d-\mu(m) \geq d-\mu(p)$ everywhere on $O$, or $\mu(p) \geq \mu(m)$ on $O$.

THEOREM 4.2. The set $G$ on which $\mu$ takes on its minimum value $\mu_{o}$ is an open submanifold of $M$.

PROOF. Let $p \in G$. Then by Lemma $4.1 \mu(p)=\mu_{o} \geq \mu(m)$ on some nbd. $O$ of $p$. But $\mu_{o}$ was assumed minimal, so $\mu_{o}=\mu(m)$ on $O$. But then $p \in O \subset G$, so $G$ is open.

THEOREM 4.3. Assume $M$ is complete, and let $G$ be the open set on which $\mu$ takes its minimum value $\mu_{0}$. Then the leaves $L$ of the nullity foliation induced on $G$ are complete.

Before proving the theorem we recall a few definitions and facts from the calculus of variations needed in the proof to the theorem.

A rectangle or 1 -parameter family of curves is a $C^{\infty} \operatorname{map} Q: R^{2} \rightarrow M$. Let $u^{1}$ and $u^{2}$ denote the natural coordinate functions in $R^{2}$. The longitudinal curves of the rectangle are defined by restricting $Q$ to the lines $u^{2}=$ constant in $R^{2}$, while the transverse curves arise by restricting $Q$ to the lines $u^{1}=$ constant.

The associated vector field to $Q$, denoted by $X$, is defined by the velocity vector fields of the transverse curves. If the longitudinal curves are all geodesics, then $Q$ is called a 1 -parameter family of geodesics, and $X$ is called a Jacobi vector field. Now we have the following well-known
lemma. If 2 is a 1 -parameter family of geodesics, $X$ satisfies the Jacobi Equation $X^{\prime \prime}=\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}} X\right)=R_{X \sigma^{\prime}}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$ along any longitudinal curve $\sigma$. Proof. $X=d Q\left(\partial / \partial u^{1}\right), \sigma^{\prime}=d Q\left(\partial / \partial u^{2}\right)$. But $\left[\partial / \partial u^{1}, \partial / \partial u^{2}\right]=0$, so $\left[x, \sigma^{\prime}\right]=d Q\left[\partial / \partial u^{1}, \partial / \partial u^{2}\right]=0$.
Hence $\left.\quad R_{X \sigma^{\prime}}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)=\nabla_{\left[X, \sigma^{\prime}\right]}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)-!\nabla_{X} \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}}-\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}} \nabla_{X}\right]\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)=$

$$
=-\nabla_{X} \nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)+\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}} \nabla_{X}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)=\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}} \nabla_{X}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)
$$

since $\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)=0$. But $\nabla_{X}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)-\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}}(X)=\left[X, \sigma^{\prime}\right]=0$, so we have $R_{X \sigma^{\prime}}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)=\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(\nabla_{\sigma^{\prime}} X\right)$.
PROOF OF THEOREM.
Let $\gamma:[0, c) \rightarrow L$ be a geodesic segment in $L$. It suffices to show that $\gamma$ can be extended, as a geodesic of $L$, over the half-line [ $0, \infty$ ). Suppose this cannot be done, and that $\gamma$ as given is maximal. Since $M$ is complete, $\gamma$ can be extended as a geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $M(\gamma=\tilde{\gamma} \cap L)$. Since $L$ is totally geodesic in $M$, it follows that $\tilde{\gamma}(c)$ is not in $G$. But that means that $\mu(\tilde{\gamma}(c))>\mu_{0}$. We now show that is impossible.

First let $p=\gamma(0), \tilde{p}=\tilde{\gamma}(c)$, and let us make the convention that $1 \leq i, j, k \leq \mu_{0}$ are «nullity» indices, $\mu_{0}+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq d$ are «non-nullity"
indices, while $1 \leq I, J, K \leq d$ are unrestricted indices.
Now we note that if we have a coordinate system $\xi=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ in a neighborhood $U$ of $\tilde{p}$, with $\partial / \partial x^{1}=\gamma^{\prime}$ along $\gamma$ and $\partial / \partial x^{i}$ nullity on $U \cap G$, then by Lemma 1 of paragraph 1 , we have

$$
\mathfrak{S} \nabla_{\partial / \partial x_{1}}\left(R \partial / \partial_{x} \alpha \partial / \partial_{x} \beta\right)=0 .
$$

$\nabla \partial / \partial_{x_{1}}\left(R \partial / \partial_{x}{ }^{\alpha} \partial / \partial_{x} \beta\right)=0$ then also, using the fact that the tensors $R \partial / \partial_{x}^{1} \partial / \partial_{x}{ }^{\alpha} R \partial / \partial_{x}^{1} \partial / \partial_{x}^{\beta}$ vanish identically in $U \cap G$, by nullity of $\partial / \partial x^{1}$. But this means that $R \partial / \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial / \partial x^{\beta}$ is parallel along $\gamma$ in $U \cap G$. Now let $E=\left(E_{1}, \ldots, E_{\mu_{0}}, \ldots, E_{d}\right)$ be a parallel frame field along $\tilde{\gamma}$, adapted to $N$ on $G$, i.e. $E_{i}^{o} \in N, E_{a} \notin N$. (This is possible since $L$ is totally geodesic. Cf. Prop. 2.4). Now if $E_{I}$ is nullity at $\tilde{p}$, for some $I$, we have: $R \partial / \partial_{x}{ }^{\alpha} \partial / \partial_{x} \beta\left(E_{I}\right)$ is a parallel vector field along $\tilde{\gamma} \mid U \cap G$ vanishing at $\tilde{\gamma}(c)$ by assumption, so it must vanish identically on $\tilde{\gamma} \mid U \cap G$. Hence $E_{I} \in N$ on $\tilde{\gamma} \mid U \cap G$. This proves that $\mu$ cannot increase at $\tilde{p}$.

We now establish the existence of a coordinate system $\xi$ as above, starting with a Frobenius coordinate system $\eta=\left(y^{1}, \ldots, y^{d}\right)$ on a neighborhood $V$ of $\gamma(0)=p$. We can further assume that $\eta(p)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ the origin in $R^{d}$, and that $\left(\partial / \partial y^{1}\right)_{p}=\gamma^{\prime}(0), \partial / \partial y^{i} \in N$ on $V$. (If $\eta$ can be extended to $\tilde{p}$ then the proof can be finished as above, but in general this cannot be done).

Now let $\Sigma$ be the slice of $V$ determined by $y^{i}=0$, and let

$$
E=\left(E_{1}, \ldots, E_{\mu_{0}}, \ldots, E_{d}\right)
$$

be a $C^{\infty}$-frame field on $\Sigma$ adapted to the nullity field ( $E_{i} \in N$ ), and such that $E_{1}(p)=\gamma^{\prime}(0) . \eta_{2}=\left(y^{\mu_{0}+1}, \ldots, y^{d}\right)$ defines a coordinate system on $\Sigma$; set $\eta_{2}(\Sigma)=W \subset R^{d-\mu_{0}}$. Now define $F: R^{\mu_{0}} \times W \rightarrow M$ by

$$
F\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{\mu}, \eta_{2}(s)\right)=\exp _{s}(\bar{x})
$$

where $s \in \Sigma$ and $\bar{x}=\Sigma x^{i} E_{i}(s)$. Since $M$ is complete, $F$ is defined for all values in $R^{\mu}$ 。

We now prove $F$ is regular along $\tilde{\gamma}$. First we identify $R^{\mu_{o}} \times W$ with a subset $U$ of $R^{d}$, and let $u^{1}, \ldots, u^{d}$ be the natural Euclidean coordinate
functions on $U$. Fixing $u^{I}=0$ for all $I \neq 1, I \neq \alpha$, and restricting $F$ to the plane so defined in $U$, we obtain an induced mapping $F_{\alpha}: R^{2} \rightarrow M$, which is just a rectangle. Furthermore the longitudinal curves of $F_{\alpha}$ are the geodesics $\exp _{s}\left(t E_{1}(s)\right)$, where $s$ is a point in the slice $\Sigma_{a}$ of $\Sigma$ defined by $u^{\beta}=0$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$. It follows that the associated vector field $X_{\alpha}$ to $F_{a}$ is a Jacobi vector field, satisfying the Jacobi equation $X_{a}^{\prime \prime}=R_{X_{a}} \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\right)$ along the geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}=\exp _{p}\left(t E_{1}(p)\right)$ in particular. But $R_{X_{\alpha}} \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\right)=0$ in $G$ since $\gamma^{\prime} \in N$, so we have $X_{a}^{\prime \prime}=0$ along $\gamma$, or

$$
X_{\alpha}(t)=A_{\alpha}(t)+t B_{\alpha}(t),
$$

where $A_{a}$ and $B_{a}$ are parallel vector fields along $\gamma$. Hence $X_{a}$ is welldefined, bounded and continuous on $\tilde{\gamma}\left([0, c)\right.$ ). (We are setting $X_{a}(t)=$ $X_{a}(\gamma(t))$ along $\gamma$ here, of course). Also note that $X_{\alpha}=d F_{\alpha}\left(\partial / \partial u^{\alpha}\right)$ since $X_{\alpha}$ is the associated vector field of the rectangle $F_{a}$. Writing out the components of $X_{\alpha}(t)$ with respect to the parallel adapted frame field $E(t)$, we have $X_{\alpha}(t)=A_{\alpha}(t)+t B_{\alpha}(t)=\Sigma_{I} A_{\alpha}^{I} E_{I}(t)+\Sigma_{t} B_{\alpha}^{I} E_{I}(t)$ where the components $A_{\alpha}^{I}$ and $B_{\alpha}^{I}$ are constants since $A_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha}$ are parallel along $\gamma$. Set $X_{\alpha}^{-1}(t)=\Sigma_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^{\beta} E_{\beta}(t)+\Sigma t B_{\alpha}^{\beta} E_{\beta}(t)$, the «late» components of $X_{a}(t)$. (Note that at $\tilde{p}$ the «early" vector fields $E_{i}(t)$ remain nullity by continuity, so that $X_{\alpha}-X_{\alpha}^{--} \in N$ on $\tilde{\gamma}([0, c])$.

We will now show the $X_{\alpha}^{-1}$ remain linearly independent on $\tilde{\gamma}([0, c])$. First of all, the $X_{\alpha}^{\perp}$ are linearly independent at $p$ since

$$
X_{a}(0)=d F\left(\partial / \partial_{u^{\alpha}}\right)_{p}=d \eta_{2}^{-1}\left(\partial / \partial_{u^{\alpha}}\right)=\left(\partial / \partial y^{\alpha}\right)_{p} .
$$

Hence the $X_{\alpha}(0)$ form a basis for the non-nullity space $N^{\perp}(p)$, which has dimension $d-\mu_{0}$. But the $X_{a}^{-1}(0)$ also span $N^{\perp-}(p)$. Since there are exactly $d-\mu_{o} X_{a}^{+}(0)$, they are linearly independent. Now suppose there is some linear combination $X=\Sigma c^{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^{\perp}$ such that $X\left(t_{o}\right)=\Sigma c^{a} X_{\alpha}^{\perp}\left(t_{o}\right)=0$ for some $\mathrm{t}_{0} \leq c$. Now $\subseteq \nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(R_{X_{\alpha} X_{\beta}}\right)=\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(R_{X_{\alpha}} X_{\beta}\right)-0$ along $\gamma$, since

$$
\left[X_{a}, X_{\beta}\right]=d F\left(\left[\partial / \partial u^{\alpha}, \partial / \partial u^{\beta}\right]\right)=0
$$

$$
\left.\left[\gamma^{\prime}, X_{\alpha}\right]=d F\left[\partial / \partial u^{1}, \partial / \partial u^{a}\right]\right)=0, \quad\left[\gamma^{\prime}, X_{\beta}\right]=0
$$

so we can use the Lemma 1 of paragraph 1 again. $R_{X_{\alpha}^{\perp}}^{\perp} X_{\beta}=R_{X_{\alpha}} X_{\beta}$ on $\tilde{\gamma}([0, c])$ since $R$ vanishes on the nullity components of $X_{\alpha}$. Hence it follows from $\nabla_{\gamma},\left(R_{X_{\alpha}}{ }_{\beta}\right)=0$ that the components of $R_{X_{\alpha}^{\perp}} X_{\beta}$ with respect to the parallel frame field $E(t)$ are constants, and the same is true of the components of $R_{X X_{\beta}}$. But $R_{X X_{\beta}}=0$ at $t_{0}$ since $X\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Hence $R_{X X_{\beta}}=0$ everywhere on $\gamma$. In particular this must be true at $p$, and for all $\beta \geq \mu_{0}+1$. But the $X_{\beta}$ span $N^{\perp}$ at $p$, so $R_{X X_{\beta}}=0$ implies $X(0) \in N(p)$. On the other hand $X(0)=\Sigma c^{a} X_{a}^{\perp}(0) \in N^{\perp}(p)$, so this is possible only if all $c^{\alpha}=0$. Therefore the $X_{\alpha}^{\perp}$ must remain linearly independent on $\tilde{\gamma}([0, c])$.

Now define the map $F_{1}$ by

$$
F_{1}\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{\mu}\right)=F\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{\mu_{0}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

Then $F_{1}$ defines a regular mapping onto $L$, since

$$
F_{1}\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x_{0}^{\mu}\right)=\exp _{p}\left(\sum x^{i} E_{i}(p)\right) \in L
$$

and since $L$ is locally flat, $\exp _{p}$ is a local isometry. Hence $d F_{1}$ is an orthogonal linear transformation, and $d F_{1}\left(\partial / \partial u^{i}\right)$ are orthonormal at each point of $L$. Hence by continuity $d F\left(\partial / \partial u^{i}\right)$ are orthonormal on the boundary of $L$ as well; in particular at $\tilde{p}$. But $d F_{1}\left(\partial / \partial u^{i}\right)=d F\left(\partial / \partial u^{i}\right)$. So $d F\left(\partial / \partial u^{i}\right)$ are orthonormal at $\tilde{p}$. Furthermore $d F\left(\partial / \partial u^{i}\right) \in N$ on $L$, hence by continuity $d F\left(\partial / \partial u^{i}\right) \tilde{p} \in N(\tilde{p})$.

Now we can see that $F$ must be regular on $\tilde{\gamma}([0, c])$. First let $\underset{\sim}{\tilde{N}}(t)$ be the $\mu_{0}$ - plane at $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ spanned by the "early" vectors $E_{i}(t)$, and $\tilde{N}^{\perp}(t)$ be the orthogonal complement spanned by the $E_{a}(t)(N(\gamma(t))=$ $\tilde{N}(t)$ on $L$, of course). Then the $d F\left(\partial / \partial u^{i}\right)$ are linearly independent on $\tilde{\gamma}([0, c])$ and $\operatorname{span} \tilde{N}(t), 0 \leq t \leq c$. Furthermore the $d F\left(\partial / \partial u^{\alpha}\right)=X_{a}$ are linearly independent, and their late components $X_{a}^{\perp} \operatorname{span} \tilde{N}^{+}(t), 0 \leq t \leq c$. Hence the rank of $d F$ is exactly $d$ everywhere on $\tilde{\gamma}([0, c])$.

In particular $F$ is regular at $\tilde{p}=\tilde{\gamma}(c)$, so $F^{-1}$ defines a coordinate system $\xi=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)$ on a neighborhood $U$ of $F$. Also $\partial / \partial x^{i} \in N$ on $U \cap G, \partial / \partial x^{1}=\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}$ along $\tilde{\gamma}$. Hence $\xi$ is the required coordinate system, and the Theorem is established.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge essential aid given by Professor Y.H. Clifton in constructing this proof.

THEOREM 4.4. Suppose the nullity index $\mu$ bas the constant value $\mu_{1}$ everywhere in the deleted neigbbo:bood $O$ of a point $p \in M$. Then $\mu$ bas the same value $\mu_{1}$ at $p$ as well. [Note. By Lemma 3.3 we know that $\mu(p) \geq \mu_{1}$. The Theorem claims that $\left.\mu(p)=\mu_{1}\right]$.

PROOF. If $\gamma$ is any nullity geodesic in $O$ (i.t. $\gamma^{\prime} \in N$ in $O$ ), and $p$ lies on $\gamma$, then $p$ lies in the closure of a leaf of the nullity foliation. In that case the proof of Theorem 4.3 can be applied to show $\mu(p)=\mu_{1}$. To show the existence of such a geodesic, we consider a segment of an arbitrary geodesic $a:(0,1) \rightarrow 0$ starting at $p$. Let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$ be an infinite convergent sequence of real numbers in $(0,1)$ such that $\lim t_{i}=0$. At each point $\alpha\left(t_{i}\right)$ we pick a (unit-speed) geodesic $\gamma_{i}$ starting in a nullity direction at $\alpha\left(t_{i}\right)$. Then the $\gamma_{i}$ lie in leaves of the nullity foliation and are nullity geodesics in $O$. Now consider the sequence of tangent vectors $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}(0)$. This sequence defines a sequence of points $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}(0)$ in the spherebundle $B$ over the closed segment $a:[0,1] \rightarrow M$, and this bundle is a compact set. Hence we can extract a convergent subsequence $\tilde{\gamma}_{j}^{\prime}(0)$. Now the limit point $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(0)$ of the sequence $\tilde{\gamma}_{j}^{\prime}(0)$ must lie over $p=\alpha(0)$, since the bundle projection $\pi$ is a continuous function, so $\pi\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ must be a limit point of $\pi\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{j}^{\prime}(0)\right)=\alpha\left(t_{j}\right)$; but $\alpha(0)$ is the only such limit point. Hence $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(0)$ defines a unique tangent vector $\gamma^{\prime}(0)$ at $\alpha(0)$.

Now let $\gamma$ be the geodesic starting at $p$ in the $\gamma^{\prime}(0)$ direction. We will show $\gamma$ is a nullity geodesic in $O$. To do so choose an $\varepsilon_{o}>0$ small enough so that all the segments $\gamma_{j}\left(\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]\right)$ are in $O$. We will show that for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{o}$ the points $\gamma_{j}(\varepsilon)$ converge to $\gamma(\varepsilon)$, and hence that the tangent vectors $\gamma_{j}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ converge to $\gamma^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ (these assertions are actually true for all $\varepsilon$ ). This would prove that $\gamma^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ is a nullity vector, since the $\gamma_{j}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ all are nullity vectors when $\varepsilon$ is properly restricted. [PROOF. Given any $R_{\gamma^{\prime}} y^{\prime}$, we can set $y=\lim y_{j}, y_{j} \in M_{\alpha\left(t_{j} ;\right.}$. Then $R_{\gamma}{ }^{\prime} y=\lim R_{\gamma}{ }_{j} y_{j}$, while the terms of the sequence all vanish. Hence $R_{\gamma^{\prime} y}=\|0\|$ also. Hence the limit of a sequence of nullity vectors is itself a nullity vector.]

To do this we introduce a sequence of frames

$$
E\left(t_{j}\right)=\left(e_{1}\left(t_{j}\right), e_{2}\left(t_{j}\right), \ldots, e_{a}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)
$$

such that $e_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)=\gamma_{j}^{\prime}(0)$. We may assume that the $E\left(t_{j}\right)$ converge to a definite limit frame $E(0)$ at $\alpha(0)$, by repeating the sphere-bundle argument above, substituting $F(M)$ for $B$ everywhere, $E\left(t_{j}\right)$ for $\tilde{\gamma}_{j}^{\prime}(0)$ [or else by using the sphere-bundle argument iteratively on the vector sequences $\left.e_{i}\left(t_{j}\right)\right]$. In this process

$$
E_{1}(0)=\lim \gamma_{j}^{\prime}(0)=\gamma^{\prime}(0)
$$

also. Now we parallel translate $E\left(t_{j}\right)$ along $\gamma_{j}$, thus defining a horizontal lifting $\bar{\gamma}_{j}$ of $\gamma_{j}$ into $F(M)$, with initial value $E\left(t_{j}\right)$. Now the $\bar{\gamma}_{j}$ are integral curves of the basic vector field $B(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. Hence the $\gamma_{j}$ are essentially solutions to an ordinary differential equation

$$
\bar{\beta}^{\prime}(f)=B(1,0, \ldots, 0)^{(f)}
$$

in $F(M)$; these solutions are hence continuous functions of the initial values $E\left(t_{j}\right)$. Hence $\bar{\gamma}_{j}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}(\varepsilon)$ as $E\left(t_{j}\right) \rightarrow E(0)$. Since the bundle projection $\pi$ is continuous, we find $\gamma_{j}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \gamma(\varepsilon)$ as required.
THEOREM 4.5. The boundary set of $G$ (the set on which $\mu$ bas its minimum value $\mu_{0}$ ) is the union of nullity geodesics, which are limits of nullity geodesics in $G$.

PROOF. Let $p$ be a boundary point of $G$. By repeating the argument of the preceding Theorem ${ }^{*}$, we find a nullity geodesic $\gamma$ going through $p . \gamma$ is the limiting geodesic of a sequence of nullity geodesics $\gamma_{j}$ in $G$, and $\gamma$ is nullity throughout its length since the $\gamma_{j}$ all have that property. Hence $\gamma$ cannot be in $G$ anywhere, for then it would lie in a leaf of the nullity foliation in $G$, and would have to stay in $G$ throughout its length, contradicting $p \notin G$. But $\gamma$ is arbitrarily close to geodesics $\gamma_{j}$ in $G$, so $\gamma$ is in the boundary of $G$.
example. In $R^{3}$, define differential forms $\omega^{1}, \omega^{2}, \omega^{3}$, etc... as follows:
a) when $x>0: \omega^{1}=d z-e^{x} d y ; \omega^{2}=e^{x} d x+z d y ; \omega^{3}=\left(e^{x}+e^{-1 / x}\right) d y$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{2}^{3}=\left(1+x^{-2} e^{-1 / x-x}\right) d y ; \omega_{1}^{2}=d y ; \omega_{1}^{3}=0 \\
\Omega_{2}^{3}=\left(x^{-4}-x^{-2}-2 x^{-3}\right) e^{-1 / x-x} d x d y
\end{gathered}
$$

b) when $x \leq 0: \omega^{1}=d z-e^{x} d y ; \omega^{2}=e^{x} d x+z d y ; \omega^{3}=e^{x} d y$;

$$
\omega_{2}^{3}=d y ; \omega_{1}^{2}=d y ; \omega_{1}^{3}=0 ; \Omega_{j}^{i}=0
$$

c) define coordinate transformations

$$
\xi=e^{x} \cos \sqrt{2} y+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z \sin \sqrt{2} y ; \eta=e^{x} \sin \sqrt{2} y-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z \cos \sqrt{2} y ; \zeta=\frac{z}{2}
$$

This maps $(x, y, z)$-space one-to-one into $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$-space. $x>0$ goes into the exterior of the ruled hyperboloid $\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}=1+2 \zeta^{2}$. Inside this surface

$$
d s^{2}=d \xi^{2}+d \eta^{2}+d \zeta^{2} \quad(\text { i. e. } \mu=3)
$$

Outside this surface $\mu=\mu_{0}=1$. The nullity geodesics are straight lines lying on the hyperboloids

$$
\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}-2 \zeta^{2}=\mathrm{constant}
$$

In this case ${ }^{(*)}$, the boundary set of $G$ is a hyperboloid of revolution.

[^1]
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[^0]:    (*) Dissertation submitted for the degree Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics (University of California, Los Angeles, 1965).

[^1]:    * We cannot assume the existence of a curve in G leading into $p$. But all we need is a sequence of geodesics in $G$ arbitrarily close to $p$ in order to carry out the argument of 4.4.
    (*) This example is due to Prof. Clifton.

